

1 **Text S2.** Supplementary Discussion

2 Long-term erosion rates were combined using the method described in the  
3 supplementary section of Reiners et al. (2003), with additional  
4 refinement based on the availability of two dates for each grain and the  
5 presence of different populations due to the detrital origin of the  
6 apatites. Each apatite was assumed to represent a unique population  
7 initially, which lowers resolution but is a necessity imposed by the  
8 uncertain provenance of each grain (this technique may be further refined  
9 in the future by using U-Pb dating and other geochemical methods to  
10 isolate populations prior to thermochronology). Apatites are then  
11 compared by plotting (U-Th)/He age and fission-track age against one  
12 another, which allows grains representing analytically indistinguishable  
13 erosion histories to be grouped into populations. The overall precision  
14 of age measurements is not necessarily improved by the presence of larger  
15 populations when it cannot be guaranteed that these populations share  
16 precisely the same erosional histories. For this reason, it would be  
17 improper to report errors on population ages reported here.

18 □Parameters necessary for erosion rate calculation based on  
19 thermochronometer ages are loosely constrained for the interior of East  
20 Antarctica due to a lack of high-precision data. However, we found that  
21 none of these parameters drastically affected the overall interpretation  
22 of extremely low erosion rates. The most influential variable affecting  
23 the result was the geothermal gradient  $g_0$ , so we report a range of  
24 closure depths  $z_c$  and resulting erosion rates  $\dot{\epsilon}$  for each system based on  
25 a liberal range of values for this parameter. Reasonable ranges of  
26 thermal diffusivity  $K$  and boundary depth  $L$  did not dramatically affect  
27 erosion rate calculations, so the default values of 30 km/myr and 40 km,  
28 respectively, from AGE2EDOT (Ehlers et al., 2005) were used.

29  
30 The Dodson (1973) equation for  $T_c$  was used assuming the values for  
31 apatite activation energy  $E_a$  and diffusivity  $D_0$  reported by Farley  
32 (2000), allowing the final unknown  $\dot{\epsilon}$  to be calculated by assuming  
33 exhumation to be erosional and using the range of  $g_0$  extending from 20 to  
34 30 °C/km.  
35