

Appendix A

NSF/CSS Workshop on New Directions in Control Engineering Education

The National Science Foundation (NSF) and the IEEE Control Systems Society (CSS) held a workshop in October 1998 to identify the future needs in control systems education [1]. The executive summary of the report is presented here. The full report is available from the CDS Panel homepage.

Executive Summary

The field of control systems science and engineering is entering a golden age of unprecedented growth and opportunity that will likely dwarf the advancements stimulated by the space program of the 1960s. These opportunities for growth are being spurred by enormous advances in computer technology, material science, sensor and actuator technology, as well as in the theoretical foundations of dynamical systems and control. Many of the opportunities for future growth are at the boundaries of traditional disciplines, particularly at the boundary of computer science with other engineering disciplines. Control systems technology is the cornerstone of the new automation revolution occurring in such diverse areas as household appliances, consumer electronics, automotive and aerospace systems, manufacturing systems, chemical processes, civil and environmental systems, transportation systems, and even biological, economic, and medical systems.

The needs of industry for well trained control systems scientists and engineers are changing, due to marketplace pressures and advances in technology. Future generations of engineering students will have to be broadly educated to cope with cross-disciplinary applications and rapidly changing technology. At the same time, the backgrounds of students are changing. Many come from nontraditional backgrounds; they often are less well prepared in mathematics and the sciences while being better prepared to work with modern computing technologies. The time is thus ripe for major renovations in control and systems engineering education.

To address these emerging challenges and opportunities, the IEEE Control Systems Society initiated the idea of holding a workshop that would bring together leading control systems researchers to identify the future needs in control systems

education. The workshop was held on the campus of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, October 2–3, 1998. It attracted sixty-eight participants.

This report summarizes the major conclusions and recommendations that emerged from the workshop. A slightly modified version of the main body of this report will also appear in the October, 1999, issue of the IEEE Control Systems Magazine. These recommendations, which cover a broad spectrum of educational issues, are addressed to several constituencies, including the National Science Foundation, control systems professional organizations, and control systems researchers and educators.

1. General Recommendation

- 1 *Enhance cooperation among various control organizations and control disciplines throughout the world to give attention to control systems education issues and to increase the general awareness of the importance of control systems technology in society.*

Mechanisms to accomplish this include joint sponsorship of conferences, workshops, conference sessions, and publications devoted to control systems education as well as the development of books, websites, videotapes, and so on, devoted to the promotion of control systems technology.

2. Additional Recommendations

- 2 *Provide practical experience in control systems engineering to freshmen to stimulate future interest and to introduce fundamental notions like feedback and the systems approach to engineering.*

This can be accomplished by incorporating modules and/or projects that involve principles of control systems into freshmen courses that already exist in many engineering schools and colleges.

- 3 *Encourage the development of new courses and course materials that will significantly broaden the standard first introductory control systems course at the undergraduate level.*

Such new courses would be accessible to all third year engineering students and would deal with fundamental principles of system modeling, planning, design, optimization, hardware and software implementation, computer aided control systems design and simulation, and systems performance evaluation. Equally important, such courses would stress the fundamental applications and importance of feedback control as well as the limits of feedback, and would provide a bridge between control systems engineering and other branches of engineering that benefit from systems engineering concepts such as networks and communications, biomedical engineering, computer science, economics. etc.

- 4 *Develop follow on courses at the undergraduate level that provide the necessary breadth and depth to prepare students both for industrial careers and for graduate studies in systems and control.*

Advanced courses in both traditional control methodologies, like digital control, and courses treating innovative control applications should be available to undergraduate students in order to convey the excitement of control systems engineering while still providing the fundamentals needed in practice.

5 Promote control systems laboratory development, especially the concept of shared laboratories, and make experimental projects an integral part of control education for all students, including graduate students.

Mechanisms to accomplish this include increased support for the development of hands-on control systems laboratories, as well as the development of benchmark control systems examples that are accessible via the Internet. Shared laboratories within individual colleges or universities as well as shared laboratories among different universities makes more efficient use of resources, increases exposure of students to the multidisciplinary nature of control, and promotes the interaction of faculty and students across disciplines.

The promotion of laboratory development also includes mechanisms for continued support. Too often, laboratories are developed and then abandoned after a few years because faculty do not have time or funds for continued support. It is equally important, therefore, to provide continuity of support for periodic hardware and software upgrades, maintenance, and the development of new experiments.

The National Science Foundation and IEEE Control Systems Society can also help realize this goal by developing workshops and short courses for laboratory development and instruction to promote interaction and sharing of laboratory development experiences among faculty from different universities.

6 Emphasize the integration of control systems education and research at all levels of instruction.

The National Science Foundation program, Research Experiences for Undergraduates, exemplifies an excellent mechanism to accomplish this at the undergraduate level and should be continued. Sponsorship of student competitions in control is another such mechanism that should be encouraged. At the graduate level control educators should take advantage of National Science Foundation programs such as the Integrative Graduate Education and Research Training Program (IGERT) and the Course, Curriculum, and Laboratory Improvement Program (CCLI).

7 Improve information exchange by developing a centralized Internet repository for educational materials.

These materials should include tutorials, exercises, case studies, examples, and histories, as well as laboratory exercises, software, manuals, etc. The IEEE Control Systems Society can play a leadership role in the development of such a repository by coordinating the efforts among various public and private agencies.

8 Promote the development of a set of standards for Internet based control systems materials and identify pricing mechanisms to provide financial compensation to Internet laboratory providers and educational materials providers.

A mechanism to accomplish this could be a National Science Foundation sponsored workshop devoted to Internet standards for control education materials and pricing.

9 Develop WWW-based peer reviewed electronic journal on control education and laboratory development.

Control systems professional organizations can play leadership roles, perhaps working with the American Society of Engineering Education (ASEE) to accomplish this goal.

10 Encourage the development of initiatives for technical information dissemination to industrial users of control systems and encourage the transfer of practical industrial experience to the classroom.

Mechanisms to accomplish this include special issues of journals and magazines devoted to industrial applications of control, programs to bring speakers from industry to the classroom, and programs that allow university faculty to spend extended periods of time in industry.

Bibliography

- [1] P. Antsaklis, T. Basar, R. DeCarlo, N. H. McClamroch, M. Spong, and S. Yurkovich, editors. *NSF/CSS Workshop on New Directions in Control Engineering Education*. National Science Foundation and IEEE Control Systems Society, 1998. Available at <http://robot0.ge.uiuc.edu/~spong/workshop>.
- [2] M. Athans, J. J. S. Sentieiro, and M. A. Dahleh. Workshop on future directions in systems and control theory. Cascais, Portugal, June 2000.
- [3] S. Banda, J. C. Doyle, R. M. Murray, J. Paduano, J. Speyer, and G. Stein. Research needs in dynamics and control for uninhabited aerial vehicles. Panel Report, November 1997. Available at <http://www.cds.caltech.edu/~murray/notes/uav-nov97.html>.
- [4] W. L. Bargar, A. Bauer, and M. Borner. Primary and revision total hip replacement using the Robodoc (R) system. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, (354):82–91, 1998.
- [5] N. Barkai and S. Leibler. Robustness in simple biochemical networks. *Nature*, 387(6636):913–917, 1997.
- [6] M. B. Barron and W. F. Powers. The role of electronic controls for future automotive mechatronic systems. *IEEE Transactions on Mechatronics*, 1(1):80–89, 1996.
- [7] A. Becskei and L. Serrano. Engineering stability in gene networks by autoregulation. *Nature*, 405(6786):590–593, 2000.
- [8] S. Bennett. *A History of Control Engineering: 1800–1930*. Peter Peregrinus, 1986.
- [9] S. Bennett. *A History of Control Engineering: 1930–1955*. Peter Peregrinus, 1986.
- [10] T. R. Bewley. Flow control: new challenges for a new Renaissance. *Progress in Aerospace Sciences*, 37:21–58, 2001.
- [11] R. W. Brockett. New issues in the mathematics of control. In B. Engquist and W. Schmid, editors, *Mathematics Unlimited—2001 and Beyond*, pages 189–220. Springer Verlag, 2000.

-
- [12] E. Brown and H. Rabitz. Some mathematical and algorithmic challenges in the control of quantum dynamics phenomena. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 31:17–63, 2002.
- [13] M. H. Dickinson, C. T. Farley, R. J. Full, M. A. R. Koehl, R. Kram, and S. Lehman. How animals move: An integrative view. *Science*, 288:100–106, 2000.
- [14] European Commission. Workshop on future and emerging control systems, November 2000. Available at ftp://ftp.cordis.lu/pub/ist/docs/ka4/report_controlws.pdf.
- [15] W. H. Fleming, editor. *Future Directions in Control Theory: A Mathematical Perspective*. SIAM, 1988.
- [16] D. Hanahan and R. A. Weinberg. The hallmarks of cancer. *Cell*, 100:57–70, 2000.
- [17] M. B. Hoagland and B. Dodson. *The Way Life Works*. Times Books, 1995.
- [18] G. M. Homsy, H. Aref, K. Breuer, S. Hocqreb, and J. R. Koseff. *Multimedia Fluid Mechanics*. Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [19] T. P. Hughes. *Elmer Sperry Inventor and Engineer*. The John Hopkins University Press, London, 1993.
- [20] V. Jacobson. Notes on using RED for queue management and congestion avoidance. Presentation, North American Network Operators’ Group meeting, June 1998. Available at <http://www.nanog.org/mtg-9806/ppt/vj-nanog-red.pdf>.
- [21] F. Rowsone Jr. What it’s like to drive an auto-pilot car. *Popular Science Monthly*, April 1958. Available at <http://www.imperialclub.com/ImFormativeArticles/1958AutoPilot>.
- [22] K. Kelly. *Out of Control*. Addison-Wesley, 1994. Available at <http://www.kk.org/outofcontrol>.
- [23] P. R. Kumar. New technological vistas for systems and control: The example of wireless networks. *Control Systems Magazine*, 21(1):24–37, 2001.
- [24] W. S. Levine. *Control System Fundamentals*. CRC Press, 1999.
- [25] A. H. Levis, S. I. Marcus, W. R. Perkins, P. Kokotovic, M. Athans, R. W. Brockett, and A. S. Willsky. Challenges to control: A collective view. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, AC-32(4):275–285, 1987.
- [26] J. Marescaux, J. Leroy, M. Gagner, F. Rubino, D. Mutter, M. Vix, S. E. Butner, and M. K. Smith. Transatlantic robot-assisted telesurgery. *Nature*, 413:379–380, 2001.

-
- [27] O. Mayr. *The Origins of Feedback Control*. MIT Press, 1970.
- [28] H. H. McAdamsz and L. Shapiro. Circuit simulation of genetic networks. *Science*, 269(5224):650–656, 1995.
- [29] G. H. McCall and J. A. Corder, editors. *New World Vistas: Air and Space Power for the 21st Century*. United States Air Force, 1996.
- [30] M. W. McFarland, editor. *The Papers of Wilbur and Orville Wright*. McGraw Hill, 1953.
- [31] F. W. Mohr, V. Falk, A. Diegeler, and R. Autschbach. Computer-enhanced coronary artery bypass surgery. *Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*, 117(6):1212–1214, 1999.
- [32] National Research Council. *Embedded, Everywhere: A Research Agenda for Networked Systems of Embedded Computers*. National Academy Press, 2001.
- [33] National Science Foundation. NSF workshop for high school teachers of mathematics and science. American Control Conference, June 2000. Available at http://www.math.ukans.edu/ksacg/nsf_hswkshop.html.
- [34] National Science Foundation. NSF workshop on dynamic data-driven application systems, March 2000. Available at <http://www.cise.nsf.gov/eia/dddas>.
- [35] H. Nijmeijer and J. M. Schumacher. Four decades of mathematical system theory. In J. W. Polderman and H. L. Trentelman, editors, *The Mathematics of Systems and Control: From Intelligent Control to Behavioral Systems*, pages 73–83. Univ. of Groningen, 1999.
- [36] P. Parrilo. *Structured Semidefinite Programs and Semialgebraic Geometry Methods in Robustness and Optimization*. PhD thesis, California Institute of Technology, Control and Dynamical Systems, 2000.
- [37] W. F. Powers and P. R. Nicastrì. Automotive vehicle control challenges in the 21st century. *Control Engineering Practice*, 8:605–618, 2000.
- [38] S. J. Qin and T. A. Badgwell. A survey of industrial model predictive control technology. *Control Engineering Practice*, 2002. To appear.
- [39] Scientific American. Special issue on Automatic Control, September 1952.
- [40] H. S. Tsien. *Engineering Cybernetics*. McGraw-Hill, 1954.
- [41] N. Wiener. *Cybernetics: Or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine*. John Wiley, 1948.
- [42] N. Wiener. *The Human Use of Human Beings: Cybernetics and Society*. Houghton Mifflin, 1950.

- [43] T. M. Yi, Y. Huang, M. I. Simon, and J. C. Doyle. Robust perfect adaptation in bacterial chemotaxis through integral feedback control. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 97(9):4649–4653, 2000.