

5424

**N<sub>2</sub> SELF-SHIELDING IN THE SOLAR NEBULA: AN UPDATE**

J. R. Lyons. Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1567. E-mail: jimlyons@ucla.edu.

**Introduction:** The N<sub>2</sub> molecule is isoelectronic to CO, and exhibits a similar band system to CO in the VUV. The resolved rovibronic structure and very strong bands make N<sub>2</sub> a plausible candidate for self-shielding, even though it is an order of magnitude less abundant than CO in a gas of solar composition. The discovery of very large <sup>15</sup>N enrichment in lithic clasts in carbonaceous chondrites (e.g., [1–5]), in micrometeorites [6], and in comets [7] could be due to either low temperature ion-molecule chemistry that leads to NH<sub>3</sub> formation [8] or to N<sub>2</sub> self-shielding. Nitrogen isotopes in the solar system may also be enriched in <sup>15</sup>N as a result of N<sub>2</sub> self-shielding [9].

**Previous Modeling:** A preliminary assessment of N<sub>2</sub> self-shielding in the outer nebula [10, 11] suggests that although self-shielding does occur, it produces substantial <sup>15</sup>N enrichment in only a very small fraction of nebular material at 30 AU ( $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  is about +800‰ in <1 ppm of total N, [11]). Also, the total enrichment in self-shielding product nitrogen (as HCN) is about +100‰ over  $\sim 10^6$  yr at 30 AU. This enrichment may not be large enough to distinguish Jupiter, with  $\delta^{15}\text{N} = -380 \pm 80\%$  [12], from the Sun, which has solar wind with  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  is about -450  $\pm$  100‰ [13].

**Modeling in Progress:** The preliminary model ([10, 11]) used a reduced set of nitrogen reactions, and used an N<sub>2</sub> shielding function inferred from a Titan atmosphere photochemical model [14], with mean N<sub>2</sub> cross section representative of the 91–100 nm range applicable to the solar nebula. Present modeling includes (1) a more complete set of nitrogen reactions, and (2) a full integration over 911–100 nm of N<sub>2</sub> dissociation in the presence of H<sub>2</sub> absorption. The <sup>28</sup>N<sub>2</sub> and <sup>29</sup>N<sub>2</sub> cross sections are from a coupled-channel model description of measured N<sub>2</sub> cross sections, and were provided by A. Haeyes and B. Lewis at ANU. These calculations are in progress.

**Implications:** As I pointed at the 2010 LPSC, the preliminary model results on nebular N<sub>2</sub> self-shielding have implications for CO self-shielding in the outer solar system. One criticism of outer solar nebula CO self-shielding has been that Jupiter would become more enriched in <sup>15</sup>N due to N<sub>2</sub> self-shielding than is observed [12]. However, with present uncertainties, a  $\sim 100\%$  increase in outer nebular  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  is not enough to rule out the occurrence of N<sub>2</sub> (and CO) self-shielding. Reduced uncertainties on solar wind  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ , as well as a quantitative assessment of fractionation during solar wind acceleration, could influence this conclusion.

**References:** [1] Sugiura et al. 2000. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 35:987–996. [2] Ivanova et al. 2008. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 43:915–940. [3] Briani et al. 2009. (Abstract #1642). 40th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference. [4] Bonal L. et al. 2009. (Abstract #2046). 40th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference. [5] Ishii et al. 2009. (Abstract #2467). 40th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference [6] Floss C. et al. 2009. (Abstract #1082). 40th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference. [7] Bockelee-Morvan D. et al. 2008. *The Astrophysical Journal Lett.* 679:L49–L52. [8] Rodgers S. D. and Charnley S. B. 2008. *MNRAS* 385:48. [9] Clayton R. N. 2002. *Nature* 415:860–861. [10] Lyons et al. 2009. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 73, 4998–5017. [11] Lyons J. 2009. Abstract #5437. 72nd Meteorological Society Meeting. [12] Owen T. 2001. *The Astrophysical Journal* 553:L77–L79. [13] Kallio A. et al. 2010. (Abstract #2481). 41st Lunar and Planetary Science Conference. [14] Liang et al. 2007. *The Astrophysical Journal* 664:L115–L118.

5134

**DISCOVERY OF Cl-BEARING MAYENITE, Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>32</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, A NEW MINERAL IN A CV3 METEORITE**Chi Ma<sup>1\*</sup>, Stuart A. Sweeney Smith<sup>2</sup>, Harold C. Connolly Jr.<sup>3,4,5</sup>, John R. Beckett<sup>1</sup>, George R. Rossman<sup>1</sup> and Devin L. Schrader<sup>5</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125. <sup>2</sup>Department of Geology, Carleton College, Northfield, MN 55057. <sup>3</sup>Department of Physical Sciences, Kingsborough Community College of CUNY, Brooklyn, NY 11235 and Earth and Environmental Sciences, The Graduate Center of CUNY, New York, NY 10024. <sup>4</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, AMNH, New York, NY 10024. <sup>5</sup>Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721. E-mail: chi@gps.caltech.edu.

**Introduction:** A unique dmitryivanovite (CaAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) -dominant Ca-, Al-rich refractory inclusion (CAI), named “Cracked Egg” by [1], was observed in the NWA 1934 CV3 carbonaceous chondrite. During our nano-mineralogy investigation of this CAI, Cl-bearing mayenite, Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>32</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, was identified. Electron-microprobe, SEM, electron back-scatter diffraction (EBSD), and micro-Raman analyses have been used to characterize its composition and structure. Pyrometamorphic and natural Cl-bearing mayenites have been reported [2, 3] and synthetic Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>32</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> is well known [4]. Here, we report the first occurrence of Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>32</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> in a meteorite as a new alteration mineral in a CAI.

**Occurrence, Chemistry, and Crystallography:** Cl-bearing mayenite occurs as small (80–300 nm) crystals forming fine-grained aggregates (1–20 μm in size) along with Zn-bearing hercynite, gehlenite and perovskite in veins and inclusions within the dmitryivanovite-dominant CAI. The mean chemical composition is (wt%) Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 48.48, CaO 45.73, Cl 5.12, FeO 0.80, Na<sub>2</sub>O 0.12, TiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.03, O -1.16, sum 99.12. An empirical formula calculated on the basis of 34 O + Cl atoms is (Ca<sub>11.95</sub>Na<sub>0.06</sub>) $\Sigma$ 11.99 (Al<sub>13.91</sub>Fe<sub>0.16</sub>Ti<sub>0.01</sub>) $\Sigma$ 14.08O<sub>31.94</sub>Cl<sub>2.11</sub>.

Synthetic Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>32</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> has a cubic structure with a Ca-Al-O framework forming “cages” in which the Cl is located [4]. The meteoritic Cl-bearing mayenite showed no electron back-scatter diffraction pattern, due to small crystal sizes and, probably, poorly ordered structures but Raman microanalysis revealed a spectrum very close to that of synthetic Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>32</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, confirming that the meteoritic phase has a similar structure.

**Origin and Significance:** Cl-bearing mayenite is not only a new meteoritic Ca-, Al-phase, but also a new Cl-rich phase, joining the Cl-rich meteoritic minerals sodalite (Na<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>O<sub>12</sub>Cl) and wadalite (Ca<sub>6</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>16</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>). Cl-bearing mayenite is a secondary alteration phase in “Cracked Egg”. A simple scenario for its formation would be the parent body breakdown of dmitryivanovite in a Cl-, Fe-rich vapor or fluid to produce Cl-bearing mayenite and hercynite, although we have not yet ruled out the possibility that Cl-bearing mayenite formed during terrestrial alteration or that preterrestrial Cl-free mayenite was later chlorinated. Multiple-alteration events seem to have occurred in this CAI.

**References:** [1] Sweeney Smith S.A. et al. 2010. (Abstract #1877). 41st Lunar and Planetary Science Conference. [2] Zateeva S.N. et al. 2007. *Geology of Ore Deposits* 49:792–780. [3] Galuskin E.V. et al. 2009. *European Journal of Mineralogy* 21:1045–1059. [4] Iwata T. et al. 2007. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* 181:51–55.