

## DARBOUX'S THEOREM FAILS FOR WEAK SYMPLECTIC FORMS

J. MARSDEN<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. An example of a weak symplectic form on a Hilbert space for which Darboux's theorem fails is given.

**Introduction.** Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $B: E \times E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  a continuous bilinear form. Let  $B^b: E \rightarrow E^*$  be defined by  $B^b(e) \cdot f = B(e, f)$ . Call  $B$  *nondegenerate* if  $B^b$  is an isomorphism and call  $B$  *weakly nondegenerate* if  $B^b$  is injective. For a symmetric bilinear form  $G$  on  $E$ , define the skew form  $\tilde{G}$  on  $E \times E$  by

$$\tilde{G}((e_1, e_2), (f_1, f_2)) = G(f_2, e_1) - G(e_2, f_1).$$

It is easily seen that  $\tilde{G}$  is nondegenerate (resp. weakly nondegenerate) iff  $G$  is.

Now let  $M$  be a Banach manifold. A *symplectic form* (resp. *weak symplectic form*) on  $M$  is a smooth closed two form  $\omega$  on  $M$  such that for each  $p \in M$ ,  $\omega$  as a bilinear form on  $T_p M$  is nondegenerate (resp. weakly nondegenerate); here  $T_p M$  is the tangent space at  $p$ . Using a technique of Moser, Weinstein ([6], [7]) showed that for each  $p \in M$  there is a local chart about  $p$  on which  $\omega$  is constant. This is a significant generalization and simplification of the classical theorem of Darboux. However, in many physical examples (the wave equation and fluid mechanics for instance) one deals with weak symplectic forms (see [1], [3], [4], [5]).

It is therefore interesting to know if Darboux's theorem remains valid for weak symplectic forms. In this note we give a counterexample.

**Symplectic forms induced by metrics.** If  $M$  is a manifold, its cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  carries a canonical symplectic form  $\omega$ . If  $M$  is modeled on a reflexive space the form is nondegenerate; otherwise it is only weakly nondegenerate. See [1], [4]. Now let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  be a (smooth) weak riemannian metric on  $M$ . Then it induces a map of  $TM$  to  $T^*M$ . The pull back  $\Omega$  of  $\omega$  to  $TM$  is called the form *induced by the metric*. It is a weak symplectic

---

Received by the editors June 4, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 58B20, 58F05.

Key words and phrases. Darboux's theorem, symplectic form.

<sup>1</sup> Partially supported by the National Research Council of Canada.

form and in a chart  $U$  for  $M$  it is given by (using principal parts):

$$2\Omega_{u,e}((e_1, e_2), (e_3, e_4)) = D_u\langle e, e_1 \rangle_u \cdot e_3 - D_u\langle e, e_3 \rangle_u \cdot e_1 + \langle e_4, e_1 \rangle_u - \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle_u.$$

Here,  $D_u$  denotes the derivative of the map  $u \mapsto \langle e, e_1 \rangle_u$  with respect to  $u$ . In the finite dimensional case this corresponds to the classical formula

$$\Omega = \sum g_{ij} dq^i \wedge dq^j + \sum \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial q^k} q^i dq^j \wedge dq^k.$$

Observe that in the finite dimensional case if we take new variables  $q^1, \dots, q^n, p_1, \dots, p_n$  where  $p_i = \sum g_{ij} \dot{q}^j$ , then (as is easy to check)  $\Omega = \sum dq^i \wedge dp_i$  which gives a chart in which  $\Omega$  is constant.

**The example.** The following is a simplification of an earlier example. We thank the referee and Paul Chernoff for suggestions in this regard.

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space. Let  $S: H \rightarrow H$  be a compact operator with range a dense, but proper subset of  $H$ , which is selfadjoint and positive:  $\langle Sx, x \rangle > 0$  for  $0 \neq x \in H$ . For example if  $H = L_2(\mathbf{R})$ , we can let  $S = (1 - \Delta)^{-1}$  where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian; the range of  $S$  is  $H^2(\mathbf{R})$ .

Since  $S$  is positive,  $-1$  is clearly not an eigenvalue. Thus, by the Fredholm alternative,  $aI + S$  is onto for any real scalar  $a > 0$ . Define on  $H$  the weak metric  $g(x)(e, f) = \langle A_x e, f \rangle$  where  $A_x = S + \|x\|^2 I$ . Clearly  $g$  is smooth in  $x$ , and is an inner product. Let  $\Omega$  be the weak symplectic form on  $H \times H = H_1$  induced by  $g$ , as was discussed above.

**PROPOSITION.** *There is no coordinate chart about  $(0, 0) \in H_1$  on which  $\Omega$  is constant.*

**PROOF.** If there were such a chart, say  $\phi: U \rightarrow H \times H$  where  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $(0, 0)$ , then in particular in this chart, the range  $F$  of  $\Omega^b$ , as a map of  $H_1$  to  $H_1^*$ , would be constant. Let  $B_{x,y}$  be the derivative of  $\phi$  at  $(x, y) \in H_1$ . Then we obtain that the range of  $\Omega_{x,y}^b$  equals  $B_{x,y}^* F$ .

Now by the above formula for  $\Omega$ , at the point  $(x, 0)$  we have

$$2\Omega_{(x,0)}((e_1, e_2), (e_3, e_4)) = g_x(e_4, e_1) - g_x(e_2, e_3).$$

But by construction, for  $x \neq 0$ ,  $g_x$  is a strong metric (i.e.,  $A_x$  is onto for  $x \neq 0$ ), so the range of  $\Omega_{(x,0)}^b$  is all of  $H_1^*$  for  $x \neq 0$ . Since  $B_{x,y}$  is an isomorphism, this implies that  $\Omega_{(0,0)}^b$  is onto all of  $H_1^*$  as well. But  $g_0$  is only a weak metric which is not onto as a map of  $H_1$  to  $H_1^*$ . Hence  $\Omega_{(0,0)}^b$  cannot be onto as well, a contradiction.

As was pointed out by the referee, the example even shows that  $\Omega$  cannot be made constant on a continuous vector bundle chart on  $T^2M \rightarrow TM$ , let alone by a manifold chart on  $TM$ .

Of course the essence of the example is that the range of  $\Omega$  suddenly changed at one point i.e., the topology of the metric suddenly changed. This is perfectly compatible with the smoothness of  $\Omega$  as it is only a weak symplectic form. This suggests a possible conjecture pointed out by Paul Chernoff: If  $\Omega$  is such that the ranges of  $\Omega_u$  are locally equivalent via an isomorphism, then Darboux's theorem should hold. This can be verified directly in case  $\Omega$  comes from a metric which has locally equivalent ranges.

## REFERENCES

1. P. Chernoff and J. Marsden, *Hamiltonian systems and quantum mechanics* (in preparation).
2. J. M. Cook, *Complex Hilbertian structures on stable linear dynamical systems*, J. Math. Mech. **16** (1966), 339–349. MR **34** #625.
3. D. Ebin and J. Marsden, *Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid*, Ann. of Math. (2) **92** (1970), 102–163.
4. J. Marsden, *Hamiltonian one parameter groups*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **28** (1967/68), 362–396. MR **37** #1735.
5. I. Segal, *Conjugacy to unitary groups within the infinite dimensional symplectic group*, Technical Report, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois, 1966.
6. A. Weinstein, *Symplectic manifolds and their Lagrangian submanifolds*, Advances in Math. **6** (1971), 329–346.
7. ———, *Symplectic structures on Banach manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 1040–1041.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720