

Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos in Two Coupled Nanomechanical Resonators

R. B. Karabalin, M. C. Cross, and M. L. Roukes
*Department of Physics and Kavli Nanoscience Institute,
California Institute of Technology, Pasadena CA 91125*
(Dated: October 24, 2018)

Two elastically coupled nanomechanical resonators driven independently near their resonance frequencies show intricate nonlinear dynamics. The dynamics provide a scheme for realizing a nanomechanical system with tunable frequency and nonlinear properties. For large vibration amplitudes the system develops spontaneous oscillations of amplitude modulation that also show period doubling transitions and chaos. The complex nonlinear dynamics are quantitatively predicted by a simple theoretical model.

PACS numbers: 05.45.-a, 62.25.-g, 85.85.+j

Resonant nanoelectromechanical systems (NEMS) [1] are attracting interest in a broad variety of research areas and for many possible applications due to their remarkable combination of properties: small mass, high operating frequency, large quality factor, and easily accessible nonlinearity [2]. Further development of NEMS applications such as superior mass [3], force [4] and charge [5] sensors, or reaching the quantum limit of detection in mechanical systems [6], requires addressing several important challenges. For example, the nonlinearity of the devices has to be either minimized or utilized for improving performance [7]. In addition, the large-scale integration of nanodevices demands a detailed understanding of the behavior of coupled devices in NEMS arrays [8, 9, 10, 11].

In this paper we demonstrate complex nonlinear behavior of a pair of coupled nanomechanical devices, and show that this can be quantitatively understood from the basic physics of the devices. We show that the linear and weakly nonlinear response of one oscillation can be modified by driving the second oscillation, and, for some ranges of parameters of the devices, that the linear response range of the first oscillation can be significantly extended. When both oscillations are driven into their strongly nonlinear range more complicated frequency-sweep response curves are found, corresponding to the well known bistability of driven anharmonic “Duffing” resonators, but now with switching between a variety of different stable states of the coupled pair. Spontaneous amplitude modulation oscillations may develop, with frequencies characteristic of the dissipation rates rather than of the intrinsic frequencies or their sums and differences. These amplitude modulations show period doubling bifurcations and chaos. The complex dynamics are reproduced quantitatively by a simple theoretical model, giving us confidence that the nonlinear behavior of coupled nanomechanical devices can be understood and controlled.

We study a system of two strongly coupled nonlinear nanoelectromechanical resonators using a structure of doubly-clamped beams with a shared mechanical ledge shown in Fig. 1a. The devices consist of a stack of three

layers of gallium arsenide (GaAs): a 100nm highly n-doped layer, a 50nm insulating layer, and another 50nm layer that is highly p-doped. The piezoelectric property of GaAs results in a highly efficient integrated actuation mechanism described in [12]. A preliminary 120nm deep etch step is done to isolate the actuation electrodes of the two beams, so that the two beams can be addressed separately while retaining strong elastic coupling. Optical interferometry is used for the motion transduction [13]. The laser beam is adjusted so that both beams are in the illuminated spot.

We model the behavior of the two strongly interacting nonlinear resonators by a system of coupled equations of motion for the beam displacements x_1, x_2 in their fundamental modes

$$\ddot{x}_1 + \gamma_1 \dot{x}_1 + \omega_1^2 x_1 + \alpha_1 x_1^3 + D(x_1 - x_2) = g_{D1}(t), \quad (1a)$$

$$\ddot{x}_2 + \gamma_2 \dot{x}_2 + \omega_2^2 x_2 + \alpha_2 x_2^3 + D(x_2 - x_1) = g_{D2}(t). \quad (1b)$$

As well as the usual terms describing the resonant frequencies, damping, and Duffing nonlinearity, we include a linear coupling term in the displacements of strength D . The terms on the right hand side are the external drives applied to the two beams, which are controlled independently. The linear terms in the equations, ignoring for now the drive and dissipation, give two modes with frequencies ω_I and ω_{II} and corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{e}_I, \mathbf{e}_{II}$ [14]. The frequency difference of the modes results from both the intrinsic frequency difference $\omega_1 - \omega_2$ and the coupling D .

To investigate the behavior of the system, the two beams are connected to two different sources with independent frequencies and amplitudes. The monitored output variables are the amplitudes and phases of a linear combination of the mechanical displacements of the two beams at or near the two drive frequencies [21]. By applying various combinations of small amplitude signals to the two beams at frequencies near the mode resonances, the linear coupling parameters can be determined. For the particular device shown in Fig. 1a the mode frequencies are determined to be $\omega_I/2\pi = 16.79$ MHz and $\omega_{II}/2\pi = 17.25$ MHz and the eigenvectors




FIG. 1: (color online) (a) SEM image of the system (beam dimensions: $6\mu\text{m} \times 500\text{nm} \times 200\text{nm}$). (b) Up (dark, blue) and down (light, yellow) frequency sweeps of the amplitude $|A|$ of the response for a single drive of strength 4.3 times critical as a function of the frequency relative to the linear resonance frequency ω_0 and scaled by the width γ . The amplitude is plotted in units of the maximum amplitude at the critical drive strength. (c) Frequency shift of the weakly driven first mode as a function of the displacement amplitude $|A_{II}|$ of the strongly driven second mode: points and solid line – experiment; dashed line – small amplitude theory.

$\mathbf{e}_I = (0.854, 0.521)$ and $\mathbf{e}_{II} = (-0.521, 0.854)$. The frequencies of the individual resonators determined from inverting the mode equations are $\omega_1/2\pi = 16.71$ MHz and $\omega_2/2\pi = 16.92$ MHz. The frequency separation of 200 kHz is consistent with the fabrication tolerance. The coupling strength $\sqrt{D}/2\pi = 2.63$ MHz is consistent with the strength of the elastic coupling found by finite element simulations of similar devices [15]. Transforming the measured width of the modes back to the original equations determines the values of γ_1, γ_2 . The nonlinear parameters α_1, α_2 are deduced from the expression for the geometric nonlinearity [7] using the beam thickness known from the fabrication and lengths calculated from the beam frequencies ω_1, ω_2 and the material constants [22].

The response of the system driven near resonance and for small dissipation and driving can be calculated from (1) using the standard methods of secular perturbation theory [2]. This approach has previously used for the case of parametrically driven nanomechanical devices [9, 10, 11], where hysteretic switches between different stable states in frequency sweeps were also predicted. Briefly, we introduce the slowly varying complex mode amplitudes A_I, A_{II} and forces F_I, F_{II} using $x_{[I]} = \text{Re}(A_{[I]}e^{i\omega_{[I]}t})$ and $g_{D[I]} = \text{Re}(F_{[I]}(t)e^{i\omega_{[I]}t})$ (where $[I]$ stands for either I or II), substitute into the equations of motion, and retain only the near resonant terms. This reduces the equations of motion to

$$2i\omega_I\dot{A}_I + i\omega_I\gamma_I A_I + \alpha_I |A_I|^2 A_I + \beta_I |A_{II}|^2 A_I = F_I(t), \quad (2)$$

and a corresponding equation for A_{II} . The mode nonlin-

earity parameters $\alpha_{[I]}$ and $\beta_{[I]}$ are calculated from α_1, α_2 and the eigenvectors $\mathbf{e}_I, \mathbf{e}_{II}$ so that all the parameters in (2) are known from linear measurements and the beam geometry and material constants.

We first look at the case where each mode responds at the drive frequency $\omega_{D[I]}$ which is set near the resonant frequency $\omega_{[I]}$ so that $A_{[I]} \propto e^{i(\omega_{D[I]} - \omega_{[I]})t}$, with

$$|A_I|^2 = \frac{|F_I|^2}{[2\omega_I(\omega_{DI} - \omega_I) - \alpha_I |A_I|^2 - \beta_I |A_{II}|^2]^2 + \omega_I^2 \gamma_I^2}, \quad (3)$$

etc. For a single drive (e.g. $A_{II} = F_{II} = 0$), so that the cross-mode nonlinear coupling proportional to $\beta_{[I]}$ is not involved, this expression reproduces the regular Duffing response curve [16]. Prominent features are the shift of the frequency of the maximum response to larger values for positive α (nonlinear spring stiffening), and bistability and hysteresis that develop above a critical drive strength. An experimental example of upward and downward frequency sweeps for a drive strength 4.3 times critical is shown in Fig. 1b.




FIG. 2: (color online) Frequency sweeps of the first mode response for increasing amplitudes of the second mode: the dark (blue) lines are for upward sweeps; the light (yellow) line in (a) is a downward sweep, showing the hysteresis and amplitude jumps in this case. There are no hysteretic jumps for (b) and (c), and so the downward sweeps are not shown.

We first look at the linear and weakly nonlinear response of the first mode when the second mode is driven into its strongly nonlinear regime. Retaining the leading order effect of the first mode on the intensity of the second mode we can write the numerator in (3) as

$$[2\omega_I(\omega_{DI} - \omega_I) - \beta_I |A_{II}^{(0)}|^2 - \bar{\alpha}_I |A_I|^2]^2 + \omega_I^2 \gamma_I^2, \quad (4)$$

where $A_{II}^{(0)}$ is the solution for the second mode in the absence of mode I given by the equation for A_{II} corresponding to (3) assuming zero A_I . The new parameter $\bar{\alpha}_I$ is an effective Duffing nonlinearity coefficient and is given by $\bar{\alpha}_I = \alpha_I - 2\beta_I \beta_{II} \omega_{II} \partial |A_{II}^{(0)}|^2 / \partial \omega_{DII}$. Equation (4) predicts two important effects: the frequency tuning of the first mode proportional to the square of the amplitude of the second mode (upwards for positive β_I); and the change in the effective nonlinear coefficient for

the motion of the first mode depending on the excitation strength of the second mode through the last term in $\bar{\alpha}_I$.

To test the frequency tuning we excite the second mode at a drive level approximately 4.3 times the critical value so that the spectral response is the strongly nonlinear Duffing curve (see Fig. 1b). As the actuation frequency of the second mode is steadily increased in small steps its vibration amplitude rises over a wide frequency range until it drops to the lower amplitude state beyond the maximum. The evolution of the spectral response of the first mode is monitored at a driving level approximately four times lower than the critical value for this mode using a network analyzer. The dependence of the first mode frequency shift on the vibration amplitude of the second mode is shown in Fig. 1c. The experimental results for frequency tuning closely follow the predicted parabolic dependence $\beta_I |A_{II}|^2$ for amplitudes up to about 20nm. Monitoring the frequency shift of one mode proportional to the square of the displacement of a second mode has been proposed for quantum nondemolition measurements in nanoelectromechanical systems [17, 18].

If the actuation level of the first mode is increased above the onset of nonlinearity, while the second mode drive is kept at a much higher level, then the effective nonlinear coefficient $\bar{\alpha}_I$ is decreased. The decrease is largest when the second mode is driven on the portion of the Duffing response curve where the intensity is increasing linearly with the drive frequency $|A_{II}|^2 \simeq 2\omega_{II}(\omega_{DII} - \omega_{II})/\alpha_{II}$. This gives the minimum effective nonlinear coefficient $\bar{\alpha}_{I,\min} = \alpha_I \left(1 - \frac{\beta_I \beta_{II}}{\alpha_I \alpha_{II}}\right)$. This result indicates that if the coupling is strong enough, so that $\beta_I \beta_{II} > \alpha_I \alpha_{II}$, the minimum value of the effective nonlinear coefficient is negative. In this case the resonance curve tilts to the left, as opposed to the usual case for a doubly clamped beam where the peak leans to the right. It also means that the nonlinear coefficient vanishes for some drive strength and frequency of the second mode.

Some experimental results for the first mode driven at twice the critical strength illustrating this effect are shown in Fig. 2. The plots show the shape of the resonance peak for three values of the drive frequency of the second mode. In panel (a), the amplitude A_{II} of the second mode is low and the first mode spectral response has the regular nonlinear Duffing shape leaning to the right. For larger A_{II} as in (b), the first mode resonance peak shape assumes a form close to a Lorentzian with little nonlinearity apparent. For even larger A_{II} as in (c) the sign of the effective Duffing coefficient becomes slightly negative, causing the spectral response peak to lean to the left. The quenching of the nonlinearity in (b) could be used to enhance the limited dynamic range [7] of nanomechanical devices.

If the drive level of the first mode is increased further a variety of new effects can be observed. Under these




FIG. 3: (color online) First mode frequency response as in Fig. 2 for increasing values of the second mode drive frequency but for stronger driving of the first mode (both modes are driven at about four times the critical strength). The top plots are experimental measurements while the bottom ones are theoretical simulations. The second mode response was monitored, but is not shown.

conditions the dynamics of the system is not fully explained by the steady state solutions as in (3), and so we solve for the expected behavior by numerically integrating the time-dependent coupled equations (2). Now the behavior is more complex, as the response of the first mode becomes large enough to cause transitions in the second mode response through the nonlinear coupling. As a result the spectral response curves acquire peculiar nontrivial shapes, as shown in Fig. 3. Numerical simulations of the equations give good predictions for the complex phenomena.

An obvious difference between the experimental and theoretical plots in Fig. 3 is the noisy regions on the theoretical curves near the up and down transitions. This difference actually results from the different ways the plots are generated in theory and experiment, and a more careful investigations shows consistent and interesting dynamics in both experiment and theory: for the drive frequencies near the transition points the fast (about 17MHz) oscillating response becomes amplitude modulated with a frequency of about 10 to 20 kHz [23]. The theoretical equations (2) show that the frequency for the amplitude modulation is determined by the line width, which in our experimental setup is about 8 kHz, and is not related to sum and difference frequencies of the two modes.

Examples of simulated and measured amplitude modulation dynamics for three different sets of drive parameters are shown in Fig. 4 for a second device with different parameters to the one used for Figs. 1-3. The first column shows examples of numerically calculated phase portraits of $\text{Re } A_{II}$ versus $\text{Re } A_I$ obtained by solving the time de-




FIG. 4: Complex dynamics of two strongly coupled nanomechanical resonators. The three rows correspond to different input parameters (drive frequencies and amplitudes). The first column shows the theoretical calculation of the phase portrait, the second shows its experimental measurement, and the third column shows the corresponding experimental power spectrum of the optical measurement near one of the drive frequencies.

pendent equations (2). Phase portraits measured experimentally are shown in the second column [24]. These are obtained using homodyne down-conversion of the transduced mechanical signals from both modes, which are then read by independent oscilloscope channels. We also perform wider frequency band spectrum analyzer measurements shown in the third column. Since the nature of the dynamics is sensitive to the precise values of the system parameters, the drive strength and frequencies in the experiment are slightly adjusted from the values corresponding to the theoretical plots to produce comparable phase portraits.

The top row of Fig. 4 shows a relatively simple example where the motion is periodic but the $A_I - A_{II}$ trajectory forms a small figure-of-eight loop. The dynamics can be roughly understood in terms of transitions between states with the first mode at large amplitude and the second mode at small amplitude and *vice versa*. The spectrum of the measured mechanical signal shows satellite peaks corresponding to the anharmonic amplitude modulation.

As the parameters of the system are changed, we have observed period doubling or quadrupling in the amplitude modulation, where the phase trajectory takes two or four revolutions in order to complete the cycle [19]. An example of period quadrupling is shown in the middle row of Fig. 4. The complicated loop structures are visible in both theoretical and experimental trajectories, and the spectrum reveals amplitude modulation peaks at frequencies that correspond to double and quadruple

periods. Period doubling transitions are often associated with chaotic dynamics [19], and indeed for other parameter values we observe chaos in our coupled nanoelectromechanical system and in the theoretical model, as shown in the bottom row of Fig. 4. The evidence for the chaotic dynamics in the experiment is the broad band component to the spectrum (evident in the shoulders to the amplitude modulation peaks), and a phase portrait trajectory that does not form a closed loop [25]. The theoretical model shows a similar phase portrait.

Our detailed study of two elastically coupled, independently driven, nanomechanical beam resonators reveals complex nonlinear dynamics with a number of potential applications. For example, driving one of the modes can be used to tune the effective nonlinearity of the other mode. This can be used to significantly increase the dynamic range of the resonator by quenching the effective nonlinearity. In a first approximation, the motion of one mode couples quadratically to the resonance frequency of the other mode, a phenomenon that has been proposed for quantum nondemolition measurements in nanomechanical systems. For larger vibration amplitudes spontaneous oscillations of amplitude modulation develop, at a frequency determined by the resonator ring-down time. These oscillations show period doubling and chaos characteristic of strongly nonlinear systems. The full range of complex dynamics investigated is quantitatively reproduced by theory. Our success at predicting and subsequently observing quite delicate features of the nonlinear dynamics is strong evidence that the nonlinearity and coupling in arrays of nanomechanical devices can be quantitatively understood and controlled.

Many of the ideas leading to this work were developed in collaboration with Ron Lifshitz supported by the U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF) through Grant No. 2004339. We thank Matt Matheny for many useful discussions.

-
- [1] M. L. Roukes, Phys. World **14**, 25 (2001).
 - [2] For a review of nonlinear dynamics in nanomechanical systems see R. Lifshitz and M. C. Cross, Reviews of Nonlinear Dynamics and Complexity, **1**, 52 (2008)
 - [3] Y. T. Yang, C. Cleggari, X. L. Feng, K. L. Ekinci, and M. L. Roukes, Nano Lett. **6**, 583 (2006).
 - [4] D. Rugar, R. Budakian, H. J. Mamin, and B. W. Chui, Nature **430**, 329 (2004).
 - [5] A. N. Cleland and M. L. Roukes, Nature **392**, 160 (1998).
 - [6] M. D. Lahaye, O. Buu, B. Camarota, and K. C. Schwab, Science **304**, 74 (2004).
 - [7] H. W. C. Postma, I. Kozinsky, A. Husain, and M. L. Roukes, Appl. Phys. Lett. **86**, 223105 (2005).
 - [8] E. Buks and M. L. Roukes, Europhys. Lett. **54**, 220 (2001).
 - [9] R. Lifshitz, M. C. Cross, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 134302 (2003).
 - [10] Y. Bromberg, M. C. Cross and R. Lifshitz, Phys. Rev.

- E73**, 016214 (2006).
- [11] E. Kenig, R. Lifshitz, and M. C. Cross, preprint, 2008, arXiv:0808.3589v1 [nlin.PS]
- [12] S. C. Masmanidis, R. B. Karabalin, I. Vlamincik, G. Borghs, M. R. Freeman, and M. L. Roukes, *Science* **317**, 780 (2007).
- [13] D. W. Carr and H. G. Craighead, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* **15**, 2760-2763 (1997).
- [14] H. Goldstein, C. Poole, and J. Safko, *Classical Mechanics*, 3rd edition, (Addison-Wesley, New York, 2001)
- [15] R. B. Karabalin, PhD dissertation, Caltech (2008).
- [16] A. H. Nayfeh, D. T. Mook, *Nonlinear Oscillations*, (Wiley, New York, 1979).
- [17] V. B. Braginsky, F. Y. Khalili, *Quantum Measurement*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1995).
- [18] K. C. Schwab, M. L. Roukes, *Physics Today*, July (2006), p36.
- [19] For a general discussion of period doubling and chaos see S. H. Strogatz, *Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos*, (Addison-Wesley, New York 1994).
- [20] D. V. Scheible, A. Erbe, R. H. Blick, and G. Corso, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **81**, 1884 (2002).
- [21] The combination measured depends on the geometry of the optical spot relative to the beams, which we determine from the linear experiments using a variety of drive combinations.
- [22] The structure of the dynamical system depends on the ratio α_I/α_{II} which is close to unity for our nearly identical beams. The absolute magnitude of α (approximately $0.0072 \text{ (MHz/nm)}^2$) calibrates the amplitude of the response in nm.
- [23] The theoretical points, which are the end values of a long numerical simulation, depend on the phase of the amplitude modulation at the end of each run, whereas this modulation leads to a drop in the experimentally measured amplitude since RF power is transferred outside the measurement bandwidth.
- [24] The full phase space is four dimensional, $\text{Re } A_I, \text{Re } A_{II}, \text{Im } A_I, \text{Im } A_{II}$; we plot a two dimensional projection.
- [25] Previous experiments that suggested chaos in a nanomechanical system [20] demonstrated a complex behavior of the response at one of the drive frequencies as that parameter was swept, rather than complex dynamics for fixed system parameters as shown in our work.