CLAVATA3 is a specific regulator of shoot and floral meristem development affecting the same processes as CLAVATA1
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SUMMARY

We have previously described the phenotype of Arabidopsis thaliana plants with mutations at the CLAVATA1 (CLV1) locus (Clark, S. E., Running, M. P. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1993) Development 119, 397-418). Our investigations demonstrated that clv1 plants develop enlarged vegetative and inflorescence apical meristems, and enlarged and indeterminate floral meristems. Here, we present an analysis of mutations at a separate locus, CLAVATA3 (CLV3), that disrupt meristem development in a manner similar to clv1 mutations. clv3 plants develop enlarged apical meristems as early as the mature embryo stage. clv3 floral meristems are also enlarged compared with wild type, and maintain a proliferating meristem throughout flower development. clv3 root meristems are unaffected, indicating that CLV3 is a specific regulator of shoot and floral meristem development. We demonstrate that the strong clv3-2 mutant is largely epistatic to clv1 mutants, and that the semi-dominance of clv1 alleles is enhanced by double heterozygosity with clv3 alleles, suggesting that these genes work in the same pathway to control meristem development. We propose that CLV1 and CLV3 are required to promote the differentiation of cells at the shoot and floral meristem.
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INTRODUCTION

Meristems are central to higher plant development, as almost all post-embryonic organs, including roots, leaves, flowers and axillary meristems, are initiated by either shoot or root meristems. Two aspects of shoot meristem development are central to its function. First, the meristem must maintain a group of cells in the center that remain undifferentiated. The proliferation of these undifferentiated meristem cells is necessary to provide new cells for organ initiation. In Arabidopsis, for example, new organs are generated throughout the life span, requiring the plant to maintain a pool of undifferentiated cells from which to draw on for organ and new meristem initiation. Second, as the meristem apex moves away from these undifferentiated cells by continued cell division, the undifferentiated cells that are now on the flanks of the meristem must be allowed to enter a specific developmental pathway, such as leaf or flower development, leading to eventual differentiation. It is the balancing of these two features that allows for the continued growth of the meristem and its continuous initiation of new organs.

Proliferation of meristem cells balanced with their subsequent incorporation into organ primordia relates to the classical separation of the shoot meristem into a central zone (CZ) and surrounding peripheral zone (PZ; Brown et al., 1964; Vaughn, 1952; Vaughn and Jones, 1953). The CZ, at the very tip of the shoot meristem, would correspond to the region where cells are maintained in an undifferentiated state. In the PZ, daughter cells enter a specific developmental pathway and become incorporated into organ primordia. Molecular evidence corroborates this distinction. The floral meristem identity gene LEAFY is first expressed in subsets of cells in the PZ, presumably playing a role in directing those cells toward a floral fate (Weigel et al., 1992).

A number of mutants that disrupt meristem development have been described in Arabidopsis (Barton and Poethig, 1993; Clark et al., 1993; Komaki et al., 1988; Koornneef et al., 1983; Leyser and Furner, 1992; McKelvie, 1962; Medford et al., 1992). For this study, we focused on those genes that may play a specific role in the two processes outlined above, namely the maintenance of a pool of undifferentiated cells and the balance between the proliferation of these cells and their subsequent incorporation into organ primordia. The first Arabidopsis gene described in detail that may affect one of these two processes is CLV1 (Clark et al., 1993; Leyser and Furner, 1992). In our previous report (Clark et al., 1993), we demonstrated that clv1 mutations lead to an enlarged vegetative and inflorescence shoot meristem and an enlarged, indeterminate floral meristem. The fact that clv1 mutants develop a much larger pool of undifferentiated meristem cells than is found in wild type suggests that CLV1 might play a role in meristem development. A separate locus, CLV2, appears to exhibit similar mutant phenotypes based on brief descriptions (McKelvie, 1962; Koornneef et al., 1983). A gene required for the initiation of the embryonic meristem, SHOOT MERISTEMLESS (STM), has recently been described (Barton and Poethig, 1993). Plants
mutant for \textit{stm} never develop a shoot meristem. The rest of the germinating seedling (root, hypocotyl, cotyledons) appears normal, but no new organs develop between the two cotyledons, because no meristem is present. While \textit{STM} is required for the initiation of a meristem, it is unknown whether it is normally required for its continued maintenance. The homeobox genes \textit{KNOTTED1} from maize (Sinha et al., 1993; Smith et al., 1992), and the similar \textit{OSH1} from rice (Matsuoka et al., 1993) are both thought to be involved in shoot meristem development. Their role has been deduced from mRNA and protein expression patterns, and ectopic expression in other plant species. Expression of \textit{KNOTTED1} coincides with regions of undifferentiated cells, largely in the shoot and floral meristems (Smith et al., 1992). In the shoot meristem, \textit{KNOTTED1} is expressed in the center of the meristem, but not in leaf primordia. \textit{KNOTTED1} is initially expressed throughout the floral meristem, but sequentially suppressed as organ primordia initiate. Ectopic expression of \textit{KNOTTED1} causes a variety of defects including shortened, thickened leaves, additional cell divisions around the vasculature, shortened plants, and in the most extreme cases, formation of ectopic shoots from the leaves (Sinha et al., 1993). All of this suggests that \textit{KNOTTED1} may play a role in maintaining cells in an undifferentiated state in maize. Overexpression of \textit{OSH1} from rice causes many similar phenotypes in tobacco (Kanomurakami et al., 1993).

Here we present an analysis of mutations at the \textit{CLAVATA3} (\textit{CLV3}) locus in \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana}. \textit{clv3} mutant plants are similar in phenotype to \textit{clv1} mutants (Clark et al., 1993). We provide evidence that \textit{clv3} shoot apical meristems (SAMs) are enlarged compared to wild type in the mature embryo. \textit{clv3} SAMs continue to enlarge throughout vegetative and inflorescence development, often becoming 1000-fold larger than wild type. \textit{clv3}-2 floral

---

**Fig. 1.** Wild-type and \textit{clv3} inflorescence apical meristems. Wild-type Landsberg (A), \textit{clv3}-2 (B) and \textit{clv3}-1 (C-F) inflorescences were prepared as described and observed under SEM (see Materials and methods). For each inflorescence, older flowers were removed to reveal the shoot apical meristem (SAM) and young flowers. SAMs of \textit{clv3}-2 (B) plants massively over-proliferate compared with wild-type (inset in B shows wild-type SAM at the same magnification). SAMs of \textit{clv3}-1 plants exhibit less dramatic line fasciation (C) or limited three-dimensional enlargement (D, E,F). SAMs of \textit{clv3}-1 plants shortly after the transition to flowering (approx. 16 days after germination) revealing meristems that will likely fasciate (E) or enlarge in a three-dimensional fashion (F). Bars, 100 \textmu m (B), and 10 \textmu m for all other panels.
meristems are enlarged at the earliest stages of organ initiation and maintain an expanding pool of undifferentiated, proliferating meristematic cells throughout flower development. An examination of clv3 roots reveal no differences from wild type, demonstrating that CLV3 is a specific regulator of shoot and floral meristem development. We find genetic interactions between clv1 and clv3 mutants, suggesting that CLV1 and CLV3 function in the same pathway in their control of meristem development. Further evidence indicates that the CLV1 gene product may act as a multimer, and functions closely with CLV3. Finally, we present a model for the action of CLV1 and CLV3 in their regulation of meristem development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

For all planting, seeds were sown on a 5-10 mm covering of Redi-earth peat-lite mix over a 1:1:1 mixture by volume of perlite:vermiculite:soil. Sown seeds were placed at 4°C for 6 days and then placed under lights. All plants were grown under 600 ft-candles of constant cool white fluorescent light at 23-25°C. Plants were fertilized approximately every 7 days. Seeds were sown at a maximum density of 1 seed per 4 cm².

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed as described by Bowman et al. (1989). Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) of flowers was performed as described previously by Clark et al. (1993). For microscopy of embryos, a modified procedure was developed (Running et al., 1995). Mature dry seeds were incubated overnight at 4°C in 15% ethanol. Seed coats were manually dissected from the embryo. Embryos were then stained, rinsed and cleared in an identical fashion to floral tissue. Note that no fixation was performed. For root cross-sections, roots were washed and embedded in agarose before hand sectioning and staining with fluorescent brightener 28 (Sigma).

SEM images on 35 mm negatives were digitized using a Nikon Coolscan. Digitized SEM images and converted CLSM images were combined in Adobe Photoshop where brightness and contrast were adjusted. Images were printed with a Kodak XLS8300 color printer.

clv3 affects shoot apical meristem size throughout development

The inflorescence meristems of both clv3-1 and clv3-2 were enlarged compared to wild type (Fig. 1). Under optimal growing conditions (see Materials and Methods), the apical meristems of plants homozygous for clv3-2 massively over-proliferated and were clearly visible with the naked eye (Fig.

**RESULTS**

We have investigated two clv3 alleles; both alleles were isolated by David Smyth. clv3-1 was identified during an ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) mutagenesis of Landsberg erecta seeds. clv3-2 was identified during a gamma-irradiation mutagenesis of Landsberg erecta seeds. Each allele was back-crossed three times to Landsberg erecta before analysis (see Materials and methods).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>SAM width (µm)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean L1 cells</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>29 ± 3</td>
<td>21 - 35</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clv3-2</td>
<td>40 ± 6</td>
<td>33 - 61</td>
<td>9.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Wild-type and clv3-2 embryonic shoot apical meristems. Wild-type Landsberg and clv3-2 seeds were imbibed overnight in 15% ethanol at 4 °C, and prepared for CLSM with a nuclear stain, propidium iodide (see Materials and methods). Optical sections of wild-type (A,C) and clv3-2 (B) embryos reveal apical meristem cells that are small and brightly staining, and positioned between the base of the two cotyledons (cot). The shoot apical meristems (SAM) of clv3-2 plants are consistently larger than those of wild type. Bar 25 µm (A and B are at same magnification). hyp, hypocotyl; RM, root meristem. (D) Wild-type and clv3-2 embryonic SAM widths. Wild-type Landsberg and clv3-2 seeds were prepared as described and analyzed by CLSM (see Materials and methods). Measurements of the width of the SAM were taken from optical sections through the center of the SAM of embryos in the orientation shown in C. The mean width of wild-type and clv3-2 embryonic SAMs are presented, and the standard deviation for each mean is indicated. SAM cells were defined as small, brightly staining cells between the base of the cotyledons, and were easily distinguishable from surrounding cells. The number of cells in the L1 layer of the SAM in the central optical section was also determined. The number of seeds analyzed for each mean is indicated under n.
When compared with *clvl-4*, which is the strongest reported allele of *clvl* (Clark et al., 1993), *clv3-2* was similar in the extent of meristem over-proliferation. *clv3-1* exhibited inflorescence meristem enlargement to a lesser degree (Fig. 1C-F). *clv3-1* plants displayed line fasciation (where the meristem is transformed from a point into a line), limited three-dimensional over-proliferation, or a combination of both. This indicates that *clv3-1* is stronger in phenotype than *clvl-1* (which only exhibits line fasciation; Clark et al., 1993), but weaker in phenotype than *clv3-2* or *clvl-4*.

We sought to determine the earliest developmental stage at which *clv3* affected shoot apical meristem size. Examinations of *clv3-1* and *clv3-2* plants prior to flowering revealed that *clv3* affects shoot apical meristem size throughout vegetative development (data not shown; see also Griffith, 1994). We then examined the shoot apical meristems of mature embryos by confocal scanning laser microscopy (see Materials and methods; Fig. 2C). As shown in Fig. 2, the shoot apical meristems (SAM) of the *clv3-2* embryos were larger than those of wild-type embryos. The average SAM size for *clv3-2* embryos is a third larger than that of wild type and has a correspondingly larger number of cells in the L1 layer as seen in central optical sections through the meristem (Fig. 2D). Thus, while the *clv3-2* SAM is 30% larger in a single dimension compared to wild type at germination, it continues to enlarge compared to wild type as development continues. As plant growth slows in conjunction with leaf senescence, our observations indicate that *clv3-2* SAM enlargement also slows or stops (data not shown).

**clv3** floral meristems are larger throughout their development

We have examined the *clv3* floral meristem throughout development (Fig. 3). The first organ initiation events are at stage 3 (all stages according to Smyth et al., 1990), when sepals arise on the flanks of the floral meristem (Fig. 3A,B). At this stage, the average height (from the interior base of the medial sepals to the tip of the floral meristem) of *clv3-2* floral meristems (30 μm) is more than twice that of wild type (12 μm) (Table 1). Similarly to *clvl* stage 3 floral meristems (Clark et al., 1993), the *clv3-2* floral meristems, while being much taller, are only slightly wider than wild type on average. As the flowers continue to develop, *clv3-2* flowers initiate carpels as a ring of organs around a still-proliferating central dome (Fig. 3C-F). This dome will continue to develop, giving rise to additional organs, and to a large mass of meristem tissue (Fig. 4). The organs that are initiated on the flanks of this central dome later develop into carpels that are interior to the gynoecium formed by the whorl 4 carpels. The meristem region will often proliferate to such an extent that it will grow through the gynoecium (Fig. 4C,D). Also, in the most severely affected *clv3-2* flowers, there is often elongation of the floral stem between the attachment site of whorl 1, 2 and 3 organs (sepals, petal and stamens), and the base of the carpels (cf. Fig. 4D and E).

The larger *clv3* floral meristem develops into a flower with additional organs in each whorl (Fig. 5). *clv3-2* mutants are more affected than *clv3-1*. Stamen and carpel number are more dramatically increased than sepal and petal number in *clv3* flowers.
**clv3 roots are unaffected**

Because *CLV3* plays a role in controlling meristem cell proliferation in both shoot and floral meristems, we sought to determine whether *CLV3* plays a general role in cell division control, or a more specific role in shoot and floral meristem development. We therefore examined a separate region of active cell division, the root meristem. Root meristems are postulated to have a fundamentally different structure than shoot or floral meristems, with specific sets of initials proposed to give rise to individual cell types (Dolan et al., 1993). In addition, the root meristem does not initiate lateral organs in the same fashion as the shoot or floral meristem. Thus, we hypothesized that if *CLV3* plays a specific role in shoot- and floral-type meristem development then the *clv3* roots would be unaffected. If, however, *CLV3* plays a general role in cell division control, then the *clv3* root meristem should be disrupted.

We examined the root tip and root shaft of 21-day old wild-type and *clv3-2* plants under CLSM (Fig. 6A–D). We also analyzed cross-sections from 8-day old wild-type and *clv3-2* plants (Fig. 6E,F). We observed no difference in the organization of initials and the root tip, nor any changes in the number of cell layers at the root shaft (Fig. 6). Layers corresponding to the epidermis, cortex, endodermis and pericycle in the exterior, and 5-6 layers of procambial cells in the interior were observed in both wild-type and *clv3-2* roots (Fig. 6). While

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Width (µm)</th>
<th>Height (µm)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wild-type</td>
<td>49±6</td>
<td>12±3</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clv3-2</td>
<td>60±6</td>
<td>31±7</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Floral meristem sizes at stage 3

Stage 3 wild-type and *clv3-2* flowers were analyzed by CLSM and 6 µm paraffin sections. All measurements were based on central floral meristem sections through the medial sepals. Width measurements were taken between the base of the medial sepal primordia. Height measurements were taken from the inner base of the medial sepal primordia to the top of the flower. The mean width and height of wild-type and *clv3-2* flowers are presented, and the standard deviation for each mean is indicated. The number of flowers analyzed for each mean is indicated under *n*.

**Fig. 4.** Late development of *clv3-2* flowers. *clv3-2* flowers (A,B) were prepared for CLSM as described. *clv3-2* (C,D) and wild-type Landsberg (E) siliques were prepared for SEM as described. Optical sections of flowers of stages 9 through 12 reveal that the central dome in *clv3-2* flowers (arrow) continues to proliferate and continues to generate additional organs on its flank (A,B). In *clv3-2* siliques this meristem dome can grow through the carpel walls, breaking the gynoecium open (C, top view; D, side view). This meristem region is composed of undifferentiated cells and patches of stigmatic tissue. In some *clv3-2* flowers, there is elongation between the base of the carpels and the site of attachment of whorl 1, 2 and 3 organs compared with wild type. ca, carpel; st, stamen; ov, ovule; *, site of attachment of whorl 1, 2 and 3 organs. Bar, 50 µm (A,B); 100 µm (C,E) and 200 µm (D).
subtle differences may have gone unnoticed, the dramatic changes seen in the structure of clv3-2 shoot and floral meristems are not seen in the root.

**clv1 and clv3 mutants display genetic interactions**

Because clv1 and clv3 mutants both result in similar phenotypes, we sought to test whether they demonstrate any genetic interactions. We first analyzed clv1 clv3 double mutant plants. We hypothesized that if CLV1 and CLV3 work in the same pathway to control meristem development, then clv1 clv3 double mutant plants should have a phenotype similar to a strong clv1 or clv3 single mutant. If, however, CLV1 and CLV3 work in different pathways to control meristem development, then a clv1 clv3 double mutant might display novel or exaggerated phenotypes. To confirm the successful construction of clv1 clv3 double mutant plants, each potential double mutant was backcrossed to both clv1 and clv3.

All clv1 clv3 double mutant plants, including those constructed with weaker alleles, were similar in phenotype to clv1-4 and clv3-2 single mutants plants (Figs 5 and 7, Table 2). Only the clv1-1 clv3-1 double mutant displayed a distinctive phenotype. clv1-1 single mutants develop approximately 50% more leaves than wild-type plants over the same period of time (7.9±1.2 rosette leaves for wild type versus 12.6±1.7 for clv1-1). This increase in leaf number was enhanced in the clv1-1 clv3-1 double mutant.

A second method for investigating CLV1 and CLV3 interactions was to analyze clv1+/ clv3+ double heterozygotes.

---

**Fig. 5.** The number of organs in wild-type, clv3 and clv1 clv3 double mutant flowers. Bars represent the mean number of indicated organs for flowers of wild-type Landsberg, clv3-1, clv3-2, clv3-1 clv1-1, clv3-1 clv1-4, and clv3-1 clv1-5 plants. At least 100 flowers were counted for each mean calculated. Only the first ten flowers of any given plant were analyzed. Standard deviations are indicated by vertical black lines. The dotted horizontal lines represent the normal wild-type organ number. Note that the upper limit of the mean plus standard deviation for the number of stamens for wild-type flowers exceeds six due to flowers with 4 and 5 stamens, but no wild-type flowers were observed with more than six stamens. Whorl 2 and 3 organs that developed as filamentous organs (see text) were included in the counts of petals and stamens, respectively.

---

**Table 2. clv1 clv3 double mutant phenotypes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLV3 GENOTYPE</th>
<th>CLV1 GENOTYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>clv1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wild-type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clv1-1 clv3-1</td>
<td>Intmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clv1-1 clv3-2</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The phenotypes of clv1 and clv3 single mutants and clv1 clv3 double mutants (all homozygous) are indicated. Phenotype classification is based on the extent of shoot meristem proliferation, the number of floral organs, and the extent of floral indeterminacy. ND, not determined; Intmed, intermediate phenotype.
Because clv1 is semi-dominant, we hypothesized that if CLV1 and CLV3 function together, then the semi-dominance of clv1 might be enhanced by the addition of a heterozygous clv3 allele. Various clv1 and clv3 alleles were crossed to wild-type Landsberg and to each other. The resulting F1s were planted, and the number of organs on 100 flowers of each genetic combination were counted. Table 3A presents the number of flowers for each genetic combination with gynoecia composed of two carpels, three carpels or four carpels. Sepal and petal number were not affected in clv+/+ plants, and stamen number was only slightly affected (data not shown). For clv1/+/+ plants, those with the clv1-1 and clv1-5 alleles exhibited the strongest dominance, despite the fact that these alleles are not the strongest alleles when homozygous, suggesting some type of dominant interference. clv3-1 was recessive, and the semi-dominance of clv3-2 was barely detectable. For plants doubly heterozygous, the effect of the added clv3 allele was compared to plants heterozygous for clv1 only (Table 3B). χ² tests indicate that clv3-1 and clv3-2 both significantly enhanced the semi-dominance of clv1/+ plants.

**Unusual organs**

clv1 and clv3 mutant plants can develop unusual organs (data not shown). Occasionally filamentous organs replace stamens or, rarely, petals. This filament substitution occurs most commonly in the adaxial positions, less commonly in the abaxial positions, and rarely in lateral positions. The inflorescence apical meristems of strong clv mutants (clv1-4, clv3-2 and clv1 clv3 double mutants) occasionally develop reduced flowers and filamentous organs. The reduced flowers have 2-4 sepals and few or no internal organs. The filamentous organs are similar to those seen in clv1-4 lfy mutants (Clark et al., 1993).

**DISCUSSION**

We have investigated the effects of mutations at the CLV3 locus on Arabidopsis thaliana development. The effects of clv3 appear to be limited to shoot and floral meristem development, with root meristem development unaffected. clv1 clv3 double mutant plants are similar in phenotype to clv1 and clv3 strong allele single mutant plants. In addition, the semi-dominance of clv1 alleles is enhanced by heterozygosity at the clv3 locus.

**CLV3 is a specific regulator of shoot and floral meristem development**

We observed that the clv3-2 shoot apical meristem (SAM) is larger than wild type in the mature embryo and continues to enlarge compared to wild type such that the clv3-2 inflorescence SAM can become 1000-fold larger (in volume) than a similar stage wild-type inflorescence SAM. The direct result of a clv3 mutation is to increase the number of undifferentiated cells at the shoot meristem. While wild-type Arabidopsis thaliana has one of the smallest shoot apical meristems known among the flowering plants, the shoot apical meristems of clv3-2 mutants are larger than almost all known shoot meristems among flowering plants (Gifford and Corson, 1971). This implies that the role of wild-type CLV3 is to regulate the pool of meristematic cells in the wild-type shoot meristem.

clv3 floral meristems (FM) are also enlarged compared to wild type. The clv3 FM not only undergoes additional cell proliferation in early stages compared to wild type, but also maintains a continually enlarging meristem. The wild-type FM, however, fails to maintain a pool of meristematic cells, as the SAM does, and as a result is a determinate structure. In this sense, the clv3 FM is fundamentally different from the wild-type FM: it maintains a pool of meristematic cells and is indeterminate. These observations suggest that one role of CLV3 is in restriction and eventual elimination of meristematic cellular proliferation in the wild-type floral meristem.

**Table 3. Phenotypes of clv1/clv3 double heterozygotes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>clv1-clv3</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>clv1-1</th>
<th>clv1-4</th>
<th>clv1-5</th>
<th>clv1-6</th>
<th>clv1-7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2:</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2:</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3:</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3:</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4:</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4:</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2:</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2:</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3:</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3:</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4:</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4:</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2:</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2:</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3:</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3:</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4:</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4:</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

100 FLOWERS ← NUMBER OF FLOWERS WITH 2, 3 OR 4 CARPELS

Plants homozygous for various clv1 alleles were crossed to wild-type or clv3 homozygous plants. In addition, clv3 plants were crossed to wild type. (A) For each cross, F1 seeds were planted and 100 flowers of the resulting plants were counted. Each box represents the number of those 100 flowers with gynoecia composed of two carpels (2), three carpels (3) or four carpels (4). (B) The counts in A are combined for all clv1 alleles in a CLV3, clv3-1/+ and clv3-2/+ backgrounds to determine the effect of clv3 heterozygosity in clv1/+ plants. χ² tests (DF = 2) were then performed to determine if the differences between clv3-1/+ and CLV3, and the differences between clv3-2/+ and CLV3 were significant. P values for both comparisons were <0.001, and Fisher’s Exact Test (2 tail) P values were 8.27E-07 (for clv3-1/) and 3.27E-15 (for clv3-2/+).
Fig. 6. Analysis of *clv3* roots. Roots from 21-day old wild-type (A,C) and *clv3-2* (B,D) roots were prepared for CLSM. Optical sections through root tips (A,B) and root shafts (C,D) are shown. (E,F) Hand cut sections of 8-day old wild-type and *clv3-2* roots are also shown (see Materials and methods). No differences were observed between the *clv3-2* root tips (C) and those of wild type (A). The *clv3-2* root shafts (D,F) had identical cell layers and cell numbers as the wild-type root shafts (C,E). Because root shaft images were taken at a variable distance from the tip, variations in root shaft thickness were observed. Bar, 25 µm (A-D).

Fig. 7. *clv1 clv3* double mutant plants. Scanning electron micrographs of shoot apical meristems of *clv3-1 clv1-1*, *clv3-1 clv1-4* and *clv3-2 clv1-4* plants. The shoot meristem is the large mass of cells in the center of each inflorescence. Note that all double mutants have massive over-proliferation of the shoot meristem similar to *clv3-2* (Fig. 1B) and *clv1-4* (Clark et al., 1993) single mutants. Bar, 100 µm.
One question we wished to address was whether CLV3 plays a specific role in shoot and floral meristem development, or whether it is a more general regulator of cell division patterns. In an attempt to distinguish between these two possibilities, we examined another region of active cell division: the root meristem (RM). We hypothesized that if CLV3 is generally involved in cell division control, then the clv3 roots would be severely affected, because the root appears to rely on coordinated cell division patterns in the different sets of initials (Dolan et al., 1993). If, however, CLV3 is a specific regulator of shoot and floral meristem development, then we would predict that the clv3 root would be unaffected. In examination of clv3-2 and wild-type roots, we found no difference in the clv3 roots, supporting the notion that CLV3 is a specific regulator of shoot and floral meristem development.

Another gene hypothesized to play a regulatory role in meristem development, KNOTTED1 from maize, is expressed in both the shoot and floral meristem, but not in the root meristem (Smith et al., 1992). In addition, stm mutants of Arabidopsis fail to form a shoot meristem, but the root meristem develops normally (Barton and Poethig, 1993). This raises the possibility that the root meristem development is controlled by a different set of genes, and is regulated independently of the shoot meristem.

CLV1 and CLV3 closely interact to affect the same processes
clv1 clv3 double mutants are identical in phenotype to strong clv1 or clv3 single mutants. The simplest interpretation is that CLV1 and CLV3 work in the same pathway: a strong homozygous clv1 mutation eliminates the functioning of that pathway so that a further mutation at clv3 has no effect, and vice versa. Analysis of clv1 and clv3 semi-dominance provides evidence that these genes function together in this pathway. clv1-1, clv1-4 and clv1-5 alleles have a detectable semi-dominant phenotype (Table 3). Curiously, the clv1 allele that has the strongest phenotype when homozygous (clv1-4) has a weaker semi-dominant phenotype than two alleles (clv1-1 and clv1-5) that are weaker in phenotype when homozygous (Fig. 8). This suggests that the CLV1 gene product may act as a
multimer and that the weaker alleles, when heterozygous, exhibit dominant interference. A possible scenario for this is shown in Figure 8. Here the CLV1 protein has been hypothesized to act as a dimer. Underneath each genotype is shown the amount of CLV1 dimer that would be formed, and the resulting level of activity. This level of activity mirrors the phenotype seen for each genotype.

Further analysis of clv1clv3 double heterozygotes revealed that clv3 heterozygosity increased the dominant phenotype of the clv1 heterozygotes. Thus, the level of activity of CLV1 is very sensitive to the level of activity of CLV3. This suggests that these genes function closely together, perhaps by forming a heteromultimer or acting in adjacent steps in a linear pathway.

A more complicated interpretation is that CLV1 and CLV3 function in parallel pathways that converge on the same point. This scenario, however, would require that each of the two pathways in question have no function in the absence of the other (i.e., CLV1 has no function in a clv3 mutant). In addition, each pathway could not have independent branch points, because clv1 and clv3 mutants have no independent phenotypes. Finally, CLV1 and CLV3 would have to function near enough to the endpoints to provide the dosage sensitivity seen in the clv1 clv3 double heterozygous plants. In either case, CLV1 and CLV3 exert their influence on the same process in meristem development.

**Model for CLV action**

We have developed a preliminary model for CLV (CLV1 and CLV3) action, based on the observation that CLV acts to regulate the amount of undifferentiated cells in both the shoot and floral meristem. As shown in Fig. 9, we postulate that there is a meristem-promoting activity (MPA) in shoot meristems and young flowers that maintains cells in a proliferative, undifferentiated state. We propose that CLV acts to restrict this MPA to the center of the shoot meristem, so that cells on the flanks of the meristem can enter a specific developmental pathway. It is in this flank region, for example, that LEAFY is first expressed, sending cells down a floral developmental pathway (Weigel et al., 1992). Similarly, in the young floral meristem there is a meristem-promoting activity (not necessarily the same MPA as in the shoot) that provides for floral meristem proliferation. This floral MPA is first restricted, then eliminated, by the action of the CLV loci. In the clv shoot meristem, high levels of MPA extend to the flanks of the shoot meristem, preventing these cells from entering a specific developmental pathway. Thus, the cells on the flanks of the shoot meristem become part of the meristem, enlarging it. This is reiterated, leading to the 1000-fold larger clv shoot meristem. In the young clv floral meristem (stages 1-3), failure to properly restrict the MPA leads to a larger floral meristem because of additional meristem activity. As the clv flowers develop, the MPA is not eliminated from the floral meristem, so a pool of undifferentiated cells continues to proliferate throughout flower development.

Indirect evidence that supports the model comes from examination of cells in the center of the clv flower versus cells in the center of the wild-type flower. The model would predict that clv flowers are not simply larger than wild type, but that the MPA present in the center of the clv flower would make these cells qualitatively different. A test of this difference might be to examine AGAMOUS expression. AGAMOUS is a floral organ identity gene responsible for the correct development of the stamens and carpels (Bowman et al., 1989; Yanofsky et al., 1990). AGAMOUS is expressed throughout the center of the flower beginning at stage 3, and continues to be expressed in stamen and carpel primordia during their initiation and differentiation (Drews et al., 1991). The model would predict that in the center of clv flowers high MPA would retain the cells in an undifferentiated state, and thus prevent the expression of a specific developmental regulator. Indeed, previous experiments have indicated that AGAMOUS is not expressed in the center of clv1 flowers, and is not expressed in the center of the meristem dome as late as stage 12 (Clark et al., 1993). AGAMOUS expression is similarly altered in clv3 flowers (S. E. C. and E. M. M., unpublished data). Thus cells in the center of clv flowers are qualitatively different from those in the center of a wild-type flower.

A second possibility, which is not mutually exclusive with the one outlined above, is that CLV acts as a molecular ‘switch’ in cells of the shoot meristem. CLV might be present in all cells of the shoot meristem, and be responsible for detecting that the shoot apex has grown further away and/or that adjacent basal cells have begun to differentiate. This signal, perhaps transmitted via cell-to-cell communication, would allow the cells to enter a specific developmental pathway. Thus CLV would act to promote the switch of cells from an undifferentiated toward a differentiated state in both the shoot and floral meristem.

Finally, CLV1 and CLV3 could also act in the center of the shoot, instead of at the flanks as proposed in the first model. CLV1 and CLV3 might act to restrict the rate of division of cells in the center of the shoot meristem, so that in clv mutants, these cells would divide faster, providing for a larger pool of undifferentiated cells. However, it is difficult to explain the floral phenotype with this model. If the larger clv floral meristem is simply the result of additional cell division, then why would cells in the center not express AGAMOUS? and why would they fail to differentiate?

At this stage it is difficult to distinguish between these models. Identification of the CLV1 and CLV3 genes and examination of their expression patterns may shed light on this question.
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