

Modelling correlated variability in accreting black holes: the effect of high density and variable ionization on reverberation lags

Guglielmo Mastroserio,¹★ Adam Ingram^{1b},² Jingyi Wang,³ Javier A. García,^{1,4} Michiel van der Klis,⁵ Yuri Cavecchi^{1b},⁶ Riley Connors^{1b},¹ Thomas Dauser^{1b},⁴ Fiona Harrison,¹ Erin Kara^{1b},³ Ole König⁴ and Matteo Lucchini^{1b}³

¹*Cahill Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA*

²*Department of Physics, Astrophysics, University of Oxford, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK*

³*MIT Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, MIT, 70 Vassar Street, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

⁴*Dr Karl Remeis-Observatory and Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Sternwartstr. 7, D-96049 Bamberg, Germany*

⁵*Astronomical Institute, Anton Pannekoek, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, NL-1098 XH Amsterdam, the Netherlands*

⁶*Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, CDMX 04510, Mexico*

Accepted 2021 July 11. Received 2021 July 8; in original form 2021 March 12

ABSTRACT

We present a new release of the RELTRANS model to fit the complex cross-spectrum of accreting black holes as a function of energy. The model accounts for continuum lags and reverberation lags self-consistently in order to consider the widest possible range of X-ray variability time-scales. We introduce a more self-consistent treatment of the reverberation lags, accounting for how the time variations of the illuminating flux change the ionization level of the accretion disc. This process varies the shape of the reflection spectrum in time causing an additional source of lags besides the light crossing delay. We also consider electron densities in the accretion disc up to 10^{20} cm^{-3} , which are found in most of the stellar mass black holes and in some active galactic nuclei. These high densities increase the amplitude of the reverberation lags below 1 keV since the reflection flux enhances in the same energy range. In addition, we investigate the properties of hard lags produced by variations in the power-law index of the continuum spectrum, which can be interpreted as due to roughly 3 per cent variability in the corona's optical depth and temperature. As a test case, we simultaneously fit the lag-energy spectra in a wide range of Fourier frequency for the black hole candidate MAXI J1820+0701820 observed with *NICER*. The best fit shows how the reverberation lags contribute even at the longer time-scales where the hard lags are important. This proves the importance of modelling these two lags together and self-consistently in order to constrain the parameters of the system.

Key words: accretion, accretion discs – black hole physics – relativistic processes – X-rays: binaries – X-rays: galaxies.

1 INTRODUCTION

Many stellar-mass black holes and supermassive black holes accrete material through a geometrically thin and optically thick disc. The thermal radiation of the accretion disc ('seed' photons) is thought to be inverse-Compton upscattered by a region of hot plasma called the corona (Eardley, Lightman & Shapiro 1975; Thorne & Price 1975). This featureless radiation dominating the hard X-ray spectrum is often referred to as *continuum* emission and its shape can be successfully modelled with a phenomenological power law with an exponential high-energy cut-off correlated to the electron temperature of the plasma. Some of the continuum photons travel *directly* to the observer, while some others illuminate the accretion disc, are reprocessed in the upper layers of its atmosphere and are re-emitted. This process is commonly called *reflection* and its spectrum shows characteristic features such as the iron $K\alpha$ line at 6.4 keV and the Compton hump peaking around 20–30 keV (e.g. Fabian et al. 1989; George & Fabian 1991; García & Kallman 2010).

The redshift due to the gravity of the black hole and the relativistic Doppler shifts due to the motion of the accretion disc broaden and skew the reflection features in the energy spectrum (e.g. Fabian et al. 1989; Dauser et al. 2013). These effects depend on characteristics of the system such as the black hole spin and the inner disc radius. Most of the current reflection models assume an electron density of the accretion disc of $n_e = 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (e.g. Ross & Fabian 2005; García & Kallman 2010). Even though this is arguably adequate for black holes with $M > 10^7 M_\odot$ and high-mass accretion rate, in most other accreting black holes much higher densities are expected (even $> 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$; Shakura & Sunyaev 1973). García et al. (2016) noted that when the disc density is high the quasi-thermal component of the reprocessed emission appears to peak in the soft X-ray band (increasing in effective temperature). Spectral fitting alone can lead to disagreements between different studies, in particular regarding measurement of the disc inner radius (e.g. compare Parker et al. 2015 with Basak et al. 2017 or García et al. 2015 with Dzielak et al. 2019). This is due to the difficulties in disentangling the direct emission and the reflected component (e.g. Gierlinski et al. 1997; Basak et al. 2017).

One of the most effective methods to study the X-ray emission in accreting black holes is spectral-timing analysis, combining the

* E-mail: gullik@caltech.edu

spectral information with the timing properties of the radiation. Timing analysis focuses on studying the variability of the light curve on relatively short time-scales, generally of the order $\sim [10^{-3} - 10^3] M/M_{\odot}$ s (e.g. McHardy 2010). The Fourier transform (FT) allows us to study variability time-scales in terms of Fourier frequencies assigning amplitudes and phases to each frequency. The time variability arises mostly in the corona and it is transferred to the reflected emission. The different light traveltimes of the continuum, and the reflected radiation cause time lags that are measured as phase lags in a Fourier cross-spectrum analysis. Such ‘reverberation’ lags dominate the relatively high Fourier frequencies ($\nu > 300 M_{\odot}/M$ Hz). We expect the iron line and Compton hump energy bands, which are clearly dominated by reflection, to lag behind the rest of the spectrum. These lags were first detected in active galactic nuclei (AGNs; McHardy et al. 2007; Fabian et al. 2009), since the longer time-scales allow us to detect many more photons per variability cycle compared to black hole binaries (BHBs). Since then the Fe line and Compton hump features have been observed in the lag-energy spectrum of several AGNs (e.g. Zoghbi et al. 2014; Kara et al. 2016). In BHBs, reverberation lags were first detected through photons that are thermalized in the reprocessing mechanism and re-emitted as disc blackbody radiation (Uttley et al. 2011; De Marco et al. 2015); only later the iron line feature was discovered in the lag-energy spectrum (De Marco et al. 2017; Kara et al. 2019).

The transfer function formalism (e.g. Campana & Stella 1995; Reynolds et al. 1999; Wilkins & Fabian 2013) encodes the relativistic dynamical distortions of the reflected energy spectrum and the timing response of the reflected disc photons. Some of the reverberation models developed recently use this formalism to fit either the lag-frequency spectrum (e.g. Emmanoulopoulos et al. 2014) or the lag-energy spectrum (e.g. Cackett et al. 2014) without accounting for the rest-frame energy spectrum. However, a proper treatment of the flux-energy spectrum is needed to account for dilution of the reverberation lag, which occurs when the energy bands are not completely dominated by either the continuum or the reflected emission (Uttley et al. 2014). This dilution effect is accounted for in the model KYNREFREV (Dovciak et al. 2017; Caballero-García et al. 2018), which uses the reflected rest-frame spectrum `reflionx` (Ross & Fabian 2005) to calculate the lag-frequency spectrum. Alston et al. (2020) recently used this model to fit multi-epoch lag frequency spectra of IRAS 13224–3809 in order to break the degeneracy between the height of the corona and the mass of the black hole that single-epoch fits to the lag-frequency spectrum suffer from.

All of these models focus on fitting the reverberation lags detected in AGNs. However, these lags are not the only lags observed in accreting black holes: at lower Fourier frequencies ($\nu < 100 M_{\odot}/M$ Hz) these sources show the higher energy light curve variability lagging behind the lower energy light curve variability, a phenomenon often called ‘hard’ lags. These are characterized by a featureless lag-energy spectrum which has been detected in both BHBs and AGN (Miyamoto et al. 1988; Nowak et al. 1999; Papadakis, Nandra & Kazanas 2001; McHardy et al. 2004). These hard lags are thought to be caused by mass accretion rate fluctuations propagating through the accretion disc at the viscous time-scale (e.g. Lyubarskii 1997; Kotov, Churazov & Gilfanov 2001; Arévalo & Uttley 2006; Ingram & van der Klis 2013).

These two types of lags contribute differently at each time-scale. Proper modelling them is crucial to constrain the parameters of the system. Wilkins et al. (2016) proposed a model combining mass accretion rate fluctuations propagating either inwards or outwards in an extended corona with reverberation signal modelled through multiple transfer functions. Even though this is arguably one of the

most complete models proposed to date, its large computational requirements make it impractical for use in an iterative fit procedure with current techniques.

The RELTRANS model (Ingram et al. 2019; Mastroserio, Ingram & van der Klis 2019 hereafter I19, M19) accounts for the low- and high-frequency lags, modelling the systems through a point-source corona (lamp-post) on the black hole spin axis and a razor-thin disc. In order to account for the reverberation lags the model calculates the different light traveltimes of the photons with the relativistic ray-tracing code YNOGK (Yang & Wang 2013). In the RELTRANS model the continuum lags are accounted for by phenomenologically allowing the power-law spectral index and the power-law normalization to vary with time. The idea is that the physical processes responsible for heating and cooling the corona cause time variations of the power-law emission. Specifically, variations in the mass accretion rate from the disc to the corona and in the flux of disc seed photons hitting the corona cause the temperature and the optical depth of the coronal plasma to vary with time. Clearly, this description of the continuum spectral variations is phenomenological, and not derived from explicitly modeling these physical processes. RELTRANS parametrizes the time variations of both the power-law normalization and its spectral index using the analytical formalism presented in Mastroserio, Ingram & van der Klis (2018, hereafter M18). The variations of the spectral index lead to a non-linear disc response that we account for self-consistently in the model by Taylor expanding the reflection component (M18). Chainakun, Young & Kara (2016) and Chainakun & Young (2017) proposed a ‘two-blob’ geometry for the corona which leads to similar results.

A common assumption of reverberation models is that the disc responds linearly to the fluctuations of the illuminating flux. However, a variation in the illuminating flux has the effect of changing the ionization level of the disc atmosphere. In this work we include variations of the ionization resulting from variations of the illuminating flux in our model. We show how these new feature changes the lag-energy spectrum and we test it on real data. This new source of lags has never been considered in model fits to actual data. Mahmoud, Done & De Marco (2019) discussed the variations of the ionization state of the reflector and their effects on the lag-energy spectrum, but only after the fitting procedure.

In this paper we present the new model release RELTRANS 2.0. In Section 2 we describe the improved mathematical formalism showing the differences with the previous versions of the model. In Section 3 we investigate (i) the correlation among the parameters of the power-law time variation and their ranges given the observed variability, (ii) how the reverberation lags change when we take into account high densities in the disc and (iii) the time variations of the ionization of the disc due to the variations of the illuminating radiation. As a proof of principle, in Section 4 we fit the lag-energy spectrum of MAXI J1820+070 with RELTRANS 2.0 focusing on how the different components contribute to the fit. Finally, in Section 5 we discuss why a pivoting power-law approximation can describe the expected spectral time variations and how considering reflection from a higher density accretion disc together with ionization time-variations contribute to fit the observed low-energy lags. We conclude with some remarks on the lag-energy spectral fitting.

2 RELTRANS VERSION 2.0

In this section we describe the RELTRANS version 2.0 formalism. We first summarize version 1.0, which is the previous publicly available

version¹ described in I19. We then summarize the improvements that have been made from version 1.0 to 2.0, before describing the mathematical details of the continuum and reflection components in the new version.

2.1 Basic equations

RELTRANS v1.0 assumes that the corona is a spherical, isotropic, point-like source (i.e. a lamp-post). The surface area of the source is a_s and the time-dependent specific flux it emits in its own rest frame is

$$F_s(E_s, t) = \frac{C(t)}{a_s} E_s^{1-\Gamma} e^{-E_s/E_{\text{cut}}}, \quad (1)$$

where $C(t)$ is the normalization that varies with time, Γ is the photon index, E_s and E_{cut} are respectively the photon energy and high energy cut-off, both in the source rest frame. An alternate flavour of the model, RELTRANSCP, uses the thermal Comptonization model `nthcomp` (Zdziarski, Johnson & Magdziarz 1996) instead of an exponentially cut-off power law. The FT of the specific flux seen by the observer as a function of energy in the observer's rest frame is then

$$F(E, \nu) = A(\nu) \ell g_{\text{so}}^\Gamma E^{1-\Gamma} e^{-E/E_{\text{cut,obs}}}, \quad (2)$$

where $A \equiv C/(4\pi D^2)$, D is distance from the observer to the source, $g_{\text{so}} = E/E_s$ is the blueshift experienced by photons as they travel from the source to the observer and ℓ accounts for gravitational lensing.²

The FT of the direct observed specific flux of the reflection component is $R(E, \nu) = (1/B)A(\nu)W(E, \nu)$, where $W(E, \nu)$ is the transfer function and the ratio $1/B$ is the ‘boost’ parameter, which enables the model to account for deviations from our assumptions of an isotropically radiating source and point-like geometry. The transfer function is given by

$$W(E, \nu) = \int_{\alpha, \beta} g_{\text{do}}^3(r, \phi) \epsilon(r) e^{i2\pi\tau(r, \phi)\nu} \mathcal{R}[E/g_{\text{do}}(r, \phi)] d\alpha d\beta. \quad (3)$$

Here α and β (the impact parameters at infinity) are the projected horizontal and vertical distances on the image plane from the black hole to a point on the disc with radius r and azimuthal angle ϕ . $g_{\text{do}}(r, \phi)$ is the energy shift experienced by photons travelling from disc coordinate r, ϕ to the observer. $\epsilon(r)$ is the radial emissivity profile (equation 20 in I19). A grid of impact parameters are converted to r and ϕ coordinates by ray-tracing backwards in time in the Kerr metric (Dexter & Agol 2009; Yang & Wang 2013) and the integral is for all impact parameter combinations for which $r \in [r_{\text{in}}, r_{\text{out}}]$. The reverberation lag, $\tau(r, \phi)$, is the extra time taken by photons that reflect from the disc at coordinates r, ϕ before reaching the observer, with respect to the photons that travelled directly from the corona to the observer. The emergent reflection spectrum (specific intensity as a function of energy) $\mathcal{R}(E)$ is calculated using `xillver` (or `xillverCp` in the case of RELTRANSCP; García et al. 2013).

Direct calculation of equation (3) is computationally prohibitive because $\mathcal{R}(E)$, in general, depends on disc coordinates α and β . The simplest solution is to ignore all dependencies of $\mathcal{R}(E)$ on disc coordinates and consider a single rest-frame reflection spectrum for the entire accretion disc. This ‘one zone’ model has several limitations: (i) The emergent reflection spectrum, $\mathcal{R}(E)$, depends

on the initial trajectory of the photons as they emerge from the disc – the emission angle θ_e ; $\mu_e \equiv \cos \theta_e$ – which is different for different disc coordinates due to light bending (García et al. 2014). (ii) The energy shift $g_{\text{sd}}(r)$ experienced by photons travelling from source to disc means that different disc radii see a different illuminating spectrum. (iii) The ionization parameter $\xi(r) = 4\pi F_x(r)/n_e(r)$, can depend on disc radius. A more correct treatment is to divide the accretion disc in multiple zones. The transfer function is therefore more accurately given by

$$W(E, \nu) = \sum_j^J \sum_k^K \Delta W(E, \nu | \mu_e(j), g_{\text{sd}}(r_k), \log_{10} \xi(r_k)), \quad (4)$$

where we define J bins for μ_e , K radial bins, and $\Delta W(E, \nu | j, k)$ is given by equation (3) except that the integral is only over impact parameter combinations for which μ_e is in the j^{th} bin and r is in the k^{th} bin. J and K are set by the environment variables `MU_ZONES` and `ION_ZONES`.³

The FT of the total spectrum (direct plus reflected) is then simply $S(E, \nu) = F(E, \nu) + R(E, \nu)$, and the cross-spectrum is $G(E, \nu) = S(E, \nu) F_r^*(\nu)$, where $F_r^*(\nu)$ is the FT of the count rate in the reference band. This is the count rate summed over a range of energy channels, and so $F_r(\nu)$ can be calculated from $S(E, \nu)$ and the response matrix of the X-ray detector (see I19 and Mastroserio, Ingram & van der Klis 2020 for more details).

2.2 Summary of improvements

Version 2.0 includes the improvements introduced in M19 and Mastroserio et al. (2020) but not hitherto included in a public release:

Continuum lags: We follow M19 by including fluctuations in the photon index, Γ , using the Taylor expansion formalism of Mastroserio et al. (2018). These fluctuations give rise to continuum lags.

Frequency averaging: We follow Mastroserio et al. (2020) by first calculating the cross-spectrum as a function of Fourier frequency and only then averaging over the input frequency range. Version 1.0 instead first averaged the transfer function over the frequency range and then calculated the cross-spectrum from that (see the discussion in Mastroserio et al. 2020 for more details).

Pivoting parameters: In M18 and M19, the fluctuations in Γ that cause continuum lags in the model are parametrized by the parameters $\phi_B(\nu)$ and $\gamma(\nu)$ (they are going to be defined in Section 2.3). In Mastroserio et al. (2020) we slightly changed the definitions of these continuum lag parameters to link them to the continuum variability in a much more intuitive way. Here we investigate these pivoting parameters and their impact on the lag-energy spectrum.

We also introduce several features here for the first time:

High-density disc: The `xillver` and `xillverCp` grids assume an electron number density of 10^{15} cm^{-3} . This is appropriate for an AGN with an $M > 10^7 M_\odot$ black hole, whereas the disc density is expected to be orders of magnitude higher in X-ray binary discs. For version 2.0, we therefore use the publicly available `xillverD` and `xillverDCp` tables (García et al. 2016) for the rest-frame reflection spectrum. The disc density is now a model parameter that can be varied in the range $n_e = 10^{15-20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

Ionization parameter fluctuations: An increase in illuminating flux will increase the ionization parameter and thus adjust the

¹<https://adngram.bitbucket.io/reltrans.html>

²Note that in I19, cosmological redshift was included explicitly whereas here we absorb it into the definitions of g_{so} , τ_{so} , g_{do} , and τ_{do} .

³Note that v1.0 also included the environment variable `ECUT_ZONES` that determined the number of g_{sd} zones independently of the number of ξ zones. This environment variable is now obsolete in v2.0.

ionization balance in the disc atmosphere, changing the shape of the emergent reflection spectrum. Here, we account for the variations in $\xi(t)$ that result from variations in the source spectrum by using the first order Taylor expansion formalism already used to account for Γ variations.

Performance: The new version uses a more efficient fast FT routine (Frigo & Johnson 2005) for the convolutions that are computed in the calculation of the transfer functions. The code is now 5 times faster than the previous versions.

Finally, we note that, even though the model accounts for additional physics, the only new parameter is the disc density.

2.3 Continuum

Here we present the mathematical formalism of the continuum emission in RELTRANS. For a time-dependent photon index, the observed continuum spectrum becomes

$$F(E, t) = A(t) \ell g_{\text{so}}^{\Gamma(t)} E^{1-\Gamma(t)} e^{-E/E_{\text{cut,obs}}}, \quad (5)$$

which is identical to the expression of I19 except for the time-dependence of Γ (a similar expression can be written down for the `ntcomp` flavour of the model). Taylor expanding equation (5) around $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$ and keeping only first-order terms gives

$$F(E, t) \approx F(E, t) \Big|_{\Gamma=\Gamma_0} + \frac{\partial F(E, t)}{\partial \Gamma} \Big|_{\Gamma=\Gamma_0} [\Gamma(t) - \Gamma_0]. \quad (6)$$

Defining $D(E) \equiv \ell g_{\text{so}}^{\Gamma_0} E^{1-\Gamma_0} e^{-E/E_{\text{cut,obs}}}$, we can simplify equation (5) by writing $F(E, t) = A(t) D(E) g_{\text{so}}^{\delta\Gamma(t)} E^{-\delta\Gamma(t)}$, where $\delta\Gamma(t) = \Gamma(t) - \Gamma_0$. This leads to

$$F(E, t) \approx A(t) D(E) [1 - \ln(E/g_{\text{so}}) \delta\Gamma(t)]. \quad (7)$$

Defining $A(t) = A_0 + \delta A(t)$, equation (7) becomes

$$F(E, t) \approx A_0 D(E) [1 + \delta A(t)/A_0 - \ln(E/g_{\text{so}}) \delta\Gamma(t)], \quad (8)$$

where we have ignored the term of order $\delta A \delta \Gamma$. The FT of this, for Fourier frequency $\nu > 0$, is

$$F(E, \nu) \approx D(E) [A(\nu) - A_0 \ln(E/g_{\text{so}}) \Gamma(\nu)]. \quad (9)$$

Following Mastroserio et al. (2020), we define $\gamma(\nu) e^{i\phi_{AB}(\nu)} \equiv A_0 \Gamma(\nu)/A(\nu)$ to give

$$F(E, \nu) \approx A(\nu) D(E) [1 - \ln(E/g_{\text{so}}) \gamma(\nu) e^{i\phi_{AB}(\nu)}]. \quad (10)$$

Here, $\phi_{AB}(\nu)$ is the phase difference between fluctuations in $\Gamma(t)$ and those in $A(t)$ (positive means that Γ lags A). We specify $\phi_{AB}(\nu)$ (averaged over a frequency range: see Mastroserio et al. 2020) as a model parameter.⁴ The parameter $\gamma(\nu) = A_0 |\Gamma(\nu)|/|A(\nu)|$ has the same meaning as in M19, except we now explicitly ignore in its definition terms of the order $\delta A \delta \Gamma$ and higher.

2.4 Reflection

The reflection spectrum from a patch of disc that subtends a solid angle $d\alpha d\beta/D^2$ is (for unity boost parameter, i.e. an isotropic continuum source)

$$dR(E, t) = A(t') g_{\text{do}}^3(r, \phi) \epsilon(r, t') \mathcal{R}[E/g_{\text{do}}|\xi(t'), \Gamma(t')] d\alpha d\beta, \quad (11)$$

⁴Previous versions of the model used a parameter referred to in M18 and M19 as $\phi_B(\nu)$. The two parameters relate to each other as $\phi_{AB}(\nu) = \phi_B(\nu) + \pi - \phi_A(\nu)$.

where $t' = t - \tau(r, \phi)$. Hereafter, explicit r and ϕ dependencies will be omitted for brevity. As $A(t)$ and $\Gamma(t)$ fluctuate in time, $dR(E, t)$ will also fluctuate. Our previous treatment did not account for the changes in the ionization parameter $\xi(t)$ that result from fluctuations in the primary continuum expressed by $A(t)$ and in $\Gamma(t)$. Here, we will also account for these changes. Taylor expanding dR around $A = A_0$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$ and only keeping linear terms gives

$$dR(E, t) \approx dR \Big|_{A=A_0, \Gamma=\Gamma_0} + \frac{\partial(dR)}{\partial A} \Big|_{A=A_0, \Gamma=\Gamma_0} \delta A(t') + \frac{\partial(dR)}{\partial \Gamma} \Big|_{A=A_0, \Gamma=\Gamma_0} \delta \Gamma(t'), \quad (12)$$

where $\delta\Gamma(t) = \Gamma(t) - \Gamma_0$. Evaluating the first differential gives

$$\frac{\partial(dR)}{\partial A} = g_{\text{do}}^3 \epsilon d\alpha d\beta \left[\mathcal{R}(E_d) + A \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}(E_d)}{\partial A} \right]. \quad (13)$$

The XILLVER model grids are not tabulated in terms of A . The model parameter is instead the logarithm of the ionization parameter ξ , which is expressed as the ratio between the incident flux and the disc density ($\xi = 4\pi F_x/n_e$). In order to numerically evaluate the differential $\partial \mathcal{R}/\partial A$ in the above equation, we therefore must re-express $\partial/\partial A$ in terms of $\partial/\partial \log \xi$, where ‘log’ is taken to mean logarithm to the base 10 throughout. It is straight forward to show from the chain rule that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial A} = \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial A} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial A} \frac{\partial \log \xi}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \log \xi} = \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial A} \frac{1}{\ln 10} \frac{1}{\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \log \xi}. \quad (14)$$

We therefore must evaluate $\partial \xi/\partial A$. We assume the density is constant over time, thus the ionization parameter is given by

$$\xi(t) = \frac{A(t)}{A_0} \xi_0 g_{\text{so}}^{\delta\Gamma(t)} S(t), \quad (15)$$

where $S(t)$ is the time variation of the incident flux between 0.1 keV and 1 MeV, which is written as

$$S(t) = \frac{\int_{0.1 \text{ keV}}^{1 \text{ MeV}} E^{-\delta\Gamma(t)} E^{1-\Gamma_0} e^{-E/E_{\text{cut,o}}} dE}{\int_{0.1 \text{ keV}}^{1 \text{ MeV}} E^{1-\Gamma_0} e^{-E/E_{\text{cut,o}}} dE}, \quad (16)$$

and ξ_0 is the time-averaged ionization parameter. In equation (16) the continuum is represented by a cut-off power law; however, in the model where the continuum is `ntcomp`, that spectrum is substituted for the cut-off power law. Equation (14) therefore becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial A} = \frac{1}{\ln 10} \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \frac{\partial}{\partial \log \xi}. \quad (17)$$

We can therefore apply the above to equation (13) to obtain

$$\frac{\partial(dR)}{\partial A} = g_{\text{do}}^3 \epsilon d\alpha d\beta \left[\mathcal{R}(E_d) + \frac{1}{\ln 10} \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}(E_d)}{\partial \log \xi} \right], \quad (18)$$

and the partial derivative $\partial \mathcal{R}/\partial \log \xi$ is simple to evaluate numerically from the relevant XILLVER model.

Now we evaluate the derivative of dR with respect to Γ . Following M19, we can write

$$\frac{\partial(dR)}{\partial \Gamma} \Big|_{A=A_0, \Gamma=\Gamma_0} = A_0 g_{\text{do}}^3 \epsilon d\alpha d\beta \left[\ln g_{\text{sd}} \mathcal{R}(E_d) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}(E_d)}{\partial \Gamma} \right]. \quad (19)$$

As a slight subtlety, we note that $\partial \mathcal{R}/\partial \Gamma$ is evaluated as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}(E)}{\partial \Gamma} \approx \frac{\mathcal{R}(E|\Gamma_2, \log \xi_2) - \mathcal{R}(E|\Gamma_1, \log \xi_1)}{\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_1}, \quad (20)$$

where $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_0 + \Delta\Gamma/2$, $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_0 - \Delta\Gamma/2$, $\log \xi_2 = \log \xi_0 + \log g_{\text{so}} \Delta\Gamma/2 + \log S_2$, $\log \xi_1 = \log \xi_0 - \log g_{\text{so}} \Delta\Gamma/2 + \log S_1$, $S_2 =$

$S(t)|_{\delta\Gamma = \Delta\Gamma/2}$, and $S_1 = S(t)|_{\delta\Gamma = -\Delta\Gamma/2}$. Here, $\Delta\Gamma$ is an internal model resolution parameter.

We combine the equations above (equations 12–19) and explicitly include the boost parameter to obtain a linearized expression for dR ,

$$dR(E, t) \approx \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}} g_{\text{do}}^3 \epsilon d\alpha d\beta \left\{ A(t') \mathcal{R}(E_d) + \frac{\delta A(t')}{\ln 10} \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}(E_d)}{\partial \log \xi} + A_0 \delta \Gamma(t') \log g_{\text{sd}} \mathcal{R}(E_d) + A_0 \delta \Gamma(t') \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}(E_d)}{\partial \Gamma} \right\} \quad (21)$$

Integrating over all disc patches gives the total observed reflection spectrum. The FT of the reflection spectrum, for Fourier frequency $\nu > 0$, is

$$R(E, \nu) \approx (1/\mathcal{B}) \{ A(\nu) [W_0(E, \nu) + W_3(E, \nu)] + A_0 \Gamma(\nu) [W_1(E, \nu) + W_2(E, \nu)] \}, \quad (22)$$

where the transfer functions (in the ‘one zone’ limit, see equation 3) are

$$W_0(E, \nu) = \int_{\alpha, \beta} g_{\text{do}}^3 \epsilon e^{i2\pi\tau\nu} \mathcal{R}(E_d) d\alpha d\beta \quad (23)$$

$$W_1(E, \nu) = \int_{\alpha, \beta} g_{\text{do}}^3 \epsilon e^{i2\pi\tau\nu} \ln g_{\text{sd}} \mathcal{R}(E_d) d\alpha d\beta \quad (24)$$

$$W_2(E, \nu) = \int_{\alpha, \beta} g_{\text{do}}^3 \epsilon e^{i2\pi\tau\nu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial \Gamma}(E_d) d\alpha d\beta \quad (25)$$

$$W_3(E, \nu) = \frac{1}{\ln 10} \int_{\alpha, \beta} g_{\text{do}}^3 \epsilon e^{i2\pi\tau\nu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial \log \xi}(E_d) d\alpha d\beta. \quad (26)$$

W_3 accounts for the ionization parameter fluctuations, whereas W_1 and W_2 account for the photon index variations. Note that transfer function W_0 is identical to the transfer function in [I19](#) (our equation 3), transfer functions W_0 , W_1 , and W_2 are defined in exactly the same way as in [M19](#), and only transfer function W_3 is new. For the multizone limit, in addition to μ_e depending on r and ϕ and g_{sd} and ξ depending on radius, we can now also account for n_e depending on radius since it is an input parameter of the `xillverD` model. The full expression for each transfer function becomes

$$W(E, \nu) = \sum_j^J \sum_k^K \Delta W(E, \nu | \mu_e(j), g_{\text{sd}}(r_k), \log_{10} \xi(r_k), n_e(r_k)), \quad (27)$$

where we define J bins for μ_e and K radial bins, and $\Delta W(E, t | j, k)$ is defined analogously to its equivalent in Section 2.1. We can re-write equation (22) in terms of $\gamma(\nu)$ and $\phi_{AB}(\nu)$ to give

$$R(E, \nu) \approx (1/\mathcal{B}) A(\nu) \{ W_0(E, \nu) + W_3(E, \nu) + \gamma(\nu) e^{i\phi_{AB}(\nu)} [W_1(E, \nu) + W_2(E, \nu)] \}. \quad (28)$$

2.5 Total cross-spectrum

As described above, the cross-spectrum is calculated as $G(E, \nu) = S(E, \nu) F_r^*(\nu)$, where $S(E, \nu) = F(E, \nu) + R(E, \nu)$ is the total spectrum and $F_r(\nu)$ is the FT of the reference band count rate, which we calculate self-consistently from our model for $S(E, \nu)$. This process constrains the phase of $A(\nu)$, since the phase lag between the reference band and itself must be zero. We then average the cross-spectrum over the frequency range specified by the user in the manner described in Mastroserio et al. (2020). The amplitude of $A(\nu)$ is specified by the model parameter $\alpha(\nu)$, which is a weighted average of $|A(\nu)|$ across the specified frequency range. Finally, we multiply the frequency-averaged cross-spectrum by $e^{i\phi_A(\nu)}$, which accounts for imperfect calibration. If the response matrix perfectly

describes the true response of the detector, then ϕ_A will always be zero. However, we may wish to include poorly calibrated energy channels in the reference band in order to increase signal to noise, in which case it may be necessary to leave ϕ_A as a free parameter (although it should always be small).

The free continuum parameters in the model, for each frequency range, are α (which is the `xspec norm` parameter), ϕ_{AB} , γ , and optionally ϕ_A . For the DC ($\nu = 0$) component, we instead have the much simpler expression $G(E) = \alpha_0 [D(E) + (1/\mathcal{B})W_0(E)]$. It is worth probing the effect of the new transfer function (W_3) on the lag spectrum and using the slightly changed continuum formalism to investigate the impact of the continuum variations. Section 3 is dedicated to this task.

2.6 Linear approximation

We use a first order Taylor expansion to approximate the changes in the reflection spectrum as a function of power-law index and ionization variations. This approximation holds when either the variations are small, or the reflected spectrum depends linearly on these two parameters. Usually in accreting stellar-mass black holes the combined variability of power-law photon index and the normalization of the incident continuum causes flux variations that are less than 40 per cent of the total flux on the time-scales where the reverberation lags dominate (>1 Hz). However, some AGN show strong (factors of a few) variability on the reverberation time scales (see e.g. 1H 0707-495: Kara et al. 2013; Pawar et al. 2017 and IRAS 13224-3809: Alston et al. 2019). The remainder of the Taylor expansion depends on how non-linear the reflection response is, which depends on photon energy. For energies where electron scattering is the dominant source of opacity, such as above 10 keV or so, where the Compton hump dominates, the reflected emission responds linearly to the variation of the incident flux. At energies where true absorption and line emission are dominant, which are important for constraining the reverberation parameters, however, the response is non-linear. Thus, in particular for those AGN where the incident continuum varies more than 100 per cent on the reverberation time-scales, we suggest we suggest to use the model with caution, since the first order Taylor expansion may not be a good approximation.

In the following we give a more mathematical treatment of the limitations of the linear approximation. In equation (11) there are quadratic terms of the Taylor expansions that were ignored, such as

$$q(t_k) = \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial A^2} \delta A^2(t_k) \quad (29)$$

where we have expressed $A(t)$ as a discrete time series instead of a continuous function. The second differential $\partial^2 R / \partial A^2$ is zero for electron scattering, but non-zero for absorption and fluorescence. We wish to determine the contribution of $q(t_k)$ to the cross-spectrum, $\langle Q(\nu_j) F_r^*(\nu_j) \rangle$, where the angle brackets denote averaging over L realizations. From the convolution theorem, we can write

$$Q(\nu_j) \propto \sum_{k=-N/2+1}^{N/2} A(\nu_{j-k}) A(\nu_k), \quad (30)$$

and thus

$$\langle Q(\nu_j) F_r^*(\nu_j) \rangle \propto \sum_{k=-N/2+1}^{N/2} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\ell=1}^L A(\nu_{j-k}) A(\nu_k) F_r^*(\nu_j). \quad (31)$$

For illustration, we can approximate $F_r(v_j) \propto A(v_j)$ to give

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q(v_j) F_r^*(v_j) \rangle &\propto \sum_{k=-N/2+1}^{N/2} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\ell=1}^L F_r(v_{j-k}) F_r(v_k) F_r^*(v_j) \\ &\propto \sum_{k=-N/2+1}^{N/2} B(v_{j-k}, v_k), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where

$$B(v_j, v_k) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\ell=1}^L F_r(v_j) F_r(v_k) F_r^*(v_{j+k}) \quad (33)$$

is the *bi-spectrum* of the reference band flux (e.g. Maccarone 2013). The importance of the quadratic term therefore depends on the *bi-coherence* of the X-ray signal, which is the magnitude of the bi-spectrum (Maccarone et al. 2011). The above gives us an intuitive way of understanding the bi-coherence: if a time series has zero bi-coherence, the power spectrum of the square of the time series will be zero. For AGN and X-ray binaries, the bi-coherence is non-zero but it is typically $\lesssim 1$ per cent (e.g. Uttley, McHardy & Vaughan 2005; Maccarone et al. 2011). Therefore the contribution of the quadratic terms in the Taylor expansion is likely small *even* in cases whereby the second differential function is large.

3 MODEL FEATURES

In this section we visualize the characteristics of the RELTRANS 2.0 model in connection to the changes in the mathematical formalism, and how it compares to the previous version. In all the tests shown here, we use the default parameters listed in Table 1, unless stated otherwise. We first focus on the continuum lags that dominate at relatively low Fourier frequencies. We study how the continuum parameters $\phi_{AB}(v)$ and $\gamma(v)$, respectively the phase difference and the amplitude ratio between $\Gamma(t)$ and $A(t)$, affect the predicted lag-energy spectrum. We then analyse the reverberation lags that dominate at relatively high Fourier frequencies. We study the effect of high electron density, up to $n_e = 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, in the accretion disc on the lag-energy spectrum, comparing to the old version that had this parameter fixed to 10^{15} cm^{-3} . At the same time, we study how the time fluctuations of the ionization parameter, that are encoded in the W_3 transfer function, impact on the calculation of the lag, and how these non-linear effects combine with the radial dependence of the ionization parameter, assuming either constant radial profile or a self-consistently calculated one.

3.1 Continuum variability

We consider the Fourier frequency range 0.1–0.2 Hz for a $10 M_\odot$ black hole. In this frequency range, the observed lags are dominated by the continuum lags, and the reverberation contribution is rather small. Therefore the lag-energy spectrum is mainly affected by the values of ϕ_{AB} and γ . The former is the phase difference between the time variations of the power-law index Γ and the normalization A . Negative values mean that A lags Γ , hence the peak of the hardness follows the peak in the normalization, since higher Γ corresponds to a softer spectrum and A is proportional to the specific flux at 1 keV. This mechanism produces hard lags in the lag-energy spectrum. Conversely, positive values of ϕ_{AB} mean that Γ lags A , resulting in soft lags. In general, ϕ_{AB} values can range between $-\pi$ and π , since we do not have any physically motivated reason to further constrain this range.

Table 1. Default parameters of the RELTRANSDCP model.

	Parameters and description	xspec name	default value
1.	h [R_g] – coronal height	h	6
2.	a – black hole spin	a	0.998
3.	i [deg] – disc inclination	Incl	30
4.	r_{in} [ISCO] – disc inner radius	r_{in}	–1
5.	r_{out} [R_g] – disc outer radius	r_{out}	1000
6.	z – cosmological redshift	z	0.0
7.	Γ – power-law spectral index	Γ	2.0
8.	$\log[\xi/\text{erg cm s}^{-1}]$ – disc ionization (ionization peak if radial profile is defined)	$\log \xi$	3.0
9.	A_{Fe} – iron abundance	A_{Fe}	1
10.	$\log[n_e/\text{cm}^{-3}]$ – disc density (minimum density if radial profile is defined)	$\log n_e$	15
11.	kTe [keV] – coronal electron temperature	kTe	60
12.	n_{H} [10^{22} cm^{-2}] – TBabs column density	n_{H}	0.0
13.	$1/B$ – reflection boost with respect to the power-law emission	Boost	1
14.	Mass [M_\odot] – black hole mass	Mass	10
15.	f_{min} [Hz] – minimum of the frequency range	f_{min}	1
16.	f_{max} [Hz] – maximum of the frequency range	f_{max}	10
17.	ReIm – model output	ReIm	–1
18.	ϕ_A – normalization phase	phiA	0.0
19.	ϕ_{AB} – phase difference between the spectral index and the normalization variability	phiAB	0.0
20.	γ – amplitude ratio between the spectral index and the normalization variability	γ	0.0

The γ parameter, instead, is related to the amplitude of the continuum flux variation. More specifically, following the definition at the end of Section 2.3 we can write $|\Gamma(v)| = \gamma(v)|A(v)|/A_0$. This expression allows us to determine physically plausible constraints of the γ parameter. We note that relatively large values of γ would break our fundamental assumption of being able to linearize (see the appendix in M18), and they would predict extreme variability in some X-ray band because of the large pivoting variation. This energy-dependent extreme variability is not observed in the data.

Since a typical black hole binary in the hard state shows fractional root-mean-square (rms) variability in its X-ray flux up to 20–40 per cent, and the main source of the continuum variability is due to the variation of the normalization, we can assume $|A(v)|/A_0$ is at least 10 per cent during the hard to intermediate state. In the case of an AGN the variability is usually lower, typically ~ 10 per cent, even though the value can differ much depending on the system. Accreting black holes usually display Γ ranging between 1.4 and 2.6, therefore $\gamma(v) = 0.5$ corresponds to a fractional variability amplitude in Γ of ~ 2.5 per cent, which is reasonable if we assume that part of the continuum variability is also due to power-law index variations. We therefore consider γ ranging between 0 and 0.5, since the upper limit is still small enough to not violate our assumptions or obviously contradict observations. However, these limits are not strictly confirmed by the observations. The most accurate method to calculate variability of Γ is to fit a time series of flux energy spectra with the time resolution equal to the time-scale considered in the lag analysis (Steiner et al. in preparation).




Figure 1. Main panels: lag-energy spectra computed by the RELTRANSDCP model in the frequency range 0.1–0.2 Hz varying the continuum parameters γ and ϕ_{AB} . They are respectively the amplitude ratio and the phase difference between the power-law index variability ($\Gamma(t)$) and power-law normalization variability ($A(t)$). The value of γ is specified for each panel and ϕ_{AB} is colour coded following the colour bars above and below the panels, the parameter ranges between $-\pi$ and π . The rest of the parameters have default values (see Table 1). The insets show the lag at 10 keV as a function of ϕ_{AB} while γ is the same as the main panel. Each point in the inset plots corresponds to the value of each line in the corresponding panels with the same colour code.

Fig. 1 shows the lag as a function of energy computed for different values of γ and ϕ_{AB} with the RELTRANSDCP model in the Fourier frequency range of 0.1–0.2 Hz for a 10 M_{\odot} black hole. The reference band is the entire energy band 0.1–100 keV, hence there must be one portion of the spectrum that varies as the reference band, and at that point the phase lag is zero by definition. However, this is not the pivoting point of the continuum emission which does not necessarily exist because we account for the variations in both the power-law index and normalization. We see from Fig. 1 that increasing γ results in longer lags. This is not surprising, since increasing γ enlarges the power-law index range and enhances its variability. When $\phi_{AB} < 0$ the model simulations show hard lags (i.e. lag increases with energy) and vice-versa when $\phi_{AB} > 0$, as expected. The inset in each panel shows the value of the lag of each line at 10 keV as a function of ϕ_{AB} . We note that the same value of the lag occurs twice in each inset, for two separate values of ϕ_{AB} . This degeneracy is broken by considering the shape of the overall lag-energy spectrum. In the inset of the bottom right panel ($\gamma = 0.5$), the lag reaches $\sim \pm 3.3$ s at $\phi_{AB} = 0$, which corresponds to a phase difference of $\pm\pi$ (which are indistinguishable from each other) at the considered Fourier frequency of 0.15 Hz. This

means that the 10 keV flux is varying in antiphase with the reference band flux, which is the opposite of what happens in all the other insets. This is because at high values of γ (such that $\gamma \log(E/g_{s0}) > 1$) the spectral index variations dominate over the normalization variations and we see anti-phase variations between high energies and low energies for the $\phi_{AB} = 0$ case. When γ is small, ($\gamma \log(E/g_{s0}) < 1$), the normalization variations dominate and we see zero lag between each energy band and the reference band in the $\phi_{AB} = 0$ case.

We note that, even though continuum lags dominate over reverberation lags in the considered frequency range, the reverberation signal is still present. Subtle reverberation features can be seen in Fig. 1, such as oxygen (~ 0.6 – 0.7 keV) and iron (~ 6 – 7 keV) features. However, it would be extremely hard to distinguish these features once the telescope response is accounted for in this particular configuration of parameters.

3.2 High density and ionization variations

As in v1.0, RELTRANS v2.0 includes a radial ionization profile, $\xi(r)$, by splitting the disc into a number of zones, each with a different

value of ξ , and summing over the contribution from each of these zones. The number of zones is set by the environment variable `ION_ZONES`. The simplest case of ξ being independent of radius can therefore be recovered by setting `ION_ZONES = 1`. The $\xi(r)$ profile is calculated by computing the X-ray flux that crosses each disc annulus in the lamp-post geometry and dividing it by an assumed density profile $n_e(r)$. There are two options for the assumed form of $n_e(r)$: constant (environment variable `A_DENSITY = 0`) or the profile corresponding to zone A⁵ of the Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) disc model (`A_DENSITY = 1`). The ionization parameter is normalized such that the maximum value of $\log \xi(r)$ is equal to the model parameter $\log \xi$.

Even though RELTRANS v1.0 included the option to calculate $\log \xi(r)$ by assuming a density profile, the value of the density was only used in the calculation of the ionization radial profile. The value of n_e used in the rest frame reflection spectrum was always fixed to $n_e = 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (since this is hardwired into the `xillver` and `xillverCp` tables). This inconsistency is now solved in v2.0, which uses the publicly available `xillverD` and `xillverDCp` tables that take n_e as an input parameter in the range $n_e = 10^{15-20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (García et al. 2016). In the new model, each radial zone can have a different value of $\xi(r)$ and of $n_e(r)$ to input into the calculation of the rest frame reflection spectrum. The model parameter n_e specifies the smallest value that $n_e(r)$ reaches across the entire disc extent (when the profile is constant it sets the density value in the whole disc). In addition, v2.0 also accounts for ξ varying in time, driven by the variations in the illuminating flux. This feature is implemented by the inclusion of an extra transfer function W_3 (see Section 2), and therefore can be switched off by artificially setting $W_3 = 0$.

Fig. 2 shows the effects on the lag-energy spectrum of changing disc density and of considering radial and time variations of the ionization parameter. It is not always straightforward to understand these effects because of the non-linear dependence of the lag-energy spectrum on the flux-energy spectrum. Therefore, we assume a constant radial profile for the disc density (`A_DENSITY = 0`) throughout the rest of this paper. We remind the reader of the possibility of including a radial density profile in the model (`A_DENSITY = 1`). For the rest of this section, we use RELTRANSDCP with the default parameters (Table 1) and $\phi_{AB} = \gamma = 0$, meaning that there are no continuum lags because the shape of the continuum spectrum is not varying. The reference band is set at the Compton hump (20–50 keV) where the lag is zero for all the densities. In our simulations the ratio between the continuum flux and the reflection flux is self-consistently calculated assuming the properties of the system. The height of the lamp-post ($6 R_g$), the spin of the black hole (maximum spinning) and the inner radius (ISCO) of the accretion disc are the model parameters that set the geometry and determine the reflection fraction as defined in Dauser et al. (2016). The model can deviate from a static lamp-post configuration by varying the boost parameter which can either enhance ($1/B > 1$) or diminish ($1/B < 1$) the reflection flux. However, in our simulations and later in our fit to the data the boost parameter is always fixed to 1 (static lamp-post geometry).

In Fig. 2 we consider six values of n_e (as labelled in the colour bar), and each panel explores a different scenario for the ionization parameter:

A) Ionization ξ is constant over time and radius ($W_3 = 0$ and `ION_ZONES = 1`);

B) Ionization $\xi(r)$ is constant over time and varies over radius ($W_3 = 0$ and `ION_ZONES = 20`);

C) Ionization $\xi(t)$ is constant over radius and varies over time ($W_3 \neq 0$ and `ION_ZONES = 1`);

D) Ionization $\xi(r, t)$ varies over time and radius ($W_3 \neq 0$ and `ION_ZONES = 20`).

The main effect of increasing the density on the lag-energy spectrum is the enhancement of the lag at low energies (below 1 keV) where the reflected flux increases. This effect is more evident when we account for the radial profile of the ionization parameter (panels B and D). This happens because the ionization as a function of radius has a steep negative gradient due to the illuminating flux (the density is radially constant in the disc), hence the outer regions of the disc contribute with less ionized reflection. These spectra have a more prominent soft excess, which is enhanced for higher densities (García et al. 2016). It is clear that the shape of the lag-energy spectrum depends closely on the shape of the flux-energy spectrum, since the dilution of the lags is set by the relative amplitude of the reflection with respect to the continuum emission (see discussion in Cackett et al. 2014). This soft excess feature in the lag-energy spectrum is much more subtle for the configurations with ξ independent of radius (panels A and C). In fact, for $n_e \gtrsim 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ a break appears at $E \sim 0.5 \text{ keV}$. This is caused by the shift of the soft excess to higher energies, which is also seen in the flux-energy spectrum (García et al. 2016).

Arguably the most evident feature is the drastic change of the oxygen line (O VIII Ly α) around 0.65 keV (panel C). The ‘inversion’ of this emission line at high densities occurs only when we consider the time variations of the ionization parameter and no radial profile. The oxygen emission line is extremely sensitive to the ionization parameter and its relative ionic fraction peaks around $\log(\xi/\text{erg cm s}^{-1}) = 2$ (Kallman et al. 2020). Therefore, even though the time variations of the ionization parameter are small ($\delta \log \xi \sim 0.04$) they are enough to over-ionize the oxygen line for a range of n_e values such that increasing the illuminating flux reduces the strength of the line rather than increasing it. The same effect also happens for all of the other emission lines, but never as clearly as for oxygen. For some lines, this is simply because they are less prominent in the spectrum and for others it is because the peak relative ionic fraction occurs at a higher value of the ionization than $\log(\xi/\text{erg cm s}^{-1}) = 3$. We note that if we consider a radial ionization profile (panel D), the contribution from the outer parts of the disc with less ionized spectra prevents this effect from becoming visible in the final spectrum. In this case the total spectrum includes contributions from a range of ionization parameter values, all less than $\log(\xi/\text{erg cm s}^{-1}) = 3$. Since the oxygen line does not overionize in the outer disc, the contributions of the outer radii dilute the overall effect in the lag spectrum, leading to the oxygen feature simply being weaker at high n_e rather than inverting.

We see from Fig. 2 that the introduction of the ionization parameter time variations can have a large effect on the lag-energy spectrum if we consider ξ to be independent of radius (panels A versus panel C), but only a subtle effect when we employ a radial ξ profile (panel B versus panel D). We further investigate this by plotting the difference between the lag-energy spectrum calculated accounting for the ionization time variations ($W_3 \neq 0$) and that calculated neglecting them ($W_3 = 0$). Fig. 3 shows this time lag difference for nine values of $\log \xi$ (coloured lines), under the assumption that ξ is independent of radius. The grey lines are the time lags calculated without ionization time-variations, shown to give an idea of the importance of the difference between the two calculations (without dividing by the lag, which can be zero). Once again the model parameters are specified in Table 1, in particular the height of the lamp-post source ($6 R_g$), spin of the black hole (maximally spinning) and inner radius (ISCO) of the

⁵ $n_e \propto r^{3/2} [1 - (r_{\text{in}}/r)^{1/2}]^{-2}$




Figure 2. Lag-energy spectra calculated by the RELTRANSDCP model in the frequency range 1–10 Hz. All the parameters are specified in Table 1 apart from the density which varies according to the different colours. The reference band of the cross-spectrum calculation is between 20 and 50 keV (the grey area), thus all the spectra converge to zero lag at the Compton hump. In the left-hand panels (A and C) the ionization is radially constant over the entire disc (radial zones = 1), whereas in the right-hand panels (B and D) the disc is divided into 20 zones (radial zones = 20) and the ionization is calculated self-consistently. Moreover, in the upper panels (A and B) the time variations of the ionization are neglected ($W_3 = 0$), whereas in the bottom panels (C and D) the ionization is calculated accounting for the time variations of the illuminating radiation ($W_3 \neq 0$).

disc are fixed to keep the same reflection fraction in the model. For near neutral gas ($\log(\xi/\text{erg cm s}^{-1}) = 0.5$), the time variations of the ionization parameter do not produce any notable additional lags. This is because the atomic features do not change significantly over a small range of ionization when the gas is close to being neutral. Therefore, the flux-energy spectrum does not change shape. When the gas is more highly ionized ($1.0 \leq \log(\xi/\text{erg cm s}^{-1}) \leq 3.5$) the lag produced by the ionization variation becomes significant, especially at higher densities (yellow lines). In this ionization range the atomic features are sensitive even to small variations of the ionization parameter. The right central panel (labelled ‘ $\log \xi = 3.0$ ’) corresponds to the difference between panels C and A of Fig. 2. The drastic difference at the oxygen line is again clear, and it is now possible to see that the iron line feature becomes significantly weaker when ionization variations are considered. Finally when the gas starts to become completely ionized ($\log(\xi/\text{erg cm s}^{-1}) \geq 4.0$) the variations of the illuminating flux do not change the ionization state of the gas and the lag contribution drops, pushing the difference close to zero as

in the case of the neutral gas. We note that, for intermediate values of $\log \xi$, the amplitude of the lag difference (coloured lines) can be comparable at low energies, if not even larger than, the lag spectrum without ionization time variations (grey lines). In these cases, the introduction of ionization time-variations has therefore changed the lags by 100 per cent or more. However, this extreme difference is likely to be an overestimate, since in this scenario the ionization level of the entire disc is described by a single ionization value.

Fig. 4 is the same as Fig. 3, except now a radial ionization profile is included in the model (i.e. the right central panel in Fig. 4 corresponds to the difference between panels D and B of Fig. 2), which we consider to be more realistic. We see that the additional lag introduced by ionization time-variations is in general much smaller than before. This is because the model parameter $\log \xi$ sets the peak value of $\log \xi(r)$, thus even when the ionization peak indicates a completely ionized gas, there is still a significant contribution from the less ionized spectra radiated from further out in the disc. When the gas is neutral the ionization time-variations do not contribute to




Figure 3. The coloured lines represent the difference in lag-energy spectrum between the version of the RELTRANSDCP model where the time variations of the ionization parameter are neglected and the new version where they are accounted for by adding the W_3 transfer function. The grey lines are the lag-energy spectra of the old model version (neglecting time variation of the ionization) shown as comparison to the difference between the old and the new version. Each panel shows the results for a range of accretion-disc densities at a given ionization parameter (in units of erg cm s^{-1}), as indicated. The rest of the model parameters were set to their default values (Table 2). The lag spectra were computed in the 1–10 Hz frequency range.

the lag, therefore the lags due to the ionization time-variations are less prominent when we account for the radial ionization profile. We do see that the additional lag contributed by ionization variations increases as the peak ionization is increased. This occurs because increasing the peak ionization leads to a smaller fraction of the disc being neutral. However, overall the change in the lag spectrum is small, with the change never exceeding 80 per cent and typically being $\lesssim 10$ per cent.

Finally, we show how the ionization time-variations change the lag-energy spectrum for different heights of the lamp-post source. Changing the source height affects both the light crossing time of the photons and the reflection fraction in the model, therefore the amplitude and energy dependence of the lags are also different. Fig. 5 shows the same concept of Fig. 3 and 4, though the peak ionization is fixed to $\log(\xi/\text{erg cm s}^{-1}) = 3$ and the height of the source is different among the panels (2, 10, 50, and $200 R_g$, from A to D

respectively). We note that the scale on the y-axis changes in the panels, since the distance between the primary source and the disc changes quite significantly. It is worth noting that the amplitude of the coloured lines, representing difference between the lag-energy spectrum calculated accounting for the ionization time-variations and the lag-energy spectrum calculated neglecting them, is increasing compared to the corresponding grey lines, which represent the lag-energy spectra with no ionization time-variations. This means that the effects of the ionization time-variations are more relevant for larger values of the source height. Of particular interest it is to look at this difference at the iron line energy range. Panels A and B show a negligible amplitude of the coloured lines compared to the grey lines. The coloured lines start to be relevant in panel C ($50 R_g$) where it is of the same order of magnitude of the grey lines, and they are important in panel D ($200 R_g$) where they modify the amplitude of the iron line lag by more than 50 per cent.




Figure 4. Same comparison between the old and the new version of the model as in Fig. 3, with the only difference that the models account for a radial profile of the ionization in the disc. The ionization value of each panel is the peak value of the ionization radial profile that is calculated considering 20 radial zones. The density is constant over these radial zones, but it changes for each line as indicated by their colour. The lag spectra are computed at the frequency range of 1–10 Hz. The other parameters of the models are equal to the default values (Table 2).

4 THE CASE OF MAXI J1820+070

We test RELTRANS 2.0 on the recently discovered BHB MAXI J1820+070. The system went into outburst in 2018 and it was one of the brightest black hole transients ever observed (Kawamuro et al. 2018). The *Neutron Star Interior Composition Explorer* (*NICER*; Gendreau et al. 2016) managed to track the source over the entire outburst from the typical rise in the hard state, through the transition to the soft state, and across the transition back to the hard state before the source went back to quiescence. Fig. 6 shows the full outburst of MAXI J1820+070 where the circles are all the *NICER* observations of the source. An iron line reverberation feature was detected in the lag versus energy spectrum during the hard state (Kara et al. 2019), which makes this source a very good candidate to test our new model. We consider an observation during the rise of the hard state that was not analysed by Kara et al. (2019). In Fig. 6 the red star identifies the observation that we use in this work and

the inset shows its full light curve. Even though the source showed quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs) during its outburst, none were detected in this observation. Since the iron line profile has been observed to vary systematically with QPO phase (Ingram et al. 2016, 2017) in a manner that is very likely due to changes in the accretion flow geometry, observations such as this one with no QPO are the most suitable to analyse with RELTRANS, which assumes a constant accretion geometry. We fit the lag-energy spectrum simultaneously in five ranges of the Fourier frequency and investigate the different contributions to the total lags in the model.

4.1 Data reduction

We consider the *NICER* observation taken on 2018 March 16 (ObsID 1200120105). We use data-analysis software *NICERDAS* version 2019-05-21_V006 and *CALDB* version xti20200722 with the




Figure 5. Same comparison between the old and the new version of the model as in Fig. 4, with the difference that the ionization parameter is fixed to $\log(\xi/\text{erg cm s}^{-1}) = 3$ in every panel and that the height of the source varies among the panels. The ionization value of each panel is the peak value of the ionization radial profile that is calculated considering 20 radial zones. The density is constant over these radial zones, but it changes for each line as indicated by their colour. The lag spectra are computed at the frequency range of 1–10 Hz. The other parameters of the models are equal to the default values (Table 2).

energy scale (gain) version ‘optmv10’ to analyse the observation. We applied a series of filtering criteria: (1) neglecting the noisy detectors FPMs 34 and 14; (2) selecting only events that are not flagged as ‘overshoot’ or ‘undershoot’ resets (EVENT_FLAGS=bx1x000), or forced triggers (EVENT_FLAGS = bx1x000); (3) applying the ‘trumpet’ filter to clean the observation out from known background events (Bogdanov et al. 2019); (4) considering only events when the pointing offset is less than 54 arcsec, the pointing direction is more than 40° away from the bright Earth limb, more than 30° away from the dark Earth limb, outside the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA). The FTOOL barycentre correction `barycorr` is applied to the cleaned events. We consider the cross-spectrum between two light curves in the energy bands 0.5–1 and 1.5–3 keV and we compute the time lag as a function of Fourier frequency. We also consider 24 smaller energy range light curves between 0.5 keV and 10 keV and calculate the cross-spectrum between each of those and the full energy range light curve as a reference band. The subject light curve is always subtracted from the reference band light curve, thereby ensuring they are statistically independent. In order to fit the lag spectrum, RELTRANS requires the redistribution response matrix (RMF) and the auxiliary response matrix (ARF) in its calculations. For this we use

the RMF version ‘rmf6s’ and the ARF version ‘consim135p’, which are both part of the CALDB version xti20200722.

4.2 Analysis of the Lag Spectra

We first consider the lag between the 0.5–1 and 1.5–3 keV light curves. Fig. 7 shows the lag as a function of Fourier frequency. The positive hard lags (blue points) dominate at low frequencies (up to ~ 1 Hz), whereas at higher frequencies the lags turn negative as a sign that the reverberation lags dominate (red points). The top right inset of Fig. 7 magnifies the negative lags showing that the amplitude is of the order of a few milliseconds. The intrinsic difference between these two types of lag becomes clear by looking at their energy dependence. The two lower insets of Fig. 7 show the energy dependence of the lags in the two different variability time-scales. At long time-scales (low Fourier frequencies, 0.1–1 Hz) the lags increase monotonically as a function of energy, whereas at short time-scales (high frequencies, 2–16 Hz) the lag spectrum presents a peculiar shape that resembles the flux energy reflection spectrum with a hint of a feature around the iron line energy range (indicated by the dashed vertical line).




Figure 6. Hardness intensity diagram for the 2018 outburst of MAXI J1820+070 observed with *NICER*. The red star is the *NICER* observation analysed in this work. The inset plot shows the light curve of the red star observation without the gaps.

Since the RELTRANS 2.0 model accounts for both continuum and reverberation lags, it has the capability of fitting the lag-energy spectrum at any time-scale. This allows us to follow the evolution of the different contributions to the total lags with Fourier frequency and thus reduce the degeneracy between the model parameters. We fit simultaneously five lag-energy spectra with RELTRANSDCP accounting for time variations of the ionization parameter and a self-consistent radial profile of the ionization in the accretion disc. The latter is calculated considering 20 radial zones in the disc and keeping the electron density constant among them ($\text{ION_ZONES}=20$ and $\text{A_DENSITY}=0$). The peak value of the radial ionization profile and the density of the disc are free parameters in the model. All the free parameters are specified in Tables 2 and 3. The former includes the parameters that are tied for all the frequency ranges, and the latter includes the continuum variation parameters, which are allowed to vary in each frequency range. All the parameters that are not listed in these tables are fixed in our fit. The iron abundance and the hydrogen column density of Galactic absorption are always fixed to the Solar value and to 10^{21} cm^{-2} , respectively (see e.g. Uttley et al. 2018; Shaw et al. 2021 as references for low absorption column). We fixed the spin and the mass of the black hole to 0.5 (Buisson et al. 2019) which implies that the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) is at $4.2 R_g$ and $10 M_\odot$, respectively. Furthermore, we fixed the boost parameter to 1. This choice forces the model to be consistent with a static, isotropic radiating and point-like corona. The reflection fraction is calculated self-consistently from the geometry of the system that is determined by the height of the corona and the inner radius. These parameters are free to vary in the fit, although they are tied together among the frequency ranges. For whatever set of parameters the data prefers, the model always calculates internally the reflection fraction.

Fig. 8 shows the lag versus energy spectra in the five frequency ranges together with the best fit RELTRANSDCP model (solid orange lines). The rest of the lines in the plots represent different contributions to the total lag calculated by the model. The dashed dotted (blue) lines show the lags due to only the variation of the power-law index (pivoting power law) without any transfer function involved in the calculation. Thus, in this case all the transfer functions are set to zero and the lag-energy spectrum always shows a log linear shape with no reflection features. These lags dominate at relatively low

frequencies, where they set the amplitude of the total lag. At higher frequencies, however, these power-law intrinsic lags are negligible. Nevertheless, the fit shows that these lags are not able to predict the correct shape of the lag-energy spectrum, not even at the lowest frequencies. Therefore other sources of lag need to contribute.

The dotted (green) lines show the lags caused by the reflection emission. These lags are due to both the light-crossing time lag and time variations in the hardness of the reflection spectrum in response to hardness variations of the illuminating spectrum. Finally, the dashed (red) lines show only the light-crossing time lag (without accounting for the response of the reflection spectrum to variations in the slope of the illuminating spectrum). These lags dominate at relatively high frequencies. Nonetheless, their contributions at relatively low frequencies are essential to reproduce a lag-energy spectrum that deviates from being strictly log linear, as is observed. Looking at the different contributions it is clear that the total lag is not the sum of the pivoting power-law lags (dot-dashed line) and the reflection lags (dotted line), instead these contributions have to be correctly combined in the calculation of the cross-spectrum. In fact, it is *not* correct to add the lags produced by different effects, *the correct procedure is to sum the complex cross-spectrum components and then calculate the lag spectrum* (see Appendix A in M18).

The residuals in the bottom panels of each plot of Fig. 8 show neither any evident broad structure nor any large systematic offset, indicating that the model succeeds in fitting simultaneously all the frequency ranges and therefore the different types of lags. We note, however, that a few data points diverge from the model with more than 3σ significance. These are either narrow structures around 1 keV that the model struggles to reproduce or a few points at low energies where the model underestimates the amplitude of the lag. One clear case is the top right panel where the $>3\sigma$ residuals are easy to spot. This could be due to oversimplistic modelling of the absorption features that could affect the dilution effect in the spectrum. It is worth noting that in the iron line region the residuals are within 3σ level showing good agreement between the model and the data. It is remarkable how the model follows the overall shape of the lag-energy spectra among the different frequency ranges: at low frequencies reproducing the dips in the data corresponding to the reflection emission lines $\sim 0.6 \text{ keV}$, $\sim 0.8 \text{ keV}$, and the iron line; and at high frequency the positive Fe line feature and the strong soft excess. Wilkins et al. (2016) previously predicted some of these features in the lag-energy spectrum, such as the iron line dip at low frequencies. Those authors compared their simulations with lag-energy spectra of AGN in order to constrain the geometry of the corona. However, this current work is the first time that an iterative fitting routine could be applied to constrain these reverberation features in the lag-energy spectrum of a stellar-mass black hole.

The best-fitting χ^2 and the model parameters are shown in Table 2. The best-fitting parameters of the continuum variability are listed in Table 3. These continuum variability parameters are free to vary over frequency due to the phenomenological nature of the continuum lag in our model. The only a priori constraint on these parameters was to limit ϕ_{AB} to negative values, which forces the intrinsic continuum variability to produce only hard lags. We note that the inner radius is pegged to its lower limit, indicating that the accretion disc extends to the ISCO, thus suggesting no truncation. We also note that the electron density of the accretion disc is pegged to its upper limit of $n_e = 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, which supports the prediction from a simple α -disc model (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) that accretion discs in stellar-mass black holes are much denser than the $n_e = 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ value traditionally assumed for AGN. The ϕ_A parameter is always smaller than 0.01 except for the last frequency range. This means




Figure 7. Lag versus frequency spectrum of MAXI J1820+070 during the rise of the hard state. The cross spectrum was calculated between the 0.5–1 keV light curve and the 1.5–3 keV light curve. The blue points are positive hard continuum lags and red points are negative soft reverberation lags. The top inset zooms-in on the negative lags, the colour code is the same as the main plot. The two bottom insets show the lags as a function of energy in the hard continuum lag frequency range (left-hand panel, blue points) and in the soft reverberation lag frequency range (right-hand panel, red points). The vertical dashed line marks the position of the iron line at 6.4 keV.

Table 2. Model free parameters for our RELTRANSDCP best fit of MAXI J1820+070 *NICER* obsID 1200120105. Spin, n_{H} , Mass, and Boost parameters are fixed to 0.5 (ISCO = $4.2 R_{\text{g}}$), 10^{21} cm^{-2} , $10 M_{\odot}$, and 1, respectively. These free parameters have the same values for the five frequency ranges we consider in the fit. The pivoting parameters are specified in Table 3 since they are free to vary in each frequency range.

Parameter	Best-fitting values
$h [R_{\text{g}}]$	30^{+9}_{-3}
Incl [deg]	44^{+8}_{-8}
r_{in} [ISCO]	< 1.5
Γ	$1.793^{+0.003}_{-0.031}$
$\log \xi$	$1.4^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
$\log n_{\text{e}}$	> 19.7
$\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.}$	166/120

Table 3. Best-fitting pivoting parameters for all the five frequency ranges.

Frequency	ϕ_{A}	ϕ_{AB}	γ
[0.12–0.25]	$-0.017^{+0.003}_{-0.003}$	$-0.7^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$	$0.15^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$
[0.31–0.73]	$-0.018^{+0.003}_{-0.003}$	$-0.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$0.28^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$
[0.8–2.1]	$-0.008^{+0.003}_{-0.003}$	$-0.10^{+0.03}_{-0.05}$	$0.37^{+0.08}_{-0.10}$
[2.1–5.8]	$0.009^{+0.003}_{-0.003}$	$-0.03^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$	$0.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
[5.8–16]	$0.031^{+0.008}_{-0.009}$	$> -10^{-9}$	$0.09^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$

that the correction to the lag spectra to account for poor calibration is almost negligible. This is expected, since the response matrix is well calibrated in the 0.5–10 keV energy band that we used for our reference band light curve. The ϕ_{AB} and γ parameters are well constrained and they are compatible with 0 for the highest frequency range. This shows that, in this frequency range, the pivoting power-law lags do not contribute significantly to the fit.

We performed a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis to probe the parameter space of our fit and investigate possible correlations among the parameters. The details of the analysis are reported in Appendix A as well as all the possible combinations of the 2D contour plots among the free parameters. It is worth noting that there is no degeneracy between the continuum parameters and the reverberation parameters (see Fig. A1), although some parameters are pegged to their limits such as the inner radius (pegged at ISCO) and the density (pegged at 10^{20} cm^{-3}). Having no clear degeneracy between continuum and reflection parameters ensures that the model can distinguish between the two types of lags. This is a direct consequence of forcing ϕ_{AB} to be negative in the fit, thus preventing the continuum lags from contributing to the soft lags. Fig. 9 shows a subset of contour plots focusing on the pivoting parameters. We note the clear correlation among ϕ_{AB} and γ in all the frequency ranges shown. The parameters are labelled with numbers starting from the lowest frequency range considered. The last frequency range has been excluded since the two parameters are compatible with zero. This confirms that the model requires a better signal-to-noise at




Figure 8. RELTRANSDCP fit of the lag-energy spectra in five frequency ranges with Model 1a. The iron abundance and the boosting parameters are fixed to 1 and the mass parameters to $10 M_{\odot}$. Each panel shows the best-fitting total lag model (solid purple lines) and the contributions to the total lag. The blue dashed-dotted lines show the lag due to the illuminating pivoting continuum, the dotted green lines show the lag due to the different light crossing time between the continuum and reflected emission together with the lag caused by the pivoting reflection, and the dashed red lines neglect the pivoting reflection showing the contribution of just the light crossing time. The bottom panels show the residuals of the best fit to the binned model in terms of sigmas with error bars of size one.

higher energy resolution of the lag-energy spectrum to break the degeneracy between the continuum parameters.

5 DISCUSSION

We presented the new release of the RELTRANS model (RELTRANS 2.0). This model aims to fit the hard lags at low Fourier frequency and the soft lags at high Fourier frequency of accreting black holes. We studied the hard lags parameters considering the new mathematical formalism and the new features introduced in the reflection lags such as ionization time-variations and the effects of accounting for high densities (above 10^{15} cm^{-3}) in the accretion disc.

5.1 Physical motivation for the pivoting lags

We have investigated the two model parameters ϕ_{AB} and γ that are respectively the amplitude ratio and the phase difference between the power-law spectral index variability ($\Gamma(t)$) and power-law normalization variability ($A(t)$). We now relate these two parameters that control the pivoting variability of the power-law radiation to the rms variability observed from accreting black hole systems. The mathematical assumption of the model is that most of the power-law time variability originates from the normalization variations, none the less, a small portion of the total rms is due to time variations of the power-law spectral index. From a physical perspective, if the origin of the non-thermal emission is attributed to Comptonization




Figure 9. Contour plots of the pivoting power-law parameters resulting from the MCMC analysis of our fit to the MAXI J1820+070. The colour bars indicate the probability distribution of the two parameters.

of seed disc photons in a hot corona (Haardt & Maraschi 1993; Zdziarski et al. 2003), then the variability of Γ can be related to the variability of the temperature T_e and the optical depth τ_e in said corona as

$$\Gamma = -\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} + \frac{1}{\theta_e \tau_e (1 + \tau_e/3)}}, \quad (34)$$

where $\theta_e = kT_e/m_e c^2$, and $m_e c^2 = 511$ keV is the electron rest-mass energy (Lightman & Zdziarski 1987). Equation (34) is estimated using empirical relations and it is valid for $\tau > 1$. Thus, to produce time variations of Γ , either the temperature or the optical depth of the corona need to vary with time (or both). Changes in the temperature are caused by variations in the balance between Compton heating and cooling rates, which depend on the shape of the radiation field and on the electron density. Changes in the optical depth can also be caused by variations in the electron density, or by a modification in the geometrical extension of the corona. The amplitude of Γ variations in our model is related to the parameter γ . As we showed in Section 3.1 assuming $\gamma = 0.5$ and ~ 10 per cent rms variability of the power-law normalization, this corresponds to a ~ 2 per cent fractional rms variation in Γ . Adopting mean values of $k\bar{T}_e = 30$ keV and $\bar{\tau}_e = 3$ into equation (34), we calculate that this 2 per cent fractional rms variability in Γ can be produced by 3 per cent rms variability in both kT_e and τ_e . A Taylor expansion to first order of equation (34) around small time variations in both θ_e and τ_e shows that the rms variability in Γ decreases when the mean values of the coronal temperature and optical depth increase.

5.2 High density in the reverberation lags

The new RELTRANS 2.0 model is designed to use the high-density reflection tables of the `xillverD` and `xillverDCp` models (García et al. 2016), which allow us to reach more realistic values of the electron density in the accretion disc. The reverberation lags follow the shape of the flux-energy spectrum, and the effect of high densities is to enhance the reflected flux at soft energies (below 1 keV). Therefore considering high densities in the lag-energy spectrum will result in an enhancement of the lags at soft energies. This effect

is more evident when the ionization of the disc is self-consistently calculated by accounting for a radial dependent illuminating flux. In this case, the outer part of the disc is less ionized and thus its contribution to the soft energies is more prominent (e.g. see panel D in Fig. 2). It is worth noting that soft lags at low energies have been observed in BHBs (Uttley et al. 2011; De Marco et al. 2017). They have been interpreted as signatures of the different light crossing time between the direct photons and reprocessed illuminating photons that are re-emitted as quasi-thermal radiation (Uttley et al. 2011). We note that by considering high-density reflection models we have included the quasi-thermal component of the reprocessed emission. Therefore, this process is now correctly accounted for in our model.

We note that the thermalization time-scale, the characteristic time for the photons to lose their energy through scattering, has always been considered negligible when compared to the light crossing time-scale. The same applies to the photoelectric absorption and recombination time-scales which depend on the density, temperature and ionization of the accretion disc (see discussion in Wilkins et al. 2020). Even though RELTRANS fits well the lag-energy spectra, we note that for the highest frequency ranges the model misses a few data points at the lowest energies (Fig. 8). Perhaps despite the high density of the disc, at these high frequencies the thermalization time-scales are long enough such that they could significantly contribute to the observed lags. Investigating this is beyond the scope of this paper and will be a topic of future work.

5.3 Fit of MAXI J1820+070

For a test case, we have chosen a hard state observation of the BHB MAXI J1820+070 performed by *NICER*. We fit the lag as a function of energy in five frequency ranges simultaneously, probing the hard and soft lags in the lag-frequency spectrum. These are clearly two different types of lags, one related to the continuum emission and the other to the reflected emission due to reverberation. However, the two processes are not completely separated: the reflected emission depends strongly on both the illuminating flux and its variability. With our model we show the importance of accounting for both these processes simultaneously when fitting observational data. The fit of MAXI J1820+070 shows that the reflection lags contribute significantly at the relatively low frequencies, since the contribution of these lags is the only way to shape the lag-energy spectrum differently than the log linear behaviour described by the hard lags. In the first panel of Fig. 8 it is clear how the overall lag (orange solid line) drops by almost 50 per cent from the pivoting lag (blue dash-dotted line) when we account for the contribution of the reflection lags (green dotted line). Moreover, the dips at low energies (~ 0.6 and 0.8 keV) and at the Fe line (~ 6 keV) are signatures of the reverberation lags that dilute the dominant hard continuum lags. These reflection lags are caused not only by the light crossing time delay. There is an additional source of lags produced by variations in the hardness and ionization of the reflected radiation, which are caused by variations in the illuminating spectrum. By correctly accounting for all these lags in the complex cross-spectrum, RELTRANS is able to match the irregular shape of the lag-energy spectra at all time-scales.

We note that in our fit the lags at the highest frequency do not show a strong iron line feature, neither when we combine the frequencies between 2–16 Hz, nor when we look at those frequencies in separate ranges. However, there is a hint of an iron line when we combine the frequencies, with marginal significance due to the relatively low signal-to-noise ratio of this observation. The lack of the iron line signal in the observation analysed here means that

the reverberation lags are constrained by the low-energy part of the lag-energy spectrum. In a separate publication we present detailed fits of the RELTRANS model to higher luminosity observations of MAXI J1820+070 during the same outburst (Wang et al. 2021).

Even though we fit this observation as a proof of principle and we do not consider the flux energy spectrum, it is interesting to compare our results with previous works. Buisson et al. (2019) fitted the flux energy spectrum of the *NuSTAR* observations, the first one is during the rise of the hard state. They used a dual-lamp-post corona model to fit the flux energy spectrum and constrained the heights at $3 R_g$ and $100 R_g$. Our value of the height ($30^{+9}_{-3} R_g$) falls in the middle of the two lamp-posts. The dual-lamp-post geometry approximates the vertical extension of the corona, thus it could be expected that our single lamp-post model used in the lag spectrum fitting constrains the height of the source roughly in the middle of the two vertical edges. However, we caution the reader about this interpretation, since the fit of the flux energy spectrum and the fit of lag-energy spectrum done with RELTRANS during the hard-to-soft transition (Wang et al. 2021) lead to the opposite trends of the coronal height.⁶ The analysis of Wang et al. (2021) shows that the coronal height derived from the flux-energy spectrum fit decreases from $\sim 25 R_g$ to $\sim 9 R_g$, whereas the coronal height from the lag fit increases from $\sim 40 R_g$ to $> 300 R_g$. These results suggest that the observed reverberation lags come mainly from the upper part of a vertically extended corona, instead of from the entire structure of the corona. Finally, we note that the soft lags are ~ 3 ms (upper inset panel of Fig. 7) during the rise of the hard state. These lags are larger than what is reported by Kara et al. (2019) who analysed the bright hard state. However, the decreasing trend of the soft-lag amplitude during the bright hard state seems to hold also during the rising of the outburst, since the amplitude of the first bright hard state observation is ~ 1 ms (Kara et al. 2019). This would fit the picture of the shrinking corona described in Kara et al. (2019).

6 CONCLUSIONS

We present a new release of our model for spectral and timing variability in accreting black holes: RELTRANS 2.0. We address variability in both the direct and reflected emission, and their energy dependence, by modelling the complex cross-spectrum as a function of energy at any Fourier frequency range. In this work we have described the new formalism and how the new features affect the shape of the lag versus energy spectrum, in particular. We investigated the new features applied to the model and here we list the main results:

(i) The low Fourier frequency variability can be modelled through time variations of both the normalization and the hardness of the continuum radiation. In particular the low-frequency lags can successfully be described by the pivoting power-law mechanism. 2 per cent rms variability in the continuum spectral index is sufficient to produce the observed time lags. This variability comes from the reasonable assumption that the temperature and the optical depth of the corona vary at 3 per cent rms.

(ii) Flux variations of the coronal emission cause time variations of the ionization level in the accretion disc. The variations in the reflected spectral shape are an additional source of time lags that can change features in the lag-energy spectrum. Depending on the accretion disc radial profile that we consider, the ionization time-variations can change the spectrum even more than 100 per cent

(iii) We found that using high-density accretion disc models when calculating the reflected emission change the shape of the lag-energy spectrum as compared to lower density models. We considered densities from 10^{15} to 10^{20} cm^{-3} and we found an enhancement of the reverberation lags below 1 keV when increasing the density. This effect is due to the quasi-thermal component of the reflected emission becoming more prominent at higher densities.

(iv) We fitted the lag-energy spectrum in multiple Fourier frequency ranges in one *NICER* observation of MAXI J1820+070 during the rise of the hard state as a proof of principle. The best fit shows how the different lag components of the model contribute at different time-scales. At low Fourier frequencies (below 2 Hz) the pivoting lags dominate the lag-energy spectrum, but the reverberation lags contribute to shape the lag-energy spectrum. At high Fourier frequencies (above 2 Hz) the reverberation lags due to light crossing time delays are dominant. The model well reproduces the shape of the lag spectra, with sensible values of the parameters.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the anonymous referee for the very helpful comments and suggestions. GM, JW, EK, and JAG acknowledge support from the NASA grant 80NSSC17K0515. AI acknowledges support from the Royal Society. MK thanks the support from NWO Spinoza. JAG thanks support from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. This work was partially supported under NASA contract No. NNG08FD60C.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The source code for the model described in this paper will soon be made publicly available in the form of an update to the RELTRANS model package (<https://adingram.bitbucket.io/reltrans.html>). The *NICER* observation is publicly available at the HEASOFT webpage.

REFERENCES

- Alston W. N. et al., 2019, *MNRAS*, 482, 2088
 Alston W. N. et al., 2020, *Nat. Astron.*, 4, 597
 Arévalo P., Uttley P., 2006, *MNRAS*, 367, 801
 Basak R., Zdziarski A. A., Parker M., Islam N., 2017, *MNRAS*, 472, 4220
 Bogdanov S. et al., 2019, *ApJ*, 887, L25
 Buisson D. J. K. et al., 2019, *MNRAS*, 490, 1350
 Caballero-García M. D., Papadakis I. E., Dovčiak M., Bursa M., Epitropakis A., Karas V., Svoboda J., 2018, *MNRAS*, 480, 2650
 Cackett E. M., Zoghbi A., Reynolds C., Fabian A. C., Kara E., Uttley P., Wilkins D. R., 2014, *MNRAS*, 438, 2980
 Campana S., Stella L., 1995, *MNRAS*, 272, 585
 Chainakun P., Young A. J., 2017, *MNRAS*, 465, 3965
 Chainakun P., Young A. J., Kara E., 2016, *MNRAS*, 460, 3076
 Dauser T., García J., Wilms J., Böck M., Brenneman L. W., Falanga M., Fukumura K., Reynolds C. S., 2013, *MNRAS*, 430, 1694
 Dauser T., García J., Walton D. J., Eikmann W., Kallman T., McClintock J., Wilms J., 2016, *A&A*, 590, A76
 De Marco B., Ponti G., Muñoz-Darias T., Nandra K., 2015, *ApJ*, 814, 50
 De Marco B. et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, 471, 1475
 Dexter J., Agol E., 2009, *ApJ*, 696, 1616
 Dovčiak M. et al., 2017, in Ness J.-U., Migliari S., eds, *The X-ray Universe 2017*. p. 266
 Dzielak M. A., Zdziarski A. A., Szanecki M., De Marco B., Niedźwiecki A., Markowitz A., 2019, *MNRAS*, 485, 3845
 Eardley D. M., Lightman A. P., Shapiro S. L., 1975, *ApJ*, 199, L153

⁶Wang et al. (2021) used a version of RELTRANS with high density, but without ionization time variations.

- Emmanoulopoulos D., Papadakis I. E., Dovčiak M., McHardy I. M., 2014, *MNRAS*, 439, 3931
- Fabian A. C., Rees M. J., Stella L., White N. E., 1989, *MNRAS*, 238, 729
- Fabian A. C. et al., 2009, *Nature*, 459, 540
- Frigo M., Johnson S., 2005, *Proc. IEEE*, 93, 216
- García J., Kallman T. R., 2010, *ApJ*, 718, 695
- García J., Dauser T., Reynolds C. S., Kallman T. R., McClintock J. E., Wilms J., Eikmann W., 2013, *ApJ*, 768, 146
- García J. et al., 2014, *ApJ*, 782, 76
- García J. A., Steiner J. F., McClintock J. E., Remillard R. A., Grinberg V., Dauser T., 2015, *ApJ*, 813, 84
- García J. A., Fabian A. C., Kallman T. R., Dauser T., Parker M. L., McClintock J. E., Steiner J. F., Wilms J., 2016, *MNRAS*, 462, 751
- Gendreau K. C. et al., 2016, in den Herder J.-W. A., Takahashi T., Bautz M., eds, *Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 9905, Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2016: Ultraviolet to Gamma Ray*. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 99051H
- George I. M., Fabian A. C., 1991, *MNRAS*, 249, 352
- Gierlinski M., Zdziarski A. A., Done C., Johnson W. N., Ebisawa K., Ueda Y., Haardt F., Philips B. F., 1997, *MNRAS*, 288, 958
- Haardt F., Maraschi L., 1993, *ApJ*, 413, 507
- Ingram A., van der Klis M., 2013, *MNRAS*, 434, 1476
- Ingram A., van der Klis M., Middleton M., Done C., Altamirano D., Heil L., Uttley P., Axelsson M., 2016, *MNRAS*, 461, 1967
- Ingram A., van der Klis M., Middleton M., Altamirano D., Uttley P., 2017, *MNRAS*, 464, 2979
- Ingram A., Mastroserio G., Dauser T., Hovenkamp P., van der Klis M., García J. A., 2019, *MNRAS*, 488, 324 (M19)
- Kallman T., Bautista M., Deprince J., Garcia J. A., Mendoza C., Ogorzalek A., Palmeri P., Quinet P., 2021, *ApJ*, 908, 94
- Kara E., Fabian A. C., Cackett E. M., Steiner J. F., Uttley P., Wilkins D. R., Zoghbi A., 2013, *MNRAS*, 428, 2795
- Kara E., Alston W. N., Fabian A. C., Cackett E. M., Uttley P., Reynolds C. S., Zoghbi A., 2016, *MNRAS*, 462, 511
- Kara E. et al., 2019, *Nature*, 565, 198
- Kawamuro T. et al., 2018, *Astron. Telegram*, 11399, 1
- Kotov O., Churazov E., Gilfanov M., 2001, *MNRAS*, 327, 799
- Lightman A. P., Zdziarski A. A., 1987, *ApJ*, 319, 643
- Lyubarskii Y. E., 1997, *MNRAS*, 292, 679
- Maccarone T. J., 2013, *MNRAS*, 435, 3547
- Maccarone T. J., Uttley P., van der Klis M., Wijnands R. A. D., Coppi P. S., 2011, *MNRAS*, 413, 1819
- McHardy I., 2010, in Belloni T., ed., *The Jet Paradigm. Lecture Notes in Physics*, Vol. 794, X-Ray Variability of AGN and Relationship to Galactic Black Hole Binary Systems. Springer, Berlin, p. 203
- Mahmoud R. D., Done C., De Marco B., 2019, *MNRAS*, 486, 2137
- Mastroserio G., Ingram A., van der Klis M., 2018, *MNRAS*, 475, 4027 (M18)
- Mastroserio G., Ingram A., van der Klis M., 2019, *MNRAS*, 488, 348 (M19)
- Mastroserio G., Ingram A., van der Klis M., 2020, *MNRAS*, 498, 4971
- McHardy I. M., Papadakis I. E., Uttley P., Page M. J., Mason K. O., 2004, *MNRAS*, 348, 783
- McHardy I. M., Arévalo P., Uttley P., Papadakis I. E., Summons D. P., Brinkmann W., Page M. J., 2007, *MNRAS*, 382, 985
- Miyamoto S., Kitamoto S., Mitsuda K., Dotani T., 1988, *Nature*, 336, 450
- Nowak M. A., Vaughan B. A., Wilms J., Dove J. B., Begelman M. C., 1999, *ApJ*, 510, 874
- Papadakis I. E., Nandra K., Kazanas D., 2001, *ApJ*, 554, L133
- Parker M. L. et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 808, 9
- Pawar P. K., Dewangan G. C., Papadakis I. E., Patil M. K., Pal M., Kembhavi A. K., 2017, *MNRAS*, 472, 2823
- Reynolds C. S., Young A. J., Begelman M. C., Fabian A. C., 1999, *ApJ*, 514, 164
- Ross R. R., Fabian A. C., 2005, *MNRAS*, 358, 211
- Shakura N. I., Sunyaev R. A., 1973, *A&A*, 24, 337
- Shaw A. W. et al., 2021, *ApJ*, 907, 34
- Thorne K. S., Price R. H., 1975, *ApJ*, 195, L101
- Uttley P., McHardy I. M., Vaughan S., 2005, *MNRAS*, 359, 345
- Uttley P., Wilkinson T., Cassatella P., Wilms J., Pottschmidt K., Hanke M., Böck M., 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, L60
- Uttley P., Cackett E. M., Fabian A. C., Kara E., Wilkins D. R., 2014, *A&A Rev.*, 22, 72
- Uttley P. et al., 2018, *Astron. Telegram*, 11423, 1
- Wang J. et al., 2021, *ApJ*, 910, L3
- Wilkins D. R., Fabian A. C., 2013, *MNRAS*, 430, 247
- Wilkins D. R., Cackett E. M., Fabian A. C., Reynolds C. S., 2016, *MNRAS*, 458, 200
- Wilkins D. R., García J. A., Dauser T., Fabian A. C., 2020, *MNRAS*, 498, 3302
- Yang X., Wang J., 2013, *ApJS*, 207, 6
- Zdziarski A. A., Johnson W. N., Magdziarz P., 1996, *MNRAS*, 283, 193
- Zdziarski A. A., Lubiński P., Gilfanov M., Revnivtsev M., 2003, *MNRAS*, 342, 355
- Zoghbi A. et al., 2014, *ApJ*, 789, 56

APPENDIX A: MCMC ANALYSIS

We performed MCMC analysis using the Goodman-Weare algorithm. We run four chains, using the `xspec` routine `chain`. The total length is 10^6 steps and we use 200 walkers. All the walkers start from the best-fitting parameters and we set the burn-in period to 5000 steps. Fig. A1 shows the corner plot for all the model parameters free to vary during the fit. The ϕ_A , ϕ_{AB} , and γ parameters are labelled with numbers from the lowest to the highest frequency range considered.




Figure A1. Corner plots for all the free model parameters in our best fit. The subscript to the pivoting parameters corresponds to the frequency ranges from lowest to highest.

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.