



A Basis Result for \sum^0_3 Sets of Reals with an Application to Minimal Covers

Author(s): Leo A. Harrington and Alexander S. Kechris

Source: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 53, No. 2 (Dec., 1975), pp. 445-448

Published by: [American Mathematical Society](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2040033>

Accessed: 22/05/2013 17:17

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at

<http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

A BASIS RESULT FOR Σ_3^0 SETS OF REALS WITH AN APPLICATION TO MINIMAL COVERS

LEO A. HARRINGTON AND ALEXANDER S. KECHRIS

ABSTRACT. It is shown that every Σ_3^0 set of reals which contains reals of arbitrarily high Turing degree in the hyperarithmetical hierarchy contains reals of every Turing degree above the degree of Kleene's \mathcal{O} . As an application it is shown that every Turing degree above the Turing degree of Kleene's \mathcal{O} is a minimal cover.

In this paper we consider a particular verification of the following nebulously stated and tenuously held principle: Every easily definable set of reals with enough complicated members contains members from any sufficiently large degree of complexity. Let \mathcal{O} be the Turing degree of Kleene's \mathcal{O} . Our result is

Theorem. Any Σ_3^0 set of reals, with the property that every hyperarithmetical real is recursive in some member of it, contains reals of every Turing degree above \mathcal{O} .

As the first author noticed, an application of this theorem gives a new proof of Jockusch's result that there is a cone of minimal covers and estimates the base of that cone to be \mathcal{O} .

1. **Preliminaries.** Let $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the set of natural numbers and $R = {}^\omega\omega$ the set of all functions from ω to ω or (for simplicity) reals. Letters i, j, k, l, m, \dots will denote elements of ω and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \sigma, \tau, \dots$ elements of R . We shall use, without explicit reference, standard facts of recursion theory, which can be found, for example, in [5] or [7].

The basic ingredient in the proof of our main theorem is the use of the well-known determinacy of closed games in its effective form (see, for example, [4]), which we proceed to state. For a general explanation of the connection between degrees of unsolvability and determinacy of games, see Martin [3]. Let $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$, where $a_i \in \omega$ or $a_i \in R$ be a trivial recursive coding of n -tuples by reals. For $A \subseteq R$ consider the game in which players I, II alternatively choose natural numbers $\alpha(0), \beta(0), \alpha(1), \beta(1), \dots$ and I wins iff $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in A$. If $\sigma \in R$ is a strategy for player I, let $\sigma * \beta$ be the

Received by the editors April 3, 1974 and, in revised form, July 19, 1974 and September 6, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 02F30, 04A15.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

result of I 's moves when he follows σ against II playing β . More formally $(\sigma * \beta)(n) = \sigma(\bar{\beta}(n))$. Similarly let $\alpha \circ \tau$ be the result of II 's moves when he follows τ against I playing α , so that $(\alpha \circ \tau)(n) = \tau(\bar{\alpha}(n + 1))$. Then we have

- Fact (Determinacy of open games).** *Given a Π_1^0 set of reals B , either*
- (I) *there is a real σ recursive in \mathcal{O} such that for all reals β , $\langle \sigma * \beta, \beta \rangle \in B$, or*
 - (II) *there is a hyperarithmetic real τ such that for all reals α , $\langle \alpha, \alpha \circ \tau \rangle \notin B$.*

2. Proof of the theorem. We are now ready to prove our main result.

Theorem. *Any Σ_3^0 set of reals, with the property that every hyperarithmetic real is recursive in some member of it, contains reals of every Turing degree $\geq \mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. We first prove this for Π_1^0 sets of reals. Let thus $A \subseteq R$ be a Π_1^0 set satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem. Let $\{e\}^\gamma$, where $e \in \omega$, $\gamma \in R$, denote the e th function from ω into ω partial recursive in γ and put

$$B = \{ \langle e, \eta, \gamma \rangle, \beta \rangle : e \in \omega \ \& \ \gamma \in A \ \& \ (\forall n) \{e\}^\gamma(n) \text{ converges in exactly } \eta(n) \text{ steps} \ \& \ \beta = \langle e, \eta, \gamma \rangle \circ \{e\}^\gamma \}.$$

Clearly $B \subseteq R$ is Π_1^0 , so by the fact in §1 either (I) or (II) holds. If (II) holds, let τ be a hyperarithmetic real such that for all $\alpha \in R$, $\langle \alpha, \alpha \circ \tau \rangle \notin B$. By assumption, there is a $\gamma \in A$ such that τ is recursive in γ . So for some $e \in \omega$, $\{e\}^\gamma = \tau$. Let $\eta(n) =$ number of steps in which $\{e\}^\gamma(n)$ converges. Let $\alpha = \langle e, \eta, \gamma \rangle$. Then $\langle \alpha, \alpha \circ \tau \rangle \notin B$. But clearly $\langle \alpha, \alpha \circ \tau \rangle \in B$, a contradiction, so case (I) must hold. Thus there is σ recursive in \mathcal{O} such that for all β , $\langle \sigma * \beta, \beta \rangle \in B$. Let \mathcal{O} be recursive in β . We shall prove that a real of the same Turing degree as β belongs to A . Since $\langle \sigma * \beta, \beta \rangle \in B$, clearly $\sigma * \beta = \langle e, \eta, \gamma \rangle$ for some $e \in \omega$, $\eta, \gamma \in R$ such that $\gamma \in A$, $\{e\}^\gamma$ is total and $\eta(n) =$ the number of steps in which $\{e\}^\gamma(n)$ converges. Also $\beta = \langle e, \eta, \gamma \rangle \circ \{e\}^\gamma$. Thus β is recursive in γ . But also σ is recursive in β , thus γ is recursive in β i.e., γ and β have the same Turing degree. Since $\gamma \in A$, we are done.

The following observation will now complete the proof of the theorem:

For any Σ_3^0 set of reals B there is a Π_1^0 set of reals A such that for all Turing degrees d , $d \cap B \neq \emptyset \iff d \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

To prove this, find a recursive set R such that for all α ,

$$\alpha \in B \iff \exists i \forall j \exists k R(i, j, \bar{\alpha}(k))$$

and let

$$A = \{ \langle i, \alpha, \beta \rangle : \forall j (\beta(j) = \mu k R(i, j, \bar{\alpha}(k))) \}. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Remarks. (1) The above theorem is best possible in the sense that it cannot be improved to include all Π_3^0 sets of reals—the set

$$A = \{\alpha : (\forall e)(\{e\}^\alpha \text{ is total} \rightarrow \{e\}^\alpha \notin F)\},$$

where F is a nonempty Π_1^0 set with no hyperarithmetical member, is a Π_3^0 set of reals which is closed under Turing reducibility and which contains all hyperarithmetical reals and yet which fails to contain Kleene's \mathcal{O} .

(2) The proof of the theorem can be easily modified to show that Determinacy (Σ_n^0) \Leftrightarrow Turing Determinacy (Σ_{n+1}^0), where for a collection of sets of reals Γ , Determinacy (Γ) $\Leftrightarrow \forall A \in \Gamma$ (A is determined) and Turing Determinacy (Γ) $\Leftrightarrow \forall A \in \Gamma$ (A is invariant under Turing equivalence $\Rightarrow A$ is determined). This result has been also proved independently (and a little earlier than us) by Ramez Sami (private communication). In fact the proof above shows that if every Σ_n^0 set is determined, then given a Σ_{n+1}^0 set of reals A which is cofinal (i.e., for every $\alpha \in R$ there is $\beta \in A$ s.t. α is recursive in β), there is a Turing degree d such that A contains reals of every degree $\geq d$. (To see this we note first that A may be assumed to be Π_n^0 since if $\alpha \in A \Leftrightarrow \exists m A^*(\langle m, \alpha \rangle)$ where $A^* \in \Pi_n^0$, clearly for any degree d , $A \cap d \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow A^* \cap d \neq \emptyset$. Then we define B exactly as in the proof of the theorem above and argue again that II cannot have a winning strategy in the game determined by B since B is cofinal. Then I has a winning strategy, since every Σ_n^0 game is determined, so by the argument given there, A contains reals of every Turing degree above the degree of a winning strategy σ for player I.) Using this fact, Martin [2] showed that Determinacy (Σ_n^0) \Rightarrow Turing Determinacy (Δ_{n+2}^0) which is best possible in analysis, since he also proved that Analysis \nrightarrow Turing Determinacy (Σ_5^0) (see [2]).

3. **An application to minimal covers.** Using Σ_4^0 -determinacy, Jockusch [1] has shown that there is a cone of minimal covers. Harrington noticed that this result follows from our main theorem above (and hence follows from just open determinacy and so, in particular, is a theorem of analysis). This also allows for computing that Kleene's \mathcal{O} can be taken as a basis of the cone. Here is Harrington's result.

Theorem. Every Turing degree $\geq \mathcal{O}$ contains a minimal cover.

Proof. By Sacks [6], for every real α , there is a minimal cover of α which is Δ_2^0 in α , uniformly. Thus there is a Π_2^0 predicate $P(\alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$\forall \alpha \forall \beta (P\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (\beta \text{ is a minimal cover of } \alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \alpha \exists ! \beta P(\alpha, \beta).$$

Now $A = \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle : P(\alpha, \beta)\}$ is clearly a Π_2^0 set of reals with the property

that every hyperarithmetic real is recursive in some member of it. It is also a collection of minimal covers. By the theorem in §2 every Turing degree $\geq \mathcal{O}$ contains a member of A , thus every Turing degree $\geq \mathcal{O}$ is a minimal cover. Q.E.D.

We conclude with an open problem raised by Jockusch [1]. Is there a hyperarithmetic real in a cone of minimal covers? In particular, is \mathcal{O}^ω in such a cone?

REFERENCES

1. C. G. Jockusch, Jr., *An application of Σ_4^0 determinacy to the degrees of unsolvability*, J. Symbolic Logic 38 (1973), 293–294.
2. D. A. Martin, *Two theorems on Turing determinacy*, Mimeographed notes, June 1974.
3. ———, *The axiom of determinateness and reduction principles in the analytical hierarchy*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 687–689. MR 37 #2607.
4. Y. N. Moschovakis, *Elementary induction in abstract structures*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.
5. H. Rogers, Jr., *Theory of recursive functions and effective computability*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967. MR 37 #61.
6. G. E. Sacks, *Degrees of unsolvability*, Ann. of Math. Studies, no. 55, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1963. MR 32 #4013.
7. J. R. Shoenfield, *Mathematical logic*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1967. MR 37 #1224.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO, AMHERST, NEW YORK 14226

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

Current address (Leo A. Harrington): Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

Current address (Alexander A. Kechris): Department of Mathematics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125