

AGU Advances

EDITORIAL

10.1029/2021AV000426

Key Point:

- The editors thank the 2020 peer reviewers

Correspondence to:

S. Trumbore,
trumbore@bgc-jena.mpg.de


















Citation:

Trumbore, S., Barros, A. P., Becker, T. W., Davidson, E. A., Ehlmann, B. L., Gruber, N., et al. (2021). Thank you to our 2020 peer reviewers. *AGU Advances*, 2, e2021AV000426. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021AV000426>

Received 1 MAR 2021

Accepted 1 MAR 2021

Thank You to Our 2020 Peer Reviewers

Susan Trumbore^{1,2} , **Ana P. Barros³** , **Thorsten W. Becker⁴** , **Eric A. Davidson⁵** , **Bethany L. Ehlmann^{6,7}** , **Nicolas Gruber⁸** , **Eileen Hofmann⁹** , **Mary K. Hudson¹⁰**, **Tissa H. Illangasekare¹¹**, **Sarah Kang¹²** , **Paola Malanotte-Rizzoli¹³** , **Alberto Montanari¹⁴** , **Francis Nimmo¹⁵** , **Tom Parsons¹⁶** , **Vincent J. M. Salters¹⁷** , **David Schimel¹⁸**, **Bjorn Stevens¹⁹** , **Donald J. Wuebbles²⁰** , **Peter Zeitler²¹** , and **Tong Zhu²²** 

¹Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany, ²Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA, ³Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA, ⁴Institute for Geophysics and Department of Geological Sciences, Jackson School of Geosciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA, ⁵University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science Appalachian Laboratory, Frostburg, MD, USA, ⁶Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA, ⁷Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA, ⁸Environmental Physics, Institute of Biogeochemistry and Pollutant Dynamics, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland, ⁹Center for Coastal Physical Oceanography, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA, USA, ¹⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA, ¹¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Center for Experimental Study of Subsurface Environmental Processes (CESEP), Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO, USA, ¹²School of Urban and Environmental Engineering, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, Ulsan, South Korea, ¹³Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA, ¹⁴Department of Civil, Chemical, Environmental and Material Engineering, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy, ¹⁵Department of Earth & Planetary Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, ¹⁶United States Geological Survey, Reston, VA, USA, ¹⁷National High Magnetic Field Laboratory and Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA, ¹⁸NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, USA, ¹⁹Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany, ²⁰Department of Atmospheric Sciences, School of Earth, Society, and Environment, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, USA, ²¹Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA, USA, ²²College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, China

Thank you to the reviewers of *AGU Advances*.

In 2020, we all faced the enormous and unexpected challenges of the Covid-19 pandemic, with its host of new and competing demands on our time. Thus, we are especially grateful to the 154 people who provided reviews for *AGU Advances* and helped our fledgling journal complete its first year. Peer-review is essential to the process of doing and publishing science, and our reviewers have helped define our new journal by indicating papers expected to have broad impact that advance a discipline, have broad impact across disciplines, or have policy relevance.

All papers submitted to *AGU Advances* first go through an editorial consultation. We are committed to respecting reviewers' time and only send papers for review that the consulting editors agree meet our criteria. Sometimes this means we send papers back to the authors with suggestions how to improve the fit to our journal. Another way we try to streamline the review process is by giving the authors the option to transfer reviews if after review we decide the paper is better suited to another AGU journal.

As a relatively new journal, we still have few enough reviewers that we do not want to identify them by name. Nonetheless, you know who you are. Please accept our sincere thanks for generously sharing your expertise and working to improve *AGU Advances*.