

HETEROGENEITY OF Mg ISOTOPES AND VARIABLE $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$ RATIO IN FUN CAIs.

C. Park^{1*}, K. Nagashima¹, I. D. Hutcheon², G. J. Wasserburg³, D. A. Papanastassiou³, A. M. Davis⁴, G. R. Huss¹, A. N. Krot¹. ¹U. Hawai'i at Mānoa, USA. ²LLNL, USA. ³CalTech, USA. ⁴U. Chicago, USA. *ckpark@higp.hawaii.edu.

Introduction: CAIs with fractionation and unidentified nuclear effects (FUN CAIs) [1] are characterized by low initial $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$ ratios, large mass-dependent fractionation in Mg (F_{Mg}), Si, and O isotopes, and nucleosynthetic anomalies in several elements (e.g., Ca, Ti). Most Mg-isotope studies of FUN CAIs were performed more than 30 years ago with TIMS. Here we report high-precision Mg-isotope data of individual minerals from the *Axtell 2271*, *BG82DH8*, *EK1-4-1*, *CI*, *TE*, and *CG14* FUN CAIs measured with the UH Cameca ims-1280. We followed the procedure described in [2]. Measured Mg-isotope data were corrected for fractionation using terrestrial standards assuming that their isotopic compositions are the same as values of [3], and an exponential law with a coefficient $\beta = 0.514$ [4]. The overall conclusions of this study do not change with the choice of β .

Results and Discussion: In *Axtell 2271*, F_{Mg} in spinel and melilite is $15.1 \pm 1.7\%$ /amu (2σ), whereas F_{Mg} in hibonite is $4.0 \pm 1.6\%$ /amu. The diverse F_{Mg} may reflect crystallization sequence during melt evaporation or incomplete mixing with an isotopically-unfractionated gas during multistage thermal processing. The CAI minerals define an internal Al-Mg isochron with $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_0$ of $(2.9 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-6}$ and an intercept, $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_0$, of $0.52 \pm 0.06\%$.

In *BG82DH8*, F_{Mg} in olivine, spinel, Al,Ti-diopside, melilite, and hibonite are 27.3 ± 2.1 , 36.9 ± 4.6 , 33.4 ± 1.7 , 33.7 ± 2.1 , $39.6 \pm 2.5\%$ /amu, respectively. The internal ^{26}Al - ^{26}Mg isochron defined by hibonite and minerals with low Al/Mg ratios has slope = $(3.8 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-6}$ and $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_0$ of $-0.27 \pm 0.04\%$. Anorthite has high $^{27}\text{Al}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ (~ 8000) and no resolvable excess of $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$, most likely due to metamorphic disturbance of the Al-Mg system.

In *EK1-4-1*, spinel and Al,Ti-diopside exhibit uniform F_{Mg} ($22.8 \pm 2.3\%$ /amu) and deficits in $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ ($-1.89 \pm 0.09\%$). The upper limit of internal $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_0$ is $\sim 3 \times 10^{-5}$.

In *CI*, spinel, Al,Ti-diopside, and melilite show uniform F_{Mg} ($32.0 \pm 1.5\%$ /amu) and small deficits of $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ ($-0.21 \pm 0.17\%$). The internal isochron defined by the minerals with low Al/Mg ratios (this study) and anorthite [5] has $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_0$ of $(3.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$.

In *TE*, F_{Mg} in olivine and Al,Ti-diopside are 13.0 ± 0.6 and $16.1 \pm 0.6\%$ /amu, respectively. The inferred $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_0$, $(5.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$, is consistent with the previously reported value from hibonite ($\sim 4.7 \times 10^{-5}$) [6]. The $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_0$ is $-0.05 \pm 0.05\%$.

In *CG14*, olivine and Al,Ti-diopside exhibit F_{Mg} of 18.6 ± 2.7 and $24.5 \pm 0.3\%$ /amu and deficits of $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ of -0.44 ± 0.08 and $-0.28 \pm 0.05\%$, respectively. The upper limit of internal $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_0$ is $\sim 3 \times 10^{-5}$.

The observed variations of $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_0$ (from $\sim -2\%$ in *EK1-4-1* to $\sim +0.5\%$ in *Axtell 2271*) in FUN CAIs indicate Mg-isotope heterogeneity in the early Solar System [7]. The wide variations in $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_0$ in FUN CAIs (from $< 3 \times 10^{-6}$ to $\sim 5 \times 10^{-5}$) [2, 8, this study] suggest that either the FUN CAI formation lasted over ~ 3 Myr or ^{26}Al was heterogeneously distributed in the solar nebula.

References: [1] Wasserburg et al. (1977) *GRL* 4:299 [2] Holst et al. (2013) *PNAS*:in press. [3] Catanzaro et al. (1966) *J. Res. NBS A* 70A:453. [4] Davis et al. (2005) *LPSC* 36:2334 [5] Esat et al. (1978) *GRL* 5:807. [6] El Goresy et al. (1991) *LPSC* 22:345. [7] Wasserburg et al. (2012) *MAPS* 47:1980. [8] Williams et al. (2012) *MAPS* 47:#5102.