Mars Methane Sources in Northwestern Gale Crater Inferred from Back-Trajectory Modeling
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Key Points:

• Back-trajectory analyses are performed for the methane spikes detected by the Mars Science Laboratory at Gale crater.

• Upstream emission regions are mapped out at unprecedented spatial resolutions.

• Provided a 330-year methane lifetime, the emission site(s) must be located next to the Curiosity rover in northwestern Gale crater.
Abstract

During its five years of operation as of 2017, the Sample Analysis at Mars (SAM) Tunable Laser Spectrometer (TLS) on board the Curiosity rover has detected six methane spikes above a low background abundance in Gale crater. The methane spikes are likely sourced by nearby emission from the surface. Here we use inverse Lagrangian modeling techniques to identify upstream emission regions on the Martian surface for these methane spikes at unprecedented spatial resolutions. Inside Gale crater, the northwestern crater floor casts the strongest influence on the detections. Outside Gale crater, the upstream regions extend towards the north. The contrasting results from two consecutive TLS methane measurements point to an active emission site to the west and the southwest of the Curiosity rover on the northwestern crater floor. The observed spike magnitude and frequency also favor emission sites on the northwestern crater floor, unless there are fast methane removal mechanisms at work, or either the TLS methane spikes or the Trace Gas Orbiter (TGO) non-detections can not be trusted.

1 Introduction

Almost all of the methane in the present-day Earth’s atmosphere can be traced back to biological origins (Cicerone and Oremland, 1988). Extending this observation to Mars, this would suggest that the presence of methane in the Martian atmosphere could be a biosignature on this seemingly lifeless planet (Yung et al., 2018). Alternative, abiotic methane production mechanisms on Mars invoke past or present geological activity (reviewed in Oehler and Etiope, 2017) such as serpentinization (Oze and Sharma, 2005), which indicates the presence of liquid water, an indispensable ingredient for life. Abundant methane in the ancient Martian atmosphere could also provide a solution to the conflict between the Faint Young Sun and a warm surface suggested by fluvial and lacustrine features on Mars (e.g., Kite et al., 2017).
In the past two decades, the significance of methane in the Martian atmosphere has motivated a number of remote sensing observations aimed at retrieving the methane abundance in the Martian atmosphere and mapping out its spatial distribution. They reported inconsistent and highly variable methane concentrations (Krasnopolsky et al., 2004; Formisano et al., 2004; Geminale et al., 2008; Mumma et al., 2009; Fonti and Marzo, 2010; Krasnopolsky, 2012; Aoki et al., 2018; Giuranna et al., 2019). To overcome the technical challenges faced by remote sensing observations, the Tunable Laser Spectrometer (TLS; Mahaffy et al., 2012) on board the Curiosity rover was sent to Gale crater to make in situ measurements. During 4.6 years of operation as of May 2017, twenty direct-ingest measurements and ten enrichment measurements (refer to Webster et al. (2015) and Webster et al. (2018) for the descriptions for the two measurement types) revealed a baseline level of ~0.41 parts-per-billion-by-volume (ppbv), with episodic spikes up to ~10 ppbv (Webster et al., 2018) as summarized in Fig. 1. These spikes have been interpreted as discrete methane emission events (Webster et al., 2015, 2018). Notably, a methane spike with an unprecedentedly high magnitude, 20.5 ppbv, was announced very recently in Webster et al. (2021). It was the first time that a methane spike had been detected in an enrichment measurement, with a low signal-to-noise ratio of ~5, thus adding to the credibility of the previous methane spikes. Concurrently, the ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter (TGO) has made solar occultation measurements for the methane concentration in the mid- to high-altitudes. However, it has reported stringent upper limits down to 0.02 ppbv (Korablev et al., 2019; Knutsen et al., 2021; Montmessin et al., 2021). Assuming methane is a long-lived species with a 330-year lifetime as indicated by standard photochemical models (Lefèvre and Forget, 2009), it will be uniformly mixed throughout the Martian atmosphere, so TGO’s stringent upper limits obtained in a few detections has been interpreted as the upper limit for methane concentration in the entire
atmosphere, which is then contradictory to TLS’s significantly more elevated ~0.41 ppbv background level. Some mechanisms have been proposed to reconcile this inconsistency. TLS performed all its measurements in the near-surface planetary boundary layer (PBL), and methane, if released from the surface, could accumulate in the shallow nighttime PBL (Moores et al., 2019a, 2019b). Some speculative fast removal mechanisms that can possibly cause temporal and spatial inhomogeneity of methane concentration have also been proposed (Gough et al., 2010; Knak Jensen et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2016), which may also potentially reconcile the inconsistency between the TLS and the TGO results. In this study, we first accept both the results from TLS and TGO, and investigate the circumstances in which their discrepancies can be reconciled. We will later re-evaluate the probability of these circumstances.

**Figure 1. TLS methane signals versus Mars season and local time.** The six data points above the horizontal dashed line at 5 ppbv are regarded as the “methane spikes” with their indices labelled next to them. The twenty-four data points below the dashed line are regarded as the
background abundance. All the measurements were made in the nighttime except Spikes 1 and 5, which were measured in the early afternoon. Direct-ingest measurements are shown in circles. Enrichment measurements are shown in squares. Colors show the local time of ingestions. Error bars show ±1 standard error of the mean for each measurement. Adapted from Webster et al. (2018).

Assuming the existence of methane on Mars is real, its origin will have great implications for geology and astrobiology. The identification of the methane origin requires that we first find the surface emission sites, results from which can inform future missions of high priority landing sites and enable them to directly probe the methane source. The results can also guide orbiting instruments to better focus their methane observation strategies.

Inferring the locations of methane emission sites requires correct modeling of complex atmospheric transport processes. An early attempt to do so involved using a diffusion model to represent the spread of observed methane plumes (Mumma et al., 2009), which was shown to be oversimplified by addressing the importance of advection by bulk wind (Mischna et al., 2011). More recently, the Global Environmental Multiscale (GEM)-Mars general circulation model (GCM) was used to simulate methane transport and then a statistical approach based on the idea of simultaneous satisfaction of multiple observational constraints was used for methane source localization (Giuranna et al., 2019). Results suggested an emission region to the east of Gale crater for TLS’s first methane spike (Spike 1 in Fig. 1). Later, the Mars Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (MRAMS) model was used to simulate the transport and dispersion of methane plumes emitted from ten selected source regions around Gale crater (Pla-García et al., 2019). Substantial dilution during tracer transport was observed, which demonstrates the importance of incorporating turbulent dispersion into tracer transport modeling. Among all the
ten emission region candidates, the region to the northwest of the crater was favored, different from previous findings (e.g., Giuranna et al., 2019).

The aforementioned emission site localization studies all adopted a forward Eulerian approach, in which the model integrates three-dimensional tracer fields forward in time and quantifies how much tracer released at a specific emission location and time can ultimately reach the detector. However, this “trial-and-error” approach is computationally inefficient, as most of the released particles do not reach the detector, so usually only a small number of putative emission sites are selected and studied in depth (e.g., Pla-García et al., 2019). Meanwhile, the spatial resolution of emission regions is limited by the size of GCM grid boxes, making it difficult to differentiate emission sites within Gale crater (e.g., Giuranna et al., 2019).

In this work, we adopt an inverse Lagrangian approach (Lin et al., 2003, 2012) to overcome the challenges faced by forward Eulerian emission site localization techniques. The inverse Lagrangian approach is also known as back-trajectory analysis and is widely used in the environmental science community to map out upstream emission regions (e.g., Lin et al., 2003; Gerbig et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2004; Kort et al., 2008; Macatangay et al., 2008; Mallia et al., 2015). An ensemble of computational particles, representing air parcels, is released from the detector at the time of detection and is transported backwards in time. The particles’ transport pathways are determined by the bulk wind, and the particles are dispersed by parameterized subgrid-scale turbulence. The locations where backward-travelling particles are found within the PBL and hence are potentially affected by surface emission are identified as potential upstream emission regions. The quantitative linkage between measured atmospheric mole fraction at the detector and upstream surface fluxes is established via the number density of particles at an upstream location (Lin et al., 2003; Fasoli et al., 2018). A single inverse Lagrangian simulation
can quantify the influence of all upstream emission regions on a detection, and the spatial resolution of emission regions is not limited by the GCM resolution. As such, high-resolution maps of all upstream emission regions can be produced, which is critical for the search for emission sites within and around the small, 154-km wide, Gale crater.

2 Methods

2.1 GCM wind simulations

Since global, high-quality wind observations on Mars have been lacking to date, we use MarsWRF, a GCM of the Martian atmosphere, to simulate the wind fields necessary for inverse Lagrangian modeling. MarsWRF is derived from the terrestrial WRF model and is a Mars-specific implementation of PlanetWRF (Christensen et al., 2001). MarsWRF is a finite-difference grid-point model projected onto an Arakawa-C grid with user-defined horizontal and vertical resolutions. The vertical grid follows a modified-sigma (terrain-following) coordinate from the surface to ~80 km altitude. The total present-day atmospheric CO$_2$ budget is tuned to fit the Viking Lander annual pressure curves (~6.1 mbar), and both surface albedo and thermal inertia are matched to Mars Global Surveyor Thermal Emission Spectrometer (MGS-TES) observations (Christensen et al., 2001; Putzig et al., 2005), while a Mars Orbiter Laser Altimeter (MOLA) topography base map is employed and scaled to the chosen model resolution.

Multiple studies in the past have validated MarsWRF to the maximum possible extent through comparison of its behavior against data from the Mars Global Surveyor Thermal Emission Spectrometer (Lee et al., 2011; Toigo et al., 2012; Guzewich et al., 2013, 2014), the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter Mars Climate Sounder (Guzewich et al.,
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2013), and the weather stations on board *Curiosity* (Fonseca et al., 2018; Newman et al., 2017) and *InSight* (Newman et al., 2020), showing MarsWRF reproduces observed atmospheric pressure, atmospheric and ground temperature, near-surface wind speeds and wind directions reasonably well.

MarsWRF permits multiple embedded “nests” with increasing spatial resolutions in a single model run. This allows atmospheric circulations influenced by small-scale topographic features to be fully resolved in a simulation while the simulation also covers the entire globe. In this study, we run MarsWRF at increasing horizontal resolutions around Gale crater. The final model consists of four nested levels, each scaled up in resolution (spatial and temporal) by a factor of three. Level 1 provides global coverage with a horizontal resolution of $2^\circ \times 2^\circ$ and a 60-second timestep. Level 2 encompasses an $80^\circ \times 80^\circ$ domain with a horizontal resolution of $0.67^\circ \times 0.67^\circ$ and a 20-second timestep. Level 3 encompasses a $26.67^\circ \times 26.67^\circ$ domain with a horizontal resolution of $0.222^\circ \times 0.222^\circ$ and a 6.67-second timestep. Level 4 encompasses an $8.89^\circ \times 8.89^\circ$ domain with a horizontal resolution of $0.074^\circ \times 0.074^\circ$ ($4.4$ km $\times 4.4$ km) and a 2.22-second timestep (Fig. S1), which fully resolves the crater circulation. Two-way boundary conditions link a nested domain with its “parent”, with information being passed both up and down between parent and child domains. A description of this process may be found in Richardson et al. (2007). In order to speed up the simulations, we performed test simulations in advance to determine the duration of MarsWRF simulations on each
nesting level. A higher-level nesting is no longer necessary after 99% of the initially released backward-traveling particles have left the domain of that nesting level.

Given the lack of a global coverage of high-quality wind observations, it is impossible to reproduce precise “real” atmospheric circulations on spatial scales smaller than tens of kilometers, as stochastic weather events can significantly impact wind speed and even direction. As a result, at this stage, we do not intend to reproduce the “real” winds. Instead, we aim to produce “mean” winds that are representative of their respective seasons and time of day. For each TLS measurement, we repeat MarsWRF simulations for the corresponding Mars year five times, each time starting from a different initial condition. For each Mars year, the different rounds of GCM simulations are all driven by the same seasonally representative dust loadings. They show slight variations in year-to-year conditions as a consequence of stochastic variability in the weather. The variance in results across the five times of simulation is, however, small.

On short timescales (<1 week), it is not anticipated there will be a significant change in the mean atmospheric conditions on Mars, so for each of the five rounds of wind simulations, we treat the sol of measurement, and one, two, three sols before and after the measurement as equally representative of the circulation pattern at the time of the TLS measurement, and release particles at the time of day of each measurement on all of the seven sols. In this way, we form an ensemble of thirty-five back-trajectory simulations for each investigated TLS measurement, and the following analysis is all
based on the average footprints of these thirty-five simulations. This ensures that discrete weather patterns are smoothed out.

2.2 Inverse Lagrangian analysis

The wind fields from MarsWRF are used to drive the Stochastic Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport (STILT) Lagrangian Particle Dispersion Model (Lin et al., 2003; Fasoli et al., 2018) to simulate plume transport and dispersion. STILT is based on the Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (Draxler and Hess, 1998; Stein et al., 2015) that is extensively used in air quality, volcanic ash and industrial plume modeling, and STILT inherits all of the validated components of its predecessor. In its application, STILT transports an ensemble of computational particles (ten thousand particles in each simulation in this study) from the site of the detector (here, the location of the Curiosity rover) using time-reversed grid-scale wind plus a parameterized subgrid-scale turbulent velocity (Hanna, 1984). The timestep in STILT is determined dynamically based on the wind field, and typically ranges between one minute and ten minutes. When combined with a GCM, STILT linearly interpolates the simulated bulk wind from the GCM grid points to the precise positions of each particle at each timestep, and then displaces the particles according to the reversed wind arrow. Meanwhile, STILT adds a random velocity component, determined by a Markov chain process based statistically on the simulated meteorological conditions, to the bulk wind velocity. The random velocity represents turbulent motions that are unresolved by the GCM and results in dispersion of the particle cloud (Fig. S3). Additionally, vertical mixing in the PBL is parameterized by vertically redistributing particles to random altitudes within the PBL (Fig. S4). In the hyper-near field around the detector, an
“effective mixing depth” smaller than the PBL thickness is calculated based on the homogeneous turbulence theory (Fasoli et al., 2018). This will prevent the particles released near the surface from ascending to the top of the PBL instantaneously.

At every timestep in a back-trajectory simulation (which corresponds to an emission time), STILT tallies the instantaneous particle density in the PBL at all locations and generates a “footprint” map in units of ppbv μmol\(^{-1}\) (Lin et al., 2003), which quantifies the contribution of unit methane emission flux from an emission site to the methane mole fraction at the detector. The STILT footprint is proportional to the column-integrated particle number density within the PBL and the molar mass of air, and inversely proportional to the PBL thickness and the average air density within the PBL (Lin et al., 2003). The value of the footprint at an emission site is equal to the prospected methane mole fraction in the unit of ppbv above the ~0.41 ppbv background level induced by 1 μmol of methane emission at that emission site. High footprints indicate regions where the emission casts strong influence over the detection, or in brief, the upstream regions. Since the circulation pattern changes all the time, the footprint values at the same emission site at different emission times are also different. If integrated over a certain period of emission time, the footprints will measure the influence of a constant-flux emission in that period of emission time on a detection, and the pattern of the time-integrated footprints will show all upstream regions.

In computing the footprints, the domain is first gridded horizontally (a grid that is separate from that of the GCM) so that STILT can count the number of particles within each horizontal grid and calculate the particle density at all horizontal locations. The resolution of this grid becomes the resolution of the footprints, and hence the resolution
of the emission regions. We use 2° as the resolution for the domain from 80°S to 80°N
and from 60°E eastward to 140°W. For the subdomain from 17.6°S to 8.4°N, from
124.2°E to 150.4°E, we use a higher resolution of 0.2°, or ~11.8 km. For the subdomain
from 6.64°S to 3.72°S, from 136.24°E to 139.16°E, we use a further higher resolution of
0.02°, or ~1.18 km. We note that the definition of the STILT footprint in this study is
slightly different from that in Lin et al. (2003). The new definition has excluded the
influence of the grid size and the timestep of the footprint calculation on the values of the
footprints.

STILT was originally designed for terrestrial use, and we adapted STILT so that it
can be used for Mars. The modifications include changes to planetary radius, gas
constant, angular rotation rate of the planet, surface gravity, dynamic viscosity of air,
mean free path of air, molecular weight of air, surface air pressure, specific heat capacity
of air, the map of land use, and the map of surface roughness length (Hébrard et al.,
2012), etc. We note that the Monin–Obukhov similarity theory for the PBL, along with
the adherence to the well-mixed criterion (Thomson, 1987), a manifestation of the second
law of thermodynamics, ensures that the physics and fluid dynamics underlying STILT
can be applied to all substantial planetary atmospheres, including the Martian
atmosphere.

3 Results

3.1 Categorization of methane spikes

We focus on the six methane spikes reported by the TLS instrument (which is
referred to as the “detector” in the following text) during the 4.6 years of the Curiosity
mission through May 2017 (Fig. 1, Table S1). The six spikes can be categorized based on the seasons and the time of day of their detections. In terms of seasons, Spikes 1 and 6 were detected from late northern fall into winter. Spikes 2–5 were detected in northern spring. In terms of the time of day, Spikes 1 and 5 were detected in the early afternoon, and Spikes 2, 3, 4 and 6 were detected between midnight and early morning. As a result, Spikes 1 and 6 share similar seasonal, regional and global circulation patterns, as do Spikes 2–5. Spikes 1 and 5 share similar diurnal crater circulation patterns, as do Spikes 2, 3, 4 and 6. The similarity in atmospheric circulation patterns also manifests itself in the subsequent STILT footprint maps.

3.2 Atmospheric circulations

MarsWRF simulations show that the circulation at Gale crater consists of three components – a global meridional overturning circulation, a regional circulation, and a crater-scale circulation. Figure 2 shows an example of near-surface winds simulated by MarsWRF. In northern winter, the rising branch of the global meridional overturning circulation is centered in the southern hemisphere. Prevailing winds at the topographic dichotomy next to Gale crater are southward and are particularly strong around 270° solar longitude when Spike 6 was detected. In northern spring, the large-scale prevailing winds at Gale crater are weak. The regional circulation is characterized by upslope northerlies along the topographic dichotomy in the afternoon, and downslope southerlies in the nighttime. The crater circulation is characterized by upslope winds along the inner crater rim and the slope of Mount Sharp in the afternoon, and downslope winds in the nighttime. The PBL thickness at Gale crater undergoes a daily cycle between a nighttime
minimum thickness of tens of meters, and a daytime maximum thickness of about three kilometers, similar to previous findings in Fonseca et al. (2018).

Figure 2. Simulated winds in the bottom layer of MarsWRF at 81.84° solar longitude (concurrent with Spike 5). The plotted data is an average over six hours as indicated by the time period on the upper left of each panel. (a) and (b) show the regional circulation, from which one can identify southwesterly downslope winds along the topographic dichotomy from midnight to sunrise, and northeasterly upslope winds from noon to sunset. (c) and (d) show the Gale crater circulation, from which one can identify downslope winds along the inner wall of the crater rim and along Mount Sharp from midnight to sunrise, and upslope winds from noon to
sunset. The crater circulation is well resolved by MarsWRF. Red colors show rising air. Blue
colors show sinking air. Contours show surface elevation. Red stars mark the position of
_Curiosity._

3.3 Identifying upstream regions

Figure 3 shows the time-integrated footprints for Spikes 1 and 2. Refer to Fig. 5
and Fig. S5 for the footprints for Spikes 3–6. Within Gale crater, the strongest footprint
of Spike 1 lies to the north of the TLS detector (Fig. 3a), which is also the case for Spike
5 (Fig. S5g). This means that these two early-afternoon measurements are both more
sensitive to the emission from the north compared to the emission from other directions.
The similarity in the footprints for Spikes 1 and 5 is consistent with the similarity in the
early-afternoon crater-scale circulation patterns at the Curiosity site, in which northerlies
dominate, although Spikes 1 and 5 were detected in different seasons. For Spike 2, the
strongest footprint lies on the entire northwestern crater floor (Fig. 3d). This is also the
case for Spikes 3, 4, and 6 (Fig. 5d, Fig. S5a, d), although there are some finer spatial
patterns in the footprints for Spike 6. These four spikes were all detected in the nighttime
when the PBL was shallow. The released particles are confined within the PBL and
therefore imprint almost equally strong footprints onto the entire northwestern crater.
floor as they are transported backwards in time. In other words, a nighttime detection is sensitive to the emission from any place on the northwestern crater floor.

**Figure 3.** Influence of emission fluxes at any emission site on (a–c) Spike 1 and (d–f) Spike 2, shown in (a, d) the crater scale, (b, e) the regional scale, and (c, f) the hemispherical scale. Colors show STILT footprints integrated backwards in time over thirty sols. High values of footprints indicate upstream regions. The values of the footprints are equal to the prospected TLS methane signals in ppbv above the ~0.41 ppbv background after a thirty-sol constant-flux methane emission event with an emission flux of 1 μmol s⁻¹ occurs at one emission site. Stars in (a) and (d) mark the positions of Curiosity.

Outside Gale crater, the strongest footprint for Spike 1 lies to the north of the crater, as a result of the prevailing regional-scale northerlies in this season (Fig. 3b). This is also true for Spike 6 (Fig. 5e). This shows that for these two spikes, if a methane
emission region exists in the neighborhood of Gale crater (but outside the crater), it is
most likely located to the north of the crater. The locations of the upstream regions for
Spike 2 are, however, less definitive. The strongest footprints for Spike 2 cover the
regions in the first and third quadrants of Gale crater (Fig. 3e). This is also the case for
Spikes 3–5 (Fig. S5b, e, h). Despite this ambiguity, the strongest footprints for all the six
spikes overlap in a region within 300 km to the north of Gale crater. It is noteworthy that
the “E8” and “ESE” regions, suggested as the most likely emission regions for Spike 1
(Giuranna et al., 2019), do not bear strong footprints in our study and are hence not
identified as the preferred upstream regions for Spike 1 (Fig. 3b).

Further zooming out to the hemispherical scale, the strongest footprints for Spike
1 extend from Elysium Planitia towards two directions – one heading for the north along
the western side of Elysium Mons to Utopia Planitia, and the other heading for the east
along the southern side of Elysium Mons to Amazonis Planitia (Fig. 3c). This is also the
case for Spike 6, although the northern branch appears more prominent (Fig. 5f). This
suggests that the aforementioned large-scale geographic units are more likely to be the
emission regions than other large-scale geographic units for Spikes 1 and 6. For Spikes
2–5, the strong footprints cover many large-scale geographic units around Gale crater
(Fig. 3f, Fig. S5c, f, i), including the aforementioned Elysium Planitia and Utopia
Planitia.

3.4 Minimum methane emission

Based on the footprints, the minimum amount of methane emitted from any
emission site that could give rise to the observed methane spikes can be calculated. TLS’s
~0.41 ppbv background level is first subtracted from the six methane spikes. The remainder of the signals must then be a consequence of recent emission. It is unknown whether the emission was continuous, intermittent, or episodic, but to put a lower bound on the required methane emission, we can assume the emission was instantaneous and occurred at the exact moment when an emission site had the strongest influence on a detection. Then, dividing each methane signal (with the background signal subtracted) by the maximum footprint at an emission site yields the minimum amount of methane emitted from that emission site required by the methane signal (Fig. 4). Upstream regions, which show up with the highest footprint values in Fig. 3, now bear the smallest values in Fig. 4, the latter meaning that they can more easily produce a methane signal. For example, the northwestern crater floor (the blue region in Fig. 4d) is able to produce Spike 2 by emitting only about a hundred kilograms of methane, which will result in an increase of \( \sim 10^{-5} \) ppbv in the global mean methane concentration, assuming the emission occurred at the exact moment when it cast the highest influence over the detection. In contrast, if Spike 2 results from an emission event in Utopia Planitia, at least several millions of kilograms of methane must have been emitted, which will result in an
increase of several hundreds of pptv (parts-per-trillion-by-volume, 1 pptv = 10^{-3} ppbv) in the global mean methane concentration (Fig. 4f).

Figure 4. The minimum amount of methane emitted from different locations that can produce (a–c) Spike 1 and (d–f) Spike 2. The emission is assumed to occur at the moment when an emission site has the strongest influence on a detection. The left colorbars show the minimum mass of emitted methane as required by the magnitude of the spikes. The right colorbars show the increase in the globally averaged methane concentration after an aforementioned smallest emission event occurs. Stars in (a) and (d) mark the positions of Curiosity.

TGO’s 0.02 ppbv upper limit (Montmessin et al., 2021), interpreted as the upper limit on the average methane concentration in the Martian atmosphere, if combined with the 330-year lifetime from standard photochemical models (Lefèvre and Forget, 2009), implies that on average no more than $6 \times 10^{-5}$ ppbv of methane (or ~520 kg of methane) is
replenished every year. Then, during the 4.6 years of TLS operation, on average, no more
than $\sim 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$ ppbv (or $\sim 2400$ kilograms) was emitted into the atmosphere. Assuming
the six methane spikes result from six emission events, on average, each of them can emit
no more than $\sim 400$ kilograms of methane; otherwise, they would have resulted in a
significant and potentially observable rise in the background methane concentration.
Only the blue areas in Fig. 4 are such qualified areas that are able to produce a methane
spike with the observed mole fraction by emitting 400 kilograms of methane. More
quantitative analysis shows that the “qualified areas” are only 1300 km$^2$ in total, about
7% the total area of Gale crater (Fig. S10). This means that without fast removal
mechanisms that can significantly reduce the methane lifetime, the methane emission site
that is responsible for the TLS methane spikes has to be located within the 1300 km$^2$
around the Curiosity site inside Gale crater, and no other emission sites can exist over the
planet. This is the only way that the TLS spikes and the TGO non-detections can be
reconciled. The 1300 km$^2$ area is probably still an overestimate, as the assumed situation
where only six methane emission events occurred during the 4.6 years and all of them
were captured by the TLS measurements is almost impossible. The actual methane spike
frequency at the Curiosity site may be much higher, which will put a much lower upper
bound on the amount of methane emitted by a single emission event. In that case, the
qualified emission regions will be confined within even smaller areas that are very close
to the location of the Curiosity rover, such as the deep blue areas on the northwestern
crater floor in Fig. 4. Even the 1300 km$^2$ upper limit of the qualified emission region will
invoke an coincidence that Curiosity was sent to the vicinity of the only methane
emission hotspot on Mars. One possibility that does not invoke the coincidence is that
unknown, rapid methane removal mechanisms are at work. If the methane lifetime is shorter than 330 years, more methane can be emitted into the atmosphere per year without perturbing the long-term background methane concentration, and the emission sites will have some freedom to be located at distant places outside Gale crater, most likely in the upstream regions found in Section 3.3. Refer to Fig. S10 for a more quantitative analysis on the required “coincidence” if we accept the results from both TLS and TGO and the 330-year methane lifetime from classical models. To summarize, under the three assumptions – 1. TLS’s methane spikes are real, 2. TGO’s upper limits are real and they represent the upper limit of the methane abundance throughout the Martian atmosphere, and 3. the methane lifetime is ~330 years – there will be only one methane emission region over the entire globe, and it is within an area of 1300 km² around the Curiosity site in northwestern Gale crater. This may just be a coincidence, as Gale crater was carefully selected as the landing site for the Curiosity rover based on its unique geological context (e.g., Grotzinger et al., 2015). Otherwise, at least one of the three assumptions above needs to be reevaluated.

3.5 Consecutive methane measurements

More precise emission site identification is possible when we make use of consecutive methane measurements that reported a large difference in methane abundances. At ~266° solar longitude in Mars Year 33, two measurements were consecutively performed within a few hours. The first measurement started at ~01:30 local time and detected a 0.332 ppbv signal, close to the background level. Only a few hours later, the second measurement at ~06:30 local time detected Spike 6 with 5.55 ppbv. One possible explanation for the rapid increase in the ambient methane
concentration is the initiation of an emission event between the two measurements. Here, we focus on another possibility that a change in wind directions between the two measurements induced the temporal variability of the methane signals. If an emission event occurred before the two methane measurements, it would produce a methane signal only if the emission site was located in the upstream region of the detector at the time of emission. Figure 5 shows a comparison between the time-integrated footprints for Spike 6 and those for the background level. A significant difference can be found between the upstream regions within Gale crater (Fig. 5a, d). On the northwestern crater floor, the upstream region of Spike 6, indicated by high footprint values, primarily lies to the west and the southwest of Curiosity rover, whereas the upstream region of the background level primarily lies to the northeast of the rover. Therefore, the region to the west and the southwest of Curiosity in northwestern Gale crater is identified as a highly probable
location of an emission site. There are not significant differences between the upstream regions at larger scales (Fig. 5b, e, and Fig. 5c, f).

Figure 5. Comparison of the footprints for the background level and for Spike 6, both measured at ~266° solar longitude in Mars Year 33. Panels (a–c) show the STILT footprint for the background concentration. (d–f) show the STILT footprint for Spike 6. The stars in (a) and (d) show the positions of Curiosity. An emission site with weak influence on the background level and strong influence on Spike 6 will be bearing a small footprint for the former and a large footprint for the latter. Comparing (a) and (d), regions to the west and the southwest of Curiosity on the northwestern crater floor are such regions. The differences between (b) and (e) and between (c) and (f) at the larger scales are not significant.

We note that this method based on consecutive methane measurements is able to precisely constrain the location of a nearby emission site, but it requires consecutive
measurements performed within a short period of time, shorter than a few days and
optimally a few hours. Fortunately, the measurement strategy of TLS, which often
performs paired measurements within a few hours, meets this requirement.

4 Conclusions

In conclusion, if we trust the methane abundances detected by both TLS and TGO and
accept the 330-year methane lifetime from known photochemistry, our back-trajectory modeling
for atmospheric transport strongly supports surface emission sites in the vicinity of the Curiosity
rover in northwestern Gale crater. This may invoke a coincidence that we selected a landing site
for Curiosity that is located next to an active methane emission site. Other possibilities that does
not invoke the coincidence include the existence of fast methane removal mechanisms that are
unknown to date, and false positives of TLS and false negatives of TGO. Should future studies
confirm the existence of heterogeneous pathways or other unknown photochemical processes for
methane destruction, the methane emission sites can be located outside Gale crater, and most
likely to the north of the crater. Continuing the TLS and the TGO measurements of methane
concentration at Gale crater still seems to be the best move for now.

Our study demonstrates the feasibility and the advantages of applying the inverse
Lagrangian modeling technique to source localization problems on other planets. Methane
abundance data from future in situ measurements, especially those collected in consecutive
measurements performed within a few hours, would further improve the source localization.
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STILT model is available at its website https://uataq.github.io/stilt/#/. A list of modifications to
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