Thin-skinned deformation of sedimentary rocks in Valles Marineris, Mars

Joannah Metz,¹ John Grotzinger,¹ Chris Okubo,² and Ralph Milliken³

Received 1 March 2010; revised 14 June 2010; accepted 3 August 2010; published 19 November 2010.

[1] Deformation of sedimentary rocks is widespread within Valles Marineris, characterized by both plastic and brittle deformation identified in Candor, Melas, and Ius Chasmata. We identified four deformation styles using HiRISE and CTX images: kilometer-scale convolute folds, detached slabs, folded strata, and pull-apart structures. Convolute folds are detached rounded slabs of material with alternating dark- and light-toned strata and a fold wavelength of about 1 km. The detached slabs are isolated rounded blocks of material, but they exhibit only highly localized evidence of stratification. Folded strata are composed of continuously folded layers that are not detached. Pull-apart structures are composed of stratified rock that has broken off into small irregularly shaped pieces showing evidence of brittle deformation. Some areas exhibit multiple styles of deformation and grade from one type of deformation into another. The deformed rocks are observed over thousands of kilometers, are limited to discrete stratigraphic intervals, and occur over a wide range in elevations. All deformation styles appear to be of likely thin-skinned origin. CRISM reflectance spectra show that some of the deformed sediments contain a component of monohydrated and polyhydrated sulfates. Several mechanisms could be responsible for the deformation of sedimentary rocks in Valles Marineris, such as subaerial or subaqueous gravitational slumping or sliding and soft sediment deformation, where the latter could include impact-induced or seismically induced liquefaction. These mechanisms are evaluated based on their expected pattern, scale, and areal extent of deformation. Deformation produced from slow subaerial or subaqueous landsliding and liquefaction is consistent with the deformation observed in Valles Marineris.

Citation: Metz, J., J. Grotzinger, C. Okubo, and R. Milliken (2010), Thin-skinned deformation of sedimentary rocks in Valles Marineris, Mars, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, E11004, doi:10.1029/2010JE003593.

1. Introduction

[2] The relative timing between the formation of the Valles Marineris canyon system and the various light-toned stratified deposits observed within the different chasmata remains an outstanding question for the geologic history of Mars. Some of this ambiguity arises from the fact that light-toned deposits with similar morphologic traits are observed in large mounds within the canyon (interior layered deposits, hereinafter referred to as ILD), on the floor of the chasmata, and occasionally in rock outcrops in the walls of the canyon system. The issue is complicated further because the tectonic activity responsible for the formation of the Valles Marineris likely occurred as several episodes over a long period of time. Thus, it is unclear if the stratigraphy of deposits in one chasm can be directly correlated to the stratigraphy in other

chasmata. Although individual light-toned units are not traceable throughout the entire canyon system, some of them exhibit clear evidence of deformation. The relative age of the strata within Valles Marineris, both in the mounds of light-toned layers and in the layers exposed on the surrounding floor, is still debated. Understanding the mechanism(s) responsible for this deformation, both within and between chasmata, could provide insight into the relative timing of events within the Valles Marineris system.

[3] The ILD are large mounds or mesas believed to be composed primarily of sedimentary rocks. The ILD are found within many of the chasmata in Valles Marineris as well as the peripheral chasmata such as Hebes, Juventae and Ganges [Scott and Tanaka, 1986]. Some authors have suggested that the ILD predate chasma formation, because layered materials are found in some chasma wall spurs [Malin and Edgett, 2000; Montgomery and Gillespie, 2005; Catling *et al.*, 2006]. Other studies based on geomorphology and structural relationships have suggested that the ILD accumulated on top of Noachian-aged bedrock during or after chasma formation [Scott and Tanaka, 1986; Lucchitta, 1990; Peulvast and Masson, 1993; Lucchitta *et al.*, 1994; Schultz, 1998;

¹Department of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA.

²U.S. Geological Survey, Flagstaff, Arizona, USA.

³Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, USA.

Okubo et al., 2008]. Unfortunately, most locations lack unambiguous stratigraphic contacts between the ILD and canyon walls, making a definitive determination of the relative age of strata within the chasma difficult. Several lithologic interpretations of the ILD have been suggested, including lacustrine deposits [*Nedell et al.*, 1987; *Komatsu et al.*, 1993; *Malin and Edgett*, 2000], eolian deposits [*Nedell et al.*, 1987], volcanic (ash) deposits [*Lucchitta*, 1990; *Lucchitta et al.*, 1994; *Chapman and Tanaka*, 2001], and lithified mass-wasted wall rock material [*Nedell et al.*, 1987; *Lucchitta et al.*, 1994].

[4] The stages and timing of events in the evolution of Valles Marineris are also still debated, but the general framework that has emerged is as follows [*Lucchitta et al.*, 1994; *Mege and Masson*, 1996; *Schultz*, 1998; *Peulvast et al.*, 2001; *Okubo et al.*, 2008]:

[5] 1. During Late Noachian to Early Hesperian time, there was dike emplacement radial to Syria Planum and the formation of graben and pit craters in the future region of Valles Marineris.

[6] 2. After Early Hesperian time, localized subsidence and sedimentary basin formation occurred in association with the earlier radial dikes, grabens and pit craters. Subsidence may have been related to melting of subsurface ice, dissolution of preexisting chemical precipitates and formation of the chaotic terrain. The ILD were deposited in the subsiding basins.

[7] 3. Rifting during the Amazonian in the area of the ancestral basins and the ILD associated with deep-seated faults; rifting may have been driven by Tharsis-related stresses and may have connected the ancestral basins to one another. Following rifting, landslides and erosion further widened the ancestral basins and rifts to their present form.

[8] An alternative model for the formation of Valles Marineris was proposed by *Montgomery et al.* [2009]. They interpreted the formation of Valles Marineris and the Thaumasia plateau as a “megaslide” characterized by thin-skinned deformation involving gravity sliding, extension, and broad zones of compression. The gentle slope of 1° in the region makes gravitational body forces too small to drive deformation of basalt, but slip along a detachment could have occurred if geothermal heating and topographic loading of salt or mixtures of salt and ice allowed for development of a layer-parallel zone of weakness [*Montgomery et al.*, 2009]. They proposed that the Valles Marineris reflects extension, collapse and excavation along fractures radial to Tharsis. They also suggest that deformation represented by compressional features in the highlands on the southern margin of the Thaumasia Plateau and the wrinkle ridges on the plateau imply décollements at multiple levels and several phases of deformation. Similar features are observed in terrestrial salt-based fold and thrust belts, which support regularly spaced folds of weak vergence and exhibit abrupt changes in deformational style at their margins [*Davis and Engelder*, 1985].

[9] Isolated occurrences of deformed strata were previously identified in western Candor and western Melas Chasmata and are thought to represent subaerial landslides of large interior layered deposits found in those chasmata [*Okubo et al.*, 2008], subaqueous landslides of wall rock [*Weitz et al.*, 2003], dry debris avalanches [*Skilling et al.*, 2002], or gravity gliding of ILD [*Lucchitta*, 2008]. How-

ever, Context Camera (CTX) images provide nearly complete coverage of Valles Marineris and have revealed many more exposures of deformed strata that were identified and mapped during the course of this study. Recent High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment (HiRISE) images and HiRISE-based digital elevation models (DEMs) allowed a detailed look at the morphology of these deposits as well as their stratigraphic position.

[10] In this study we identify many more occurrences of deformed strata on the floors of Candor, Melas and Ius Chasmata in Valles Marineris. The morphology and composition of these deposits are examined in detail to evaluate how the sediments were deformed and the relative timing of the deformation with respect to the formation of Valles Marineris.

2. Geologic Setting

[11] Candor Chasma is a large depression (lowest elevation is approximately −5 km) located in the northern part of Valles Marineris (Figures 1a and 2); it was mapped previously by *Scott and Tanaka* [1986] and *Lucchitta* [1999]. Candor has several occurrences of ILD that have been studied using both spectral [*Mangold et al.*, 2008; *Murchie et al.*, 2009; *Roach et al.*, 2009a] and structural data (based on image-derived digital elevation models) [*Fuente et al.*, 2006; *Fuente et al.*, 2008; *Okubo et al.*, 2008]. *Lucchitta* [1999] mapped the ILD as Hesperian or Amazonian in age, and *Fuente et al.* [2006] showed that the layers in the ILD (near the two white circles in Figure 1a) dip away from the peak of the deposits and are subhorizontal in the surrounding plains. *Fuente et al.* [2006] interpreted this structural pattern as indicating that the ILD drape preexisting topography and lack evidence of large-scale faulting indicative of chasma formation; this implies that the layers postdate chasma formation. Folded strata near the southern margin of Candor (locations of DEM 1 and 2 in Figure 1a) generally dip toward the center of the chasma and do not show evidence of normal faulting that can be attributed to subsidence of the chasmata or attendant growth folds, which is consistent with deposition in a preexisting basin [*Okubo et al.*, 2008, *Okubo*, 2010]. *Okubo et al.* [2008] interpret the folds in this area as the result of southward directed landsliding of the ILD.

[12] *Murchie et al.* [2009] found that the lower and middle parts of the ILD in western Candor are dominated by monohydrated sulfate whereas the upper beds are dominated by polyhydrated sulfates. The presence of monohydrated sulfates versus polyhydrated sulfates can yield information about the environment of deposition and environmental conditions that the minerals have been subjected to since deposition. *Roach et al.* [2009a] found that the ILD in eastern Candor are composed of interlayered monohydrated and polyhydrated sulfates. Changes in the hydration state of these sulfates (mono or poly) have not been detected over several years of observations, which indicates that the monohydrated sulfates are not actively being altered to polyhydrated phases or polyhydrated phases dehydrated to monohydrated phases on short time scales [*Roach et al.*, 2009a].

[13] Melas Chasma is another deep depression (lowest elevation is approximately −5 km) that is located within central Valles Marineris (Figures 1b and 2). Several large

Figure 1. (a) Location map in Candor Chasma. White boxes labeled 1–3 represent locations of DEMs discussed in the text. White boxes show locations of Figures 11a and 11b and the CRISM image in Figure 17a. White line traces out the topographic bench. Black circle indicates location of Ceti Mensa mound, and the two white circles indicate two other topographic highs in western Candor. (b) Location map in Melas Chasma with white boxes showing the locations of Figures 1d, 13, 15, and 18a and black box showing location of Figure 8. White rectangles labeled 4 and 5 represent locations of DEMs discussed in text. The black line shows the location where cross section A–A' was measured and the white line shows where stratigraphic column 2 east was measured. (c) Location map in Ius Chasma with white boxes showing the locations of Figures 3d and 16 and the CRISM images in Figure 17e. The white circle shows where stratigraphic column 5 east was measured. (d) Zoomed in portion of Melas Chasma indicated in Figure 1b. White boxes show locations of Figures 9 and 14 and the CRISM images from Figure 17c. White line shows where stratigraphic column 3 central was measured. Purple outlines show locations of outcrops showing convolute folds; yellow shows folded strata and red shows detached slabs. Outcrops are labeled with designations referred to in Table 1.

ILD are located along the southern portion of Melas Chasma and OMEGA data of these ILD exhibit spectral signatures consistent with the presence of monohydrated (kieserite) and polyhydrated sulfates [Gendrin *et al.*, 2005]. Several interesting features have been discovered in a small basin in southwestern Melas Chasma (informally called southern Melas basin) including dense valley networks [Quantin *et al.*, 2005], clinoforms [Dromart *et al.*, 2007], and possible sub-lacustrine fans [Metz *et al.*, 2009]. These features indicate the presence of liquid water and a potentially deep lake in the basin. Large exposures of detached slabs have been identi-

fied on the floor of western Melas Chasma [Skilling *et al.*, 2002; Weitz *et al.*, 2003] and have been interpreted to be the result of landsliding.

[14] Ius Chasma is a large trough (lowest elevation is approximately -4.5 km) in western Valles Marineris that shows a regular, nearly rectangular geometry (Figures 1c and 2) [Schultz, 1991]. This trough is thought to have formed by normal faulting and to postdate the ILD [Schultz, 1998]. No mounds of layered material are observed in Ius Chasma, but spectral signatures of kieserite, polyhydrated sulfates, clays and hydrated silica have been identified on

Figure 2. MOLA colorized elevation base map with eight regions of deformation mapped and labeled. Four styles of deformation were observed, kilometer-scale convolute folds (purple), detached slabs (red), folded strata (yellow), and pull-apart structures (orange). Deformation was observed in Candor, Melas, and Ius Chasmata but not in Coprates or Tithonium Chasmata. White circle shows location of kilometer-scale convolute folded outcrops.

the floor of eastern Ius Chasma [Gendrin *et al.*, 2005; Roach *et al.*, 2009b].

3. Methods

[15] A mosaic of projected CTX (5 m/pixel) and HiRISE images (0.25–0.5 m/pixel) covering Valles Marineris was overlain onto a base map of THEMIS daytime IR images using ArcGIS®. CTX coverage over Valles Marineris is nearly complete, but HiRISE images cover only select locations. The entire Valles Marineris canyon was examined and areas showing deformation were identified and mapped (Figure 2). Four primary styles of deformation were noted: large-scale convolute folds, detached slabs, folded strata and pull-apart structures (Figure 3). Detached slabs are rounded blocks of detached material that only locally show evidence of layering (Figure 3a), and convolute folds are defined similarly but are composed of alternating dark- and light-toned strata that exhibit disharmonic folding (Figure 3b). Folded strata are defined as laterally continuous layered materials, and the trend of their fold axial traces is not uniform (Figure 3c). Pull-apart structures are composed of fragments of strata that have broken off into small irregularly shaped pieces (Figure 3d). Deformed areas were separated into eight regions as shown in Figure 2. Regions are defined as groupings of nearby outcrops of various types of deformed strata, whereas outcrops are continuous exposures of one type of deformed strata.

[16] The styles of deformation called detached slabs and convolute folds are composed of detached blocks. These blocks are inferred to have a sedimentary origin, though this is difficult to confirm with the exception of a few cases. Supporting a sedimentary origin are the observations that some of the blocks contain finely stratified deposits and the spectroscopic signature of evaporite minerals, including

kieserite and polyhydrated sulfates. In general, we regard these materials to now be (at least partly) lithified rocks; at the time of deformation they could have been strata formed of unconsolidated loose sediments, water-saturated sediments, loosely cemented sediments, or fine-grained sediments with high cohesion. The major and minor axes of the detached slabs and convolute folds were measured, and histograms of the size distributions for each region are shown in Figure 4b. Many of the regions consist of several outcrops of deformed strata and in these cases the size distribution of blocks for each outcrop are reported separately (e.g., Figure 4). The ratio of the major to minor axis of each block was computed and the areal size of each block was also measured. Statistics on the sizes of the blocks are shown in Table 1. In areas with only a few blocks exposed, the sizes of all blocks were measured. In areas with many blocks exposed, the size of blocks in areas with HiRISE coverage was measured.

[17] Five Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) were constructed using the methods of Kirk *et al.* [2008] and cover three separate deformed areas (Figure 1). These DEMs were evaluated to understand the three-dimensional geometry of the various deformation patterns. Three DEMs were produced based on images obtained in Candor Chasma (pairs 1–3), and two DEMs were in Melas Chasma (pairs 4–5). A list of the images used to construct the DEMs as well as the resolutions of the DEMs is given in Table 2. With a stereo convergence angle of 25° and assuming 1/5 pixel correlations, the vertical precision of the DEM is $x/(5 \tan 25^\circ)$ where x is the size of the pixel. The precision is limited by the DEM's 1 m postings in steep areas.

[18] Two stratigraphic columns were measured in the southeastern outcrop exposure of convolute folds in region 3 (in Melas Chasma) using DEMs 4 and 5 (Figures 1b, 1d, and 5). A stratigraphic column was measured in the northern

Figure 3. Four deformation styles observed in Valles Marineris. (a) Detached slabs; this example is from Melas Chasma (P05_002828_1711). (b) Kilometer-scale convolute folds which are observed only in two exposures in southern Melas basin (PSP_007087_1700). This example is from the easternmost exposure. White arrow shows location of disharmonic fold. (c) Folded strata; this example is from Candor Chasma (PSP_001918_1735). (D) Pull-apart structures; this example is from Ius Chasma (P07_003606_1727). Note how the strata look as if they have been pulled apart in the direction of the arrows. (e) Pull-apart structures; here the strata have been pulled apart to a greater extent than in Figure 3d. The strata are expressed as irregularly shaped pieces (PSP_003593_1725).

section of region 7 in Candor Chasma using DEM 3 (Figures 1a and 5). The dips of bedding in these areas were obtained by using planar fits to bedding visible in the HiRISE images associated with the derived DEMs. Linear segments were traced out along well-exposed layers with some natural curvature to provide constraints on the three-dimensional geometry of the layer. We employed the method of *Lewis et al.* [2008], which uses principal component analysis to ensure that the layers are well fit by a plane. Additional stratigraphic columns in areas without DEM coverage were estimated using a combination of Mars Orbital Laser Altimeter (MOLA) data and visual images. This method was used for the stratigraphic columns measured in the outcrops in regions 1 and 2, the southwest and central outcrops in region 3, and the outcrops in region 5 (Figure 5). MOLA contour lines were used to estimate the thickness of stratigraphic units and elevations of

contacts. True bed thicknesses in these columns are not known, because the dips of the bedding in these areas cannot be properly calculated without a high-resolution DEM. A cross section was constructed for the southeastern outcrop in region 3 using a MOLA topographic profile from the north to the south end of southern Melas basin (Figures 1b and 6). The DEM of this area was used to measure the true dips of beds shown in this section.

[19] The exposed area of each deformed unit was measured in ArcGIS. For several of the areas, both lower and upper contacts for the deformed strata were visible and a thickness for the deformed units could be calculated. For other areas the thickness was estimated using gridded MOLA data. For regions where an upper or lower contact was not visible, a minimum thickness was estimated using MOLA data. The volume of each area was calculated by multiplying

Figure 4. (a) THEMIS IR base map with locations of outcrops labeled where block sizes were measured. (b) Histograms of the long dimension of the convolute folds and the detached slabs. The axes for each histogram are the same; the vertical axis is the percent of blocks that falls into a particular size range, and the horizontal axis is bins of long block dimension. Blocks are larger in Melas Chasma, smaller in Ius Chasma, and show a more even distribution of size classes in Candor Chasma.

the measured area by the measured or estimated thickness. The length of each deformed area was measured in a direction perpendicular to the nearest chasma wall.

[20] The axial traces of folds in the deformed regions were mapped wherever they were visible. The orientations of these folds with respect to north were measured, and rose

Table 1. Mean Sizes and the Range in Sizes of the Blocks of Material Forming the Detached Slabs and Convolute Folds Listed for Each Region^a

Region	Outcrop	Mean Long Dimension (m)	Mean Short Dimension (m)	Long-Dimension Range (m)	Short-Dimension Range (m)	Mean Area (m ²)	Mean Ratio	N
1	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	W	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	E	470	259	171–847	98–538	113,051	1.98	22
2	W	394	254	159–823	113–442	86,089	1.62	33
3	SE	647	451	394–1157	220–826	234,310	1.5	6
3	SW	459	247	160–1005	95–439	114,621	1.96	41
3	C	466	265	110–1086	64–665	124,507	1.81	107
3	N	413	272	119–686	84–514	100,299	1.56	40
4	E	192	107	91–298	51–175	17,740	1.91	21
4	W	349	209	92–777	55–557	74,139	1.81	63
5	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	W	397	249	143–796	106–369	94,531	1.63	18
6	C	135	83	80–214	41–118	9,849	1.68	15
7	E	347	195	80–993	59–599	89,632	1.79	18
7	W	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	N	265	146	63–815	29–307	44,882	1.82	37
7	C	581	269	204–867	96–544	114,325	2.22	38
8	C	208	123	90–464	55–229	24,320	1.73	35

^aSome regions have been subdivided into several outcrops (C, central; E, east; W, west; N, north; S, south). Ratio is the ratio of mean long dimension to mean short dimension. N is the number of blocks measured in each outcrop. Regions without entries did not have any exposures of detached slabs or convolute folds. Convolute folds are only observed in the SE and SW outcrops of region 1; all other measurements are for detached slabs.

diagrams of the directions were constructed (Figure 7). Parts of the southern portion of region 7 were covered by DEMs 1 and 2, and the fold axes in these areas were mapped by *Okubo et al.* [2008] and *Okubo* [2010], who measured the trend and plunge of fold axes in these areas and found the plunges were relatively shallow (~5°).

[21] CRISM full-resolution target images (FRTs) exist for many of the deformed areas and were examined in this study to determine the composition of the beds (CRISM images listed in Table 3 and locations of key images shown in Figure 1). These images have 544 spectral bands with 6.55 nm sampling and a resolution of ~18 m/pixel. The CRISM data were converted to I/F using the methods of *Murchie et al.* [2007, 2009]. The data were corrected for photometric effects by dividing I/F values by the cosine of the solar incidence angle and atmospheric attenuation was accounted for by dividing by a scaled atmospheric transmission spectrum obtained during an observation crossing Olympus Mons [*Mustard et al.*, 2008]. The latter step corrects for atmospheric gases but does not account for aerosols. Map-projected CRISM images were imported into ArcGIS to integrate with HiRISE, CTX, and MOLA data. CRISM spectra presented here are I/F ratio spectra created by averaging pixels (spectra) corresponding to distinct geologic

units and dividing those average spectra by an average of pixels (spectra) from a spectrally ‘bland’ area that usually corresponds to Mars dust [e.g., *Milliken et al.*, 2008].

4. Results

4.1. Deformation Styles

[22] Mapping of deformed strata in Valles Marineris yields four primary deformation styles: kilometer-scale convolute folds, detached slabs, folded strata, and pull-apart structures (Figure 3). Some areas exhibit multiple styles of deformation and grade from one type of deformation into another, both laterally and vertically. Deformed strata have been identified in eight different regions throughout Candor, Melas and Ius Chasmata. Tithonium and Coprates Chasmata were also examined for this study, but deformed strata have not yet been observed in these locations. Regions are defined as groupings of nearby outcrops of various types of deformed strata. Outcrops are continuous exposures of one type of deformed strata.

[23] Kilometer-scale convolute folds were only observed in two exposures in Melas Chasma, both in relatively small outcrops in a small basin in southwestern Melas Chasma (SE and SW outcrops of region 3). The convolute folds are

Table 2. HiRISE Stereo Pairs Used to Construct DEMs Referred to in the Text

Pair	Image Numbers	Ground Track Dimension (cm/pixel)	DEM Center	Vertical Precision (m)
1	PSP_001918_1735	28.5	–6.444° N, 283.221° E	0.13
	PSP_001984_1735	26.2		
2	PSP_003474_1735	27.5	–6.433° N, 283.216° E	0.12
	PSP_003540_1735	26.4		
3	PSP_008023_1745	26.4	–5.295° N, 284.166° E	0.12
	PSP_010238_1745	27.3		
4	PSP_007087_1700	26.7	9.816° N, 283.503° E	0.13
	PSP_007667_1700	28.8		
5	PSP_007878_1700	26.5	–9.755° N, 283.4° E	0.13
	PSP_008735_1700	28.9

Figure 5. Eleven stratigraphic columns measured based on HiRISE DEM's and MOLA gridded data in Ius, Melas, and Candor Chasmata. Stratigraphic columns are labeled by region number and outcrop location and are arranged from west to east. Stratigraphic columns are arranged vertically such that relative elevations correlate (except for stratigraphic columns from regions 1 east and 3 central which are on a different vertical scale than the other sections: these two sections are correlated so that they line up at only at ~ 2100 m, and the stratigraphic section from region 7 north which occurs at a much higher elevation than the other sections).

Figure 6. Cross section constructed using MOLA topographic profile taken from A to A' in southern Melas basin (Figure 1b). Vertical axis is in meters, and horizontal axis is in kilometers. Two possible scenarios are shown. Unit patterns are the same as in Figure 5, and the gull wing shapes in the middle of the flat-lying strata represent the locations of the submarine fans from Metz *et al.* [2009]. (a) Stratigraphy is shown assuming units currently exposed at surface were deposited in a preexisting basin. It is not known how deep this preexisting basin may have been nor to what elevation the northern basin wall might extend. In this case, the strata should onlap the basin walls at the margins of the basin. (b) Stratigraphy is shown assuming units at surface were deposited before the formation of Valles Marineris and have been exposed due to subsequent erosion. In this case, the strata should not be observed to onlap the basin walls in any location.

detached rounded slabs of alternating dark- and light-toned strata that exhibit disharmonic folding with a fold wavelength of about one kilometer (Figure 3b). The strata that appear dark-toned may be more friable and have been preferentially eroded out and filled in with dark-toned sand. Sequences of strata can be traced between some of the slabs (Figure 8). Several fold geometries are observed including parallel, disharmonic and similar folds (Figure 3b). In the southwestern outcrop of region 3, the kilometer-scale convolute folds grade into detached slabs (Figures 1d and 9). Table 1 shows the range and mean block sizes of the convolute folds as well as the mean ratio of the long to short

dimension. Most blocks are elliptical in shape, and the convolute folds tend to be larger than the detached slabs.

[24] The detached slabs are also rounded blocks of detached material, but they only locally show evidence of layering (Figure 3a). These have previously been classified as 'blocky deposits' by Weitz *et al.* [2003]. In most areas the slabs appear featureless, but in a few locations the slabs do show folded strata and appear to flow around each other [see Weitz *et al.*, 2003, Figure 6]. Some of the blocks show resistant edges (Figure 10). Detached slabs are found in many exposures in Candor, Melas and Ius Chasmata (Figure 2). The mean and range of sizes of detached slabs are given in

Figure 7. Rose diagrams of fold axial trace orientations plotted on deformation map in the locations they were measured. Fold axial trace orientations are bimodal with a component to the NE-SW and a component to the SE-NW.

Table 1. Similar to the convolute folds, detached slabs tend to be elliptical, but the detached slabs have a larger range of sizes, including some small slabs (~30 m).

[25] The folded strata are laterally continuous layered materials and the trend of their fold axial traces is not uniform (Figure 3c). Folded strata exhibit many geometries including both similar folds and concentric folds, in addition to domes, basins, crescents, mushrooms and other interference patterns. Where fold axes can be determined in detail, they indicate noncylindrical fold geometry [Okubo, 2010]. Fold wavelengths range in size from 250 m to 2700 m. There are large exposures of folded strata in Candor Chasma, some of which were studied by Okubo *et al.* [2008] and Okubo [2010]. Folded strata also occur throughout Melas and Ius Chasmata.

[26] The pull-apart structures are areas that show evidence of possible brittle deformation and appear to be composed of fragments of strata that have broken off into small irregularly shaped pieces. In some areas the fragmented strata look as if they could be fit back together similar to puzzle pieces, whereas in other areas the fragmentation proceeded to a larger degree and only irregularly shaped fragments of strata remain (Figures 3d–3e). It is possible that some of these structures may have originally been detached slabs that have experienced differential erosion to produce irregularly shaped blocks. Pull-apart structures occur in Candor, Melas and Ius Chasmata.

[27] In some areas the different styles of deformation can be seen to grade into each other (Figure 11). Thus, the four

types appear to form a continuum of deformation styles that likely depend on the orientation of the principal stresses and the strain rate. The grading in deformation styles occurs laterally as well as vertically, with folded strata transitioning into blocky deposits in several locations as one moves up the stratigraphic section (Figure 11).

4.2. Map Distribution and Stratigraphy of Deformed Regions

4.2.1. Candor Chasma

[28] Region 7 is located in western Candor Chasma and region 8 in eastern Candor Chasma. They occur in areas previously mapped as massive deposits (Avme), surface materials (Avsd), floor materials (Avfs, Amazonian Valles

Table 3. List of CRISM Targeted Images Examined in This Study

Candor Chasma	Melas Chasma	Ius Chasma
FRT0000400F	FRT000043C6	FRT00008950
FRT000039F3	FRT00006347	FRT00009445
FRT0000593E	FRT0000AC5C	FRT0000D5F8
HRL000033B7	FRT0000AD3D	FRT0000B347
FRT000103C5	FRT0000C00F	FRT0000CAE2
HRL00007C86	FRT0000A244	FRT0000873F
FRT0000BE37	FRT0000AA51	FRT000027E2
	FRT0000A3E9	FRT0000905B
	FRT000061BD	FRT0000A396
	HRL000123C5	
	FRT000136D3	
	FRT0001070E

Figure 8. Map showing locations of stratigraphic sections measured in region 3 southeast (labeled Strat 1 and Strat 2). Contacts between units identified in this region are also indicated: contact above and below kilometer-scale convolute folds is mapped as a black line (lines are dashed where contact is inferred), the medium-toned unit lies between the black dashed line and the white line, the massive unit that weathers to boulders lies between the white line and the yellow dashed line, and the thinly stratified unit lies above the dashed yellow line (gradational contact). Representative dips are shown in yellow. Several strata that are repeated through multiple fold blocks in the kilometer-scale convolute folded unit are traced in various colors (green-red-yellow and blue-purple) to indicate the repeating strata. Each color represents one bed that could be followed through multiple blocks.

Marineris smooth floor material), and layered materials (Hvl, Hesperian Valles Marineris layered material) [Scott and Tanaka, 1986]. The deformed strata are observed up to the elevation of a topographic bench that runs around western Candor Chasma and is shown by the white line in Figure 1. The bench was interpreted by Lucchitta [2008] as a shoreline and by Okubo [2010] as forming through eolian erosion. Several large outcrops of the folded strata are found in the western portion of region 7 in Candor at the base of the chasma wall and up to the level of the topographic bench. Another large exposure of deformed strata is found in the topographic low to the east of Ceti Mensa. In several areas in the eastern outcrops of region 7, detached slabs overlie folded strata (Figure 11b). Much of the outcrop of deformed strata in the central portion of region 7 is located on the lower portion of Ceti Mensa. A light-toned unit covers much of the central part of western Candor and appears to overlie the deformed strata. In some areas the deformed strata are exposed in erosional windows through the light-toned unit. Exposures of detached slabs cap elon-

gated mesas in several areas. One example is shown in Figure 12a.

[29] A stratigraphic column was measured within a crater in the northern part of region 7 using DEM 3 (Figures 5 and 12). At the base of the section is 25 m of undeformed sediments. At -125 m elevation, there is a low-angle fault and the layers above the fault were folded into an overturned concentric fold. There are several additional folds and many high-angle faults in the 225 m above the low-angle fault at -125 m (Figure 12). In the southwestern portion of the deformed unit is a potential interval of repeated stratigraphy. There is another 25 m of stratified sediments above the deformed interval. The top of the section is 125 m thick and consists of detached slabs that form a resistant cap. Faults were mapped in the crater wall and the orientations of the faults indicated in Figure 12b are shown in the equal area stereonet in Figure 12c.

4.2.2. Melas Chasma

[30] Three regions of deformed strata occur in western and southern Melas Chasma (Figure 2). The two outcrops of

Figure 9. Map showing locations of stratigraphic sections measured in region 3 southwest (labeled Strat 1–3). Contacts between units are indicated using the same color scheme as Figure 8. Dashed red line shows gradational contact between convolute folds and detached slabs.

convolute folds in region 3 occur on a slope near the northern ridge bounding southern Melas basin (Figure 1d). The convolute folded strata in the southwestern outcrop of region 3 appear to extend over a low point in the ridgeline where they transition into a large outcrop of detached slabs in the central portion of region 3 (Figure 9). There are two smaller outcrops of detached slabs at the base of the northern ridge bounding Melas Chasma (labeled 3 N in Figure 1d). The central and northern outcrops in region 3 are likely part of a continuous outcrop of detached slabs, although no definitive exposures of detached slabs are identified in the area between them (Figure 1d).

[31] Regions 1 and 2 are exposed in the low areas near the chasma walls on the south wall of Melas Chasma (Figure 2). Deformed strata are mostly located topographically below the interior layered deposits on materials previously mapped as interior massive deposits (Avm, Amazonian Valles Marineris younger massive material) and Avme (Amazonian Valles Marineris etched massive material) and interior floor materials (Avfr, Amazonian Valles Marineris rough floor material) by *Scott and Tanaka* [1986]. Small areas of regions 1 and 2 do occur on the lower parts of the interior layered deposit mounds. The large southern outcrops of deformation in regions 1 and 2 appear to be close to the same stratigraphic horizon.

[32] Two stratigraphic columns were measured in the southeastern outcrop of convolute folds in region 3 in southern

Melas basin where DEMs were available (Figure 8). The lowest portion of the basin is filled with a thinly stratified unit with bedding dips between $0.6 \pm 0.1^\circ$ and $1.4 \pm 1^\circ$. Two features interpreted as sublacustrine fans occur in the topographic low of the basin, suggesting the basin was once filled with water [Metz *et al.*, 2009]. At the position of stratigraphic column 2, the thinly stratified unit is overlain by a 96 m thick massive unit with hints of undisturbed layering. The massive unit is overlain by a 280 m thick convolute-folded unit. Further to the west at the position of stratigraphic column 1, a 23.9 m thick set of clinoforms lies above the thinly stratified unit and is overlain by a 146 m thick convolute folded unit. No massive unit is observed below the convolute folds in this location. The convolute folded unit may be a relatively thin unit deposited on a paleoslope or it may fit conformably into the stratigraphy. However, there is no evidence for onlap of the slope by the convolute folded unit. Above the convolute folded unit is a 148 m thick medium-toned unit that lacks signs of bedding. At the position of stratigraphic column 1, the medium-toned unit is overlain by a 44 m thick massive unit that weathers into boulders, and this unit thickens to the west to 282 m at the position of stratigraphic column 1. The medium-toned unit interfingers and grades into a 131 m thick thinly stratified unit that caps the ridge on the north side of southern Melas basin. On the west side of southern Melas basin this

Figure 10. Some of the detached slabs show resistant edges as illustrated by the white arrows (ESP_014339_1710).

thinly stratified unit is only 56 m thick and has been eroded via fluvial incision.

[33] On the south chasma wall of southern Melas basin, a unit that appears to have slumped down the chasma wall is observed (Figure 13). This unit contains bedding that dips to the north at $\sim 7^\circ$ which is steeper than the $\sim 1^\circ$ dips of strata present on the basin floor. A large section of rock appears to have slid away from the chasma wall, including a small stratified section of rock (Figure 13b). An S-fold is observed where the section of 'sliding' rock has likely collided with the layered sediments on the basin floor (Figure 13b). A set of isolated elliptical patterns is exposed in a several hundred meter long vertical exposure of rock near where the sliding block collided with the basin floor sediments (Figure 13c).

[34] A cross section from the north to south end of southern Melas basin was measured at the location of stratigraphic column 2 (Figures 1b and 6). This shows how the stratigraphy fits into the regional topography of the basin. There are at least two possible interpretations for the stratigraphy at depth in southern Melas basin as shown in Figures 6a and 6b. The strata observed at the surface could onlap the side of an older buried depositional basin (Figure 6a). One constraint that could favor this interpretation is that bedding in the northern wall of southern Melas basin dips at $\sim 19^\circ$ to the south. If these beds were deposited on a preexisting slope, they would be expected to dip to the south. In the second possible interpretation, the strata observed at the surface are older than the wall rock

composing the chasma walls of Valles Marineris and are exposed through erosion (Figure 6b). The exposed stratigraphy and contacts within southern Melas basin do not allow discrimination between these two options. However, just to the east of southern Melas basin, layered sediments are observed to onlap the wall of the basin, suggesting at least some deposition of layered sediments occurred after the formation of the basin.

[35] In the southwestern outcrop of convolute folds in region 3, which is ~ 27 km to the west of the southeastern outcrop (Figure 1d), a similar stratigraphy to the southeastern outcrop was observed. Three stratigraphic columns were constructed for the southwestern outcrop using MOLA data (Figure 9). At the position of stratigraphic column 3, a thick interval (~ 325 m) of kilometer-scale convolute folds is observed. The deformed unit is overlain by the same three units observed to overlie the folds in region 3, a medium-toned unit, a massive unit and a laterally interfingering massive and thinly stratified unit. The two other stratigraphic columns measured in the area show ~ 100 m thick exposures of convolute folds. In stratigraphic column 2, the folds are covered with a thin medium-toned unit that is overlain by a thin light-toned unit. In stratigraphic column 1, the folds are covered by a thin (~ 15 m thick), dark-toned stratified unit overlain by a thin (~ 15 m thick), medium-toned and stratified unit.

[36] A stratigraphic column was estimated using MOLA data in the central outcrop of region 3 in western Melas

Figure 11. Several styles of deformation can be seen to grade into each other. Dashed black lines show gradational contacts. (a) Lateral gradation between deformation types. Folded strata grade into pull-apart structures and detached slabs. Location of image shown in Figure 1a. (b) Vertical transition between folded strata and detached slabs. Location of image shown in Figure 1a.

Chasma (Figure 1d). The lowest unit is a ~150 m thick exposure of detached slabs. There is a thin, light-toned deposit that drapes the detached slabs and the ridge adjacent to the detached slabs. The light-toned drape appears similar in tone and texture to a light-toned draping deposit seen on the ridge in the western part of southern Melas basin (Figure 14). The light-toned drape also appears similar to the material

composing the convolute folds. However, because the light-toned unit drapes detached slabs that can be seen to grade into the convolute folds, the light-toned unit must be stratigraphically younger.

[37] A stratigraphic column was constructed for the southeastern outcrop of deformed strata in region 1 in southeastern Melas Chasma (Figures 5 and 15). The base of the

Figure 12. (a) Perspective view of DEM showing that the detached slabs form a resistant mesa. White box shows location of Figure 12b. (b) Portion of crater wall in DEM with key strata to help visualize deformation mapped in red and faults in yellow. Faults whose orientation was measured are numbered 1–10. (c) Equal angle stereonet of orientations of faults indicated in Figure 12b ($n = 10$).

column consists of ~600 m of stratified deposits. A ~500 m thick unit with a weathering pattern that makes it difficult to determine the type of bedding occurs above the layered strata in the location where the stratigraphic column was measured. However, farther to the west at the same stratigraphic interval the deposits consist of folded strata (Figures 15a and 15b). These folds are largely elongated in a downslope direction. We have tentatively assumed the unit with the lattice-like weathering pattern also consists of folded strata. Above the unit with the lattice-like weathering pattern is another 100 m of stratified deposits. Above these are ~125 m of pulled-apart strata (Figures 15a and 15c). The eastern boundary of the areal exposure of the pulled-apart strata is very linear and may be a fault. At the top of the section are ~375 m of stratified deposits.

[38] In the stratigraphic columns measured in the southeastern outcrops in region 3 and region 1, both the lower and upper contacts of the deformed units could be identified. In the other outcrops, either the lower or upper contact was observed, but not both in the location where the stratigraphic column was measured. However, in many regions these missing upper or lower contacts were observed in other outcrops within the region. The fact that both lower and upper contacts are observed in many areas implies that the deformation is restricted to a limited, relatively thin strati-

graphic interval. The thickness of the deformed unit ranges from 100 m to 350 m thick, although in many areas only minimum thicknesses could be estimated, since both the lower and upper contacts were not observed.

4.2.3. Ius Chasma

[39] Regions 4–6 occur in Ius Chasma. All of the outcrops in Ius occur on the floor of the chasma in areas previously mapped as interior floor (Avfr) and surface materials (Avsd (Amazonian Valles Marineris dark surface material)) by *Scott and Tanaka* [1986]. There are no interior layered deposits or mounds near the deformed strata in Ius Chasma, suggesting the origin of the latter is not linked to the former. In region 4, the deformed strata are exposed in the lowest topographic areas, and in the eastern part of the region are covered by a terraced alluvial fan. Sediment eroded from the southern wall of Ius overlies the southern end of the deformed unit. In the western part of the region deformed strata are observed up to the level of a resistant bed in the northern wall of Ius. The areas above the resistant bed are mantled with eolian sediments. The southern portion of the deformed strata is covered with materials derived from erosion of the southern wall of Ius. *Roach et al.* [2009b] also examined the strata in this topographic low and found that they were composed of kieserite, polyhydrated sulfate and hydrated silicate with the polyhydrated sulfates found at higher elevations than the

Figure 13. (a) Location of slump in southern Melas basin. Dashed line shows edge of slump. White arrows show where slump block slid into preexisting basin floor strata. White boxes show locations of Figures 13b and 13c. (b) Zoomed-in portion of slump showing a small stratified block that has pulled away from the main slump mass (white arrow). Black arrow shows location of S-fold where the slump collides with basin-floor strata. (c) Vertical section where hypothesized slump folds are shown in cross section. Locations of the elliptical features are indicated with white arrows (one of the elliptical features is outlined with a white dashed line as an example). Figures 13b and 13c are from PSP_002828_1700.

kieserite. In region 6 in the northern portion of Ius Chasma, several types of deformed strata are observed and are partially covered by Amazonian landslide deposits. CRISM image FRT0000A396 examined by *Roach et al.* [2009b] shows that the deformed strata in this area are composed of nonhydrated material.

[40] Several different types of deformed strata are exposed in Region 5 at the bottom of the floor of western Ius Chasma (Figure 16). Stratigraphic sections for this area are shown in Figure 5. In the western outcrop of this region grading between detached slabs, pull-apart strata and folded strata is observed. The deformed strata occur in topographic lows and are exposed in an erosional window; several landslides overlie the deformed strata. A stratigraphic column was measured in this area and consists of ~100 m of deformed strata at the base overlain by a ~50 m thick light-toned unit (Figure 16). At the top of the section is ~150 m of a dark toned mantling unit. The deformed strata are covered by

aeolian dunes in some of the low areas where the dark-toned unit has been eroded away.

4.3. Elevations of Deformed Strata

[41] The deformed units are observed to occur at a variety of elevations, including as low as -4100 m in Melas Chasma and up to 3400 m in Candor Chasma. The elevations of the deformed strata range from -4200 to -1000 m in Ius Chasma, from -4100 to 400 m in Melas Chasma, and from -2500 to 3400 m in Candor Chasma. There does not seem to be a pattern in the elevations where deformed strata are observed.

4.4. Fold Orientations

[42] Orientations of fold axial traces were measured wherever possible (i.e., for folded strata and convolute folds) and have largely bimodal trends as shown in Figure 7. There is one set of fold axes with a NE-SW orientation and another set with a SE-NW orientation. This trend holds

Figure 14. Light-toned unit that drapes the ridge in the western part of southern Melas basin (PSP_009025_1705).

well for the folds in western Candor Chasma and for those in Ius Chasma. Folds in Melas Chasma exhibit more variation, with the fold axes in eastern Melas Chasma having primarily a NE-SW orientation, which is roughly perpendicular to the nearest chasma wall.

4.5. Composition of Deformed Strata Inferred From CRISM Spectra

[43] CRISM images covering the deformed strata exhibit spectral signatures consistent with the presence of sulfates. Monohydrated sulfate (likely the Mg variety kieserite) and polyhydrated sulfates are detected in spectra of deformed beds in Ius, Candor and Melas Chasmata (Figure 17). Another type of material that does not show a good spectral match to any library spectra is detected in Ius and Melas Chasmata (Figures 17c and 17e). Ratio spectra of this unknown material has absorption bands at $1.4\ \mu\text{m}$, $1.91\ \mu\text{m}$ and a ‘doublet’ near 2.21 and $2.27\ \mu\text{m}$ (Figures 17d and 17f). Roach *et al.* [2009b] previously identified this material in Ius and Coprates Chasmata and Noctis Labrynthus and discuss its spectral characteristics in detail. We also note that the strength of the $1.4\ \mu\text{m}$ band for this material is variable, and the relative strengths of the 2.21 and $2.27\ \mu\text{m}$ bands also vary (Figures 17d and 17f). This suggests that the material is a mixture of at least two different phases, similar to the finding of Roach *et al.* [2009b]. Laboratory experiments by Tosca *et al.* [2008] formed a material which may be jarosite mixed with poorly crystalline clays or silica, and it has similar spectral properties to this unknown phase. The material precipitated in the Tosca *et al.* [2008] experiments formed at a moderate pH of 6–7 and was XRD amorphous. This material is also seen in layered material that onlaps the

ridgelines to the west of region 8. This novel material is not identified in any of the ILD mesas in Candor or Melas Chasma, instead these ILD show strong spectral signatures consistent with the presence of kieserite and polyhydrated sulfates [Roach *et al.*, 2009a]. The deformed units in region 1 also do not show the novel material.

5. Discussion

5.1. Rheology of Deformed Strata

[44] The styles of deformation observed in Valles Marineris suggest that much of the deformation occurred in the plastic regime. The exception to this is the pull-apart structures that likely were deformed in the brittle regime. Because the deformed strata appear to be largely composed of several types of sulfates, including kieserite ($\rho = 2.57\ \text{g cm}^{-3}$) and polyhydrated sulfates, it is important to consider the deformational behavior of these minerals. Though published data on the material properties of sulfates is limited, the density of gypsum ($\rho = 2.32\ \text{g cm}^{-3}$) is less than that of most sedimentary and volcanic rocks, so given this flow-strength contrast it should theoretically deform in a fashion similar to halite at low strain rates [Williams-Stroud and Paul, 1997]. Halite is weak and easily mobilized by solid state flow and can flow at low temperatures and differential stresses [Jackson and Talbot, 1986]. Some work has been done on the deformation of gypsum which shows that at very slow strain rates the strength of gypsum approaches that of halite, which deforms plastically at shallow depths at strain rates of 10^{-8} – $10^{-14}\ \text{s}^{-1}$ [Williams-Stroud and Paul, 1997; Jackson and Talbot, 1986]. Gypsum undergoes creep at faster strain rates if water is present [de Meer and Spiers, 1995]. In

Figure 15. (a) Multiple types of deformation exposed in region 1 east (P07_003909_1686, P17_007535_1688). White boxes show locations of Figures 15b and 15c. White line shows location where the stratigraphic column was estimated. (b) Folded strata (ESP_013719_1665). (c) Pull-apart strata (ESP_013508_1665).

order for water to be trapped with the gypsum during deformation, it would need to be covered with low-permeability rock, such as clay-rich mudstones [Heard and Rubey, 1966]. The strength of these sulfates increases with water loss. Anhydrite requires 2 orders of magnitude larger differential stress than gypsum in order to attain diapiric strain rates [Williams-Stroud and Paul, 1997].

[45] The deformed sediments in Melas and Ius could also consist of volcanic ash that was deposited rapidly over a large area and became water saturated and subsequently altered. This interpretation could fit with the spectral detection of the material that may be jarosite mixed with poorly crystalline altered clays or silica. Studies of ash rheology show that the transition from brittle to ductile deformation occurs between 800 and 850°C but shifts to lower temperatures with

increasing water pressure or with lower rates of displacement [Robert *et al.*, 2008].

5.2. Relative Age of Deformed Strata

[46] An important consideration is whether the strata on the floor of Valles Marineris, both the mounds of light-toned strata (ILD) and the surrounding sediments, predate or postdate chasma formation. This question has been debated in the literature with several authors suggesting the light-toned layered rock predates chasma formation and is being exhumed from below the wall rock [Malin and Edgett, 2000; Montgomery and Gillespie, 2005; Catling *et al.*, 2006] and most others suggesting the sediments postdate or were contemporaneous with chasmata formation [Lucchitta *et al.*, 1992; Peulvast and Masson, 1993; Lucchitta *et al.*, 1994;

Figure 16. Deformation exposed in region 5. (a) White and black dashed lines show extent of deformed strata. White box shows location of Figure 16b. (b) Grading between detached slabs, pull-apart strata, and folded strata is observed. The white box shows the location of Figure 3e. The location where stratigraphic column 1 was measured is shown by the white line.

Chapman and Tanaka, 2001; Weitz *et al.*, 2001; Komatsu *et al.*, 2004; Fueten *et al.*, 2006, 2008; Okubo *et al.*, 2008; Okubo, 2010]. Much of this controversy arises from the fact that there are very few clear, unambiguous contacts between the light-toned layered deposits, which are often near the centers of chasmata, and the canyon wall rock. Here we review the evidence presented in previous literature to support relative age interpretations for the ILD (cited images are listed in Table 4).

[47] From the first images sent back by the Mariner and Viking spacecraft, the wall rock of Valles Marineris was seen as distinct from the light-toned layered rock found on the floors of the chasmata. Wall rock is described as showing ‘spur and gully’ morphology or smooth talus slopes and as giving rise to landslides, whereas the ILD are described as showing light-colored smooth surfaces with fluted topography distinctly different from wall rock [Lucchitta *et al.*, 1992]. An early study based on Viking images (Viking Orbiter 1 frames 913A11 and 913A13, 20–125 m/pixel) observed that the ILD in the divide between Ophir and central Candor Chasma onlap the spur and gully morphology of the canyon wall [Nedell *et al.*, 1987]. Lucchitta *et al.* [1994] observed that in east Candor, the ILD abuts and buries wall rock already eroded into spurs and gullies along the south part of the chasma. Witbeck *et al.* [1991] suggest that in Juventae and Ganges Chasmata layered deposits overlie conical hills that are similar to chaotic terrain farther west. In Melas Chasma, Peulvast and Masson [1993] state that ‘no direct contact can be seen between the smooth surfaces (of the ILD) and the south Melas Chasma wall, as a 15–50 km ‘moat’ separates them.’ They further note that landslides from the south Melas wall rock partly fill the moat and cover the base of the ILD bench, obscuring the contact. Peulvast and Masson [1993] suggest that the layered sediments in south Melas embay the wide reentrants and ridges, and thus formed in a basin whose walls already had their present outline.

[48] When the Mars Orbiter Camera (MOC) on the Mars Global Surveyor began sending back images (4–10 m/pixel),

new details of the ILD and wall rock were evident. Malin and Edgett [2000] suggest that several MOC images show that light-toned layered strata underlie the wall rock. They cite MOC images (M17–000468, M17–00467 and M20–01506) covering the eastern part of the wall of northwest Candor as evidence that the ILD continue under the chasma walls. While light-toned material can be seen high up on the wall rock in this location, a direct stratigraphic contact between the light-toned layered material and the wall rock is obscured by an eolian mantle, making the stratigraphic relationship ambiguous. It is possible the light-toned layers could underlie the wall rock here, but they could also onlap the wall rock. Additional images from west Candor (M14–00631, M-18–00099 and M19–00784) are cited as the best locations where layered units can be seen going into and under the chasm walls [Malin and Edgett, 2000]. In these areas, light-toned layered rocks are observed up to the level of a topographic bench, as described earlier in this paper. However, the direct stratigraphic contacts are also obscured here by eolian mantles and talus deposits. Their other examples from Coprates (M20–00380, M20–01760, M21–00103) and Ius (M08–07173) are also ambiguous with the actual contacts obscured by eolian mantle or talus deposits. Montgomery and Gillespie [2005] cite additional areas in Candor (R07–00897) and southwest Melas (R04–01897, R08–00286 and R08–00287) where they interpret wall rock as underlain by light-toned layered deposits. These areas also show ambiguous contacts that could represent onlap or wall rock underlain by light-toned strata.

[49] Catling *et al.* [2006] examined many MOC images (Table 4) in Juventae Chasma which they state show that the ILD are older than the wall rock and chaos material. Many of these images do show promising contacts, and HiRISE images (PSP_005557_1755 and PSP_003790_1755, ~25 cm/pixel) confirm that light-toned strata do underlie wall rock near mound A of Catling *et al.* [2006]. In this location, the HiRISE images resolve a relatively long exposure of a contact between the light-toned strata and the wall rock (Figure 18b).

Figure 17

Table 4. Images Cited in the Literature as Showing the Contact Between Light-Toned Deposits and Wall Rock in Valles Marineris

Camera	Image	Location	Resolution	Reference
<i>Candor</i>				
Viking Orbiter 1	913A11	Ophir-Candor	63 m/pixel	<i>Nedell et al.</i> [1987]
Viking Orbiter 1	913A13	Ophir-Candor	63 m/pixel	<i>Nedell et al.</i> [1987]
MOC	M17-00468	5.56°S, 74.20°W	244 m/pixel	<i>Malin and Edgett</i> [2000]
MOC	M17-00467	5.52°S, 74.56°W	5.8 m/pixel	<i>Malin and Edgett</i> [2000]
MOC	M20-01506	5.17°S, 74.52°W	2.9 m/pixel	<i>Malin and Edgett</i> [2000]
MOC	M14-00631	5.10°S, 77.1°W	5.71 m/pixel	<i>Malin and Edgett</i> [2000]
MOC	M18-00099	4.98°S, 77.33°W	4.29 m/pixel	<i>Malin and Edgett</i> [2000]
MOC	M19-00784	5.17°S, 77.36°W	4.29 m/pixel	<i>Malin and Edgett</i> [2000]
MOC	R07-00897	4.90°S, 76.80°W	3.02 m/pixel	<i>Montgomery and Gillespie</i> [2005]
<i>Coprates</i>				
MOC	M20-00380	14.64°S, 53.86°W	4.25 m/pixel	<i>Malin and Edgett</i> [2000]
MOC	M20-01760	13.04°S, 65.13°W	5.68 m/pixel	<i>Malin and Edgett</i> [2000]
MOC	M21-00103	14.64°S, 56.58°W	2.84 m/pixel	<i>Malin and Edgett</i> [2000]
MOC	AB-106306	10.26°S, 69.31°W	5.97 m/pixel	<i>Chapman and Tanaka</i> [2001]
<i>Ius</i>				
MOC	M08-07173	8.10°S, 84.30°W	5.7 m/pixel	<i>Malin and Edgett</i> [2000]
<i>Melas</i>				
MOC	R04-01897	11.11°S, 75.45°W	5.96 m/pixel	<i>Montgomery and Gillespie</i> [2005]
MOC	R08-00286	10.48°S, 75.77°W	4.48 m/pixel	<i>Montgomery and Gillespie</i> [2005]
MOC	R08-00287	10.52°S, 75.40°W	250 m/pixel	<i>Montgomery and Gillespie</i> [2005]
<i>Juventae</i>				
MOC	M10-00466	4.58°S, 63.43°W	2.87 m/pixel	<i>Komatsu et al.</i> [2004], <i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	E22-00455	2.31°S, 62.00°W	6.03 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	R03-00948	4.84°S, 63.50°W	2.87 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	E02-02546	4.15°S, 62.67°W	4.33 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	M11-02064	3.33°S, 62.01°W	1.43 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	E22-01273	2.46°S, 62.28°W	4.53 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	M10-00466	4.58°S, 63.43°W	2.87 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	E23-01035	4.47°S, 62.60°W	3.01 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	M04-00651	3.60°S, 61.98°W	4.3 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	E16-00591	2.37°S, 62.21°W	4.54 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	E11-02581	4.63°S, 63.39°W	3.02 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	E11-01370	4.28°S, 62.55°W	3.02 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	M21-00460	3.42°S, 61.93°W	5.75 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	E16-00591	2.37°S, 62.21°W	4.54 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	R02-00160	4.61°S, 63.30°W	3.01 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	M07-02818	3.37°S, 61.92°W	2.87 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	E14-01770	2.34°S, 62.15°W	3.02 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	E22-00892	2.28°S, 62.15°W	4.52 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	E22-00455	2.31°S, 62.00°W	6.03 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	R15-02329	2.27°S, 62.12°W	4.53 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	M08-04669	2.75°S, 61.70°W	5.74 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	E17-01902	2.85°S, 61.76°W	4.52 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	R05-00012	4.49°S, 64.07°W	3.01 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
MOC	R05-01255	4.44°S, 64.12°W	3.01 m/pixel	<i>Catling et al.</i> [2006]
HiRISE	PSP_005557_1755	4.69°S, 63.20°W	27.1 cm/pixel	This paper
HiRISE	PSP_003790_1755	4.61°S, 63.10°W	26.7 cm/pixel	This paper
<i>Ophir</i>				
MOC	S04-01271	4.75°S, 73.43°W	3.01 m/pixel	<i>Chojnacki and Hynes</i> [2008]

Figure 17. (a) Band parameter map from CRISM targeted image HRL00007C86 overlaid on CTX image from region 7 in Candor Chasma. Red channel is BD 2210, green channel is BD 2100, and blue channel is SINDEX. Green areas show kieserite. (b) Four CRISM spectra (from areas indicated by arrows) show a good spectral match to the laboratory spectrum of kieserite. (c) Band parameter map from CRISM targeted image FRT0000AD3D overlaid on CTX image from region 3 in Melas Chasma. Red channel is BD 2210, green channel is BD 2100, and blue channel is SINDEX. (d) Four CRISM spectra (from areas indicated by arrows) show the unknown material with bands near 1.9 μm and a doublet at 2.21 and 2.27 μm . The drop in reflectance near 2.01 μm is due to incomplete removal of atmospheric CO_2 and is not a surface absorption. (e) Band parameter map from CRISM targeted images FRT0000905B, FRT000027E2, and FRT0000A396 overlaid on CTX image from region 6 in Ius Chasma. Purple areas show the unknown material. Red channel is BD 2210, green channel is BD 2100, and blue channel is BD 1900. (f) CRISM spectra from FRT0000905B and FRT000027E2 show the unknown material in the purple areas with bands at 1.43 μm , 1.91 μm , and a doublet at 2.21 and 2.27 μm . The relative strength of the bands in the doublet varies. The drop in reflectance near 2.01 μm is due to incomplete removal of atmospheric CO_2 and is not a surface absorption.

Figure 18. (a) Onlap relationship between the light-toned stratified deposits and the wall rock in south Melas Chasma. The light-toned layers fill in the topographic lows between the ridgelines (P05_002907_1706, PSP_004397_1695). (b) Contact between wall rock and light-toned layers in a ridgeline in Juventae Chasma (PSP_5557_1755).

This exposure occurs along a ridgeline in a spur and shows a sharp, irregular contact that cuts across elevation. The light-toned strata are less resistant to erosion than the dark-toned wall rock material which caps the ridge. The contact appears to be an erosional unconformity and shows that the local wall rock directly overlies the light-toned strata.

[50] Other authors have described locations in MOC imagery where layered deposits appear to onlap chasma walls. *Chapman and Tanaka* [2001] observed layered deposits ‘plastered onto’ the chasma walls in Coprates (MOC image AB-106306). *Komatsu et al.* [2004] observed that an ILD mound overlaid the chaotic terrain in Juventae (MOC image M10-00466) and that the ILD are covered by younger landslides and eolian material. MOC image M10-00466 was later cited by *Catling et al.* [2006] to show that light-toned strata underlie the wall rock. *Flauhaut et al.* [2010] similarly observe ILD to overlie chaotic mounds in Capri. *Chojnacki and Hynes* [2008] suggest light-toned layers are ‘pasted on’ to wall rock in Ophir Chasma (S04-01271). We regard these interpretations as ambiguous,

because the contacts are mostly obscured by eolian mantles, talus deposits, and landslides; in areas where there may be direct contacts (such as Juventae), these contacts are not fully resolved in MOC imagery.

[51] Structural relationships have also been used to argue the relative ages of the ILD. Using High Resolution Stereo Camera (HRSC)-derived DEMs, *Fuete et al.* [2006] measured the dips of layers in the ILD mounds of southwestern Candor Chasma and found that they were parallel to local slopes. Similar results were found for the ILD in Ophir [Zegers et al., 2006], Hebes [Hauber et al., 2006], Iani [Sowe et al., 2007], and Coprates Chasma [Hamelin et al., 2008]. *Okubo et al.* [2008] and *Okubo* [2010] used HiRISE DEMs to measure the dips of strata to the south of the ILD mounds in southwest Candor and found that they generally dip toward the center of Candor, suggesting they postdate formation of the ancestral basin.

[52] A search for unambiguous contacts between wall rock and light-toned stratified deposits was made in a separate effort by J. Metz and R. Milliken (unpublished analysis, 2010) using CTX and HiRISE imagery, but none were found in central Valles Marineris. In virtually every location, these contacts are obscured by eolian mantles, talus deposits, or landslides. In southern Melas Chasma, just to the east of southern Melas basin, there is a convincing area where the light-toned stratified deposits clearly onlap and fill in the topographic lows between ridges of wall rock (Figure 18a). Structural data also seems to support a younger age for the light-toned deposits. The exception to this is in Juventae Chasma, where promising contacts showing wall rock overlying light-toned layers are observed. These few relatively unambiguous examples illustrate that the relative ages may differ in each chasma with light-toned layered rock predating chasma formation in some areas and postdating it in others. Locally, light-toned layers may underlie wall rock, but in other locations the light-toned strata may have infilled preexisting basins. Thus, resolving the controversy in the ages of the wall rock and light-toned layers may have to wait until landed missions can use absolute age dating techniques in multiple chasmata.

5.3. Possible Causes of Deformation

[53] There are several possible mechanisms that could explain the observed deformation features in the strata of Valles Marineris. The salient features which need to be explained are (1) the lateral distribution pattern of deformation, including exposures as large as 1800 km² across spanning ~1000 km of Valles Marineris; (2) the deformational styles, including convolute folds, detached slabs, folded strata and pull-apart structures and their gradations, both laterally and vertically, between different styles; (3) fold axis orientations which are largely bimodal with NE-SW and SE-NW orientations; (4) the scale of the deformation features, including kilometer-scale convolute folds and 60 m to 1 km sized detached slabs; (5) the thickness of the deformed intervals which range up to 350 m; (6) confinement of deformation to discrete stratigraphic intervals that occur at widely different elevations throughout the Valles Marineris system; and (7) composition of the deformed strata, which includes at least a component of sulfates.

[54] Salt diapirism has been previously invoked to explain the folding in Candor and Melas Chasma [Beyer et al.,

2000; Milliken *et al.*, 2007]. However, subsequent examination of new localities showed that undeformed strata are found directly beneath the folds in several areas in Candor and Melas and suggests that this mechanism is not consistent with all observations. Deformation caused by salt diapirism should show evidence of vertical motions, but the deformation observed in Valles Marineris does not show this, and where the orientations of fold axes can be measured, they are found to dip shallowly, consistent with subhorizontal shear. Due to this evidence, we will not consider salt diapirism any further. Alternative mechanisms that may explain many of the observed features include liquefaction, landsliding, and gravity gliding.

5.3.1. Liquefaction

[55] Liquefaction occurs when metastable, loosely packed grains become temporarily suspended in their pore fluid, and the drag exerted from the moving pore fluid exceeds the weight of the grains, lifting the grains and destroying the framework; this reduces the sediment strength to nearly zero [Lowe, 1975]. Liquefaction arises in unconsolidated sediments either before or soon after burial [Fernandes *et al.*, 2007]. On Earth, liquefaction is often triggered by seismic shaking associated with surface waves generated by large earthquakes; the deformed deposits that result are called “seismites” [Seilacher, 1969]. Seismic induced liquefaction typically occurs when a liquefiable sand layer is overlain by a thin, nonliquefiable stratum and the water table is shallow; this causes the pore fluid pressure to increase [Obermeier, 1996]. Other factors that increase the susceptibility of sediments to liquefaction include (1) recent deposition, (2) rapid deposition, (3) water saturation, (4) presence of homogenous fine-grained sediment, (5) shallow burial, and (6) absence of cements [Allen, 1986; Montenat *et al.*, 2007]. Sediments are commonly undeformed below the seismites, since these sediments have already been consolidated or cemented and are no longer susceptible to liquefaction [Pope *et al.*, 1997].

[56] Criteria that are used to recognize seismites include (1) restriction of deformation to a stratigraphic interval separated by undeformed beds, (2) occurrence in potentially liquefiable sediments, (3) zones of structures correlatable over large areas, and (4) presence of structures that suggest liquefaction [Sims, 1975]. The deformation observed in Valles Marineris meets many of the criteria used to recognize seismites. The deformation is confined to a discrete interval with undeformed overlying and underlying beds. The deformation occurs over a large area and the deformational structures are consistent with those commonly reported to form from liquefaction. Seismites show a variety of deformational features including: ball-and-pillow structures, convoluted folded strata, sandblows, sand dikes, sedimentary breccias, homogenized beds, pull-apart structures, and slumps and slides [Davenport and Ringrose, 1987; Roep and Everts, 1992; Mohindra and Bagati, 1996; Pope *et al.*, 1997; Rodríguez-Pascua *et al.*, 2000; Fernandes *et al.*, 2007; Montenat *et al.*, 2007]. Earthquakes can cause strata to fail and shear on gently inclined slopes as low as 0.1–5% causing separation between individual slide blocks of as much as several meters [Obermeier, 1996]. The deformational structures observed in Valles Marineris are similar to many of those reported in seismites on Earth, such as convoluted folded strata, detached slabs and pull-apart structures. The variation in seomite types is thought to be related to the

stage of lithification, sediment characteristics and lithostatic pressure [Roep and Everts, 1992; Montenat *et al.*, 2007]. The style of deformation can be seen to vary laterally in seismites, as is also observed in the deformation in Valles Marineris [Mohindra and Thakur, 1998].

[57] The cyclic shaking required to cause liquefaction on Earth is a horizontal acceleration of at least $0.1 \times g$, and features caused by earthquake induced liquefaction have been observed to occur from earthquakes greater than magnitude 5.5 [Obermeier, 1996]. The distance at which liquefaction features have been observed to occur from earthquakes of various magnitudes has been recorded. From Allen [1986] the relationship between earthquake magnitude (M) and distance (X) is

$$M = 0.499 \ln \left(\frac{X}{3.162 \times 10^{-5}} \right) \quad (1)$$

[58] Because the deformation that we observe in Valles Marineris occurred across a distance of 1180 km, this implies that if this deformation was caused by earthquake induced liquefaction then the ‘marsquake’ may have been at least magnitude 8.7, subject to the caveat that the effect of different crustal properties of Mars on this empirical relation is unknown. Another possibility is that the liquefaction was not caused by a marsquake, but by seismic energy generated from an impact event. Several seismites on Earth are suggested to have been induced from impact events, including deformation in the Carmel Formation and Slickrock Member of the Entrada Sandstone in southeastern Utah [Alvarez *et al.*, 1998]; in the Cotham Member of Penarth Group in the United Kingdom [Simms, 2003]; and in the Alamo breccia in southern Nevada [French, 2004]. The Cretaceous-Tertiary impact is suggested to have generated a magnitude 13 earthquake which could have deformed unlithified sediments over several thousand kilometers [Collins *et al.*, 2005]. If an impactor was the source of the seismic energy that caused the deformation in Valles Marineris, it would likely have been at least 3 km in size to generate magnitude 8.7 marsquakes (calculated using Impact Effects Program from Collins *et al.* [2005]). The crater formed by this impact would be about 36 km in size. There are several impact craters of this size or larger surrounding central Valles Marineris.

[59] On Earth, liquefaction caused by earthquakes commonly arises at a depth ranging from a few meters to about 15 m and becomes increasingly difficult at depth; this is because the vertical stress applied by the overburden increases the resistance of the sediment to shearing and deformation [Obermeier, 1996]. The thickness of deformed sediments is thus limited by the maximum depth of sediments susceptible to liquefaction at the time of the earthquake [Simms, 2003]. On Earth, seismites are typically on the order of tens of centimeters to ten meters thick [Roep and Everts, 1992; Mohindra and Bagati, 1996; Pope *et al.*, 1997; Mohindra and Thakur, 1998; Jones and Omoto, 2000; Rodríguez-Pascua *et al.*, 2000; Simms, 2003; Fernandes *et al.*, 2007]. This contrasts with the exposures of deformed beds in Valles Marineris which are up to 350 m thick. If these are seismites, it would require that sediments compact and lithify much more slowly on Mars. Sediments may not compact as easily on Mars due to the lower gravity; the mass of overburden

Figure 19. Plot showing landslide volume (V) on horizontal axis in km^3 and landslide runout (L) on vertical axis in km. Data for Martian landslides is taken from *Quantin et al.* [2004] (squares) and for terrestrial nonvolcanic landslides from *Hayashi and Self* [1992] (triangles). The volume to runout of the Martian deformed regions are from this study (diamonds).

would need to be about a third larger in order to have a similar weight of overburden with depth as on Earth. If seismites are indeed up to 350 m thick on Mars, it may also imply that cementation occurs more slowly there than on Earth. The degree of lithification would be lower if water in groundwater systems on Mars was less abundant on average than in groundwater systems on Earth. This is consistent with the evidence of incomplete lithification of the rocks observed in Meridiani Planum [McLennan et al., 2005].

[60] Another challenge to interpreting the deformation in Valles Marineris as seismites is that the wavelength of folds in seismites on Earth is typically tens of centimeters to a couple of meters. The wavelength of folding observed on Mars is ~50 m to a kilometer. The factors controlling the wavelength of folding in seismites on Earth are uncertain, but *Rodríguez-Pascua et al.* [2000] suggest that folding is the result of the upward flow of liquefied sand at more or less regularly spaced sites. The wavelength may also depend on the thickness of the deforming layer or on the amplitude of the seismic waves. *Pope et al.* [1997] found that the fold axes of seismites were randomly oriented, although *Simms* [2003] found seismite fold axes had a strong preferred orientation. *Simms* [2003] suggests the preferred fold axes orientations are perpendicular to the direction of travel of seismic waves. *Rodríguez-Pascua et al.* [2000] observed that the axes of seismite convolute folds were bimodal with the two directions roughly perpendicular to each other. They suggest this is related to the directions of maximum compression and maximum stress from the paleostress ellipse.

[61] Overall, many of the properties of the deformed sediments observed in Valles Marineris fit with a seismite interpretation. The observation of the deformation covering a broad region, the styles of deformation, and the confinement of the deformation to discrete intervals all fit well with an interpretation as seismites. However, the thickness of the deformed interval may be difficult to achieve in seismites and would imply an unusually thick stack of unlithified

sediments on Mars. This would imply lithification occurred more slowly on Mars. The scale of the folding and the orientations of the fold axes are not well understood on Earth, and thus evaluating these constraints on Mars is difficult.

5.3.2. Landslides

[62] Landslides deposits are common in Valles Marineris and represent some of the youngest geologic activity in this area (Late Hesperian to Amazonian) [Harrison and Grimm, 2003; Quantin et al., 2004]. These landslides were originally thought to be wet debris flows because of their long runout distances [Lucchitta, 1979, 1987]. However, recent work has suggested the role of interstitial fluid was negligible, and the runout distances are consistent with dry terrestrial landslides [McEwen, 1989; Lajeunesse et al., 2006; Soukhovitskaya and Manga, 2006]. The morphology of these landslides includes slump blocks at the head, hummocky material farther out, and a vast apron of longitudinally ridged material extending to the toe [Lucchitta, 1978].

[63] Landslides are also suggested to have occurred at much earlier times in Valles Marineris. *Okubo et al.* [2008] suggests that folded strata in southwestern Candor Chasma are the result of a subaerial or subaqueous landslide that has been eroded such that the characteristic surface morphology is no longer present. They suggest that the folds reflect compression at the toe of a southward directed slide/slump from the nearby ILD. It has also been suggested that the detached slabs in Melas Chasma may be the result of landsliding [Skilling et al., 2002; Weitz et al., 2003].

[64] Interpreting the deformed strata observed in Valles Marineris as the result of ancient landsliding does fit with the limited stratigraphic extent observed for the deformed strata. One would expect the beds stratigraphically below a landslide unit to be undeformed, because beds below the detachment surface of a landslide as well as beds below the surface over which the landslide runs out are not affected by the slide. The beds above a landslide deposit should also be undeformed, because they are deposited after the

landslide occurs. The strata both underlying and overlying the deformed beds are undisturbed in Valles Marineris, as expected for a landslide origin. This interpretation also fits with the variety of elevations in which the deformation is observed to occur, because landslides could occur at any elevations in the chasma. The large size of the deformed units is also possible for landslide deposits. Figure 19 shows the runout distance versus volume for recent Martian landslides, terrestrial nonvolcanic landslides, and the deformed units observed in Valles Marineris. The recent Martian landslides fit along the same trend as the terrestrial nonvolcanic landslides. The deformed regions in Valles Marineris fall close to the range of volume versus runout measurements from recent Martian landslides, although not along the same trend. The length for the deformed regions is actually the length of the deposit, not the runout length, because headscarp source regions for these deposits are not observed. Thus, if this deformation is the result of landsliding, the runout lengths would be expected to be longer than the values presented in Figure 19, which would shift the fit for the deformed regions closer to the other Martian landslides.

[65] The variety of deformational morphologies observed in Valles Marineris is also consistent with morphologies observed in large terrestrial submarine landslides [Lucente and Pini, 2003, 2008]. In the Miocene age Casaglia-Monte della Colonna landslide in the Northern Apennines, large detached stratified slabs and many types of folding, including overturned, recumbent, asymmetric, disharmonic, box, and refolded folds are identified [Lucente and Pini, 2003]. These are similar to the deformational morphologies of the detached slabs and folded strata observed in Valles Marineris. Detached slabs are observed in the upper part of terrestrial submarine slides, and these are commonly composed of undeformed strata but can also have folded strata [Lucente and Pini, 2003]. The slabs overlie a several meter thick strongly deformed set of beds that show isoclinal folds and overfolds; this unit acted as a shear zone [Lucente and Pini, 2003]. These characteristics are similar to the observation that detached slabs in Valles Marineris overlie folded strata in several areas in Candor Chasma (region 7) and in Melas Chasma (region 1). Lucente and Pini [2003] also found that the size of the slabs decreases toward the distal portion of the slide. The sizes of the slabs observed in Candor Chasma do show a decrease in average slab size on the northern and eastern part of the region. In the other regions, the size of the slabs shows no particular trend with location within a particular exposure, although the sizes of the slabs are a bit larger in Melas and a bit smaller in Ius.

[66] The scale of the slabs observed in terrestrial submarine landslides can be hundreds of meters in size [Lucente and Pini, 2003], which is of the same order as the slabs observed in Valles Marineris. The wavelength of the folding observed in terrestrial submarine landslide deposits is on the order of fifty centimeters to tens of meters in size [Lucente and Pini, 2003], much smaller than the kilometer-scale folds observed in Valles Marineris.

[67] Slabs that have an appearance similar to the detached slabs observed in Valles Marineris are also found in very slow landslides and are called 'block spreads'. Block spreads form when a thick layer of rock overlying a softer material fractures and separates due to liquefaction [Cruden and Varnes, 1996]. Blocks in spreads can be hundreds of meters

thick and can occur over wide areas [Cruden and Varnes, 1996]. This type of feature would not require a body of water, but only enough pore water for liquefaction to be possible.

[68] One of the challenges in interpreting the deformation as the result of landsliding is locating the source for each of the putative slides. The deformed regions in Ius Chasma are not adjacent to ILD, so if these are slides, the source must either be the sides of the chasma walls, or an ILD that has completely eroded away. There are ILD in Candor and Melas Chasma within ~100 km of the deformed units in those chasmata. The deformed strata are mostly topographically lower than the ILD, which means the ILD may have been able to serve as sources for potential slides. It could also mean that the deformed units are stratigraphically below the ILD and hence older. If the deformed strata were formed by landslides and these slides did originate from the ILD, then one might expect slides to have occurred around multiple sides of the ILD, which is not observed in Melas. One would also expect the orientation of the fold axes to be perpendicular to the direction of slide movement (or parallel to the walls of the ILD, if they were the source for the slides) [Lucente and Pini, 2003]. Also, some of the deformed strata are found on part of the Ceti Mensa ILD in Candor, so again the sources for these slides are unclear. The slides may have originated from the chasma walls of Valles Marineris, but if that were the case then no remnants of the layered material remains in many of the areas where the slides should have originated. Fold axes are oriented parallel to the chasma walls in many locations which would be expected if they were the source for the slides. However, some exceptions to this do occur and most areas exhibit a second set of fold axes that are perpendicular to the chasma walls. Although some dispersion of fold axes orientations are expected in slides due to rotation of fold hinges and sheath folds, fold axes are not typically bimodal [Lucente and Pini, 2003].

[69] Mechanisms that can trigger submarine landslides include volcanic activity; seismic activity, either faulting or impact induced; and fluid overpressurization, which occurs when rapid deposition of low-permeability sediments traps pore fluid that cannot escape as the sediment compacts [Fleming et al., 2008; Lucente and Pini, 2008]. The same triggering mechanisms have been suggested to have caused the Amazonian landslides on Mars [Quantin et al., 2004; Neuffer and Schultz, 2006; Soukhovitskaya and Manga, 2006] and could have provided a triggering mechanism for potential ancient landslides.

5.3.3. Regional Gravity Gliding

[70] Montgomery et al. [2009] suggest that the patterns of deformation surrounding the Thaumasia Plateau are indicative of a gravity-driven megaslide. They suggest that extensional deformation in Syria Planum and Noctis Labrynth connect to zones of transtension and strike-slip in Claritas Fossae and Valles Marineris and to compressional uplift along the Coprates Rise and Thaumasia highlands. They propose that the large-scale thin-skinned gravity spreading would have occurred above a detachment along a buried weak layer of salts or ice. In their model, Valles Marineris reflects extension, collapse, and excavation along fractures radial to Tharsis as part of one lateral margin of the Thaumasia gravity-spreading system. Their model predicts that a décollement or detachment should exist at some depth

beneath the Thaumasia plateau. Because Valles Marineris is up to 8 km deep in some locations, this detachment surface might be exposed in some areas. Perhaps the deformation observed in Valles Marineris formed along the detachment surface as a result of shear from gravity gliding. This interpretation presupposes that the sediments exposed on the floor of Valles Marineris are older than the chasma itself.

[71] If the deformation formed as a result of this potential mechanism, then one would expect that the deformation would be confined to a discrete slip surface. This surface need not correspond to a particular elevation and could have a complex 3D geometry. However, given a physically plausible geometry for the detachment, it might be expected to dip to the east near Syria Planum and dip to the west near the Thaumasia highlands, and the detachment surface might dip to the south at its northern boundary. This predicts that deformation within Valles Marineris would be located within the salt layer and should follow the detachment surface. We do find that the deformed strata in Valles Marineris have a component of salts, both monohydrated and polyhydrated sulfates, which could fit with the gravity-gliding model. In addition, sulfates should be easily deformed, because they are weak and prone to viscous creep. Deformation caused by gravity gliding should be confined to a limited stratigraphic interval as observed in Valles Marineris, but the elevations of the deformed strata in Valles Marineris do not convincingly delineate a discrete surface as might be expected for gravity gliding (Figure 5).

[72] The deformational morphologies expected from gravity gliding might be similar to a large landslide. Folding would be expected landward of the distal pinchout of the original salt layer as well as at the distal portion of the slide where the compressive stresses are greatest [Rowan *et al.*, 2004]. Irregular topography along the initial slide plane could cause convergent and divergent movement and cause broadly arcuate fold belts and dome and basin structures [Rowan *et al.*, 2004]. The trend of the folds may be highly variable [Rowan *et al.*, 2004]. Detached folds are observed to result from gravity gliding, but refolded folds (which could be indicative of one phase of disharmonic folding, similar to the convolute folds observed in Melas) are not documented [Rowan *et al.*, 2004]. The structural style of the folding that results from gravity gliding is highly dependent on the rheology and thickness of the décollement layer as well as the thickness of the overburden [Rowan *et al.*, 2004]. Thin overburden generally produces folds with shorter wavelengths and shorter amplitudes [Rowan *et al.*, 2004]. The wavelength of folding in Valles Marineris is somewhat smaller than for folds observed in terrestrial analog gravity glides, which would imply that the overburden on Mars was thinner than typical terrestrial glides. In gravity gliding, features somewhat similar to the detached slabs may result from many fault bounded blocks gliding down the detachment surface [Schultz-Ela, 2001]. Gravity gliding would be expected to induce deformation over a broad area and this could explain the large areal exposures of deformation in Valles Marineris.

[73] Overall, gravity gliding does fit several of the observations of deformation in Valles Marineris, including large areal extent of deformation, confinement to discrete stratigraphic interval, and some of the observed morphologies. However, the geometry of the surface defined by the

deformation (at different elevations) is not consistent with what a detachment would predict. Also, the details of the deformational morphologies and grading between deformation types have not been described in terrestrial gravity glide deposits.

6. Conclusions

[74] Four styles of deformation including convolute folds, detached slabs, folded strata, and pull-apart structures were observed in Ius, Candor and Melas Chasmata. The deformed units are on the order of hundreds of meters thick, are underlain and overlain by undeformed strata, and occur in large exposures over broad areas and a wide range in elevation. Fold axis orientations exhibit a bimodal distribution, with one set oriented NE-SW and another set oriented NW-SE. Visible/near infrared reflectance spectra of the deformed strata are consistent with the presence of a sulfate component, including monohydrated and polyhydrated varieties.

[75] Overall, liquefaction and landsliding both provide the most parsimonious explanations for the cause of deformation observed in Valles Marineris. The morphology, limited stratigraphic interval, and large aerial distribution of the deformation fit well with liquefaction, but the scale of the features is much larger than similar features observed on Earth. Submarine or slow subaerial landsliding fits with the morphology, limited stratigraphic interval, and scale of the slabs observed in Valles Marineris, but the details of the areal distribution make identifying a source for the slides challenging. It is possible that a combination of liquefaction and landsliding may have been responsible for the formation of these features, possibly induced by local tectonic activity or a large impact. If sediments were deposited fairly rapidly in a submarine setting, then an impact could have triggered liquefaction and submarine landsliding over a broad region in Valles Marineris. Gravity gliding was considered but does not appear to be as good of a fit to the observations as the other mechanisms.

[76] The only mechanism considered that requires the deformed strata to be deformed before the formation of Valles Marineris is gravity gliding, and this mechanism is not a good fit to our observations. If landsliding of ILD mounds was responsible for forming the deformed strata, then this could have occurred anytime after the deposition of the ILD and before the Amazonian landslides which overlie the deformed beds. If the strata deformed as a result of liquefaction, then this would imply the deformation happened soon after deposition of the sediments since liquefaction occurs in water-saturated unlithified sediments.

[77] **Acknowledgment.** We would like to acknowledge the contributions of the HiRISE science and operations team who made this work possible.

References

- Allen, J. R. L. (1986), Earthquake magnitude-frequency, epicentral distance, and soft-sediment deformation in sedimentary basins, *Sediment. Geol.*, 46, 67–75, doi:10.1016/0037-0738(86)90006-0.
- Alvarez, W., E. Staley, D. O'Connor, and M. A. Cham (1998), Synsedimentary deformation in the Jurassic of southeastern Utah: A case of impact shaking?, *Geology*, 26, 579–582, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1998)026<0579:SDITJO>2.3.CO;2.

- Beyer, R. A., H. J. Melosh, A. McEwen, and R. D. Lorenz (2000), Salt diapirs in Candor Chasma, Mars?, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, XXXI, Abstract 2022.
- Catling, D. C., S. E. Wood, C. Leovy, D. R. Montgomery, H. M. Greenberg, C. R. Glein, and J. M. Moore (2006), Light-toned layered deposits in Juventae Chasma, Mars, *Icarus*, 181, 26–51, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2005.10.020.
- Chapman, M. G., and K. L. Tanaka (2001), Interior trough deposits on Mars: Subice volcanoes?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 10,087–10,100, doi:10.1029/2000JE001303.
- Chojnacki, M., and B. M. Hynek (2008), Geological context of water-altered minerals in Valles Marineris, Mars, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, E12005, doi:10.1029/2007JE003070.
- Collins, G. S., H. J. Melosh, and R. A. Marcus (2005), Earth impact effects program: A web-based computer program for calculating the regional environmental consequences of a meteoroid impact on Earth, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.*, 40, 817–840, doi:10.1111/j.1945-5100.2005.tb00157.x.
- Cruden, D. M., and D. J. Varnes (1996), Landslide types and processes, in *Landslides: Investigation and Mitigation, Spec. Rep. 247*, edited by A. K. Turner and R. L. Schuster, pp. 36–75, Natl. Acad. Press, Washington, D. C.
- Davenport, C. A., and P. S. Ringrose (1987), Deformation of Scottish Quaternary sediment sequences by strong earthquake motions, in *Deformation of Sediments and Sedimentary Rocks*, edited by M. E. Jones and R. M. F. Preston, *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.*, 29, 299–314.
- Davis, D. M., and T. Engelder (1985), The role of salt in fold and thrust belts, *Tectonophysics*, 119, 67–88, doi:10.1016/0040-1951(85)90033-2.
- de Meer, S., and C. J. Spiers (1995), Creep of wet gypsum aggregates under hydrostatic loading conditions, *Tectonophysics*, 245, 171–183, doi:10.1016/0040-1951(94)00233-Y.
- Dromart, G., C. Quantin, and O. Broucke (2007), Stratigraphic architectures spotted in southern Melas Chasma, Valles Marineris, Mars, *Geology*, 35, 363–366, doi:10.1130/G23350A.1.
- Fernandes, L. A., A. B. de Castro, and G. Basilici (2007), Seismites in continental sand sea deposits of the Late Cretaceous Caiuá Desert Bauru Basin, Brazil, *Sediment. Geol.*, 199, 51–64, doi:10.1016/j.sedgeo.2005.12.030.
- Flauhaut, J., C. Quantin, P. Allemand, and P. Thomas (2010), Morphology and geology of the ILD in Capri/Eos Chasma (Mars) from visible and infra-red data, *Icarus*, 207, 175–185, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2009.11.019.
- Flemings, P. B., H. Long, B. Dugan, J. Germaine, C. M. John, J. H. Behrmann, D. Sawyer, and I. E. Scientists (2008), Erratum to “Pore pressure penetrometers document high overpressure near the seafloor where multiple submarine landslides have occurred on the continental slope, offshore Louisiana, Gulf of Mexico”, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 274, 269–283, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.06.027.
- French, B. M. (2004), The importance of being cratered: The new role of meteorite impact as a normal geological process, *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.*, 39, 169–197, doi:10.1111/j.1945-5100.2004.tb00335.x.
- Fuente, F., R. Stesky, P. MacKinnon, E. Hauber, K. Gwinner, F. Scholten, T. Zegers, and G. Neukum (2006), A structural study of an interior layered deposit in southwestern Candor Chasma, Valles Marineris, Mars, using high resolution stereo camera data from Mars Express, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L07202, doi:10.1029/2005GL025035.
- Fuente, F., R. Stesky, P. MacKinnon, E. Hauber, T. Zegers, K. Gwinner, F. Scholten, and G. Neukum (2008), Stratigraphy and structure of interior layered deposits in west Candor Chasma, Mars, from High Resolution Stereo Camera (HRSC) stereo imagery and derived elevations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, E10008, doi:10.1029/2007JE003053.
- Gendrin, A., et al. (2005), Sulfates in Martian layered terrains: The OMEGA/Mars Express view, *Science*, 307, 1587–1591, doi:10.1126/science.1109087.
- Hamelin, N., H. Racher, F. Fuente, R. Stesky, P. MacKinnon, E. Hauber, K. Gwinner, F. Scholten, and T. Zegers (2008), Structural analysis of an interior layered deposit in northern Coprates Chasma, Mars, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, XXXIX, Abstract 1424.
- Harrison, K. P., and R. E. Grimm (2003), Rheological constraints on Martian landslides, *Icarus*, 163, 347–362, doi:10.1016/S0019-1035(03)00045-9.
- Hauber, E., et al. (2006), An integrated study of interior layered deposits in Hebes Chasma, Valles Marineris, Mars, using MGS, MO and MEX data, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, XXXVII, Abstract 2022.
- Hayashi, J. N., and S. Self (1992), A comparison of pyroelastic flow and debris avalanche mobility, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 9063–9071, doi:10.1029/92JB00173.
- Heard, H. C., and W. M. Rubey (1966), Tectonic implications of gypsum dehydration, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 77, 741–760, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1966)77[741:TIOGD]2.0.CO;2.
- Jackson, M. P. A., and C. J. Talbot (1986), External shapes, strain rates, and dynamics of salt structures, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 97, 305–323, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1986)97<305:ESSRAD>2.0.CO;2.
- Jones, A. P., and K. Omoto (2000), Towards establishing criteria for identifying trigger mechanisms for soft-sediment deformation: A case study of Late Pleistocene lacustrine sands and clays, Onikobe and Nakayama-daira Basins, northeastern Japan, *Sedimentology*, 47, 1211–1226, doi:10.1046/j.1365-3091.2000.00355.x.
- Kirk, R. L., et al. (2008), Ultra-high-resolution topographic mapping of Mars with MRO HiRISE stereo images: Meter-scale slopes of candidate Phoenix landing sites, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, E00A24, doi:10.1029/2007JE003000.
- Komatsu, G., P. E. Geissler, R. G. Strom, and R. B. Singer (1993), Stratigraphy and erosional landforms of layered deposits in Valles Marineris, Mars, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 11,105–11,121, doi:10.1029/93JE00537.
- Komatsu, G., G. G. Ori, P. Ciarcelluti, and Y. D. Litasov (2004), Interior layered deposits of Valles Marineris, Mars: Analogous subice volcanism related to Baikal Rifting, Southern Siberia, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 52, 167–187, doi:10.1016/j.pss.2003.08.003.
- Lajeunesse, E., C. Quantin, P. Allemand, and C. Delacourt (2006), New insights on the runoff of large landslides in the Valles-Marineris canyons, Mars, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L04403, doi:10.1029/2005GL025168.
- Lewis, K., O. Aharonson, J. P. Grotzinger, and S. W. Squyres (2008), Structure and stratigraphy of Home Plate from the Spirit Mars Exploration Rover, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, E12S36, doi:10.1029/2007JE003025.
- Lowe, D. R. (1975), Water escape structures in coarse-grained sediments, *Sedimentology*, 22, 157–204, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3091.1975.tb00290.x.
- Lucchitta, B. K. (1978), A large landslide on Mars, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 89, 1601–1609, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1978)89<1601:ALLOM>2.0.CO;2.
- Lucchitta, B. K. (1979), Landslides in Valles Marineris, Mars, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84, 8097–8113, doi:10.1029/JB084iB14p08097.
- Lucchitta, B. K. (1987), Valles Marineris, Mars: Wet debris flows and ground ice, *Icarus*, 72, 411–429, doi:10.1016/0019-1035(87)90183-7.
- Lucchitta, B. K. (1990), Young volcanic deposits in the Valles Marineris, Mars?, *Icarus*, 86, 476–509, doi:10.1016/0019-1035(90)90230-7.
- Lucchitta, B. K. (1999), Geologic map of Ophir and central Candor Chasmata (MTM–05072) of Mars, *U.S. Geol. Surv. Invest. Ser.*, I-2568.
- Lucchitta, B. K. (2008), HiRISE images of layered deposits in west Candor Chasma, Mars (II): Unconformities and possible gravity tectonics, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, XXXIX, Abstract 2317.
- Lucchitta, B. K., A. S. McEwen, G. D. Clow, P. E. Geissler, R. B. Singer, R. A. Schultz, and S. W. Squyres (1992), The canyon system on Mars, in *Mars*, edited by H. H. Kieffer et al., pp. 453–492, Univ. of Ariz. Press, Tucson.
- Lucchitta, B. K., N. K. Isbell, and A. Howington-Kraus (1994), Topography of Vallis Marineris: Implications for erosional and structural history, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 3783–3798, doi:10.1029/93JE03095.
- Lucente, C. C., and G. A. Pini (2003), Anatomy and emplacement mechanism of a large submarine landslide within a Miocene foredeep in the northern Apennine, Italy: A field perspective, *Am. J. Sci.*, 303, 565–602, doi:10.2475/aj.s.303.7.565.
- Lucente, C. C., and G. A. Pini (2008), Basin-wide mass-wasting complexes as markers of the Oligo-Miocene foredeep-accretionary wedge evolution in the northern Apennines, Italy, *Basin Res.*, 20, 49–71, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2117.2007.00344.x.
- Malin, M. C., and K. S. Edgett (2000), Sedimentary rocks of early Mars, *Science*, 290, 1927–1937, doi:10.1126/science.290.5498.1927.
- Mangold, N., A. Gendrin, B. Gondet, S. LeMouéllec, C. Quantin, V. Ansan, J. P. Bibring, Y. Langevin, P. Masson, and G. Neukum (2008), Spectral and geologic study of the sulfate-rich region of west Candor Chasma, Mars, *Icarus*, 194, 519–543, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2007.10.021.
- McEwen, A. S. (1989), Mobility of large rock avalanches: Evidence from Valles Marineris, Mars, *Geology*, 17, 1111–1114, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1989)017<1111:MOLRAE>2.3.CO;2.
- McLennan, S. M., et al. (2005), Provenance and diagenesis of the evaporite-bearing Burns formation, Meridiani Planum, Mars, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 240, 95–121, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.09.041.
- Mege, D., and P. Masson (1996), Amounts of crustal stretching in Valles Marineris, Mars, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 44, 749–781, doi:10.1016/0032-0633(96)00013-X.
- Metz, J. M., J. P. Grotzinger, D. Mohrig, R. E. Milliken, B. Prather, C. Pirmez, A. S. McEwen, and C. M. Weitz (2009), Sublacustrine depositional fans in southwest Melas Chasma, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, E10002, doi:10.1029/2009JE003365.
- Milliken, R. E., J. Grotzinger, R. A. Beyer, S. Murchie, and A. McEwen (2007), Evidence for salt tectonics in Valles Marineris, Mars, *Seventh International Conference on Mars, July 9–13, 2007, Pasadena CA [CD-ROM], LPI Contrib.*, 1353, Abstract 3383.
- Milliken, R. E., et al. (2008), Opaline silica in young deposits on Mars, *Geology*, 36, 847–850, doi:10.1130/G24967A.1.
- Mohindra, R., and T. N. Bagati (1996), Seismically induced soft-sediment deformation structures (seismites) around Sumdo in the lower Spiti valley (Tethys Himalaya), *Sediment. Geol.*, 101, 69–83, doi:10.1016/0037-0738(95)00022-4.

- Mohindra, R., and V. C. Thakur (1998), Historic large earthquake-induced soft sediment deformation features in the Sub-Himalayan Doon valley, *Geol. Mag.*, **135**, 269–281, doi:10.1017/S0016756898008413.
- Montenat, C., P. Barrier, P. Ott d'Estevou, and C. Hilbsch (2007), Seismites: An attempt at critical analysis and classification, *Sediment. Geol.*, **196**, 5–30, doi:10.1016/j.sedgeo.2006.08.004.
- Montgomery, D. R., and A. Gillespie (2005), Formation of Martian outflow channels by catastrophic dewatering of evaporite deposits, *Geology*, **33**, 625–628, doi:10.1130/G21270.1.
- Montgomery, D. R., S. M. Som, M. P. A. Jackson, B. C. Schreiber, A. R. Gillespie, and J. B. Adams (2009), Continental-scale salt tectonics on Mars and the origin of Valles Marineris and associated outflow channels, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, **121**, 117–133.
- Murchie, S., et al. (2007), Compact Reconnaissance Imaging Spectrometer for Mars (CRISM) on Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter (MRO), *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, E05S03, doi:10.1029/2006JE002682.
- Murchie, S. L., et al. (2009), A synthesis of Martian aqueous mineralogy after 1 Mars year of observations from the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **114**, E00D06, doi:10.1029/2009JE003342.
- Mustard, J., et al. (2008), Hydrated silicate minerals on Mars observed by the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter CRISM instrument, *Nature*, **454**, 305–309, doi:10.1038/nature07097.
- Nedell, S. S., S. Squyres, and D. W. Anderson (1987), Origin and evolution of the layered deposits in the Valles Marineris, Mars, *Icarus*, **70**, 409–414, doi:10.1016/0019-1035(87)90086-8.
- Neuffer, D. P., and R. A. Schultz (2006), Mechanisms of slope failure in Valles Marineris, Mars, *Q. J. Eng. Geol. Hydrogeol.*, **39**, 227–240, doi:10.1144/1470-9236/05-042.
- Obermeier, S. F. (1996), Use of liquefaction-induced features for paleoseismic analysis: An overview of how seismic liquefaction features can be distinguished from other features and how their regional distribution and properties of source sediment can be used to infer the location and strength of Holocene paleo-earthquakes, *Eng. Geol.*, **44**, 1–76, doi:10.1016/S0013-7952(96)00040-3.
- Okubo, C. H. (2010), Structural geology of Amazonian-aged layered sedimentary deposits in southwest Candor Chasma, Mars, *Icarus*, **207**, 210–225, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2009.11.012.
- Okubo, C. H., K. W. Lewis, A. S. McEwen, and R. L. Kirk (2008), Relative age of interior layered deposits in southwest Candor Chasma based on high-resolution structural mapping, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **113**, E12002, doi:10.1029/2008JE003181.
- Peulvast, J. P., and P. L. Masson (1993), Melas Chasma: Morphology and tectonic patterns in central Valles Marineris (Mars), *Earth Moon Planets*, **61**, 219–248, doi:10.1007/BF00572246.
- Peulvast, J. P., D. Mege, J. Chiciak, F. Costard, and P. Masson (2001), Morphology, evolution and tectonics of Valles Marineris wall slopes (Mars), *Geomorphology*, **37**, 329–352, doi:10.1016/S0169-555X(00)00085-4.
- Pope, M. C., J. F. Read, R. Bambach, and H. J. Hofmann (1997), Late Middle to Late Ordovician seismites of Kentucky, southwest Ohio and Virginia: Sedimentary recorders of earthquakes in the Appalachian basin, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, **109**, 489–503, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1997)109<0489:LMTLOS>2.3.CO;2.
- Quantin, C., P. Allemand, and C. Delacourt (2004), Morphology and geometry of Valles Marineris landslides, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **52**, 1011–1022, doi:10.1016/j.pss.2004.07.016.
- Quantin, C., P. Allemand, N. Mangold, G. Dromart, and C. Delacourt (2005), Fluvial and lacustrine activity on layered deposits in Melas Chasma, Valles Marineris, Mars, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, E12S19, doi:10.1029/2005JE002440.
- Roach, L. H., J. F. Mustard, S. L. Murchie, J.-P. Bibring, F. Forget, K. W. Lewis, O. Aharonson, M. Vincendon, and J. L. Bishop (2009a), Testing evidence of recent hydration state change in sulfates on Mars, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **114**, E00D02, doi:10.1029/2008JE003245, [printed 115 (E2), 2010].
- Roach, L. H., J. F. Mustard, G. A. Swayze, R. E. Milliken, J. L. Bishop, S. L. Murchie, and K. Lichtenberg (2009b), Hydrated mineral stratigraphy of Ius Chasma, Valles Marineris, *Icarus*, **206**, 253–268, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2009.09.003.
- Robert, G., J. K. Russell, and D. Giordano (2008), Rheology of porous volcanic materials: High-temperature experimentation under controlled water pressure, *Chem. Geol.*, **256**, 216–230, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.06.028.
- Rodríguez-Pascua, M. A., J. P. Calvo, G. De Vicente, and D. Gómez-Gras (2000), Soft-sediment deformation structures interpreted as seismites in lacustrine sediments of the Prebetic Zone, SE Spain, and their potential use and indicators of earthquake magnitudes during Late Miocene, *Sediment. Geol.*, **135**, 117–135, doi:10.1016/S0037-0738(00)00067-1.
- Roep, T. B., and A. J. Everts (1992), Pillow-beds: A new type of seismites? An example from an Oligocene turbidite fan complex, Alicante, Spain, *Sedimentology*, **39**, 711–724, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3091.1992.tb02148.x.
- Rowan, M. G., F. J. Peel, and B. C. Vendeville (2004), Gravity-driven fold belts on passive margins, in *Thrust Tectonics and Hydrocarbon Systems*, edited by K. R. McClay, *AAPG Mem.*, **82**, 157–182.
- Schultz, R. A. (1991), Structural development of Coprates Chasma and western Ophir Planum, Valles Marineris Rift, Mars, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **96**, 22,777–22,792, doi:10.1029/91JE02556.
- Schultz, R. A. (1998), Multiple-process origin of Valles Marineris basins and troughs, Mars, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **46**, 827–829, doi:10.1016/S0032-0633(98)00030-0.
- Schultz-Ela, D. D. (2001), Excursus on gravity gliding and gravity spreading, *J. Struct. Geol.*, **23**, 725–731, doi:10.1016/S0191-8141(01)00004-9.
- Scott, D. H., and K. L. Tanaka (1986), Geologic map of the western equatorial region of Mars, 1:15,000,000, *U.S. Geol. Surv. Misc. Invest. Ser.*, Map 1-1802-A.
- Seilacher, A. (1969), Fault-graded beds interpreted as seismites, *Sedimentology*, **13**, 155–159, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3091.1969.tb01125.x.
- Simms, M. J. (2003), Uniquely extensive seismite from the latest Triassic of the United Kingdom: Evidence for bolide impact?, *Geology*, **31**, 557–560, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(2003)031<0557:UESFTL>2.0.CO;2.
- Sims, J. D. (1975), Determining earthquake recurrence intervals from deformational structures in young lacustrine sediments, *Tectonophysics*, **29**, 141–152, doi:10.1016/0040-1951(75)90139-0.
- Skilling, I. P., M. G. Chapman, and B. K. Lucchitta (2002), Young, blocky flows in east Ius/west Melas and west Candor Chasmata, Mars: Debris avalanche deposits derived from interior layered deposits (ILD) mounds?, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, XXXIII, Abstract 1361.
- Soukhovitskaya, V., and M. Manga (2006), Martian landslides in Valles Marineris: Wet or dry?, *Icarus*, **180**, 348–352, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2005.09.008.
- Sowe, M., E. Hauber, R. Jaumann, K. Gwinner, F. Fueten, R. Stesky, and G. Neukum (2007), Interior layered deposits in the eastern Valles Marineris and chaotic terrains on Mars, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, XXXVIII, Abstract 1568.
- Tosca, N. J., R. E. Milliken, M. B. Wyatt, and S. M. McLennan (2008), Clay minerals and poorly crystalline silicates: Unraveling paleo-acidity at the Martian surface, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, XXXIX, Abstract 1745.
- Weitz, C. M., T. J. Parker, F. S. Anderson, and J. A. Grant (2001), The interior layered deposits of Valles Marineris: Layering, erosional processes, and age relationships, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, XXXII, Abstract 1629.
- Weitz, C. M., T. J. Parker, M. H. Bulmer, F. S. Anderson, and J. A. Grant (2003), Geology of the Melas Chasma landing site for the Mars Exploration Rover mission, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **108**(E12), 8082, doi:10.1029/2002JE002022.
- Williams-Stroud, S. C., and J. Paul (1997), Initiation and growth of gypsum piercement structures in the Zechstein Basin, *J. Struct. Geol.*, **19**, 897–907, doi:10.1016/S0191-8141(97)00017-5.
- Witbeck, N. E., K. L. Tanaka, and D. H. Scott (1991), Geologic map of Valles Marineris region, Mars, *U.S. Geol. Surv. Misc. Invest. Ser.*, Map 1-2010.
- Zegers, T. E., W. Dabekaussen, E. Hauber, K. Gwinner, F. Scholten, F. Fueten, R. Stesky, P. MacKinnon, G. Neukum, and HRSC Co-Investigator Team (2006), 3D Structural analysis of Ophir Chasma based on HRSC data and stereo-derived DTM, *Lunar Planet. Sci.*, XXXVII, Abstract 1605.

J. Grotzinger and J. Metz, Department of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA. (joannah@caltech.edu)

R. Milliken, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Dr., Pasadena, CA 91109, USA.

C. Okubo, U.S. Geological Survey, 2255 North Gemini Dr., Flagstaff, AZ 86001, USA.