The 25 March 2020 $M_W$ 7.5 Paramushir, northern Kuril Islands earthquake and major ($M_W \geq 7.0$) near-trench intraplate compressional faulting
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A B S T R A C T
Large compressional-faulting earthquakes located relatively deep in oceanic lithosphere entering subduction zones are primarily caused by plate bending stress, but their timing, depth extent and size can be influenced by temporally-varying shear stress on the plate boundary. The 25 March 2020 $M_W$ 7.5 event in the Pacific plate seaward of Paramushir Island (northern Kuril Islands), is among the largest recorded events of this type. Its rupture extends along a large-slip region in the southwestern portion of the 1952 Kamchatka $M_W$ 9.0 rupture zone. This region has somewhat lower interplate coupling than the megathrust fault along Kamchatka to the northeast, but there could be 68 yrs of strain accumulation. The 2020 event is considered in the context of the 24 recorded major ($M_W \geq 7.0$) near-trench intraplate compressional-faulting events. An updated compilation of temporally varying near-trench intraslab faulting relative to major interplate ruptures indicates that the stress cycles on the plate boundary influence both extensional and compressional near-trench faulting caused by plate bending. Particularly noteworthy are such events seaward of areas presumed to be in an advanced stage of their seismic cycle, including relatively shallow compressional events along the 1944 $M_W$ 8.1 Tonankai, Japan rupture zone, along with activity along the 1952 Kamchatka and 1922 Chile rupture zones.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Oceanic plates flex as they converge in subduction zones, with their elastic/brittle near-surface volume experiencing shallow extensional faulting and less common deeper compressional faulting from the outer rise to beneath the overriding plate (e.g., Stauder, 1968; Chapple and Forsyth, 1979; Christensen and Ruff, 1983, 1988; Lay et al., 1989; Seno and Yamanaka, 1996; Craig et al., 2014). The oceanic lithospheric age/thermal state and plate bending curvature that results from slab pull, in-plane normal stress and plate boundary shear stresses influence the depth extent of the seismicogenic material and position of the neutral elastic bending stress surface within the flexing lithosphere, respectively. Slab pull and in-plane normal stress load on the oceanic lithosphere from the overriding plate are primarily responsible for the slab bending, but time-varying interplate shear stress arising from stick-slip of the plate boundary is also thought to influence the stresses in the oceanic lithosphere (e.g., Dmowska et al., 1988; Taylor et al., 1996). The downward transition to ductile/plastic deformation in the oceanic lithosphere caused by temperature increase delimits the elastic domain in which compressional faulting can occur (e.g., Chapple and Forsyth, 1979; Craig et al., 2014). As a result, major compressional events within the shallow slab are rare and likely require broadening of the region under compression by shallowing of the neutral surface as a result of build up of plate boundary shear stresses or transient loading by extensive extensional faulting of the shallower elastic lithosphere.

For regions that experience large megathrust earthquakes, the interplate shear stress cycle can temporally modulate the faulting in the oceanic lithosphere. This is indicated by near-trench extensional faulting mainly occurring after large interplate thrust events and infrequent large near-trench compressional faulting being more common before large plate boundary events (e.g., Christensen and Ruff, 1983, 1988; Lay et al., 1989). Purely elastic modeling of stress modulation may overestimate the influence of interplate coupling on the interplate stresses (e.g., Mueller et al., 1996; Lay et al., 2009), and the depth distribution of faulting mechanisms largely conforms to expectations of elastic-plastic plate bending...
models (e.g., Craig et al., 2014); however, the temporal modulation of shallow extensional activity is unambiguous (e.g., Wetzler et al., 2017; Sladen and Trevisan, 2018).

On 25 March 2020, a major \( M_w 7.5 \) near-trench compressional event struck near the northern Kuril Islands trench seaward of Paramushir Island (Fig. 1) southwest along the arc from the Kamchatka peninsula (USGS-NEIC 02:49:2116 UTC, 48.964°N, 157.696°E, 57.8 km deep; https://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eventpage/us70008f4/executive). Lower-magnitude intraplate compressional and tensional events have occurred near the source region (e.g., Christensen and Ruff, 1988; Lay et al., 2009; Craig et al., 2014), compatible with flexural bending of the Pacific plate as it subducts. However, the large size and depth of the 2020 event raises the possibility that the intraplate compressional stress regime may be augmented by increasing shear stress on the nearby megathrust fault, broadening the vertical extent of the elastic/brittle compressional domain and enabling such a large intraplate event to occur. We examine the rupture of this event and consider it in the context of rare major \( M_w > 7.0 \) near-trench intraplate compressional events along with updating a global compilation of temporal behavior of near-trench tensional and compressional activity relative to major interplate ruptures. We note several regions, including the 1952 Kamchatka zone, for which outer rise compressional activity may indicate accumulating plate boundary stress associated with forthcoming major interplate thrust-faulting.

2. The 25 March 2020 Paramushir earthquake

We performed seismic analyses of the 2020 Paramushir earthquake. A point-source moment tensor solution is obtained by W-phase inversion (e.g., Kanamori and Rivera, 2008) and the slip distribution is obtained by a kinematic finite-fault inversion of teleseismic \( P \) and \( SH \) waves (e.g., Hartnell and Heaton, 1983; Kikuchi and Kanamori, 1991; Ye et al., 2016).

2.1. W-phase inversion

We perform a \( W \)-phase inversion using 203 broadband waveforms from 94 global stations, filtered in the passband 0.002 to 0.005 Hz. The solution found after a grid search over source depth and centroid location is shown in Fig. 1. The scalar moment is \( 2.10 \times 10^{20} \text{Nm} \) \( (M_w 7.48) \), with the best double-couple nodal planes having strike, \( \phi_1 = 198.8^\circ \), dip, \( \delta_1 = 52.6^\circ \), and rake, \( \lambda_1 = 84^\circ \), and \( \phi_2 = 28.6^\circ \), \( \delta_2 = 37.8^\circ \), and \( \lambda_2 = 97.8^\circ \), for a source depth of 50.5 km. The solution has an 11.1 s centroid time shift and a centroid location at latitude = 49.290°N, longitude = 157.850°E. The centroid location is dependent on the PREM velocity model, so it may not correspond to the true centroid of the slip distribution. The \( W \)-phase solution is similar to that for the Global Centroid-Moment Tensor (GCMT) solution, which has a scalar moment of \( 2.07 \times 10^{20} \text{Nm} \), source depth of 52.6 km, centroid time shift of 10.9 s, and best double-couple nodal planes having \( \phi_1 = 194^\circ \).
The 2020 Paramushir event is among the 5 largest recorded near-trench intraplate compressional events. This is an important category of tectonic events, so we compile the recorded occurrence and key seismic parameters of the largest of these events. Fig. 3 shows GCMT moment tensors or earlier mechanism solutions for all 24 such events with $M_W \geq 7.0$ from 1963 to 2020 (see source parameters in Table 1). Raessi and Atakan (2009) estimate $M_W$ 7.65 for the 16 March 1963 central Kuril event (Fig. 1a; $M_c$ 7.2) noted by Christensen and Ruff (1988). However, their slip model based on WWSSN recordings appears to have unreliable large shallow slip, so that magnitude is likely overestimated and we adopt the $M_S$ value. The events included in Fig. 3 are selected based on mechanism, location, depth and size. Most events are confidently identified as intraplate compression near the trench. Two are ambiguous: the 8 December 2016 $M_W$ 7.8 Solomon Islands event locates on the landward side of the trench and could possibly involve unusually steeply-dipping (50°) interplate thrusting (overestimation of the dip), and the 12 April 2008 $M_W$ 7.1 Macquarie event is at the northern end of the Hjort Trench and may represent along-strike growth of the evolving subduction zone.

The distribution of major near-trench compressional events is non-uniform, with no occurrences along many subduction zones.
Fig. 2. The azimuthal and distance distribution of the P and SH wave recordings for the 2020 Paramushir MW 7.5 earthquake with the observed (black lines) and model predictions (red lines) from the slip model on the northwest-dipping fault plane shown in Fig. 1. The gray rectangle box highlights the improved fitting on depth phases at stations to the west, compared to that from the inverted slip distribution from the southeast-dipping fault plane (Fig. S2).
Most activity has been along the Kuril, Japan, Philippine, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Kermadec, and Chile zones. Several such events have preceded major interplate events in the Kuril, Tonga, Kermadec and Chile zones, as discussed below. The 2020 $M_W$ 7.5 Paramushir and 2004 $M_W$ 7.4 Nankai Trough events are located seaward of regions where great interplate events occurred more than 68 yrs ago, while some others are in regions of uncertain interplate coupling such as the eastern Philippines and northern Tonga. The Solomon Islands has many interplate and intraplate events, making it hard to establish any temporal patterns.

In addition to the $M_W$ value for the events in Fig. 3, we show $m_B$ measurements for $\sim$3-5 s period $P$ waves by the procedure of Ye et al. (2017) and Kanamori and Ross (2020) for events after 1990. For the 2020 Paramushir event, $m_B$ is 7.53, slightly larger than $M_W$. Comparable relative values are found for the other near-trench compressional events. These measurements are compared with values for other intraplate events, interplate megathrust events at typical depths, and interplate tsunami earthquakes at shallow depths in Fig. 4a. The intraplate compressional events (red stars) as a group tend to have high $m_B$ relative to $M_W$, similar to other intraplate events, indicating relatively large short-period seismic wave amplitudes. This tendency is also apparent in comparisons of moment-scaled radiated energy, $E_R/M_o$, as seen in Fig. 4b. We estimate the broadband radiated energy of the 2020 Paramushir event to be $E_R = 8.1 \times 10^{15}$ J following the procedure of Ye et al. (2016). This estimate is comparable to the broadband estimate provided by IRIS, $E_R = 7.6 \times 10^{15}$ J (http://ds.iris.edu/spud/eqenergy/18100564), based on the procedure of Conyers and Newman (2011). The 2020 Paramushir event is typical of intraplate events in having higher value than interplate events. The location of intraplate compressional events within the central core of the oceanic lithosphere and the likelihood that the faulting is relatively infrequent may contribute to the high short-period energy typical of this class of event. The 3.5 MPa stress drop estimate for the 2020 event is also higher than the typical $\sim$0.6 MPa stress drop for interplate thrust events (Ye et al., 2016). The stress drop and radiated energy measures indicate that the deep compressional environment in the bending slab readily supports elastic strain accumulation and brittle failure over a significant depth extent.

4. Discussion

4.1. Is there a general temporal pattern for the trench slope activity?

Regional interactions between fault systems are now broadly accepted as possible, and it is important to evaluate whether temporal patterns support a hypothesis of influence of interplate faulting cycles on intraplate activity near the trench. There have been a handful of reported cases of major near-trench intraplate compressional faulting events occurring prior to and seaward of large interplate ruptures: notably 1) the 16 October 1981 $M_W$ 7.1 event (Fig. 3) before the 3 March 1985 Chile $M_W$ 8.0 earthquake (e.g., Christensen and Ruff, 1983, 1988; Lay et al., 1989; Honda and Kawakatsu, 1990; Tichelaar et al., 1992); 2) the 2 July 1974 $M_W$ 7.3 event before the 14 January 1976 Kermadec doublet ($M_W$ 7.8, 7.9) (e.g., Christensen and Ruff, 1988; Lay et al., 1989; Todd and Lay, 2013); 3) the 11 October 1975 $M_W$ 7.4 event before the 19 December 1982 $M_W$ 7.5 Tonga event (e.g., Christensen and Ruff, 1988;
### Table 1
Near-trench $M_W \geq 7.0$ intraplate compressional earthquakes from 1963 to 2020. Hypocentral parameters from NEIC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Origin Time (UTC)</th>
<th>Lat. (°)</th>
<th>Lon. (°)</th>
<th>Dep. (km)</th>
<th>$M_W$</th>
<th>GCMT</th>
<th>$M_o$ GCMT (Nm)</th>
<th>Dep. GCMT (km)</th>
<th>$m_A$ Median</th>
<th>$E_R$ (J)</th>
<th>$E_{R,B}$ (J)</th>
<th>$E_{R,FF}$ (J)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16 Mar 1963</td>
<td>08:44:50.0</td>
<td>46.64</td>
<td>154.78</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.2a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 July 1974</td>
<td>23:26:26.6</td>
<td>29.08</td>
<td>-175.95</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7.2b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Oct 1975</td>
<td>14:35:15.0</td>
<td>-24.89</td>
<td>-175.12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.4a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Apr 1977</td>
<td>07:15:22.7</td>
<td>-16.70</td>
<td>172.10</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>7.2c</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Oct 1981</td>
<td>03:25:42.2</td>
<td>-33.13</td>
<td>-73.07</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>10^{20}</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Oct 1988</td>
<td>00:46:24.5</td>
<td>-18.77</td>
<td>-172.42</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>10^{18}</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 May 1993</td>
<td>18:26:48.2</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>126.62</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>10^{18}</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>3.82×10^{14}</td>
<td>1.1×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Apr 1997</td>
<td>12:02:26.4</td>
<td>-12.58</td>
<td>166.68</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>10^{20}</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>2.46×10^{16}</td>
<td>5.6×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Jan 2001</td>
<td>06:57:04.2</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>126.58</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>10^{20}</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>2.50×10^{15}</td>
<td>1.4×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Aug 2001</td>
<td>06:52:06.2</td>
<td>-36.81</td>
<td>-179.57</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>10^{19}</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>4.77×10^{15}</td>
<td>9.2×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Jan 2003</td>
<td>08:43:06.1</td>
<td>-10.49</td>
<td>160.77</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>10^{19}</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>8.17×10^{16}</td>
<td>8.7×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Sept 2004</td>
<td>10:07:07.8</td>
<td>33.07</td>
<td>136.62</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>10^{19}</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>3.06×10^{15}</td>
<td>3.9×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Sept 2004</td>
<td>14:57:18.6</td>
<td>33.18</td>
<td>137.07</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>10^{20}</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>4.25×10^{15}</td>
<td>2.9×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Nov 2004</td>
<td>20:26:23.9</td>
<td>-46.60</td>
<td>164.72</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>10^{19}</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>1.10×10^{15}</td>
<td>2.0×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Apr 2008</td>
<td>00:30:12.6</td>
<td>-55.66</td>
<td>158.45</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>10^{18}</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>1.18×10^{15}</td>
<td>2.1×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Jan 2009</td>
<td>17:49:39.1</td>
<td>46.86</td>
<td>155.15</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>10^{20}</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>5.26×10^{15}</td>
<td>3.5×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Mar 2009</td>
<td>18:17:40.9</td>
<td>-23.05</td>
<td>-174.66</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>10^{20}</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>9.29×10^{16}</td>
<td>5.9×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Oct 2011</td>
<td>17:57:16.1</td>
<td>-28.99</td>
<td>-176.24</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>10^{20}</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>5.27×10^{16}</td>
<td>2.4×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Aug 2012</td>
<td>12:47:33.4</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>126.64</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>10^{20}</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>2.91×10^{16}</td>
<td>4.7×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Dec 2012</td>
<td>08:18:24.3</td>
<td>37.89</td>
<td>144.09</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>10^{19}</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>6.14×10^{15}</td>
<td>7.8×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Apr 2012</td>
<td>12:36:19.2</td>
<td>-11.46</td>
<td>162.05</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>10^{10}</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>8.79×10^{15}</td>
<td>1.7×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Dec 2016</td>
<td>17:38:46.3</td>
<td>-10.68</td>
<td>161.32</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>10^{18}</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>3.02×10^{15}</td>
<td>1.9×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Dec 2018</td>
<td>03:39:09.7</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>126.92</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>10^{19}</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>4.67×10^{16}</td>
<td>7.4×10^{-5}</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Mar 2020</td>
<td>02:49:32.4</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>157.69</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>10^{20}</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>7.60×10^{15}</td>
<td>7.45×10^{-5}</td>
<td>5.97×10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$M_W$, $M_o$, centroid depth from Global Centroid Moment Tensor (GCMT) catalog, unless 4SGEM, $M_o$ or 6Christensen and Lay (1988).

- $E_R$ from BB radiated P wave energy reported by IRIS (https://ds.iris.edu/eqeqenergy), computed from $m_o$ (second value), or computed using finite fault model and broadband energy (°).
- $E_R/M_o$ uses seismic moment from GCMT and $E_R$ from BB radiated P wave energy unless value for finite-fault model is available (*).
- § Indicates that event designation as intraplate has uncertainty.

...
the compressional faulting, unlike the situation for isolated precursory compressional events discussed above including the 2020 Paramushir event, and there is almost no additional compressional activity in these outlying sequences. Thus, inference that there is no influence of the intraplate stress cycle based on these events is questionable.

Many regions lacking large interplate events do have comparable-size compressional activity, so bending alone could plausibly account for major near-trench compressional failure with little or no role played by interplate faulting, but time-variation during the interplate seismic cycle can be considered for strongly coupled regions. The great 2009 $M_W$ 8.1 Samoa normal-faulting earthquake

Fig. 4. Comparisons of (a) $m_B$ for periods of $\sim$3-5 s, with dashed blue lines indicating scaling curves for intraplate, interplate and tsunami earthquake (updated from Ye et al. (2017)), and (b) $E_R/M_w$ with $M_w$ for different categories of earthquakes including shallow interplate tsunami earthquakes (blue), interplate thrusts (green), and intraplate (red) including near-trench intraplate compressional faulting (red stars). The early events labeled in the upper panel lack $E_R$ measurements.
immediately preceded $M_W$ 8.0 interplate thrust faulting (e.g., Beavan et al., 2010; Lay et al., 2010; Fan et al., 2016), but it appears that this involved along-strike dynamic triggering, so it seems to be a distinct phenomenon.

In order to evaluate whether the accumulated seismicity since the early studies of Christensen and Ruff (1988) and Lay et al. (1989) still provides support for the notion of temporal modulation of near-trench intraslab faulting by interplate strain accumulation and release, we consider the near-trench seismicity with $M \geq 5.0$ with known focal mechanisms before and after interplate thrust faults with $M \geq 8.0$ from 1920 to 1959 and with $M_W \geq 7.7$ from 1960 to 2020. We use the USCS-NEIC catalog to define the mainshocks and the seismicity sequences, with events with NEIC epicenters $\leq 40$ km seaward of the trench and $\leq 20$-20 km landward of the trench being considered. Focal mechanisms are obtained from the GCMT catalog from 1976 to 2020 and from the compilations of Christensen and Ruff (1988) and Lay et al. (1989) for events prior to 1975. The along-trench-strike search region is based on finite-fault solutions and/or aftershock areas for each mainshock. Re-rupture of a given segment with comparable size events occurs in several cases, and we subdivide the seismicity by the mid-point of the inter-event window.

The sequences of near-trench compressional and near-trench temporal activity for a total of 95 mainshocks (68 (71.5%) of which have at least some near-trench activity nearby) are displayed in Fig. 5, at vertical positions corresponding to the mainshock magnitude. The mainshocks contributing to this figure are located in all 16 subduction zones where $M_W \geq 7.7$ have occurred. Many zones have some mainshocks with relatively deep slip, which tends not to produce much near-trench activity (e.g., Wetzler et al., 2017; Sladen and Trevisan, 2018), so we focus on the collective behavior rather than subregion variations. This plot updates a similar display found in Lay et al. (1989), with more than an order of magnitude more events (611) being included. A gap in the relative time scale is provided to help separate activity before (red circles) and after (blue circles) the mainshock. There is intrinsically a greater observational period having small-event focal mechanism determinations after mainshocks than before, but most activity is distributed within $\pm 50$ yrs of the mainshocks. Given the large number of major and great earthquakes that have occurred after 2000, there is good sampling of both before- and after-mainshock populations. If there is no influence of the mainshock stress cycle on the intraslab activity one would expect uniform distributions with time for both compressional and tensional activity.

The temporal effect of the mainshock on near-trench tensional activity is clearly evident, with 12 times more events (480/40) involving a factor of 5.9 higher cumulative seismic moment occurring in the full intervals after than before the mainshocks (Fig. 5b). Increased tensional activity is particularly concentrated in the first two decades after the mainshock, but can persist for many decades. In contrast to the data set shown by Lay et al. (1989), there are more examples of tensional events prior to large megathrust events, although none occur in the year or two immediately preceding the mainshocks. The overall distribution is what is expected for superposition of temporally-modulated shallow extensional stress from the interplate seismic cycle on an ambient shallow bending tensional stress regime. The temporal pattern for tensional activity is clearly manifested because the long interseismic interval will tend to suppress tensional activity with the abrupt interplate strain release concentrating activity and giving a clear signature.

Evaluating the compressional event activity (Fig. 5a) in this context, it is clear that there is a complementary pattern within a $\pm 25$-yr time interval of 1.5 time more (37/24) with a factor of 1.3 higher cumulative moment for compressional events before than after the mainshock. The largest exceptions to this more subtle tendency are the two large events off Honshu in 2012 and off the Kuril Islands in 2009 discussed above, both of which occurred following and during high tensional event activity. About $\sim 20$ yrs after the mainshocks, there is steady increase in large compressional event activity, and the time frame from 20 to 100 yrs after the mainshock has a cumulative seismic moment for compressional events approximately twice that of tensional events. This may correspond to compressional stress build-up accompanying strain accumulation on the megathrust. The pattern is certainly less bimodal than for the sparser data set in the early compilation of Lay et al. (1989), but it seems reasonable to interpret the patterns as superposition of time-varying stress fields on a time-invariant background stress for both compressional and tensional activity. The temporal pattern for compressional activity is intrinsically less dramatic as the activity is expected to increase over extended time in the interseismic interval, with reduction in compressional stress after the mainshock giving a less clear decrease in activity than the increase for tensional events.

![Fig. 5. Temporal patterns of a) near-trench compressional (~trench-normal P axis) intraslab events and b) near-trench extensional (~trench-normal T axis) intraslab events relative to occurrence time of mainshocks from 1920 to 2020. Each sequence is plotted along the corresponding mainshock magnitude on the vertical axes with circles scaled proportional to magnitude (inset legend) of the intraslab compressional/extensional event. Mainshocks involving interplate thrust faulting with $M_W \geq 8.0$ from 1920 to 1959 and with $M_W \geq 7.7$ from 1960 to 2020 are included, along with a couple of smaller mainshock events included by Lay et al. (1989). Red color highlights events prior to each mainshock and blue highlights events after each mainshock. The gray time intervals correspond to an artificial discontinuity in the time axis at the time of the mainshock to separate prior- and post-mainshock activity. Several large compressional events discussed in the text are labeled in a).](image-url)
The very largest mainshocks, such as 1960 Chile, 2004 Sumatra, 2010 Maule, 2011 Tohoku, all associated with rather shallow-dipping wide fault planes with modest outer-rise bending, do not have many prior compressional (or tensional) events. In these regions, the compressive stress caused by interplate coupling, combined with the modest bending compressive stress, may not be large enough to cause near-trench compressive activity. Thus, near-trench compressional activity is not always a robust indicator of interplate strain accumulation. The near-trench compressional activity may be influenced by other factors. For example, Tonga has numerous large compressional events, even along regions lacking a history of large interplate events, so the background activity from bending may be heightened in regions with strong plate bending (e.g., Craig et al., 2014). When large compressional events occur, their upper depth extent is important to consider, but this is usually very difficult to determine and has been controversial for events such as the 1981 Chile earthquake (e.g., Ward, 1983; Christensen and Ruff, 1985; Honda and Kawakatsu, 1990; Tichelaar et al., 1992; Tilmann et al., 2008; Craig et al., 2014). Occurrence of megathrust events produces short time-scale perturbations and temporal patterns are intrinsically more evident, particularly for the shallow extensional regime, as in Fig. 5b. Compressional stress accumulation is a long-term process, with 100- to 1000-yr time scale, making it intrinsically difficult to observe temporal variation relative to a background level over intervals of 50-60 yrs. It is likely that given the range of magnitude of strain accumulation for future great events spanned by our data set, any temporal variation in compressional stress may show up in the catalog as apparent spatial variation. Longer term observations are needed to fully quantify the role of temporal variations.

4.2. Implications of temporal patterns for the 2020 event

The framework developed by Christensen and Ruff (1983, 1988) and the global temporal patterns displayed in Fig. 5 suggest that occurrence of the 2020 MW 7.5 Paramushir near-trench intraplate compressional earthquake, rupturing from ~30 to ~70 km deep into the Pacific plate seaward of a region of large interplate slip in the great 1952 Kamchatka earthquake, may be an indication of significant shear-stress build-up on the megathrust being superimposed on background plate bending stresses in the region. The relatively large depth extent of the 2020 rupture and the relatively strong radiated energy for the event suggest the presence of a broad elastic compressional stress regime below an elevated neutral surface in the plate, as expected for shear-stress accumulation on the plate boundary 68 yrs after the 1952 event. While no specific timing of future rupture of the interplate locked zone can be given based on Fig. 5, the unusually large seismic moment of the 2020 event focuses concern on the region given that several prior documented examples of similarly isolated, comparable size events have occurred late in the interplate seismic cycle, a few years to several decades ahead of adjacent megathrust ruptures (Fig. 5a).

Given that major near-trench events are rare, it is instructive to consider smaller near-trench earthquake activity along the northern Kuri Islands arc. Fig. 6 shows all GCMT moment tensors from 1976 to 2020 identified as probable interplate thrusting (Fig. 6a) or near-trench extensional and compressional faulting (Fig. 6b). The interplate thrust events larger than MW 7.5 are included in Fig. 6b for reference. Interplate activity just southwest of the Kamchatka Peninsula is relatively sparse; this area corresponds to where Machnes et al. (2010) infer large slip in 1952. The 2020 event occurred near the southwestern end of this region. A mix of smaller tensional and compressional activity with magni-
Fig. 7. Schematic cross-sections for several western Pacific subduction zones that have hosted major near-trench intraplate compressional faulting (highlighted in red). The cross-sections are roughly scaled to be appropriate for each region. a) The partially locked northern Kuril subduction zone hosting the 25 March 2020 Mw 7.5 event. b) The shallowly coupled central Kuril Islands subduction zone that had a compressional event in 1963, a great interplate event in 2006 and shallow normal and deeper thrusting intraplate events in 2007 and 2009, respectively. c) The Japan trench region offshore of Honshu struck by the great 2011 Tohoku thrust event and subsequent numerous extensional events and the deep compressional event of 2012. d) The Nankai trench region along the great 1944 Tonankai interplate rupture, which is locked and had shallow compressional events in 2004. e) The central Philippine trench with uncertain coupling which had a 2012 compressional event that triggered shallow interplate thrusting and intraplate extensional activity. f) The northern Kermadec region where a large compressional event in 1974 preceded interplate thrusts in 1976, followed by large shallow intraplate extension and deep intraplate compression in 2011.

Fig. 7a provides a sketch cross-section near the 2020 Paramushir event. For this region, the interplate megathrust appears to have had large slip in 1952 and is at least partially locked. About 5 m of slip deficit could have accumulated since 1952. The large 25
March 2020 event 68 yrs after the great event locates seaward of this zone in a region with additional much smaller compressional and tensional events near the trench (Fig. 6).

4.3. Implications of temporal patterns for other large compressional events

Fig. 7 provides additional cross-sections for some of the other major near-trench compressional earthquakes in Fig. 3 to help visualize the diverse temporal patterns involved. Our focus is on how the intraplate activity varies relative to the large interplate thrust event in each sequence, to test the hypothesis that the interplate seismic cycle influences timing of the intraplate activity. If this is the case, large outer rise compressional activity seaward of mature locked interplate regions can provide a long-term gauge of stress accumulation on the megathrust. It does not provide a short-term precursor capability.

The central Kuril zone along the 2006 $M_W$ 8.3 event is shown in Fig. 7b. This region had the major 1963 compressional event, likely smaller than the 2020 Paramushir event, followed by the great 2006 interplate thrusting and 2007 shallow extensional events and the subsequent 2009 deep compressional event. Lower magnitude events in this region are shown in Fig. 6b with six compressional events with magnitudes 5.0 to 5.8 having preceded the 2006 rupture and one M 4.9 event after the megathrust rupture. It is difficult to compare this sequence with the 2020 Paramushir region since the latter is far further into the interseismic cycle.

The sequence along the 2011 Tohoku rupture is shown in Fig. 7c, with the great interplate thrust activating extensive trench slope extensional faulting with $M_W$ as large as 7.6. The 2012 near-trench doublet followed, with the deep compressional event and shallow extensional event within seconds of each other. The regional interplate thrusting activity is shown in Fig. 8a. Fig. 8b demonstrates a lack of compressional activity along the 2011 rupture prior to the rupture with several small compressional events to the south, before and after the $M_W$ 7.9 megathrust aftershock on 11 March 2011.

Large compressional events in 2004 seaward of the 1944 Tonankai rupture zone are depicted in Fig. 7d and smaller events are highlighted in map view in Fig. 8b. This compressional activity is shallower than in other regions, extending to only about 25 km deep. This sequence has been intensively studied (e.g., Baba et al., 2005; Hara, 2005; Bai et al., 2011; Satake et al., 2005; Seno, 2005; Craig et al., 2014), mostly emphasizing static stress state rather than any temporal variation. Seno (2005) attributes the intraplate compressional stress to the along-strike collision of the Izu Peninsula with central Honshu, arguing that slab pull and ridge push will not be strong for the young Philippine Sea plate, and that rotation of the slab bending stress is required to predict the observed compression axes. The possible lack of strong slab pull may account for the absence of shallow extensional activity in this region, and one would also not expect large compressional activity if the bending effect is weak. However, the plate interface is known to be locked down-dip of the trench-slope activity, so temporal variation of the interplate locking could account for the compressional stress locating at shallow depth. The distance to the Izu collision is more than 100 km along strike, so it is unclear that collisional stress extends that far. The lack of other large near-trench compressional activity closer to the Izu collision zone is difficult to reconcile with it controlling the plate stress state as far away as the 2004 sequence.
Fig. 9. GCMT mechanisms from 1976–2020 for the subduction zone along Chile. Interplate thrust faulting events are shown in (a). Near-trench normal faulting (not labeled), compressional intraplate faulting (blue labels), and large interplate thrust events (red labels) are shown in (b). The moment tensors are color-coded by centroid depth and scaled relative to $M_W$. A region of near-trench compressional activity is highlighted (black line) along the rupture zone of the 1922 Atacama $M_W$ 8.6 event (red line with arrowheads).

The 2012 $M_W$ 7.6 compressional event below the Philippine trench triggered shallow thrust faulting on the megathrust as well as shallow extensional faulting along the trench slope (Ye et al., 2012; Fig. 7e). Whether the deeper plate boundary is locked is not known, and there is no record of nearby large historical events. There is a mix of smaller extensional and compressional events along Mindanao (Fig. 54). Major compressional events along Mindanao (Fig. 3) are located near large historical events such as the 14 April 1924 $M_W$ 8.2 event (e.g., Ye et al., 2012), but the degree of interplate coupling is uncertain.

The North Kermadec region where the 1976 $M_W$ 7.9 and 7.8 interplate doublet occurred is shown in Fig. 7f. The doublet was preceded by near-trench compression in 1974, with a smaller near-trench extensional event. On 6 July 2011, a shallow near-trench extensional event occurred, triggering shallow interplate thrusting followed a few months later by the 21 October 2011 deeper compressional event (Fig. 3). GPS indicates that this region is locked at depth, but the record of large events prior to 1976 is limited. There has been up to 44 yrs of strain accumulation since the last large megathrust strain release. The occurrence of smaller outer rise compressional events intermixed with tensional events since
1976 is relatively high along the Tonga-Kermadec zone (Fig. S5). This includes activity in northern Tonga seaward of and prior to the 2009 triggered megathrust rupture ($M_W \sim 8.0$) following the Samoa earthquake. The uncertain nature of coupling and strain accumulation along the arc in other regions makes it difficult to argue for shear-stress accumulation on the megathrust influencing the outer rise activity, as the background activity from bending appears to be relatively high.

While there has not been a major near trench-slope compressional event along central and southern Chile since the 1981 event seaward of the 1985 interplate rupture, smaller ($\leq M_W 5.7$) compressional activity did occur seaward prior to the 2015 $M_W 8.3$ Illapel rupture, and there have been six $M_W \leq 5.9$ compressional events along the 1922 Atacama $M_W 8.6$ megathrust rupture zone (highlighted in Fig. 9). This region is considered to be a mature seismic gap. While tectonic activity is more common along the Chile trench, the localized regions with compressional activity do appear to be spatially related to great interplate rupture zones. The same is true for northern Chile and southern Peru (Fig. S6).

5. Conclusions

The major 25 March 2020 Paramushir near-trench intraplate compressional earthquake raises the question of whether accumulating megathrust slip-deficit in the southwestern portion of the great 1952 Kamchatka earthquake is enhancing intraplate compressional stress relative to that associated with bending of the lithosphere. We address this question by compiling the historical record of very large interplate ruptures and near-trench intraplate faulting. Variability in intraplate faulting patterns is partially due to up-dip extent of megathrust ruptures and varying slab curvature and associated bending stresses, but some temporal patterns are observed. Several regions where isolated large compressional events similar to the 2020 Paramushir rupture have occurred eventually experienced major interplate thrusting. Two examples of comparably large compressional events have occurred following great interplate ruptures (2009 Kuril, $M_W = 7.4$ and 2012 Sanriku, $M_W = 7.2$), but both occurred soon after the mainshocks following extensive shallow near-trench extensional faulting sequences with much larger cumulative moment. Given that near-trench extensional faulting clearly exhibits temporal modulation by large interplate ruptures, it is sensible that compressional faulting does as well, with the 2020 Paramushir event occurring 68 yrs into the interseismic strain accumulation interval. Deep intraplate compressional events as a group tend to have relatively high radiated energy indicative of extensive brittle material within the elastic compressional regime, supporting the possibility of temporal modulation by interplate ruptures. More GPS measurements along the northern Kuril Islands could determine the accumulating slip-deficit distribution. The occurrence of compressional slab activity seaward of the historic rupture zones along Tonankai, Japan, and Atacama, Chile, underscores the importance of establishing a better understanding of the connection between the trench slope stress state and the occurrence of megathrust earthquakes.
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