CsI:Tl⁺, Yb²⁺: ultra-high light yield scintillator with reduced afterglow†

Cite this: *CrystEngComm*, 2014, 16, 3312

Yuntao Wu,^{*a} Guohao Ren,^{*a} Martin Nikl,^b Xiaofeng Chen,^a Dongzhou Ding,^a Huanyin Li,^a Shangke Pan^a and Fan Yang^c

Received 28th December 2013,
Accepted 27th January 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c3ce42645a

www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

The afterglow problem has been preventing CsI:Tl single crystal scintillators from being used for applications in the field of computer tomography and high-speed imaging. We show that Yb²⁺ codoping in CsI:Tl can reduce it at least by one order of magnitude after 50 ms from X-ray cut-off compared to ordinary CsI:Tl. After optimization of the Yb²⁺ and Tl⁺ concentrations, the doubly doped CsI:Tl,Yb crystal exhibits an ultra-high light yield of $90\,000 \pm 6000$ photons MeV⁻¹, energy resolution 7.9% @ 511 keV and low afterglow level of about 0.035% at 80 ms. Simultaneous improvement in afterglow, light yield and energy resolution in CsI:Tl-based scintillators paves the way to its application in computer tomography and high-speed imaging. The physical mechanism and role of ytterbium ions in afterglow suppression are proposed.

Tl-doped cesium iodide was introduced in 1951 as one of the first single crystal scintillators.¹ It shows a high light yield 66 000 photons MeV⁻¹, scintillation response dominated by 800–1000 ns decay time and an emission band at 550 nm matching well the semiconductor photodetector sensitivity range.² Furthermore, it has medium density (4.53 g cm⁻³) and higher effective atomic number ($Z_{\text{eff}} = 54$) and allows the fabrication of micro-columnar films. Given its low cost, CsI:Tl materials became widely used for radiological imaging,³ X-ray and gamma ray spectroscopy, homeland security and nuclear medicine applications. However, due to the persistent afterglow in CsI:Tl attributed to thermal ionization of trapped electrons (Tl₀) followed by radiative recombination with trapped holes [$V_{\text{KA}}(\text{Tl}^+)$],⁴ which causes the pulse pile up in high count-rate applications, its usage in computer tomography (CT) and high-speed imaging applications is thus not possible.^{5,6} Thus, ways to suppress the afterglow in CsI:Tl have been researched intensively over the last two decades.

In general, co-doping by an appropriate ion was found to be an effective method to suppress the afterglow in scintillators and phosphors as has been shown *e.g.* in Gd₂O₂S-based

or (Y,Gd)₂O₃-based phosphors and optical ceramics, see ref. 2 and the references therein. The afterglow in Lu₂SiO₅:Ce (LSO:Ce) scintillator was soon recognized as a serious limitation⁷ and Ca²⁺ codoping was found to be efficient for its suppression.^{8,9} A positive role for Yb²⁺ ions in afterglow suppression of LSO:Ce was found as well, decreasing it by more than two orders of magnitude, however, at the serious expense of light output.¹⁰ In CsI:Tl scintillators, the afterglow level was effectively reduced by codoping with Eu²⁺ and Sm²⁺ ions.^{11–14} Nevertheless, the atomistic mechanism of Eu²⁺ and Sm²⁺ functioning is completely different. Samarium ions introduce a non-radiative recombination channel that decreases the radiative recombination of trapped carriers,¹⁴ but co-doping with europium introduces deep electron traps scavenging electrons from shallow traps associated with thallium, which results in a slower afterglow.¹² However, co-doping with these ions seriously deteriorated the light yield in both cases. Recently, Totsuka *et al.* claimed that using the Bi³⁺ codoping the afterglow of CsI:Tl can be less than 0.1% after 10 ms without a strong decrease of the radioluminescence efficiency under low energy X-ray (less than 30 keV) excitation.¹⁵ However, in an attempt to verify this result, we found that the light yield and energy resolution of Bi-codoped CsI:Tl crystals became much worse even for the lowest Bi concentration of about 0.005 mol% (in the melt).¹⁶

Therefore, despite the success of the afterglow suppression in CsI:Tl the codoping strategies mentioned above have simultaneously deteriorated the other important scintillation characteristics such as light yield and energy resolution which points to the complex character of the scintillation mechanism. Impurities (doped ions) may introduce energy levels in the band gap of the host crystal which interfere with the charge

^a Shanghai Institute of Ceramics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 215 Road, Jiading District, Shanghai, 201899, PR China. E-mail: caswyt@hotmail.com, rgh@mail.sic.ac.cn; Fax: +86 21 59927184; Tel: 86 21 69987745

^b Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Cukrovarnická 10, 16253 Prague 6, Czech Republic

^c California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Optical absorption spectra and X-ray excited luminescence spectra data for CsI:Tl group A crystals. Pulse height spectra data for CsI:Tl group A crystals, when excited with a ¹³⁷Cs source. See DOI: 10.1039/c3ce42645a

carrier migration and relaxation processes: while the afterglow level is dramatically improved, other scintillation properties such as light yield and energy resolution may be seriously degraded.

The search for codopants which can effectively diminish the delayed radiative recombination (afterglow) at Ti^+ centres and not deleteriously affect other scintillation properties is the primary goal of this work. Due to the electronic structure similarity between Eu^{2+} and Yb^{2+} ,¹⁷ the afterglow suppression effect by Yb^{2+} codoping is expected. Thus, in this paper, we study the effects of Yb^{2+} co-doping on the optical and scintillation properties of CsI:Tl , including afterglow level, light yield, energy resolution, and relative scintillation efficiency.

We used the vertical Bridgman technique to grow two groups of CsI:Tl,Yb crystals. The crystals referred to as group A were used for screening the effect of Yb^{2+} codoping: $\text{CsI:0.078 mol\%Ti}^+$ (further denoted as IT1), $\text{CsI:0.078 mol\%Ti}^+, 0.005 \text{ mol\%Yb}^{2+}$ (further denoted as IT2) and $\text{CsI:0.078 mol\%Ti}^+, 0.05 \text{ mol\%Yb}^{2+}$ (further denoted as IT3). The other crystals referred to as group B were used to further optimize the conditions: $\text{CsI:0.156 mol\%Ti}^+$ (further denoted as ST3), $\text{CsI:0.156 mol\%Ti}^+, 0.005 \text{ mol\%Yb}^{2+}$ (further denoted as ST1) and $\text{CsI:0.234 mol\%Ti}^+, 0.005 \text{ mol\%Yb}^{2+}$ (further denoted as ST4). The high-purity CsI (5 N), TlI (4 N) (both from Chemetall), and YbI_2 (5 N) (Aldrich) according to the stoichiometric ratio were loaded in the quartz ampoules and then heated in a vacuum to eliminate the residual humidity. The ampoules were maintained at a temperature of 100 °C above the melting point of cesium iodide for 24 h to ensure homogeneity of the melt. Then, ampoules were passed through an optimal temperature gradient with a speed of 0.6 mm h⁻¹. The resultant boules were 35 mm in diameter and 100 mm in length. All the crystals were transparent and colorless (see inset of Fig. 1). The samples used for dopant concentration measurement were cut at the same position along the boule. The actual dopant concentrations in the crystals were measured by Perkin-Elmer ELAN DRC-e Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass

Spectrometry (ICP-MS). The measured Ti^+ concentration in crystals are listed in Tables 1 and 2. Yb concentration was below the sensitivity limit of the apparatus (5 ppm) so that the data are not shown here. All samples were clear and uniform, without any visible inclusions or cracks. Two sample dimensions were chosen for measurements. The first one was $\varnothing 25.4 \times 25.4 \text{ mm}^3$ double-faced polished crystals (see inset of Fig. 1). These samples were wrapped in Teflon tapes and used for afterglow, pulse height spectra and X-ray excited luminescence spectra measurements. Before these measurements, all wrapped crystals were stored in the dark for at least 24 h. The second sample dimension was a double-faced polished $5 \times 5 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$ plate used for the rest of measurements.

The afterglow curves were measured by using a single-shot pulse X-ray excitation (pulse duration of 80 ns). The afterglow profiles were recorded by using 20 000 data points taken from the oscilloscope (Tektronix DPO 5104) using a photomultiplier (PMT) tube detection (R669). The afterglow level $\eta(t)$ was calculated as a ratio between the luminescence intensities (I_0) measured during the X-ray irradiation pulse and at the time delay (t) after the irradiation is terminated, namely $\eta(t) = I(t)/I_0 \times 100\%$.

The wrapped cylinder group A crystals for light yield measurement were coupled with a Hamamatsu R878-WT1734 series PMT with no optical grease or other coupling material. The crystals were excited with 662 keV gamma rays from ¹³⁷Cs source located 10 mm from the crystal surface, and then pulse-height spectra were recorded by a Digibase multichannel spectrometer. The shaping time and PMT high voltage was fixed at 2 μs and 1050 V, respectively. The natural background spectrum from the crystal was not subtracted.

The wrapped cylinder group B crystals for absolute light yield and energy resolution measurements were coupled with Hamamatsu R1306 PMT with a bi-alkali photo-cathode and a borosilicate glass window. A collimated ²²Na source (511 keV) was used to excite the samples. The photopeak positions were obtained by a simple Gaussian fit. The light output (LO) is measured by single photo-electron peak calibration. The absolute light yield (LY) was calculated using LO, the emission weighted quantum efficiency (EWQE) of the Hamamatsu R1306 PMT at 550 nm which is of about $5.0 \pm 0.3\%$, and light collection efficiency (LCE) which is assumed to be 1. The specific equation $\text{LY} [\text{photons MeV}^{-1}] \approx \text{LO} [\text{photoelectrons MeV}^{-1}] / (\text{EWQE} \times \text{LCE})$ was used. Scintillation decay time was measured by the same equipment by tuning the integration time. Scintillation measurements described for group B samples were performed at Prof. Renyuan Zhu's HEP group in California Institute of Technology.

The radioluminescence spectra without calibration were conducted on an X-ray Excited Luminescence Spectrometer, assembled at the Shanghai Institute of Ceramics. The excitation source of this equipment is a medical F30III-2 type mobile diagnostic X-ray machine coupled with the 44 W plane grating monochromator and Hamamatsu R456 PMT. Optical absorption spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary 5000 spectrophotometer. Photoluminescence decay profiles were recorded

Fig. 1 Afterglow profiles of CsI:Tl,Yb^{2+} group A crystals after X-ray pulse excitation. Inset shows the photograph of double-faced polished group A crystals with different Yb^{2+} concentration: none (a), 0.005% (b), 0.05% (c).

Table 1 Luminescence and scintillation characteristics of CsI:Ti⁺,Yb²⁺ group A crystals

Sample	Tl concentration (mol%)		Yb concentration (mol%)	PL decay constant ^a (ns)	Afterglow level @50 ms (%)	Relative light yield ^b (%)	RL integral intensity ^c (%)	RL relat. intensity at 400 nm ^d (%)
	In melt	In crystal						
IT1	0.078	0.010	0	540	0.490	100	100	18.6
IT2	0.078	0.011	0.005	525	0.041	98	90	14.0
IT3	0.078	0.012	0.05	543	0.041	86	76	8

^a Monitoring at $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 322$ nm and $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 520$ nm. ^b Measured from the energy spectra under ¹³⁷Cs excitation. ^c Measured from the data of X-ray excited luminescence spectra. ^d RL intensity at the spectrum maximum is defined as 100%.

Table 2 Scintillation characteristics comparison between CsI:Ti⁺,Yb²⁺ (group B) and Srl₂:Eu²⁺ single crystals with the identical size

Sample	Tl concentration (mol%)		Yb concentration (mol%)	Scintillation decay constant ^a (ns)	Afterglow level (%)		Light yield ^a (photons MeV ⁻¹)	Energy resolution @ 511 keV (%)	Hygroscopic	
	In melt	In crystal			@50 ms	@80 ms				
SrI ₂ :Eu ²⁺	–	–	–	1000–5000 ^{b,c}	~0.20	~0.10	70 000–11 500 ^{b,c}	3.0 ^c	Very	Ref. 26,27
CsI:Ti ⁺ (ST3)	0.156	0.039	0	1190	3.96	1.450	67 000 ± 4800	9.2	Slight	This work
CsI:Ti ⁺ ,Yb ²⁺ (ST1)	0.156	0.031	0.005	1164	0.340	0.122	85 000 ± 5000	8.1	Slight	This work
CsI:Ti ⁺ ,Yb ²⁺ (ST4)	0.234	0.070	0.005	1347	0.340	0.035	90 000 ± 6000	7.9	Slight	This work

^a Excited under ²²Na with 511 keV. ^b Depend on Eu²⁺ concentration. ^c Excited under ¹³⁷Cs with 662 keV.

on Edinburgh FLS920 fluorescence spectrometer, respectively. All the above described measurements were performed at room temperature.

Fig. 1 presents the results of afterglow measurements performed for the group A crystals. The afterglow level of the Yb²⁺ codoped ones decrease by more than one order of magnitude in comparison with the Yb²⁺-free one after 50 ms. Compared with the Eu²⁺, Sm²⁺ or Bi³⁺ codopants mentioned above the performance of Yb²⁺ codopant is comparable as it lowers the afterglow level down to 0.041% in comparison with 0.03% for Bi³⁺,¹⁵ ~0.03% for Eu²⁺ codopant,¹¹ and ~0.1% for Sm²⁺.¹³

The emission spectra under steady-state X-ray excitation of group A crystals were measured (see ESI†). In the Yb-doped samples the emission spectrum exhibits a similar pattern to that of the Yb-free one.¹¹ After normalization, an interesting phenomenon was noticed: a gradual decrease of relative intensity of the shoulder at 400 nm with increasing Yb²⁺ concentration compared to maximum of the spectrum around 500 nm (defined as 100%) clearly occurs, see Table 1. Integrating the radioluminescence spectra, the relative scintillation efficiency can be compared among the samples. The decreasing trend in Yb-doped samples is obvious and relative reduction is larger than that of relative light yield, see Table 1. Because the emission under steady-state X-ray excitation (scintillation efficiency) includes both the fast scintillation component (measured in light yield) and the slower (delayed) components responsible for the afterglow, it means that the Yb²⁺ codoping is reducing the unwanted slower components. For CsI:Ti, the unwanted slower components (afterglow emission) were ascribed to the delayed Ti-related band peaking at about 560 nm¹⁸ and 400 nm.¹⁹ Due to the strong decrease of the quantum efficiency of the

PMT above 500 nm, the true maximum of the emission at 550–560 nm cannot be observed in our uncorrected spectra. In our spectra, the maximum of this emission peaks at 500 nm. The cause for the relative decrease of the 400 nm shoulder in the emission spectra of Yb-codoped samples will be discussed in the following paragraph. The photoluminescence decay times of CsI:Ti⁺,Yb²⁺ crystals are also shown in Table 1. All samples exhibited similar values of about 540 ns, slightly shorter than 585 ns reported in ref. 20, which proves no negative effects coming from Yb codoping on the Ti⁺ emission centers themselves. The photopeaks in pulse-height spectra were approximated by a Gaussian to evaluate the peak position and to estimate the relative light yield (see ESI†) of group A crystals. Relative light yield values under the 662 keV gamma excitation from ¹³⁷Cs are also listed in Table 1. At the lowest Yb co-doping concentration (0.005 mol%), the light yield shows approximately 2% loss compared to Yb-free CsI:Ti. For higher co-doping concentration of 0.05 mol%, the light yield loss is about 14%.

After further optimization of Ti⁺ and Yb²⁺ concentrations, a composition with excellent scintillation performance was obtained. Pulse height spectra of optimized group B crystals under ²²Na (511 keV) excitation were measured. The light outputs as a function of integration time for group B are shown in Fig. 2. When the integration time was 4 μs, the light output for ST3, ST1 and ST4 was 3350, 4241, and 4510 p.e. MeV⁻¹, respectively. Considering the EWQE of R1306 PMT at 550 nm the emission maximum of CsI:Ti⁺,Yb²⁺ and LCE, it is estimated that the light yield of the best Yb-codoped crystal (ST4) can reach 90 000 ± 6000 photons MeV⁻¹. The light yield of ST1 sample was 85 000 ± 5000 photons MeV⁻¹, which is still much higher than that of the Yb-free one (ST3) which was

Fig. 2 Light output as a function of integration time for CsI:Tl⁺,Yb²⁺ group B crystals.

$67\,000 \pm 4800$ photons MeV^{-1} , close to the typical value of $65\,000$ photons MeV^{-1} for CsI:Tl single crystal.^{2,21,22} On the basis of the Bartram–Lempicki model $L_R = 10^6/(\beta \times E_g)$,²³ the number of photons per unit of absorbed energy (MeV) can be roughly estimated, where $E_g = 6.2$ eV²⁴ is the bandgap of CsI, the value of β is 1.5–1.8²⁵ for ionic halide compounds. Thus, the theoretical light yield L_R for CsI:Tl should be within $89\,600$ – $107\,500$ photons MeV^{-1} . It is evident that the light yield of the optimized CsI:Tl⁺,Yb²⁺ approaches its theoretical value. The reasons for the light yield improvement are not understood at this stage, and further experimental investigation is currently ongoing. The pulse height spectra of optimized CsI:Tl⁺,Yb²⁺ crystals (group B) under ²²Na excitation are presented in Fig. 3. The FWHM energy resolutions obtained for 511 keV γ -rays from the ²²Na source are 9.2%, 8.1% and 7.9% for ST3, ST1 and ST4, respectively, plotted in Fig. 3. Besides, its scintillation decay time is about 1.3 μs , close to 1.2 μs of the Yb-free one (see Table 2).

Fig. 4 shows the afterglow profiles after the X-ray pulse excitation. It is found that the Yb-doped crystal with highest light yield value exhibits the lowest afterglow level of about 0.035% at 80 ms while the Yb-free sample shows about 1.14% (see Table 2). Thus, simultaneous improvement of afterglow, light yield and energy resolution in the Yb-codoped CsI:Tl scintillator, reported for the first time, will pave the way to its application in fast imaging techniques. We also note that, except for the energy resolution, in the case of identical size the physical and other scintillation performances of slightly hygroscopic CsI:Tl⁺,Yb²⁺ crystals are comparable to those of the ultra-efficient (quite hygroscopic) alkali earth iodide scintillator – SrI₂:Eu²⁺, see comparison in Table 2.

Fig. 5 presents the optical absorption spectra of group B crystals in the range of 300–800 nm. The abrupt absorbance increase below 330 nm is due to the onset of A-absorption band of Tl⁺ center,²⁸ and there is no additional absorption

Fig. 3 Pulse height spectra of optimized CsI:Tl⁺,Yb²⁺ group B crystals coupled with Hamamatsu R1306 PMT under ²²Na excitation. Spectra start at channel no. 354, net photopeak position is marked in the figure, and the solid red line is the Gaussian approximation of photopeak. The “net peak” equals the measured peak after subtracting the ADC pedestal signal. The equation, energy resolution (E.R.) = FWHM/Channel of “net peak”, is used to determine the energy resolution.

Fig. 4 Afterglow profiles of CsI:Tl⁺,Yb²⁺ group B crystals after X-ray pulse excitation.

band induced by Yb codoping, unlike in the case of Bi³⁺ codoping.^{15,16} An absence of the absorption features of the allowed Yb³⁺ related charge transfer (CT) transition, which should occur within 500–600 nm in iodides,²⁹ implies that only the Yb²⁺ ions are stable in CsI host, which is also natural from the point of view of their charge compared to Cs⁺. The lowest 4f–5d₁ transition of Yb²⁺ should be situated around 400–420 nm in the CsI host taking into account the similarity of 4f–5d transition positioning for Yb²⁺ and Eu²⁺¹⁷ and the position of Eu²⁺ 4f–5d₁ absorption band in CsI.³⁰ However, due to the strongly spin forbidden character of 4f–5d transition in Yb²⁺ it can be hardly observed in this concentration range.³¹ Besides, in the Yb²⁺ codoped crystals, the apparent shift of Tl⁺ absorption edge in the codoped crystal was observed (inset of Fig. 5). We also recall the relative decrease

Fig. 5 Optical absorption spectra of optimized CsI:Tl⁺, Yb²⁺ group B crystals. Parts of the spectra were enlarged for clear observation.

of the 400 nm shoulder in the emission spectra of Yb-codoped samples (Table 1) which can be due to nonradiative energy transfer from the Tl⁺-like 400 nm band towards Yb²⁺ 4f–5d₁ absorption band or due to the change of the energy barrier for thermally stimulated transition from the 400 nm band towards the other co-existing exciton-like minima on the common adiabatic potential surface of the emission center excited state.³² The observed changes in the absorption and emission characteristics of Tl⁺ centers in the Yb-codoped crystals point to the spatial correlation between the Yb²⁺ and Tl⁺ centers. In such a case the delayed migrating holes can be inhibited to reach Tl⁰ being captured at Yb²⁺ ion in the vicinity. The return of the Yb³⁺ + Tl⁰ excited ion couple to the ground state can be easily nonradiative taking into account the well-known case of Ce³⁺ emission quenching in the Ce⁴⁺ and Yb²⁺ pairs *e.g.* in garnet³³ or oxyorthosilicate³⁴ materials.

Conclusions

In summary, a positive role of Yb²⁺ codoping in the afterglow suppression in CsI:Tl crystals was found. In the optimized composition, the CsI:Tl⁺, Yb²⁺ crystal has so far exhibited an ultra-high light yield value of $90\,000 \pm 6000$ photons MeV⁻¹, energy resolution 7.9% @ 511 keV and suppressed afterglow level down to 0.035% at 80 ms. Simultaneous improvement of the afterglow level, light yield and energy resolution in the Yb-codoped CsI:Tl scintillator compared to the standard CsI:Tl one is considered as a breakthrough in the optimization of the scintillator and paves the way for its application in X-ray fast imaging applications. The afterglow suppression in CsI:Tl⁺, Yb²⁺ crystal is explained by spatial correlation of Yb²⁺ and Tl⁺ ions where the former can trap the delayed migrating holes and inhibit their radiative recombination with Tl⁰ counterpart.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 51372256) and State Key

Laboratory of Rare Earth Resources Utilization (Grant No. RERU2014013). Partial support of the project of Sino-Czech collaboration funded by MEYS (Czech side), KONTAKT II, no. 12185 is also gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

- W. Van Sciver and R. Hofstadter, *Phys. Rev.*, 1951, **84**, 1062.
- M. Nikl, *Meas. Sci. Technol.*, 2006, **17**, R37.
- B. K. Cha, J. H. Bae, C. H. Lee, S. H. Chang and G. Cho, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A*, 2011, **633**, S297.
- L. A. Kappers, R. H. Bartram, D. S. Hamilton, C. Brecher, A. Lempicki, V. Gaysinskiy, E. E. Ovechkina and V. V. Nagarkar, *Radiat. Meas.*, 2007, **42**, 537.
- J. H. Siewersden and D. A. Jaffray, *Med. Phys.*, 1999, **26**, 8.
- S. C. Thacker, B. Singh, V. Gaysinskiy, E. E. Ovechkina, S. R. Miller, C. Brecher and V. V. Nagarkar, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A*, 2009, **604**, 89.
- P. Dorenbos, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 1994, **6**, 4167.
- K. Yang, C. L. Melcher, P. D. Rack and L. A. Eriksson, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, 2009, **56**, 2960.
- J. J. Zhu, M. Gu, X. L. Liu, B. Liu, S. M. Huang and C. Ni, *J. Lumin.*, 2013, **139**, 1.
- N. G. Starzhinskiy, O. Sidletskiy, G. Tamulaitis, K. A. Katrunov, I. M. Zenya, Y. V. Malyukin, O. V. Viagin, A. A. Masalov and I. A. Rybalko, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, 2013, **60**(2), 1427.
- C. Brecher, A. Lempicki, S. R. Miller, J. Glodo, E. E. Ovechkina, V. Gaysinskiy, V. V. Nagarkar and R. H. Bartram, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A*, 2006, **558**, 450.
- R. H. Bartram, L. A. Kappers, D. S. Hamilton, A. Lempicki, C. Brecher, J. Glodo, V. Gaysinskiy and E. E. Ovechkina, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A*, 2006, **558**, 458.
- V. V. Nagarkar, C. Brecher, E. E. Ovechkina, V. Gaysinskiy, S. R. Miller, S. Thacker, A. Lempicki and R. H. Bartram, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, 2008, **55**(3), 1270.
- L. A. Kappers, R. H. Bartram, D. S. Hamilton, A. Lempicki, C. Brecher, V. Gaysinskiy, E. E. Ovechkina, S. Thacker and V. V. Nagarkar, *Radiat. Meas.*, 2010, **45**, 426.
- D. Totsuka, T. Yanagida, Y. Fujimoto, Y. Yokota, F. Moretti, A. Vedda and A. Yoshikawa, *Appl. Phys. Express*, 2012, **5**, 052601.
- Y. T. Wu, G. H. Ren, X. F. Chen, D. Z. Ding, H. Y. Li and S. K. Pan, Submitted.
- P. Dorenbos, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2003, **15**, 8417.
- J. M. Spaeth, W. Msise and K. S. Song, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 1994, **6**, 3999.
- C. Brecher, V. V. Nagarkar, V. Gaysinskiy, S. R. Miller and A. Lempicki, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A*, 2005, **537**, 117.
- M. M. Hamada, F. E. Costa, C. C. Pereira and S. Kubota, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, 2001, **48**(4), 1148.
- M. J. Weber, *J. Lumin.*, 2002, **100**(1–4), 35.
- C. W. E. Eijk, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A*, 2003, **509**, 17.
- A. M. Srivastava and C. R. Ronda, *Luminescence: Theory and Applications*, Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, ch 5 2007.

- 24 S. L. Molodtsov, A. Puschmann, C. Laubschat, G. Kaindl and V. K. Adamchuk, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter*, 1991, **44**(3), 1333.
- 25 P. A. Rodnyi, P. Dorenbos and C. W. E. van Eijk, *Phys. Status Solidi B*, 1995, **187**, 15.
- 26 R. Hawrami, J. Glodo, K. S. Shah, N. Cherepy, S. Payne, A. Burger and L. Boatner, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 2013, **379**, 69.
- 27 E. V. van Loef, K. S. Shah, J. Glodo and G. M. Wilson, *US patent*: US2010/0268074 A1, 2010.
- 28 S. Masunaga, I. Morita and M. Ishiguro, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 1966, **21**, 638.
- 29 M. Nikl, A. Yoshikawa and T. Fukuda, *Opt. Mater.*, 2004, **26**, 545.
- 30 H. J. Seo, W. S. Zhang, T. Tsuboi, S. H. Doh, W. G. Lee, H. D. Kang and K. W. Jang, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2002, **344**, 268.
- 31 A. Bensalah, M. Nikl, E. Mihokova, N. Solovieva, A. Vedda, H. Sato, T. Fukuda and G. Boulon, *Radiat. Meas.*, 2004, **38**, 545.
- 32 V. Nagirnyi, A. Stolovich, S. Zazubovich, V. Zepelin, E. Mihokova, M. Nikl, G. P. Pazzi and L. Salvini, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 1995, **7**, 3637.
- 33 N. Guerassimova, N. Garinier, C. Dujardin, A. G. Petrosyan and C. Pedrini, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2001, **339**(3–4), 197.
- 34 D. W. Cooke, R. E. Muenchausen, B. L. Bennett, K. J. McClellan and A. M. Portis, *J. Lumin.*, 1998, **79**(3), 185.