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Abstract  The editorial board of AGU Advances thanks the individuals who reviewed for the journal in 2021.

Plain Language Summary  Thank you to the 164 people who reviewed manuscripts for AGU Advances in 2021.

On behalf of the editors of AGU advances, I want to say a big thank you to the 164 people who provided reviews for AGU Advances in 2021, our second full year of publication. Peer-review is essential to the process of doing and publishing science, and our reviewers continue to help us define our new journal by indicating papers expected to have broad impact by advancing a discipline, providing novel results that cross disciplines, or are relevant for shaping policy.

All papers submitted to AGU Advances first go through an editorial consultation. We are committed to respecting reviewers' time and only send papers for review that the consulting editors agree meet our criteria. We also try to streamline the process by giving authors the option to transfer reviews if we decide the paper is better suited to another AGU journal.

As a relatively new journal, we still have few enough reviewers that we do not want to identify them by name. Nonetheless, you know who you are. Please accept our sincere thanks for generously sharing your expertise and working to improve AGU Advances.