IDENTIFICATION OF A COMPLETE 160 μm FLUX-LIMITED SAMPLE OF INFRARED GALAXIES IN THE ISO LOCKMAN HOLE 1 deg^2 DEEP FIELDS: SOURCE PROPERTIES AND EVIDENCE FOR STRONG EVOLUTION IN THE FIR LUMINOSITY FUNCTION FOR ULIRGS

B. A. JACOBS¹, D. B. SANDERS¹, D. S. N. RUPKE^{1,2}, H. AUSSSEL³, D. T. FRAYER^{4,5}, O. ILBERT^{1,6}, J. S. KARTALTEPE^{1,7}, K. KAWARA⁸, D.-C. KIM^{2,9,10}, E. LE FLOC'H^{1,3}, T. MURAYAMA¹¹, V. SMOLČIĆ^{12,13,14,18}, J. A. SURACE¹⁵, Y. TANIGUCHI¹⁶, S. VEILLEUX², AND M. S. YUN¹⁷

¹ Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA;

bjacobs@ifa.hawaii.edu, sanders@ifa.hawaii.edu, drupke@ifa.hawaii.edu

² Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA; veilleux@astro.umd.edu

³ AIM, Saclay, Bat 709, Orme des merisiers, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France; hervé.aussel@cea.fr, emeric.lefloch@cea.fr

⁴ NASA Herschel Science Center, California Institute of Technology, MS 100-22, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

⁵ National Radio Astronomy Observatory, P.O. Box 2, Green Bank, WV 24944, USA; dfrayer@nrao.edu

⁶ Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille, Marseille, France; olivier.ilbert@oamp.fr

⁷ National Optical Astronomy Observatory, 950 N. Cherry Ave., Tucson, AZ, 85719, USA; jeihan@noao.edu

⁸ Department of Astronomy, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

⁹ Department of Astronomy, University of Virginia, P.O. Box 400325, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA; dk3wc@mail.astro.virginia.edu

¹⁰ National Radio Astronomy Observatory, 520 Edgemont Road, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA

¹¹ Astronomical Institute, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Aramaki, Aoba, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

¹² European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 2, 85748 Garching b. Muenchen, Germany

¹³ Argelander Institut für Astronomy, Auf dem Hügel 71, Bonn 53121, Germany

¹⁴ California Institute of Technology, MC 249-17, 1200 East California Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

¹⁵ Spitzer Science Center, California Institute of Technology 220-06, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA; jason@ipac.caltech.edu

¹⁶ Research Center for Space and Cosmic Evolution, Ehime University, 2-5 Bunkyo-cho, Matuyama 790-8577, Japan; tani@cosmos.phys.sci.ehime-u.ac.jp

¹⁷ Department of Astronomy, University of Massachusetts, 619 Lederle Graduate Research Center, Amherst, MA 01003, USA; myun@astro.umass.edu

Received 2010 September 10; accepted 2010 November 17; published 2011 February 24

ABSTRACT

We have identified a complete, flux-limited ($S_{160} > 120$ mJy) sample of 160 μm selected sources from *Spitzer* observations of the 1 deg^2 *Infrared Space Observatory* (ISO) Deep Field region in the Lockman Hole (LH). Ground-based UV, optical, and near-infrared (NIR) photometry and optical spectroscopy have been used to determine colors, redshifts, and masses for the complete sample of 40 galaxies. *Spitzer*-IRAC+MIPS photometry, supplemented by ISOPHOT data at 90 μm and 170 μm , has been used to calculate accurate total infrared luminosities, $L_{\text{IR}}(8\text{--}1000 \mu\text{m})$, and to determine the IR luminosity function (LF) of luminous infrared galaxies (LIRGs). The maximum observed redshift is $z \sim 0.80$ and the maximum total infrared luminosity is $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) = 12.74$. Over the luminosity range $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) = 10\text{--}12$, the LF for LIRGs in the LH Deep Field is similar to that found previously for local sources at similar infrared luminosities. The mean host galaxy mass, $\log(M/M_{\odot}) = 10.7$, and dominance of H II-region spectral types, is also similar to what has been found for local LIRGs, suggesting that intense starbursts likely power the bulk of the infrared luminosity for sources in this range of L_{IR} . However for the most luminous sources, $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) > 12.0$, we find evidence for strong evolution in the LF $\propto (1+z)^{6\pm1}$, assuming pure number density evolution. These ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs) have a larger mean host mass, $\log(M/M_{\odot}) = 11.0$, and exhibit disturbed morphologies consistent with strong interactions/mergers, and they are also more likely to be characterized by starburst–active galactic nucleus (AGN) composite or AGN spectral types.

Key words: galaxies: evolution – infrared: galaxies

Online-only material: extended figure, figure set

1. INTRODUCTION

Deep surveys at rest-frame far-infrared (FIR) wavelengths are important for identifying statistically complete samples of luminous infrared galaxies (LIRGs: $L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot} > 11.0$)—objects that appear to produce the bulk of the bolometric infrared luminosity density in the universe and which are often “hidden” and misidentified in deep UV–optical surveys. Until recently, progress has been relatively slow in identifying complete samples of FIR sources selected at wavelengths $\lambda = 100\text{--}200 \mu\text{m}$, which corresponds to the wavelength range where the majority of LIRGs at $z < 1$ emit their peak emission. The *Infrared Astronomical Satellite* (IRAS) all-sky survey provided the first

complete census of 60 μm selected galaxies in the local universe (e.g., Soifer et al. 1989; Sanders et al. 2003), but lacked the sensitivity and long wavelength coverage to detect LIRGs at $z > 0.05$. The *Infrared Space Observatory* (ISO) provided increased sensitivity and longer wavelength coverage, but background instabilities often limited the determination of exact source positions. The *Spitzer Space Telescope* eventually provided the combination of long wavelength sensitivity and background stability needed to detect sources at the ~ 100 mJy level with relatively accurate positions, and extensive follow-up observations are now underway to identify source properties and redshifts.

In this paper, we report observations first begun as part of the U.S.–Japan ISO-ISOPHOT Deep Survey of a $\sim 1 \text{ deg}^2$ region in the Lockman Hole (LH; Kawara et al. 1998; Oyabu

¹⁸ ESO ALMA COFUND Fellow.

et al. 2005), and later expanded to include more recent infrared observations of the same region obtained as part of the *Spitzer* Wide-area InfraRed Extragalactic (SWIRE) survey (Lonsdale et al. 2003). Our final sample consists of MIPS 160 μm sources with fluxes greater than 120 mJy. Multi-wavelength follow-up observations include Keck spectra of the majority of the sources, along with UV–optical photometry from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000) for all of our sources, NIR photometry from the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006) for most of our sources, and VLA 1.4 GHz continuum images of the ISO-ISOPHOT deep fields (Yun et al. 2003). While other surveys (e.g., COSMOS; Scoville et al. 2007) may offer superior (particularly ancillary) data, the LH survey discussed here subtends a total of 1.2 deg^2 and is thus comparable in total area to similar existing data sets. This survey therefore substantially increases the total area to date at these wavelengths and helps guard against cosmic variance.

Sections 2 and 3 describe our field selection and identification of SWIRE MIPS 160 sources, respectively. Methods used for identifying optical counterparts are presented in Section 3, along with UV–NIR photometry and optical spectroscopy for individual sources. The spectral energy distributions (SEDs) and calculated infrared luminosities (L_{IR}) for each source are presented in Section 5, and these data are then used to determine the infrared galaxy luminosity function (LF). Section 6 presents evidence for evolution in the LF at the highest infrared luminosities, as well as a discussion of the properties of the host galaxies, including morphology, colors, masses, and spectral types. Our conclusions are presented in Section 7.

2. FIELD SELECTION AND FIR OBSERVATIONS

The LH is a region of the sky with the smallest H I column density (Lockman et al. 1986) and thus has been a favorite target for deep extragalactic surveys, particularly in the FIR where confusion noise from infrared cirrus is expected to be at a minimum.

The LH was originally targeted for deep FIR observations with the *ISO* as part of the Japan/University of Hawaii (UH) *ISO* cosmology program that carried out observations of two small regions (LHEX and LHNW) using the ISOPHOT detector at 90 μm and 170 μm . Both LHEX and LHNW are $\sim 44' \times 44'$ in size (see Figure 1)—the former is centered at $\alpha = 10^{\text{h}}52^{\text{m}}00^{\text{s}}$, $\delta = 57^{\circ}00'00''$ and corresponds approximately to the field center of the *ROSAT* X-Ray Deep Survey Field (Hasinger et al. 1998), while the latter is centered at $\alpha = 10^{\text{h}}33^{\text{m}}55^{\text{s}}$, $\delta = 57^{\circ}46'20''$ and was chosen by the Japan/UH team to be centered on the true minimum H I column density position within the larger LH field. Note that a small sky area in the LHNW field was also mapped at 7 μm using ISOCAM on *ISO* (Taniguchi et al. 1997).

There is a fairly extensive published analysis of the ISOPHOT 90 μm and 170 μm data for both LHEX and LHNW, including initial source counts (Kawara et al. 1998) and final source lists (Kawara et al. 2004), along with attempts to identify radio and near-infrared counterparts for individual sources (Yun et al. 2001a, 2003; Sanders et al. 2001), and to obtain follow-up spectroscopy (Sanders et al. 2001; Oyabu et al. 2005). However, several factors prohibited using the ISOPHOT data for producing a well-identified complete sample of FIR sources, first of which being the relatively large fluctuations in the ISOPHOT background and the corresponding uncertainty in extracted source positions at both 90 μm and 170 μm . Further

Figure 1. SWIRE and *ISO* coverage of the Lockman Hole. The black box denotes the area covered by SWIRE MIPS observations, the magenta box denotes the SWIRE IRAC channels 1 and 3, and the cyan box denotes SWIRE IRAC channels 2 and 4. The red square marks the location of observations of the *ISO* LHEX region, the red polygon toward the right of the image marks the *ISO* LHNW region.

complications came with the realization that several radio and NIR sources were often found within the relatively large FIR beam.

A major improvement in our ability to determine more reliable FIR fluxes and more accurate source positions was made possible once data from *Spitzer* were made public. In particular, SWIRE, a *Spitzer* Key Project (Lonsdale et al. 2003) included the LH as one of its deep survey areas.¹⁹ SWIRE obtained maps in all seven *Spitzer* IRAC+MIPS bands (3.6, 4.6, 5.8, 8.0, 24, 70, 160 μm), and covered both LHEX and LHNW, except for a small portion of the LHNW region missed by IRAC as shown in Figure 1.

In this paper, we use the SWIRE IRAC+MIPS data to produce a new flux-limited sample of FIR sources in the LHEX + LHNW fields. We also make use of all of our previous multi-wavelength imaging and spectroscopy along with new data from SDSS and 2MASS in order to first identify reliable optical counterparts, and then to determine redshifts and total infrared luminosities for each source. We also use these data to characterize host galaxy properties (e.g., masses, morphologies, spectral types) in order to better understand the nature of the infrared galaxy population.

3. THE LOCKMAN HOLE 160 μm SAMPLE

The MIPS 160 μm detector samples emission at wavelengths closest to the rest-frame peak of the FIR/submillimeter SED of infrared luminous galaxies. Most galaxies detected at 160 μm are also detected at MIPS 70 μm and MIPS 24 μm as well as all four IRAC bands. For these reasons, as was the case with previous *ISO* observations of the LHEX and LHNW fields, we continue to focus on selecting a complete sample of extragalactic sources at the longest observed FIR wavelength.

¹⁹ The SWIRE data release paper is available at: http://swire.ipac.caltech.edu/swire/astromers/publications/SWIRE2_doc_083105.pdf.

Figure 2. Left panel: distribution of fluxes of the ~ 500 sources in the SWIRE LH $160\,\mu\text{m}$ catalog. Each bin is 10 mJy wide. Right panel: integral number counts vs. flux of sources in the SWIRE LH $160\,\mu\text{m}$ catalog (horizontal axis ranges from 50 to 300 mJy). Vertical bars denote Poisson uncertainty.

Figure 2 (left panel) shows the distribution of flux density of all ~ 500 sources in the SWIRE LH $160\,\mu\text{m}$ catalog. It is from this distribution that we take 120 mJy as the flux limit for the sample. There are 39 SWIRE $160\,\mu\text{m}$ sources within the LHEX and LHNW fields down to a flux limit of 120 mJy. The integral number counts from the catalog (Figure 2, right panel) follow a constant slope at fluxes above the 120 mJy selection limit, and we therefore take the sample to be complete above this limit (e.g., Beichman et al. 1988; Soifer et al. 1989).

We also compared the MIPS $160\,\mu\text{m}$ catalog with our previous ISOPHOT $170\,\mu\text{m}$ catalog, and secondarily with the ISOPHOT $90\,\mu\text{m}$ catalog, and confirmed our previous suspicions that a significant fraction of the ISOPHOT $170\,\mu\text{m}$ sources were either spurious 3σ noise peaks or weaker sources with boosted flux due to non-Gaussian noise fluctuations. However, we also discovered a few sources where our previous ISOPHOT data along with MIPS $70\,\mu\text{m}$ data suggested that there should have been a MIPS $160\,\mu\text{m}$ source above 120 mJy, yet there was no source listed in the MIPS $160\,\mu\text{m}$ catalog. For these cases we went directly to the MIPS images and found that in each case there was a source in the $160\,\mu\text{m}$ image, so we extracted our own flux at $160\,\mu\text{m}$ by performing point-spread function (PSF) fitting on the image. This was done in consultation with the SWIRE team so that our flux extraction method would be in agreement with that used to construct the SWIRE catalog. In all but one case our extracted MIPS $160\,\mu\text{m}$ flux fell below the 120 mJy completeness limit. However, for J105252.76+570753.7, the extracted MIPS $160\,\mu\text{m}$ flux of 149 mJy was above the completeness limit, thus this source was added to the final list, bringing the total number of sources to 40. The distribution of these 40 sources across the LHNW and LHEX fields is shown in Figure 3.

In addition, there are six sources listed in Table 1 that were included in the SWIRE MIPS $160\,\mu\text{m}$ catalog but not the MIPS $70\,\mu\text{m}$ catalog. This was somewhat surprising given the sensitivity of the MIPS $70\,\mu\text{m}$ catalog, and the expected $70\,\mu\text{m}$ flux assuming even a fairly extreme $160/70$ flux ratio. All six sources were in fact visible in the $70\,\mu\text{m}$ image, and hence, we again performed PSF fitting in consultation with the SWIRE team to extract a $70\,\mu\text{m}$ flux. Thus, all 40 of our MIPS $160\,\mu\text{m}$ sources now have measured MIPS $70\,\mu\text{m}$ fluxes.

4. MULTIWAVELENGTH DATA

4.1. UV/Optical and NIR Images

UV/optical and NIR imaging data are critical for identifying the sources responsible for the observed FIR emission, mea-

suring redshifts, and computing luminosities. The SWIRE data release actually includes optical ($g'r'i'$) images obtained at Kitt Peak National Observatory (KPNO) covering a large fraction of the LH field, with extracted photometry for those sources matched to the identified IRAC counterparts of MIPS $24\,\mu\text{m}$ sources (see below). However, SWIRE optical coverage is uneven across our fields, a central portion of the LHEX (*ROSAT*) field was not targeted for follow-up and the southwest portion of the LHNW field falls beyond the edge of the IRAC survey (see Figure 1) and consequently was also not observed in optical. As a result, almost half (19/40) of our MIPS $160\,\mu\text{m}$ sources lack KPNO $g'r'i'$ photometry in the SWIRE catalog. Fortunately, the SDSS provides full coverage of the LH field, and we therefore make use of the *ugriz* catalog photometry from Data Release 7 as well as display color-composite images for all of the MIPS $160\,\mu\text{m}$ sources. Additionally, we use 2MASS catalogs to obtain JHK_s photometry for the majority (27/40) of our MIPS $160\,\mu\text{m}$ sources.

4.2. Identification of Counterparts

As an initial step the $160\,\mu\text{m}$ sources were matched with their counterparts in the SWIRE $70\,\mu\text{m}$ and IRAC+ $24\,\mu\text{m}$ +Optical catalogs. A series of image cutouts from each of the *Spitzer* IRAC+MIPS bands plus optical images from KPNO were then assembled for each source in order to identify the correct optical counterpart (see Figure 4 for an example, and Figures 4.1–4.40 in the online version of the journal for the complete sample). The red, blue, and green circles overlaid on these images indicate the position of the centroid of each detection in the $160\,\mu\text{m}$, $70\,\mu\text{m}$, and bandmerged IRAC+ $24\,\mu\text{m}$ catalogs, respectively. Each $160\,\mu\text{m}$ source has one and only one counterpart in the $70\,\mu\text{m}$ images, and nearly all (36/40) have only one counterpart at $24\,\mu\text{m}$. In the cases with multiple $24\,\mu\text{m}$ sources, we choose the source nearest the $160\,\mu\text{m}$ centroid. Table 1 lists the source identification numbers from the SWIRE catalogs, as well as coordinates of each source taken from the SWIRE IRAC+ $24\,\mu\text{m}$ +Optical catalog, except for sources lacking coverage in two or more IRAC bands (noted in the table) where we list coordinates from the SWIRE $24\,\mu\text{m}$ catalog. Following the identification of the Optical/IRAC counterpart for each of the MIPS $160\,\mu\text{m}$ sources, we then match these with objects in the 2MASS and SDSS catalogs and list their source IDs. In the case of 2MASS we prefer to quote the Extended Source Catalog (XSC) when a match is available, but also make use of the PointSource Catalog (PSC). The last two columns in Table 1 list the IDs assigned to sources by Oyabu et al. (2005) using observations from *ISO*. Table 2 lists the fluxes reported in the SWIRE, 2MASS, and SDSS catalogs along with our own flux measurements as described above.

4.3. Optical Spectra

We collected spectroscopic data on the optical counterparts to the *ISO* sources from several sources, first of which was our library of Keck spectra obtained as part of the original *ISO* follow-up program which had targeted expected counterparts to the ISOPHOT $170\,\mu\text{m}$ sources. Both low- and moderate-resolution spectra were taken with the Echelle Spectrograph and Imager (ESI; Sheinis et al. 2000) over several observing runs: 2000 Mar 30–31 and 2001 Jan 23–24 UT for the low-resolution data; and 2001 Feb 27–28, 2002 Jan 16–17, 2002 Feb 16, and 2002 Mar 15 for the moderate-resolution data. The

Table 1
Source List and Catalog Cross-Identification

No.	Source	R.A.	Decl.	160 μ m	70 μ m	24 μ m	IRAC+24 μ m	NIR	Optical	C_90	C_160
	(SWIRE ID ^a)	(J2000)	(J2000)	(SWIRE IDs)				(2MASS ID ^b)	(SDSS ID)	(ISO ID)	
1	SWIRE3_J103237.44 + 580845.9	10 32 37.45	58 08 46.0	797	1989	...	620978	PSC:10323767+5808446	587729388220776605	...	...
2	SWIRE2_24_J103249.4 + 573707	10 32 49.48	57 37 07.8	938	2338	3313	...	PSC:10324949+5737081	587729387683840107	1NW192	2NW003
3	SWIRE3_J103253.94 + 580633.0	10 32 53.95	58 06 33.1	804	2006	...	620883	PSC:10325395+5806333	587729388220776539	...	...
4	SWIRE2_24_J103258.0 + 573105	10 32 58.02	57 31 05.3	974	...	2395	...	XSC:10325794+5731068	587732582590513271	...	...
5	SWIRE2_24_J103314.8 + 573110	10 33 14.88	57 31 10.5	964	2385	2684	...	...	587732582590513949	...	...
6	SWIRE3_J103320.32 + 574913.6	10 33 20.33	57 49 13.6	868	2177	...	463679	...	587732583127449867	1NW021	2NW005
7	SWIRE3_J103327.90 + 574534.4	10 33 27.91	57 45 34.5	887	...	...	462097	XSC:10332785+5745351	587729387683905620	...	...
8	SWIRE3_J103341.28 + 580221.4	10 33 41.29	58 02 21.4	805	2013	...	472711	...	587732583127515249	...	2NW004
9	SWIRE3_J103358.73 + 574317.1	10 33 58.73	57 43 17.2	891	2211	...	462979	XSC:10335869+5743169	587729387683905627	1NW030	...
10	SWIRE2_24_J103358.9 + 572952	10 33 58.92	57 29 52.3	945	2356	3540	...	...	587732582590578995	1NW272	2NW009
11	SWIRE3_J103515.83 + 573337.4	10 35 15.84	57 33 37.5	903	2253	...	462870	XSC:10351578+5733375	587732582590644271	...	...
12	SWIRE3_J103526.79 + 575147.6	10 35 26.80	57 51 47.6	807	2034	...	473946	...	587729387683971243	...	...
13	SWIRE2_24_J103538.8 + 573546	10 35 38.87	57 35 46.1	884	2209	7870	...	...	587732582590644410	...	...
14	SWIRE3_J103539.24 + 574243.9	10 35 39.24	57 42 43.9	851	2117	...	469789	XSC:10353919+5742444	587732582590644435	...	...
15	SWIRE2_24_J103557.1 + 572234	10 35 57.12	57 22 34.1	941	2340	5113	...	PSC:10355706+5722341	587729387147100345	...	...
16	SWIRE3_J103603.97 + 574812.5	10 36 03.97	57 48 12.6	811	2038	...	474542	...	587729387684036627	1NW092	...
17	SWIRE3_J103606.48 + 574702.4	10 36 06.48	57 47 02.5	812	2052	...	474042	PSC:10360650+5747024	587729387684036713	1NW023	...
18	SWIRE3_J103653.50 + 575442.5	10 36 53.50	57 54 42.6	773	1935	...	481645	PSC:10365355+5754426	587729387684102266	...	...
19	SWIRE3_J104948.86 + 573458.2	10 49 48.87	57 34 58.3	558	1482	...	228325	XSC:10494884+5734579	587729386611015724	...	...
20	SWIRE3_J104956.06 + 571440.4	10 49 56.07	57 14 40.5	652	...	...	216021	...	587729386074079354	...	...
21	SWIRE3_J105041.96 + 570706.7	10 50 41.96	57 07 06.8	673	1741	...	215353	XSC:10504192+5707064	587732580980818115	1EX048	2EX004
22	SWIRE3_J105052.41 + 573506.9	10 50 52.41	57 35 07.0	534	1401	...	233199	XSC:10505236+5735064	587732581517754577	1EX041	2EX013
23	SWIRE3_J105056.60 + 571631.2	10 50 56.60	57 16 31.2	625	1638	...	222478	...	587729386074145329	1EX085	2EX068
24	SWIRE3_J105100.42 + 574114.9	10 51 00.43	57 41 15.0	512	1338	...	237144	XSC:10510035+5741153	587729386611081359	...	...
25	SWIRE3_J105113.41 + 571425.9	10 51 13.41	57 14 26.0	617	1642	...	222633	...	587729386074145391	1EX081	2EX115
26	SWIRE3_J105143.75 + 572936.9	10 51 43.75	57 29 36.9	544	1420	...	234071	XSC:10514374+5729367	587732581517754609	...	...
27	SWIRE3_J105150.50 + 573905.7	10 51 50.51	57 39 05.7	499	...	...	239860	PSC:10515055+5739054	587729386611146842	...	...
28	SWIRE3_J105151.64 + 570935.7	10 51 51.64	57 09 35.7	634	...	...	72224	...	587732580980883908	1EX047	2EX036
29	SWIRE3_J105207.16 + 570745.5	10 52 07.16	57 07 45.6	635	1667	...	72439	XSC:10520715+5707445	587732580980883557	1EX034	2EX016
30	SWIRE3_J105225.75 + 570153.6	10 52 25.76	57 01 53.7	653	1703	...	70615	PSC:10522572+5701537	587732580980883560	...	...
31	SWIRE3_J105242.40 + 572444.7	10 52 42.40	57 24 44.8	542	1413	...	235900	PSC:10524240+5724447	587729386074276002	...	...
32	SWIRE3_J105252.76 + 570753.7 ^c	10 52 52.77	57 07 53.8	...	1607	...	76811	XSC:10525283+5707537	587732580980949106	1EX028	...
33	SWIRE3_J105256.85 + 570825.6	10 52 56.85	57 08 25.7	603	1606	...	77421	XSC:10525689+5708257	587732580980949119	1EX269	2EX047
34	SWIRE3_J105301.36 + 570543.1	10 53 01.36	57 05 43.2	611	1629	...	76215	PSC:10530133+5705433	587732580980949116	1EX062	...
35	SWIRE3_J105308.32 + 570645.6	10 53 08.32	57 06 45.6	610	...	...	77408	...	587732580980949913	...	...
36	SWIRE3_J105314.84 + 574137.6	10 53 14.85	57 41 37.7	447	1202	...	247971	...	587732581517885646	...	...

Table 1
(Continued)

No.	Source	R.A.	Decl.	160 μ m	70 μ m	24 μ m	IRAC+24 μ m	NIR	Optical	C_90	C_160
	(SWIRE ID ^a)	(J2000)	(J2000)	(SWIRE IDs)				(2MASS ID ^b)	(SDSS ID)	(ISO ID)	
37	SWIRE3_J105318.94 + 572140.7	10 53 18.94	57 21 40.7	538	1407	...	86339	PSC:10531899+5721394	587729386074276027	1EX179	...
38	SWIRE3_J105320.92 + 571433.2	10 53 20.92	57 14 33.3	568	1498	...	82519	XSC:10532085+5714338	587732580980949157	1EX126	...
39	SWIRE3_J105349.60 + 570708.1	10 53 49.60	57 07 08.1	582	1562	...	80766	XSC:10534950+5707075	587732580980949076	...	...
40	SWIRE3_J105432.31 + 570932.4	10 54 32.31	57 09 32.5	559	1478	...	85419	XSC:10543226+5709324	587732580981014580	...	...

Notes. Sources in the LHNW and LHEX fields. The columns list a counter number, SWIRE Source ID, right ascension, and declination (HH MM SS.SS and DD MM SS.S) taken from the SWIRE IRAC+24 μ m when available, ID counter numbers from the SWIRE 160 μ m, 70 μ m, 24 μ m, and IRAC+24 μ m catalogs, 2MASS source designation and catalog, object ID from SDSS, and the ISO–LH 90 μ m and 160 μ m source ID from Oyabu et al. (2005).

^a SWIRE2_24_J103249.4 + 573707, J103258.0 + 573105, J103314.8 + 573110, J103358.9 + 572952, J103538.8 + 573546, and J103557.1 + 572234 lack entries in the SWIRE IRAC+24 μ m catalog so their R.A., Decl., and 24 μ m flux are taken from the independent SWIRE 24 μ m catalog

^b Preceding the 2MASS source designation are the reference catalog abbreviations, PSC for the 2MASS Point Source Catalog, and XSC for the Extended Source Catalog. Values from the XSC are preferred when available.

^c SWIRE3_J105252.76 + 570753.7 was not included in the SWIRE 160 μ m catalog, but was visible in the image, and detected by ISO so we independently measure its flux by hand.

Table 2
Source Photometry

No.	Source (SWIRE ID)	20cm	160 μ m	70 μ m	24 μ m (mJy)	8 μ m	5.8 μ m	4.5 μ m	3.6 μ m	<i>Ks</i>	<i>H</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>i'</i>	<i>r'</i>	<i>g'</i> (mag)	<i>z</i>	<i>i</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>u</i>
1	SWIRE3_J103237.44 + 580845.9	...	390	117	6.17	2.051	0.409	0.437	0.401	17.13	17.09	18.32	...	...	...	18.02	18.43	18.95	20.07	21.33
2	SWIRE2_24_J103249.4 + 573707	0.638	193	52.7	3.26	...	0.641	...	0.931	16.72	16.67	17.17	...	...	...	16.79	17.05	17.47	18.22	19.57
3	SWIRE3_J103253.94 + 580633.0	...	142	45.1	3.96	4.067	0.697	0.550	0.785	17.12	16.82	17.15	...	...	...	16.44	16.61	16.93	17.39	18.50
4	SWIRE2_24_J103258.0 + 573105	< 0.078	124	18.6	2.23	...	...	...	...	15.27	14.80	15.27	...	...	...	15.32	15.61	15.99	16.65	18.10
5	SWIRE2_24_J103314.8 + 573110	< 0.074	150	18.9	0.84	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20.55	21.22	22.44	23.37	23.07
6	SWIRE3_J103320.32 + 574913.6	0.437	176	50.3	3.65	1.899	0.243	0.357	0.324	...	...	...	18.53	18.97	19.83	18.45	18.71	19.16	19.90	20.85
7	SWIRE3_J103327.90 + 574534.4	< 0.079	122	12.5	1.97	2.014	0.272	0.610	0.800	16.31	16.01	15.98	16.88	17.12	17.90	16.20	16.48	16.88	17.65	18.91
8	SWIRE3_J103341.28 + 580221.4	0.315	242	108	4.79	2.976	0.292	0.515	0.567	...	...	...	17.90	18.35	18.88	20.99	21.66	21.16	22.70	22.38
9	SWIRE3_J103358.73 + 574317.1	1.027	144	45.9	4.18	2.093	0.572	0.942	1.088	15.44	16.01	16.24	17.03	17.48	18.61	16.48	16.89	17.38	18.46	19.70
10	SWIRE2_24_J103358.9 + 572952	1.146	185	55.7	1.83	...	0.088	...	0.124	...	...	...	...	...	...	19.69	20.36	21.00	22.38	24.18
11	SWIRE3_J103515.83 + 573337.4	< 0.080	153	25.3	2.42	2.875	0.879	1.144	1.714	15.20	15.25	15.52	16.88	17.15	17.95	16.81	16.36	16.71	17.61	20.05
12	SWIRE3_J103526.79 + 575147.6	0.224	139	26.3	2.01	0.183	0.155	0.111	0.159	...	...	...	19.85	20.38	21.57	19.67	20.13	20.86	22.12	23.82
13	SWIRE2_24_J103538.8 + 573546	0.465	199	62.9	14.8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17.20	17.32	18.38	19.23	21.51
14	SWIRE3_J103539.24 + 574243.9	0.227	150	37.4	3.04	3.130	1.001	1.171	1.645	15.12	15.36	15.57	16.05	16.46	17.30	15.85	16.16	16.59	17.43	18.94
15	SWIRE2_24_J103557.1 + 572234	...	156	24.4	2.41	...	0.600	...	1.129	16.73	16.59	17.16	...	...	...	16.88	17.34	17.95	19.19	20.88
16	SWIRE3_J103603.97 + 574812.5	...	172	75.5	4.26	0.507	0.210	0.147	0.136	...	...	...	19.42	19.74	20.30	19.36	19.42	19.68	20.24	20.51
17	SWIRE3_J103606.48 + 574702.4	...	220	91.3	6.65	5.419	1.384	0.619	0.898	16.90	17.27	17.39	16.79	17.03	17.44	16.53	16.76	17.08	17.51	18.53
18	SWIRE3_J103653.50 + 575442.5	...	137	62.3	5.17	3.985	0.434	0.455	0.664	17.35	17.30	17.46	17.12	17.41	17.98	16.88	17.06	17.42	17.96	19.03
19	SWIRE3_J104948.86 + 573458.2	0.276	242	45.9	3.77	3.756	0.730	0.915	1.200	15.89	15.53	16.09	17.15	17.63	18.52	16.48	16.88	17.42	18.46	20.21
20	SWIRE3_J104956.06 + 571440.4	< 0.058	126	14.0	1.48	1.830	0.213	0.374	0.501	...	...	...	17.43	17.78	18.49	17.08	17.38	17.79	18.54	19.84
21	SWIRE3_J105041.96 + 570706.7	0.669	362	75.2	5.30	7.510	1.552	1.587	2.330	14.84	14.84	15.36	16.10	16.46	17.37	15.77	16.15	16.64	17.59	19.44
22	SWIRE3_J105052.41 + 573506.9	0.245	288	106	7.97	7.632	3.171	2.581	4.199	14.22	14.02	14.35	14.99	15.32	16.11	14.69	15.03	15.45	16.24	17.83
23	SWIRE3_J105056.60 + 571631.2	0.379	124	39.8	2.10	0.639	0.142	0.131	0.124	...	...	...	20.15	20.37	21.71	19.77	20.20	20.53	21.73	22.00
24	SWIRE3_J105100.42 + 574114.9	< 0.100	174	29.1	3.00	4.375	0.795	0.879	1.298	15.40	15.09	15.75	15.88	16.11	16.66	15.78	15.99	16.31	16.87	18.14
25	SWIRE3_J105113.41 + 571425.9	0.802	250	37.4	2.95	0.313	0.295	0.370	0.414	...	...	...	19.48	20.09	21.71	19.03	19.51	20.15	21.84	26.13
26	SWIRE3_J105143.75 + 572936.9	0.588	121	26.9	2.68	4.030	1.009	1.146	1.725	15.05	14.86	15.53	16.03	16.47	17.15	15.52	15.86	16.34	17.16	18.89
27	SWIRE3_J105150.50 + 573905.7	0.064	139	16.4	1.82	1.918	0.296	0.531	0.747	17.19	17.13	17.25	16.94	17.34	18.22	16.73	17.07	17.53	18.41	19.99
28	SWIRE3_J105151.64 + 570935.7	0.083	140	16.1	1.06	0.106	...	0.078	0.100	...	...	...	20.40	20.90	22.15	20.32	20.53	21.10	22.42	22.68
29	SWIRE3_J105207.16 + 570745.5	0.246	202	34.6	3.37	3.563	0.314	0.524	0.715	15.87	16.81	16.20	...	...	...	16.66	16.86	17.24	17.86	19.06
30	SWIRE3_J105225.75 + 570153.6	0.490	213	77.3	5.85	4.769	0.901	0.615	0.885	16.52	17.22	17.24	...	...	...	16.24	16.30	16.55	16.86	17.87
31	SWIRE3_J105242.40 + 572444.7	0.283	147	41.3	3.47	3.711	0.517	0.420	0.625	16.98	16.59	17.23	...	...	...	16.79	16.94	17.26	17.78	18.90
32	SWIRE3_J105252.76 + 570753.7	0.381	149	45.4	4.34	2.048	0.399	0.611	0.781	15.86	16.05	16.31	...	...	...	16.69	16.99	17.47	18.36	19.84
33	SWIRE3_J105256.85 + 570825.6	0.467	266	74.1	3.15	3.070	0.702	0.847	1.212	15.54	15.51	15.77	...	...	...	16.17	16.55	17.03	17.92	19.73
34	SWIRE3_J105301.36 + 570543.1	0.809	249	142	11.7	8.517	1.159	0.817	1.176	16.51	16.32	16.48	...	...	...	16.35	16.54	17.00	17.59	18.93
35	SWIRE3_J105308.32 + 570645.6	< 0.107	142	15.5	0.51	0.072	0.092	0.084	0.118	...	...	...	...	...	...	20.48	21.16	22.68	23.15	24.97
36	SWIRE3_J105314.84 + 574137.6	0.153	131	39.1	1.58	0.810	0.140	0.189	0.232	...	...	...	18.11	18.34	19.07	18.05	18.18	18.52	19.24	20.19
37	SWIRE3_J105318.94 + 572140.7	0.394	156	36.1	3.75	3.338	0.464	0.505	0.713	17.33	17.35	17.55	...	...	...	16.64	16.91	17.27	17.88	18.91
38	SWIRE3_J105320.92 + 571433.2	< 0.045	144	21.6	1.19	1.863	1.392	2.110	3.230	14.37	14.30	14.72	...	...	...	14.75	15.13	15.61	16.59	18.50
39	SWIRE3_J105349.60 + 570708.1	0.911	1087	379	19.8	12.89	6.983	5.458	8.529	13.52	13.13	13.21	...	...	...	13.76	13.83	14.03	15.28	16.25
40	SWIRE3_J105432.31 + 570932.4	0.614	195	129	11.4	6.200	1.518	1.569	2.426	14.90	14.82	14.84	...	...	...	15.23	15.52	15.93	16.69	18.15

Notes. Source photometry in the LHNW and LHEX fields listed in order of right ascension. The columns list a counter number, the source ID, the 20 cm VLA flux, the flux in the *Spitzer* wavelengths in mJy, and 2MASS *JHK_s* bands, SWIRE *i'r'g'* bands, and SDSS *z'irgu* bands in AB magnitudes. Sources without listed values (. . .) in *Spitzer*–IRAC and/or the SWIRE/NOAO *i'r'g'* bands were typically located on the edge of the LHNW field not covered by SWIRE (see Figure 1). Sources without 2MASS photometry (. . .) were not found in the 2MASS photometry catalog.

Figure 3. Left panel: LHEX subregion of the LH. The coverage of LHEX is roughly $\alpha = 10^{\text{h}}49^{\text{m}}30^{\text{s}}\text{--}10^{\text{h}}54^{\text{m}}30^{\text{s}}$ and $\delta = 57^{\circ}00'00''\text{--}57^{\circ}44'00''$. The circles denote the location of the $160\ \mu\text{m}$ sources. Right panel: LHNW subregion of the LH denoted by the large polygon. The coverage of LHNW is roughly: $\alpha = 10^{\text{h}}31^{\text{m}}00^{\text{s}}\text{--}10^{\text{h}}37^{\text{m}}00^{\text{s}}$ and $\delta = 57^{\circ}20'00''\text{--}58^{\circ}10'00''$.

Figure 4. Images of source J103320.32+574913.6 at g' , r' , i' -bands, and 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, 8.0, 24, 70, $160\ \mu\text{m}$. The red, blue, and green circles indicate the position of the centroid of each detection in the $160\ \mu\text{m}$, $70\ \mu\text{m}$, and bandmerged IRAC+24 μm catalogs, respectively. The radii of the circles are set to $40''$, $20''$, and $5''$ in all images, and are meant to be rough indicators of the size of the PSF for the $160\ \mu\text{m}$, $70\ \mu\text{m}$, and $24\ \mu\text{m}$ sources. Figures 4.1–4.40 are available in the online version of the journal, and display cutout images for the entire sample of $160\ \mu\text{m}$ sources.

(The complete figure set (40 images) is available in the online journal.)

low-resolution spectra had previously been used for redshift identification of putative ISOPHOT $170\ \mu\text{m}$ counterparts and some of these data were published in Oyabu et al. (2005). The follow-up high-resolution spectra were obtained in order to make accurate emission-line flux measurements. These are published here for the first time. In total, we have Keck spectra for 19/40 (48%) of our complete sample. An example of our high-resolution Keck spectra is shown in Figure 5. In addition to Keck, we supplemented our redshift and flux measurements with public SDSS spectra (Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2007) for an additional nine of our targets. All 28 of the Keck + SDSS

spectra are published online in Figures 5.1–5.28. In addition, we list spectroscopic redshifts for two sources for which the spectra themselves are unavailable (see Table 3).

Ten of our MIPS $160\ \mu\text{m}$ sources do not have optical spectra. Most of these sources were either not properly identified in the earlier ISOPHOT $170\ \mu\text{m}$ images or had several radio and K -band counterparts within the ISOPHOT $170\ \mu\text{m}$ beam where the dominant counterpart that had previously been targeted with Keck turned out not to be the correct source. For these 10 sources, we have been able to determine fairly accurate photometric redshifts, as described below.

Figure 5. Optical-NIR spectra. The (rest) wavelength range is 3650–6800 Å, and the vertical lines mark the positions of [O II]3726/3729, Ca II H & K, H β , [O III]5007, Na I D, H α , and [N II]6583. The box in the upper-left lists the source ID and total infrared luminosity in $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot})$. Spectra for the 28 sources for which we have data are available in the online version of the journal. These spectra are listed in order of decreasing luminosity. The telescopes and instruments used to obtain these spectra are listed in Table 3.

(An extended version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Table 3
Redshift and Infrared Luminosity

No.	Source	z	z -Ref.	$\log(L_{\text{IR}})$ ($L_{\odot}$)	$\log(L_{1.4\text{GHz}})$ (W Hz $^{-1}$)
1	SWIRE3_J103237.44 + 580845.9 ^a	0.42 ± 0.02	Phot-z	12.28 ± 0.05	...
2	SWIRE2_24_J103249.4 + 573707	0.115	ESI/ech	10.67	22.40
3	SWIRE3_J103253.94 + 580633.0	0.073	SDSS	10.17	...
4	SWIRE2_24_J103258.0 + 573105	0.046	SDSS	9.55	< 20.60
5	SWIRE2_24_J103314.8 + 573110 ^a	$0.80^{+0.22}_{-0.18}$	Phot-z	$12.48^{+0.26}_{-0.27}$	< 23.77
6	SWIRE3_J103320.32 + 574913.6	0.240	ESI/ech	11.37	23.02
7	SWIRE3_J103327.90 + 574534.4	0.152	SDSS	10.60	< 21.78
8	SWIRE3_J103341.28 + 580221.4 ^a	$0.62^{+0.06}_{-0.08}$	Phot-z ^c	$12.62^{+0.10}_{-0.15}$	24.05
9	SWIRE3_J103358.73 + 574317.1	0.263	ESI/ech	11.46	23.49
10	SWIRE2_24_J103358.9 + 572952	0.469	ESI/ech	12.04	24.24
11	SWIRE3_J103515.83 + 573337.4 ^a	0.16 ± 0.02	Phot-z	10.87 ± 0.12	< 21.84
12	SWIRE3_J103526.79 + 575147.6	0.595	ESI/low-d	12.25	23.85
13	SWIRE2_24_J103538.8 + 573546 ^a	0.69 ± 0.03	Phot-z	$12.66^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	24.36
14	SWIRE3_J103539.24 + 574243.9	0.113	ESI/ech	10.58	21.93
15	SWIRE2_24_J103557.1 + 572234 ^a	$0.46^{+0.02}_{-0.26}$	Phot-z	$11.92^{+0.04}_{-0.84}$	...
16	SWIRE3_J103603.97 + 574812.5 ^b	0.511	B ^b	12.20	...
17	SWIRE3_J103606.48 + 574702.4	0.044	SDSS	9.95	...
18	SWIRE3_J103653.50 + 575442.5	0.102	SDSS	10.46	...
19	SWIRE3_J104948.86 + 573458.2	0.135	SDSS+ESI/low-d	10.89	22.20
20	SWIRE3_J104956.06 + 571440.4 ^a	0.07 ± 0.03	Phot-z	$9.98^{+0.37}_{-0.46}$	< 20.87
21	SWIRE3_J105041.96 + 570706.7	0.091	ESI/ech	10.76	22.18
22	SWIRE3_J105052.41 + 573506.9	0.028	SDSS+ESI/ech	9.64	20.64
23	SWIRE3_J105056.60 + 571631.2	0.397	ESI/low-d	11.69	23.55
24	SWIRE3_J105100.42 + 574114.9	0.071	ESI/ech	10.12	< 21.12
25	SWIRE3_J105113.41 + 571425.9	0.362	ESI/ech	11.90	23.76
26	SWIRE3_J105143.75 + 572936.9	0.081	ESI/ech	10.18	22.01
27	SWIRE3_J105150.50 + 573905.7	0.136	SDSS	10.56	21.57
28	SWIRE3_J105151.64 + 570935.7	0.608	ESI/low-d	12.12	23.44
29	SWIRE3_J105207.16 + 570745.5	0.123	ESI/ech	10.73	22.05
30	SWIRE3_J105225.75 + 570153.6	0.061	ESI/ech	10.16	21.66
31	SWIRE3_J105242.40 + 572444.7 ^a	$0.19^{+0.01}_{-0.03}$	Phot-z	$11.05^{+0.07}_{-0.15}$	22.57
32	SWIRE3_J105252.76 + 570753.7	0.163	SDSS+ESI/low-d	10.99	22.53
33	SWIRE3_J105256.85 + 570825.6	0.080	ESI/low-d	10.40	21.90
34	SWIRE3_J105301.36 + 570543.1	0.080	ESI/ech	10.64	22.14
35	SWIRE3_J105308.32 + 570645.6 ^a	$0.76^{+0.14}_{-0.26}$	Phot-z	$12.74^{+0.19}_{-0.44}$	< 23.86
36	SWIRE3_J105314.84 + 574137.6 ^a	$0.21^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$	Phot-z	$11.04^{+0.21}_{-0.15}$	22.41
37	SWIRE3_J105318.94 + 572140.7	0.133	SDSS	10.70	22.34
38	SWIRE3_J105320.92 + 571433.2	0.080	SDSS	10.03	< 20.89
39	SWIRE3_J105349.60 + 570708.1 ^b	0.006	NED ^b	8.81	19.84
40	SWIRE3_J105432.31 + 570932.4	0.068	SDSS	10.44	21.86

Notes. Sources in the LHNW and LHEX fields listed in order of increasing right ascension, with columns giving a counter number, source ID, redshift, infrared luminosity (8–1000 μm) in units of $L_{\odot}$, and radio power $L_{1.4\text{GHz}}$ in units: $\log(\text{W Hz}^{-1})$. The sources of the spectra listed in the z -Ref. column are: from ESI on Keck II in low-dispersion (low-d) and echellette (ech) mode, from Amy Barger’s private communication (2003) (B), from SDSS, and from NED.

^a Sources without existing optical spectra, for which we have determined photometric redshifts (see the text and Figure 6). The computed phot-z value and 68% uncertainty are listed. This uncertainty was propagated when computing the listed infrared luminosity.

^b Thirty of the 40 sources in our sample have spectroscopic redshifts, and 28 of these have spectra, which are displayed in Figures 5.1–5.28. The spectra for sources J103603.97 + 574812.5 and J105349.60 + 570708.1 are not available.

^c For the case of J103341.28+580221.4, we prefer the SWIRE optical data due to confusion with a foreground spiral and use these rather than the SDSS data to calculate its photometric redshift.

4.4. VLA 1.4 GHz Radio Data

Deep 1.4 GHz radio continuum images of the LHEX and LHNW fields were obtained using the NRAO VLA in the B-configuration in 2000 February and March–April 2001 March–April as part of the AY110 and AY121 programs. The angular resolution of the data are $\sim 5''$. The achieved sensitivity of the LHEX data is $1\sigma \sim 15 \mu\text{Jy}$ while the sensitivity for the LHNW field is a factor of two worse because of a bright (4.2 Jy) continuum source nearby. The photometry is done using the AIPS task SAD, which fits a two-dimensional Gaussian to the brightness distribution, and total integrated flux is reported for extended sources in Table 2. A more detailed discussion of the radio data is presented by Oyabu et al. (2005).

5. RESULTS

The complete photometric data set for each of our 40 MIPS 170 μm sources is presented in Table 2. We use these data, along with the measured (spectroscopic) and computed (photometric) redshifts listed in Table 3, to construct SEDs for each source and to compute total infrared luminosities, which are then used to construct the LF for our complete sample.

5.1. Photometric Redshifts

The majority of the SWIRE 160 μm detections have spectroscopic data, which were used to determine their redshifts. For the 10 objects without spectra (noted with “Phot-z” in Table 3), we calculate a photometric redshift using the photometry from SDSS *ugriz* bands (except for J103341.28+580221.4, see Table 3), 2MASS *JHK_s* bands, and IRAC 3.6 and 4.5 μm . A χ^2 template-fitting method (*Le Phare*) was used following the prescription given in Ilbert et al. (2009). This method offers an improvement in photo-z accuracy over previous methods, due primarily to improved calibration using large spectroscopic samples from VLT-VIMOS and Keck-DEIMOS. The best-fit redshift values and uncertainties are listed in Table 3.

5.2. Spectral Energy Distributions and Infrared Luminosity

The photometry and redshifts were used to construct SEDs (νL_ν) for each source, which are shown in Figure 6 sorted in order of decreasing luminosity. The SEDs are characteristic of what has previously been observed for infrared-selected galaxies, with the most luminous sources showing a dominant “infrared bump” presumably due to thermal dust emission and an “optical bump” due to thermal emission from stars. Although the mid-infrared sampling is relatively sparse, it is also possible to see the effects of emission from polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the mid-infrared at $\lambda_{\text{rest}} \sim 4\text{--}12 \mu\text{m}$, and silicate absorption at $\lambda_{\text{rest}} \sim 10 \mu\text{m}$.

The SEDs displayed in Figure 6 also show template fits to the data. The MIPS and 8 μm points are fit to a library of SED templates by Siebenmorgen & Krugel (2007), and the best fit is shown as solid line. The dotted line in Figure 6 represents a stellar evolution model fit to the UV–NIR data which is used to estimate stellar masses (see below). To estimate each source’s total IR luminosity, $L_{\text{IR}}(8\text{--}1000 \mu\text{m})$, we use the prescription described by Siebenmorgen & Krugel (2007). The use of this model of SED fitting to estimate IR luminosity over others, such as Chary & Elbaz (2001) or Dale & Helou (2002), is advocated by Symeonidis et al. (2008) largely due to the tendency of these models to underestimate the peak of the FIR luminosity as represented by the 160 μm flux. This tendency of Siebenmorgen

Table 4
Luminosity Function

$\log(L_{\text{IR}})$ ($L_\odot$)	Φ ($\text{Mpc}^{-3} \text{ mag}^{-1}$)	σ_Φ	V/V_{max}	$\sigma_{V/V_{\text{max}}}$	Number	Median z
9.8	3.1×10^{-3}	1.8×10^{-3}	0.53	0.11	3	0.044
10.2	1.4×10^{-3}	5.6×10^{-4}	0.64	0.09	6	0.077
10.6	7.2×10^{-4}	2.3×10^{-4}	0.59	0.07	10	0.114
11.0	8.3×10^{-5}	3.7×10^{-5}	0.68	0.11	5	0.163
11.4	8.7×10^{-6}	6.1×10^{-6}	0.66	0.17	2	0.251
11.8	2.4×10^{-6}	1.4×10^{-6}	0.65	0.13	3	0.397
12.2	1.4×10^{-6}	6.2×10^{-7}	0.57	0.09	5	0.511
12.6	3.6×10^{-7}	1.8×10^{-7}	0.58	0.10	4	0.725

Notes. The galaxy sample is placed into luminosity bins of 0.4 in the range $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_\odot)$. We list the space density Φ , the uncertainty in this value, volume sampling parameter V/V_{max} , uncertainty in V/V_{max} , the number of galaxies in each bin, and the median redshift.

& Krugel (2007) to better fit the FIR data held true for our 160 μm sample as well. Table 3 lists the computed infrared luminosity for each source.

5.3. Infrared Galaxy Luminosity Function

In addition to infrared luminosity, we use each source’s flux at 160 μm , and redshift ($H_0 = 75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ to calculate luminosity distance) to calculate an LF from our sample. Figure 7 shows the infrared LF resulting from our observations of the LH in comparison with the LF in the local universe, previously determined from the IRAS Revised Bright Galaxy Sample (RBGS) all-sky survey, which has median and maximum redshifts: $z = 0.008$ and $z = 0.09$ (Sanders et al. 2003). The LF density values and uncertainties plotted in Figure 7 are listed in Table 4. We divide the data into bins of $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_\odot) = 0.4$ in size, corresponding to steps of one in absolute magnitude. Note that SWIRE detected galaxies, J103258.0+573105 and J105349.60+570708.1 at 160 μm , but we do not include them in our analysis because their calculated total infrared luminosities are $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_\odot) = 9.55$ and 8.81 which results in them falling as the lone galaxy in their respective luminosity bins. The volume at which the survey is sensitive to galaxies below this luminosity range is small, so we restrict our attention to higher luminosity sources.

In comparing the LF of our LH data with the RBGS we are comparing a narrow deep survey with 40 galaxies to a wide local survey with several hundred galaxies. This means that the relative significance of each galaxy is higher for the LH, so it is important to have accurate luminosity estimates. On the other hand, the one-magnitude bins have the effect of mitigating uncertainties in luminosity, since they can include galaxies with luminosity estimates differing by as much as a factor of 2.5. This is particularly significant for the objects in the sample that have luminosity estimates using photometric redshifts, since their luminosity is less certain than the rest of the sample. The photometric redshifts and their 68% uncertainty limits are noted in Table 3, and these limits are used to estimate uncertainties in their luminosities. In addition to photometric redshift uncertainties, the errors in matching νL_ν to a model infrared luminosity become less important when the data are binned as described. The space density of galaxies within each bin is calculated using the method developed by Schmidt (1968), which accounts for the fact that in a flux-limited sample a larger range of luminosities is observable at small distances

Figure 6. SEDs of SWIRE 160 μm sources in νL_ν in units of solar luminosity vs. rest wavelength (increasing to the left). The solid line represents a Siebenmorgen & Krugel (2007) model fit to the MIPS and 8 μm data, shown as diamonds. The dotted line represents the stellar component of the luminosity and is fit to the shorter wavelength data (Ilbert et al. 2010). Sources are sorted by decreasing IR luminosity. We list the total IR luminosity in each panel in units of $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_\odot)$ in parentheses below the source ID.

than at greater distances. He proposes using a measure of the volume that a particular flux measurement samples, given the flux limits of the survey. For example, a galaxy at redshift $z = 0.5$ with a flux at 160 μm of 170 mJy could have been seen at greater redshift (and hence represent a larger volume), since the flux limit of the sample is 120 mJy. This volume

sampling is characterized by the V/V_{max} parameter, where V is the volume corresponding to the redshift actually observed and V_{max} is the maximum volume over which it could be observed. A mean V/V_{max} value of 0.5 within a luminosity bin indicates an even distribution of galaxies within the total volume sampled in that bin.

Figure 6. (Continued)

6. DISCUSSION

6.1. Evidence For Possible Evolution in the Luminosity Function

The space density of galaxies with infrared luminosity (8–1000 μm) in the range $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) = 10\text{--}12$ appears to be consistent between the RBGS and our LH sample. In particular, Sanders et al. (2003) fit a broken power law to the RBGS sample. At $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) = 9.5\text{--}10.5$ the RBGS is fit

with $\Phi(L) \propto L^{-0.6 \pm 0.1}$, and at $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) = 10.5\text{--}12.5$ the power law is $\Phi(L) \propto L^{-2.2 \pm 0.1}$. The LH data for luminosities $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) < 12$, agree within their errors to these power laws. This concurrence is to be expected given the relatively low-redshifts sampled in these lower luminosity bins. At $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) > 12.0$ the situation changes. The comoving space density of ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs: $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) > 12.0$) in the $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) = 12.0\text{--}12.4$ luminosity bin is $\sim 7\times$ higher in the LH than in the RBGS. The median

Figure 7. Infrared galactic LF from observations of the LH by SWIRE are shown as filled diamonds with 68% uncertainty bars. The RBGS data are shown as pluses fit by two power laws (Sanders et al. 2003). At $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) = 9.5\text{--}10.5$ the RBGS is fit with $\Phi(L) \propto L^{-0.6 \pm 0.1}$, and at $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) = 10.5\text{--}12.5$ the power law is $\Phi(L) \propto L^{-2.2 \pm 0.1}$.

redshift of the ULIRGs in the LH in this luminosity bin is $z = 0.51$. In the highest luminosity bin, $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) = 12.4\text{--}12.8$, the median redshift of the four LH galaxies in this bin is $z = 0.71$. To compare the comoving space density with the RBGS in this bin we extrapolate the RBGS power law to $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) = 12.6$ and find that the density in the LH sample is $\sim 11 \times$ higher.

Our new results for the LF of the most LIRGs in the LH are consistent with strong evolution in the comoving space density of ULIRGs. If we assume pure space-density evolution of the form $(1+z)^n$, our new results for the LH imply $n \sim 6 \pm 1$. This is similar to what was found in an earlier study of the infrared LF of ULIRGs by Kim & Sanders (1998), where the comoving space density of ULIRGs in the IRAS 1 Jy sample (mean $z \sim 0.15$) was found to be $\sim 2 \times$ larger than the local space density of ULIRGs in the RBGS (mean $z \sim 0.05$), implying $n = 7.6 \pm 3.2$. Our new results are also consistent with a recent determination of the extragalactic $250 \mu\text{m}$ LF by Dye et al. (2010), which shows a “smooth increase” with redshift of a factor of $3.6 \times$ in the comoving space density of luminous infrared sources between $z = 0$ and $z = 0.2$, corresponding to $n = 7.1$.

Deeper far-infrared surveys currently underway with *Spitzer* and *Herschel* will eventually allow us to determine whether the strong evolution observed for the most luminous infrared extragalactic sources in the relatively nearby universe continues out to higher redshift. For now, we simply note that if we assume similar strong evolution, e.g., $(1+z)^6$, in the ULIRG population out to higher redshifts, our results would imply a comoving space density of ULIRGs that is $\sim 700 \times$ larger at $z \sim 2$ compared to the value at $z = 0$. Is there evidence for such a large population of ULIRGs at high redshift? The answer seems to be yes. There is a population of faint submillimeter sources detected by the Submillimeter Common User Bolometer Array (SCUBA) on the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT), which has

been interpreted variously as exotic objects, or ULIRGs at high redshift (Smail et al. 1997; Hughes et al. 1998; Barger et al. 1998; Lilly et al. 1999). Lilly et al. argued that these objects are indeed ULIRGs at $z \sim 2$. Subsequently, Chapman et al. (2005) measured a range of spectroscopic redshifts, $z = 1.7\text{--}2.8$ for a sample of 73 submillimeter galaxies, and suggested an evolution in number density of three orders of magnitude for ULIRGs between $z = 0$ and $z \sim 2.5$. Our results for ULIRGs in the LH, when extrapolated out to $z = 2\text{--}2.5$ are then consistent with the hypothesis that the SCUBA submillimeter sources are indeed ULIRGs.

6.2. Galaxy Properties

To achieve a better understanding of the processes responsible for the observed infrared emission and the nature of the galaxies in our MIPS $160 \mu\text{m}$ sample, we use our UV–NIR imaging data and optical spectra to determine galaxy morphology and masses, and spectral types, respectively.

6.2.1. Imaging: Morphology and Masses

In order to develop a picture of the morphologies, and to gain an indication of the prevalence of merging/interacting galaxies in the sample we examine their UV–NIR images. We compile color composite images of the sources from those available through the Finding Chart section of the SDSS DR7 website, and show these in luminosity order in Figure 8. The zoom on these cutouts is scaled so that each box is 100 kpc on a side. At high redshifts and thus high zoom, the image quality of the SDSS charts is low, so for sources with $z > 0.3$ we display stacked $g'r'i'$ images from KPNO when available. A brief description of the galaxy morphologies is presented in Table 5. Many of the higher luminosity sources with $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) > 11.5$ exhibit features suggestive of interactions/mergers, such as multiple cores and/or tidal tails. At luminosities lower than $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) < 11$ the large majority of sources appear to be mostly unperturbed spirals. These trends are consistent with previous studies of local samples of LIRGs and ULIRGs (e.g., Sanders & Mirabel 1996), which have shown that strong interactions and mergers appear responsible for triggering the most luminous infrared sources.

Stellar masses for each of the MIPS $160 \mu\text{m}$ sources are listed in Table 5. The masses were computed by fitting the UV–NIR SEDs using *Le Phare* (Ilbert et al. 2010) and assuming a Chabrier (Chabrier 2003) initial mass function (IMF). The mass range is $\log(M/M_{\odot}) \sim 10.0\text{--}11.5$ corresponding to $\sim 0.5\text{--}3 M^*$. Higher mass systems are more likely to be associated with higher infrared luminosity.

6.2.2. Spectroscopy: Extinction, Abundances, and Spectral Types

Our high-resolution Keck/ESI spectra, supplemented by SDSS spectra and four low-resolution ESI spectra, allow us to measure robust spectral types for 25 of the $160 \mu\text{m}$ sources in our sample. An example of these spectra was shown in Figure 5. Spectra for the 25 sources with spectral types as well as those from three sources for which we have data but were unable to measure spectral types are available in the online version of the journal. After carefully accounting for the effects of stellar absorption and applying an extinction correction (median $E(B - V) = 0.7$), we classify the spectra as H II-region-like or star forming (H); composite or star formation + an AGN (C); Seyfert (S); or LINER (L), based on the classification scheme proposed by Kewley et al. (2006) (see Figure 9). Table 3 lists the

Table 5
Spectral Properties, Masses, and Morphologies

No.	Source	$\log(L_{\text{IR}})$ ($L_{\odot}$)	$E(B - V)$	$12+\log[\text{O}/\text{H}]$	S-Type	q -value	$\log(M_a)$ ($M_{\odot}$)	Morphology	Notes
35	SWIRE3_J105308.32 + 570645.6	$12.74^{+0.19}_{-0.44}$	...	...	...	> 2.84	10.90	Highly disturbed	Tidal features
13	SWIRE2_24_J103538.8 + 573546	$12.66^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	...	...	...	2.07 ^a		Spheroid	S overlapping foreground galaxy with $z = 0.103$.
8	SWIRE3_J103341.28 + 580221.4	$12.62^{+0.10}_{-0.15}$	...	...	...	2.35 ^a		Spheroid	SW foreground spiral with $z = 0.075$.
5	SWIRE2_24_J103314.8 + 573110	$12.48^{+0.26}_{-0.27}$	...	...	...	> 2.66	11.25	Spheroid	
1	SWIRE3_J103237.44 + 580845.9	12.28 ± 0.05	...	...	...	...	11.18	Disk	Disturbed, tidal features
12	SWIRE3_J103526.79 + 575147.6	12.25	...	...	H/C/L:	2.33	10.82	Spheroid	Pair ($d = 20$ kpc, $\Delta z = 0.001$); star to S.
16	SWIRE3_J103603.97 + 574812.5	12.20	...	...	...	...	10.88	Merger	Overlapping pair
28	SWIRE3_J105151.64 + 570935.7	12.12	...	...	S:	2.63 ^a		Spheroid	Pair ? ($d = 30$ kpc)
10	SWIRE2_24_J103358.9 + 572952	12.04	...	...	...	1.70	10.75	Spheroid	Tidal debris. (NE object is bkg source with $z = 0.837$.)
15	SWIRE2_24_J103557.1 + 572234	$11.92^{+0.04}_{-0.84}$	...	...	...	...	11.77	Disk	Large (100 kpc) edge-on disk
25	SWIRE3_J105113.41 + 571425.9	11.90	...	...	S/L:	2.06	11.49	Spheroid	Compact
23	SWIRE3_J105056.60 + 571631.2	11.69	1.00	8.87	H:	2.06	10.63	Spheroid	Tidal debris + pair ($d = 40$ kpc, $\Delta z = 0.001$)
9	SWIRE3_J103358.73 + 574317.1	11.46	0.88	...	H	1.84	11.70	Spheroid	Faint SE companion ($d = 7$ kpc)
6	SWIRE3_J103320.32 + 574913.6	11.37	0.66	8.89	H	2.28	10.77	Disk	Tidal arm(s).
31	SWIRE3_J105242.40 + 572444.7	$11.05^{+0.07}_{-0.15}$	...	...	...	2.39	10.86	Disk	
36	SWIRE3_J105314.84 + 574137.6	$11.04^{+0.21}_{-0.15}$	...	...	...	2.55	10.46	Disk	Interacting system with SE companion ($d = 30$ kpc)
32	SWIRE3_J105252.76 + 570753.7	10.99	0.86	8.93	H	2.35	11.11	Disk	Tidal feature -X possible SW companion
19	SWIRE3_J104948.86 + 573458.2	10.89	...	...	C/S/L:	2.62	11.24	Disk	Edge-on with bright nucleus
11	SWIRE3_J103515.83 + 573337.4	10.87 ± 0.12	...	...	...	> 2.96	11.04	Disk	Bright star to N
21	SWIRE3_J105041.96 + 570706.7	10.76	2.08	...	H	2.53	11.19	Disk	Edge-on
29	SWIRE3_J105207.16 + 570745.5	10.73	0.11	9.08	H	2.60	10.72	Disk	
37	SWIRE3_J105318.94 + 572140.7	10.70	0.53	8.95	H	2.28	10.88	Disk	
2	SWIRE2_24_J103249.4 + 573707	10.67	0.58	8.56	H	2.20	10.74	Disk	
34	SWIRE3_J105301.36 + 570543.1	10.64	0.47	8.64	H	2.38	10.49	Disk	Compact with bright nucleus
7	SWIRE3_J103327.90 + 574534.4	10.60	0.71	8.87	H	> 2.74	11.25	Disk	Possible tidal arm
14	SWIRE3_J103539.24 + 574243.9	10.58	0.71	8.89	H	2.58	11.03	Disk	Small companion to N
27	SWIRE3_J105150.50 + 573905.7	10.56	...	...	...	2.91	10.95	Disk	Edge-on
18	SWIRE3_J103653.50 + 575442.5	10.46	0.52	8.43	H	...	10.58	Disk	Compact with possible companion to SW
40	SWIRE3_J105432.31 + 570932.4	10.44	0.74	8.77	H	2.44	10.88	Disk	Bright nucleus
33	SWIRE3_J105256.85 + 570825.6	10.40	...	...	L:	2.45	10.80	Disk	Edge-on with companion to SE
26	SWIRE3_J105143.75 + 572936.9	10.18	1.87	...	S	2.10	11.09	Disk	Edge-on with bright nucleus
3	SWIRE3_J103253.94 + 580633.0	10.17	0.55	8.18	H	...	10.26	Disk	Disturbed
30	SWIRE3_J105225.75 + 570153.6	10.16	0.14	8.48	H	2.42	10.04	Disk	Blue compact
24	SWIRE3_J105100.42 + 574114.9	10.12	1.41	...	H	> 2.93	10.56	Disk	Face-on
38	SWIRE3_J105320.92 + 571433.2	10.03	...	...	...	> 3.09	11.47	Disk	Face-on, disturbed with bright nucleus
20	SWIRE3_J104956.06 + 571440.4	$9.98^{+0.37}_{-0.46}$	...	...	...	> 3.06	10.23	Disk	Small, edge-on

Table 5
(Continued)

No.	Source	$\log(L_{\text{IR}})$ ($L_{\odot}$)	$E(B - V)$	$12 + \log[\text{O}/\text{H}]$	S-Type	q -value	$\log(M_a)$ ($M_{\odot}$)	Morphology	Notes
17	SWIRE3_J103606.48 + 574702.4	9.95	0.55	8.58	H	...	9.70	Disk	Small, edge-on
22	SWIRE3_J105052.41 + 573506.9	9.64	0.52	8.43	H	2.92	10.40	Disk	Small, edge-on
4	SWIRE2_24_J103258.0 + 573105	9.55	0.49	9.00	H/C:	> 2.88	10.47	Disk	Edge-on
39	SWIRE3_J105349.60 + 570708.1	8.81	...	...	...	2.92	9.45	Disk	Blue compact

Notes. Sources in the LHNW and LHEX fields listed in order of decreasing L_{IR} . Columns give R.A. ordered counter number, source ID, infrared luminosity (8–1000 μm) in units of $L_{\odot}$, extinction, metallicity, spectral type (H, star-forming; C, star forming + AGN; S, Seyfert; L, LINER), stellar mass estimates in $\log(M_{\odot})$ using the methods described in Ilbert et al. (2010), ‘ q -value’ of FIR-radio correlation, morphology, and Notes (tidal debris and/or companions). The spectral types are determined by use of the methods of Kewley et al. (2006), while the extinction is calculated using the Balmer decrement, and metallicities using the $[\text{N II}]/[\text{O II}]$ diagnostic of Kewley et al. (2002).

^a Sources J103538.8 + 573546 and J103341.28 + 580221.4 suffer from contamination due to partially overlapping foreground galaxies, which prohibits determining accurate masses. Source J105151.64 + 570935.7 is spectroscopically classified as a Seyfert and is suspected to harbor a QSO, thus the use of *Le Phare* to determine a mass from the UV–NIR photometry is inappropriate.

Figure 8. Stacked images of the LH sources sorted by luminosity. Most images are from SDSS finding charts, however for sources at $z > 0.3$ we show stacked KPNO $g'+r'+i'$ images when available, because these reveal more detail than SDSS (these images are marked with an asterisk). Images are oriented with north up and east to the left, with dimensions of $100 \text{ kpc} \times 100 \text{ kpc}$. We list the total IR luminosity for each source in units of $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot})$ in parentheses following the source ID.

measured spectral types and extinctions for each galaxy when they are available.

Dividing the subsample with spectral types into luminosity bins, we find that 15 of 19, (79%), of galaxies in the

Figure 8. (Continued)

$\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) < 11$ bin have H II-region-like spectral type, consistent with star formation as the dominant source of excitation. This is close to the H II-region-like fraction of nearby

galaxies selected at $60\mu\text{m}$ ($\sim 70\%$; Veilleux et al. 1995; Yuan et al. 2010). The other four galaxies include one Seyfert and one LINER and two objects with mixed types that suggest a

Figure 9. Optical spectral diagnostics, following the formalism of Kewley et al. (2006), for those sources with optical spectra and for which we were able to measure the relevant diagnostic lines. The dotted lines divide the diagrams into spectral classification types, and the solid line denotes the limit to pure star formation.

“composite” starburst–active galactic nucleus (AGN) mixture of excitation. Only six galaxies with high resolution spectroscopy (and hence derived spectral types) have $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) > 11$. Three ($3/6 = 50\%$) have H II-region-like spectral type, one is a Seyfert, one is a Seyfert/LINER, and one is a “composite” mixture of starburst/LINER excitation. Although the fraction of galaxies with H II-region-like spectral types decreases at higher infrared luminosity (similar to what is observed for nearby galaxies selected at $60\ \mu\text{m}$), the number statistics in this high luminosity bin are too low to draw conclusions about the fractions of different spectral types. In an attempt to provide additional information on the spectral types of our high infrared luminosity sources, we have employed a new technique developed from studies of SDSS galaxies (Smolčić et al. 2008) that maps UV/optical continuum colors onto the spectral line diagnostic diagram. This method is described in the Appendix, where the SDSS photometry for all of our MIPS $160\ \mu\text{m}$ sources is used to derive “P1,P2” photometric spectral types for each source, following the prescription given by Smolčić et al. (2008). These results both confirm the large H II-region-like fraction among the lower luminosity infrared sources and show that composite and AGN spectral types appear to increase among the highest luminosity sources.

Because our spectra also contain the [O II] $\lambda\lambda 3727, 3729$ doublet, we are able to estimate gas-phase oxygen abundances for these systems. Where available, these are listed in Table 5, using

the robust [N II]/[O II] diagnostic of Kewley et al. (2002). To put these in context, we also used the measured K_s data to compare to the luminosity–metallicity relation in the NIR (Salzer et al. 2005). For the eight systems that have sufficient information (upper-branch R_{23} gas abundances and measured luminosities; see Rupke et al. 2008 for more on the methodology), we find that five follow the L – Z relation of normal galaxies. Three others have higher luminosities than the data threshold and appear to be slightly below the L – Z relation (by 0.1 – 0.2 dex), as found for other infrared-selected objects at high luminosity (Rupke et al. 2008).

6.2.3. Radio–FIR Correlation

The measured 1.4 GHz radio continuum fluxes are converted to 1.4 GHz radio power $L_{1.4\text{GHz}}$ assuming a spectral index of $\alpha = +0.75$,²⁰ and they are plotted as a function of redshift on the left panel of Figure 10. The observed 1.4 GHz radio power range between 10^{20} and $10^{24.3}\ \text{W Hz}^{-1}$ (see Table 3), similar to the IR-selected galaxies in the local universe studied by Yun et al. (2001b), and none of the sources has sufficient radio power to be classified as a “radio-loud” object. The most luminous infrared sources also tend to be those with the largest radio luminosities, i.e., $\log(L_{1.4\text{GHz}}) = 23.0$ – 24.4 , equivalent to the radio powers

²⁰ Spectral index α is defined as $S_\nu = S_0(\frac{\nu}{\nu_0})^{-\alpha}$.

Figure 10. Left panel: 1.4 GHz radio power of the 34 sources with available radio data shown as a function of their redshifts. Right panel: the radio-FIR correlation q -value of the same 34 sources are shown. The solid horizontal line corresponds to $q = 2.34$, which is the mean of the local star-forming galaxies, while the lower and upper dashed lines mark the radio-excess and IR-excess objects, respectively (see Yun et al. 2001b).

typically seen among Seyfert galaxies, and thus the presence of a low luminosity AGN cannot be ruled out based on these radio powers alone.

The well-known correlation between the measured infrared luminosity and radio power for star-forming galaxies is often quantified using the ratio commonly referred to as “ q -value”

$$q = \log[(\text{FIR}/3.75 \times 10^{12} \text{ Hz})/S_{1.4\text{GHz}}], \quad (1)$$

where FIR is the far-infrared flux density and $S_{1.4\text{GHz}}$ is in $\text{W m}^{-2} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$ (Condon 1992; Yun et al. 2001b). We computed these q -values using the $L_{1.4\text{GHz}}$ derived above and L_{FIR} computed from the best-fit SED models integrated between $\lambda = 40$ and $500 \mu\text{m}$, where the wavelength range has been chosen to match the original definition of L_{FIR} used to compute “ q .” As shown in the right panel in Figure 10, the derived q -values of the LH $160 \mu\text{m}$ sources fall between 1.6 and 3.0, suggesting that most of these sources follow the same radio-FIR correlation as the local star-forming galaxy populations. Some of the low-redshift ($z \lesssim 0.15$) sources appear to have q -values on the high end of the local population. These are also the sources with the largest angular size, and the VLA measurements are likely under-estimates as a consequence. None of the LH $160 \mu\text{m}$ sources has a q -value less than 1.6 and thus a clear evidence for a radio-loud AGN.

7. SUMMARY

We have made use of *Spitzer*-SWIRE imaging data of two $\sim 0.5 \text{ deg}^2$ fields (LHEX and LHNW) in the LH, to identify a complete sample of 40 MIPS $160 \mu\text{m}$ selected extragalactic sources, with $S_{160} > 120 \text{ mJy}$. In combination with Keck spectroscopy and photometry from SDSS and 2MASS, we have obtained redshifts and infrared luminosities, and have attempted to characterize the host galaxy properties for all of the objects in the sample. The LF for the MIPS $160 \mu\text{m}$ sample has been compared with the “local” ($z \leq 0.05$) LF of FIR galaxies previously derived using the *IRAS* all-sky survey.

Our main results can be summarized as follows.

1. The complete $S_{160} > 120 \text{ mJy}$ sample contains 40 galaxies with infrared luminosities in the range $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) =$

8.81–12.74, with a maximum redshift, $z = 0.80$. The cumulative source counts down to 120 mJy are estimated to be $1.2 \times 10^5 \text{ sources sr}^{-1}$ at $160 \mu\text{m}$.

2. The LF of the sources with $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) \sim 9.5$ –11.5 is similar to that found previously for infrared galaxies in the *IRAS* $60 \mu\text{m}$ local galaxy sample.
3. The comoving space density of the MIPS $160 \mu\text{m}$ galaxies with $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) > 12$ is $\sim 10\times$ higher than that for the local infrared galaxies with similar infrared luminosities found in the *IRAS* RBGS. Assuming pure number density evolution proportional to $(1+z)^n$, these results give $n = 6 \pm 1$, which implies strong evolution of the most luminous infrared sources, in contrast to little or no evolution observed in the number density of lower luminosity objects with $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) < 11$.
4. The host galaxy masses for our sample are in the range $\log(M_{\star}/M_{\odot}) \sim 10.0$ –11.5 (0.5 – $3M_{\star}$), with evidence for an increase in host mass from a mean of 10.7 to 11.0 for objects with infrared luminosities below and above $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) = 11$, respectively.
5. The morphology and spectral types for our flux-limited sample of $160 \mu\text{m}$ selected sources generally agree with what has been observed locally for $60 \mu\text{m}$ selected samples. At $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) > 11$, the fraction of disturbed and/or merger systems and the fraction of objects with “composite” and/or AGN spectral types increases with increasing L_{IR} . At $\log(L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}) < 11$ most objects appear to be either unperturbed spirals and/or weakly interacting systems with spectral types typical of H II regions.
6. None of the LH sources has sufficient radio power to be classified as a “radio-loud” object. However, the most luminous infrared sources also tend to have the highest radio luminosities, i.e., $\log(L_{1.4\text{GHz}}) = 23.0$ –24.4, equivalent to the radio powers typically seen among Seyfert galaxies, and thus the presence of a low-luminosity AGN cannot be ruled out based on these radio powers alone.

We benefited from the published data and preliminary analyses of S. Oyabu. V.S. acknowledges support from the Owens

Valley Radio Observatory, which is supported by the National Science Foundation through grant AST-0838260, and also received funding from the European Union’s Seventh Framework programme under grant agreement 229517. Y.T. was financially supported in part by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (Nos. 10044052 and 10304013), and by the JSPS (Nos. 15340059, 17253001, and 19340046). This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation. Funding for the SDSS and SDSS-II has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, the U. S. Department of Energy, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the Japanese Monbukagakusho, the Max Planck Society, and the Higher Education Funding Council for England. The SDSS Web site is <http://www.sdss.org/>.

The SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions. The participating institutions are the American Museum of Natural History, Astrophysical Institute Potsdam, University of Basel, University of Cambridge, Case Western Reserve University, University of Chicago, Drexel University, Fermilab, the Institute for Advanced Study, the Japan Participation Group, Johns Hopkins University, the Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics, the Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, the Korean Scientist Group, the Chinese Academy of Sciences (LAMOST), Los Alamos National Laboratory, the Max-Planck-Institute for Astronomy (MPIA), the Max-Planck-Institute for Astrophysics (MPA), New Mexico State University, Ohio State University, University of Pittsburgh, University of Portsmouth, Princeton University, the United States Naval Observatory, and the University of Washington.

APPENDIX

USING REST-FRAME COLORS TO ASSIGN GALAXY TYPES

In an attempt to determine the spectral type of the 12 galaxies in our sample for which we lack optical spectra, we make use of a photometric (rest-frame color based) method extensively studied in Smolčić et al. (2008). Smolčić et al. (2006) have shown that principal component rest-frame colors (P1 and P2 hereafter) drawn from the modified Strömgren photometric system (3500–5800 Å; Odell et al. 2002) essentially trace the position of a galaxy in the BPT diagram (Baldwin et al. 1981; Kewley et al. 2001, 2006; Kauffmann et al. 2003). Hence, they can be used as efficient tracers of galaxy type, such as low-luminosity AGN (Seyfert and LINER), star forming, and composite galaxies (see Smolčić et al. 2006, 2008 for more details). Here, we extend the method developed by Smolčić et al. (2008), that utilizes only the P1 color to disentangle star forming from AGN galaxies, to a probabilistic approach that uses both, P1 and P2 colors, and we adapt it to an IR- (rather than radio-) selected sample.

Figure 11. Offsets between the photometrically and spectroscopically derived P1 and P2 colors as a function of the former for a sample of ~ 1350 SDSS-IRAS galaxies (small gray dots). The former were obtained via SED fitting to the SDSS *ugriz* photometry using 100,000 model spectra from the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) library. The latter were derived from SDSS spectra as described in Smolčić et al. (2006). The large dots represent median offsets as a function of the photometrically derived P1 color. The error bars show the interquartile ranges.

A.1. Derivation of P1, P2 Colors

Given that we have SDSS *ugriz* photometry for the complete MIPS 160 μm sample, we derive the P1 and P2 colors for all 40 galaxies in our sample by fitting their SEDs (encompassed by the SDSS *ugriz* photometry) with 100,000 spectra from the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population synthesis model library. Before performing the χ^2 minimization fit we redshift all the model spectra to the galaxy’s spectroscopic redshift. The colors are then computed from the best-fit model spectrum (see Section 4.2, in Smolčić et al. 2008 for more details about the SED fitting).

To assess the accuracy of photometrically synthesized colors for IR-selected galaxies, we have derived the (P1, P2) colors via SED fitting (in the same way as described above) for an IR-selected control sample with available (P1, P2) colors computed independently from their spectra. The control sample, limited to a redshift range of 0.04 to 0.3, contains ~ 1350 galaxies drawn from the SDSS DR1 “main” spectroscopic galaxy sample matched to the IRAS Faint Source Catalog (see Obrić et al. 2006 for details about the cross-correlation of the catalogs). The spectroscopically derived (P1, P2) colors have been synthesized by Smolčić et al. (2006) by convolving the SDSS high-resolution spectra with the Strömgren filter system. They estimated that these spectroscopically derived rest-frame colors are accurate to 0.03 mag.

In Figure 11, we show the difference between the photometrically and spectroscopically derived (P1, P2) colors for our IR-selected control galaxies as a function of the photometrically derived P1 color. We use the median offset as a function of P1 to correct the photometrically derived colors, i.e., to scale these to the SDSS spectroscopic system. The distribution of

Table 6
Spectral Type Probabilities from P1P2 Analysis

No.	Source	log(L_{IR}) ($L_{\odot}$)	P1 Color	P2 Color	SF	Comp.	AGN	No EML
35	SWIRE3_J105308.32 + 570645.6	12.74 ^{+0.19} _{-0.44}	0.37	0.06	0.37	0.51	0.06	0.06
13	SWIRE2_24_J103538.8 + 573546 ^a	12.66 ^{+0.05} _{-0.04}	a	a	a	a	a	a
8	SWIRE3_J103341.28 + 580221.4 ^a	12.62 ^{+0.10} _{-0.15}	a	a	a	a	a	a
5	SWIRE2_24_J103314.8 + 573110	12.48 ^{+0.26} _{-0.27}	0.35	0.00	0.08	0.19	0.29	0.44
1	SWIRE3_J103237.44 + 580845.9	12.28 ± 0.05	0.11	0.03	0.54	0.31	0.10	0.04
12	SWIRE3_J103526.79 + 575147.6	12.25	0.05	0.06	0.43	0.53	0.04	0.00
16	SWIRE3_J103603.97 + 574812.5	12.20	-0.51	0.01	0.90	0.03	0.02	0.05
28	SWIRE3_J105151.64 + 570935.7 ^a	12.12	a	a	a	a	a	a
10	SWIRE2_24_J103358.9 + 572952	12.04	0.33	0.09	0.37	0.51	0.06	0.06
15	SWIRE2_24_J103557.1 + 572234	11.92 ^{+0.04} _{-0.84}	0.22	0.05	0.37	0.51	0.06	0.06
25	SWIRE3_J105113.41 + 571425.9	11.90	0.49	0.01	0.06	0.33	0.36	0.24
23	SWIRE3_J105056.60 + 571631.2	11.69	-0.10	0.01	0.72	0.25	0.02	0.00
9	SWIRE3_J103358.73 + 574317.1	11.46	0.11	0.07	0.43	0.53	0.04	0.00
6	SWIRE3_J103320.32 + 574913.6	11.37	-0.08	0.03	0.72	0.25	0.02	0.00
31	SWIRE3_J105242.40 + 572444.7	11.05 ^{+0.07} _{-0.15}	-0.38	0.02	0.90	0.03	0.02	0.05
36	SWIRE3_J105314.84 + 574137.6	11.04 ^{+0.21} _{-0.15}	-0.19	0.02	0.72	0.25	0.02	0.00
32	SWIRE3_J105252.76 + 570753.7	10.99	0.11	0.05	0.54	0.31	0.10	0.04
19	SWIRE3_J104948.86 + 573458.2	10.89	0.37	0.07	0.37	0.51	0.06	0.06
11	SWIRE3_J103515.83 + 573337.4	10.87 ± 0.12	0.10	-0.02	0.34	0.29	0.24	0.12
21	SWIRE3_J105041.96 + 570706.7	10.76	0.35	0.06	0.37	0.51	0.06	0.06
29	SWIRE3_J105207.16 + 570745.5	10.73	-0.12	0.01	0.72	0.25	0.02	0.00
37	SWIRE3_J105318.94 + 572140.7	10.70	-0.16	0.05	0.72	0.25	0.02	0.00
2	SWIRE2_24_J103249.4 + 573707	10.67	0.04	0.03	0.54	0.31	0.10	0.04
34	SWIRE3_J105301.36 + 570543.1	10.64	-0.05	0.03	0.54	0.31	0.10	0.04
7	SWIRE3_J103327.90 + 574534.4	10.60	-0.05	0.02	0.54	0.31	0.10	0.04
14	SWIRE3_J103539.24 + 574243.9	10.58	0.16	0.04	0.54	0.31	0.10	0.04
27	SWIRE3_J105150.50 + 573905.7	10.56	0.15	0.04	0.54	0.31	0.10	0.04
18	SWIRE3_J103653.50 + 575442.5	10.46	-0.19	0.03	0.72	0.25	0.02	0.00
40	SWIRE3_J105432.31 + 570932.4	10.44	0.20	0.03	0.54	0.31	0.10	0.04
33	SWIRE3_J105256.85 + 570825.6	10.40	0.32	0.05	0.22	0.47	0.19	0.12
26	SWIRE3_J105143.75 + 572936.9	10.18	0.27	0.05	0.37	0.51	0.06	0.06
3	SWIRE3_J103253.94 + 580633.0	10.17	-0.27	0.02	0.72	0.25	0.02	0.00
30	SWIRE3_J105225.75 + 570153.6	10.16	-0.44	0.01	0.90	0.03	0.02	0.05
24	SWIRE3_J105100.42 + 574114.9	10.12	-0.14	0.01	0.72	0.25	0.02	0.00
38	SWIRE3_J105320.92 + 571433.2	10.03	0.45	0.02	0.22	0.47	0.19	0.12
20	SWIRE3_J104956.06 + 571440.4	9.98 ^{+0.37} _{-0.46}	0.16	0.03	0.54	0.31	0.10	0.04
17	SWIRE3_J103606.48 + 574702.4	9.95	-0.30	0.03	0.72	0.25	0.02	0.00
22	SWIRE3_J105052.41 + 573506.9	9.64	0.07	0.04	0.54	0.31	0.10	0.04
4	SWIRE2_24_J103258.0 + 573105	9.55	0.29	0.03	0.22	0.47	0.19	0.12
39	SWIRE3_J105349.60 + 570708.1	8.81	0.42	0.03	0.22	0.47	0.19	0.12

Notes. Sources in the LHNW and LHEX fields listed in order of decreasing L_{IR} . Columns give R.A. ordered counter number, source ID, infrared luminosity (8–1000 μm) in units of $\log(L_{\odot})$, corrected P1 color, corrected P2 color, star-forming probability, composite probability, AGN probability, and No Emission-Line probability calculated by comparing P1 and P2 colors with those of the *IRAS* sample (see the Appendix).

^a Sources J103538.8 + 573546 and J103341.28 + 580221.4 suffer from contamination due to partially overlapping foreground galaxies, which prohibits determining accurate P1, P2 colors. Source J105151.64 + 570935.7 is spectroscopically classified as a Seyfert and is suspected to harbor a QSO, thus the use of P1, P2 color to determine spectral type is inappropriate.

the (P1, P2) color differences after the corrections have been applied are shown in Figure 12. As expected, the corrections have eliminated systematic effects. Furthermore, as the accuracy of the spectroscopically derived colors has been shown to be 0.03 mag (Smolčić et al. 2006), the accuracy of the photometrically derived (P1, P2) colors is likely better than 0.12 and 0.03, respectively. It is remarkable that the accuracy of the P2 color derived via SED fitting is comparable to that of the spectroscopically derived color.

A.2. Classifying the Lockman galaxies using the P1–P2 color Method

In Figure 13 we show the P1 versus P2 the distribution for our 40 galaxies in our MIPS 160 μm sample (their P1, P2

colors were corrected for systematic offsets as described in the previous section; filled dots). To assess the nature of these 40 galaxies for each one we compute the probability that it is a star-forming, composite, AGN, or absorption galaxy given its (P1, P2) rest-frame colors. The probability is computed based on the underlying distribution of our ~ 1300 control (SDSS–*IRAS*; $0.04 < z < 0.3$) galaxies (spectroscopically divided into absorption, star-forming, AGN, and composite galaxies using the standard diagnostics; Baldwin et al. 1981; Kewley et al. 2001; Kauffmann et al. 2003) in the P1–P2 plane as follows. We bin the P1–P2 plane in two dimensions. The size of the bins is taken to be about two times the photometric color uncertainty (see previous section). For each (P1, P2) bin we then calculate the probability as the ratio of the number

Figure 12. Distribution of the photometrically and spectroscopically derived P1 and P2 colors after the systematic offset correction (as shown in Figure 11) was applied. The number of objects, mean, and standard deviation, as well as the best Gaussian fit are also shown in the panels.

Figure 13. P1 vs. P2 color plane. The colors for the Lockman galaxies (after the corrections for systematics have been applied) are shown by large dots. Sources with $11 < \log L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot} < 12$ and $\log L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot} > 12$ are encircled with dashed and full lines, respectively. Contours represent the absorption (i.e., galaxies with no emission lines; NOEML), star forming, composite, and AGN probability levels given a (P1, P2) combination (see the text for details). The uncertainties in the P1 and P2 colors obtained via SED fitting are shown in the top left of the panel (see also Figure 12). Note, however, that the P2 error is likely lower than indicated in the panel (see the text for details).

of each (spectroscopically classified) galaxy type relative to the total number of control galaxies in that bin. Given the photometrically synthesized (P1, P2) colors for our Lockman galaxies we can then access the probability of each galaxy being a star-forming, AGN, composite, or absorption galaxy. These

probability contours are shown in Figure 13, and our results are summarized in Table 6.

REFERENCES

- Adelman-McCarthy, J. K., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, **172**, 634
- Baldwin, J. A., Phillips, M. M., & Terlevich, R. 1981, *PASP*, **93**, 5
- Barger, A. J., Cowie, L. L., Sanders, D. B., Fulton, E., Taniguchi, Y., Sato, Y., Kawara, K., & Okuda, H. 1998, *Nature*, **394**, 248
- Beichman, C. A., Neugebauer, G., Habing, H. J., Clegg, P. E., & Chester, T. J. 1988, *Infrared Astronomical Satellite (IRAS) Catalogs and Atlases*, Vol. 1: Explanatory Supplement (Washington, DC: GPO), 1
- Bruzual, G., & Charlot, S. 2003, *MNRAS*, **344**, 1000
- Chabrier, G. 2003, *PASP*, **115**, 763
- Chapman, S. C., Blain, A. W., Smail, I., & Ivison, R. J. 2005, *ApJ*, **622**, 772
- Chary, R., & Elbaz, D. 2001, *ApJ*, **556**, 562
- Condon, J. J. 1992, *ARA&A*, **30**, 575
- Dale, D. A., & Helou, G. 2002, *ApJ*, **576**, 159
- Dye, S., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **518**, L10
- Hasinger, G., Burg, R., Giacconi, R., Schmidt, M., Trumper, J., & Zamorani, G. 1998, *A&A*, **329**, 482
- Hughes, D., et al. 1998, *Nature*, **394**, 241
- Ilbert, O., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **690**, 1236
- Ilbert, O., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **709**, 644
- Kauffmann, G., et al. 2003, *MNRAS*, **341**, 33
- Kawara, K., et al. 1998, *A&A*, **336**, L9
- Kawara, K., et al. 2004, *A&A*, **413**, 843
- Kewley, L. J., & Dopita, M. A. 2002, *ApJS*, **142**, 35
- Kewley, L. J., Dopita, M. A., Sutherland, R. S., Heisler, C. A., & Trevena, J. 2001, *ApJ*, **556**, 121
- Kewley, L. J., Groves, B., Kauffmann, G., & Heckman, T. 2006, *MNRAS*, **372**, 961
- Kim, D.-C., & Sanders, D. B. 1998, *ApJS*, **119**, 41
- Lilly, S. J., Eales, S. A., Gear, W. K. P., Hammer, F., Le Fevre, O., Crampton, D., Bond, J. R., & Dunne, L. 1999, *ApJ*, **518**, 641
- Lockman, F. J., Jahoda, K., & McCammon, D. 1986, *ApJ*, **302**, 432
- Lonsdale, C. J., et al. 2003, *PASP*, **115**, 897
- Obric, M., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, **370**, 1677
- Odell, A. P., Schombert, J., & Rakos, K. 2002, *AJ*, **124**, 3061
- Oyabu, S., et al. 2005, *AJ*, **130**, 2019
- Rupke, D. S. N., Veilleux, S., & Baker, A. J. 2008, *ApJ*, **674**, 172
- Salzer, J. J., Lee, J. C., Melbourne, J., Hinz, J. L., Alonso-Herrero, A., & Jangren, A. 2005, *ApJ*, **624**, 661
- Sanders, D. B., Mazzarella, J. M., Kim, D.-C., Surace, J. A., & Soifer, B. T. 2003, *AJ*, **126**, 1607 (RBGS)
- Sanders, D. B., & Mirabel, I. F. 1996, *ARA&A*, **34**, 749
- Sanders, D. B., et al. 2001, in *Springer Proc. Phys.*, **88**, Starburst Galaxies: Near and Far, ed. L. Tacconi & D. Lutz (Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag), 297
- Schmidt, M. 1968, *ApJ*, **151**, 393
- Scoville, N. Z., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, **172**, 1
- Sheinis, A. I., Miller, J. S., Bolte, M., & Sutin, B. M. 2000, *Proc. SPIE*, **4008**, 522
- Siebenmorgen, R., & Krugel, E. 2007, *A&A*, **461**, 445
- Skrutskie, M. F., et al. 2006, *AJ*, **131**, 1163
- Smail, I., Ivison, R. J., & Blain, A. W. 1997, *ApJ*, **490**, L5
- Smolčić, V., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, **371**, 121
- Smolčić, V., et al. 2008, *ApJS*, **177**, 14
- Soifer, B. T., Boehmer, L., Neugebauer, G., & Sanders, D. B. 1989, *AJ*, **98**, 766 (BGS)
- Symeonidis, M., Willner, S. P., Rigopoulou, D., Huang, J.-S., Fazio, G. G., & Jarvis, M. J. 2008, *MNRAS*, **385**, 1015
- Taniguchi, Y., et al. 1997, *A&A*, **328**, L9
- Veilleux, S., Kim, D.-C., Sanders, D. B., Mazzarella, J. M., & Soifer, B. T. 1995, *ApJS*, **98**, 171
- York, D. G., et al. 2000, *AJ*, **120**, 1579
- Yuan, T., Kewley, L. J., & Sanders, D. B. 2010, *ApJ*, **709**, 884
- Yun, M. S., Oyabu, S., Kawara, K., Okuda, H., Sanders, D., Veilleux, S., Murayama, T., & Taniguchi, Y. 2003, *RevMexAA Conf. Ser.*, **17**, 271
- Yun, M. S., Reddy, N. A., & Condon, J. J. 2001b, *ApJ*, **554**, 803
- Yun, M. S., Sanders, D., Kawara, K., Oyabu, S., Taniguchi, Y., & Okuda, H. 2001a, in *ASP Conf. Proc.* **240**, Gas and Galaxy Evolution, ed. J. E. Hibbard, M. Rupen, & J. H. van Gorkom (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 123