TWO NEW HALO DEBRIS STREAMS IN THE SLOAN DIGITAL SKY SURVEY

C. J. GRILLMAIR

Spitzer Science Center, 1200 E. California Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125, USA; carl@ipac.caltech.edu

Received 2014 April 30; accepted 2014 June 23; published 2014 July 9

ABSTRACT

Using photometry from Data Release 10 of the northern footprint of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, we detect two new stellar streams with lengths of between 25° and 50° . The streams, which we designate Hermus and Hyllus, are at distances of between 15 and 23 kpc from the Sun and pass primarily through Hercules and Corona Borealis. Stars in the streams appear to be metal-poor, with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -2.3$, though we cannot rule out metallicities as high as $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.2$. While Hermus passes within 1° (in projection) of the globular cluster NGC 6229, a roughly one magnitude difference in distance modulus, combined with no signs of connecting with NGC 6229's Roche lobe, argue against any physical association between the two. Though the two streams almost certainly had different progenitors, similarities in preliminary orbit estimates suggest that those progenitors may themselves have been a product of a single accretion event.

Key words: Galaxy: halo – Galaxy: structure – globular clusters: general

Online-only material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

The number of known nearby halo streams (i.e., streams that we can trace in configuration space over an appreciable portion of their orbits around the Galaxy) currently stands at 18 (Grillmair 2010; Bonaca et al. 2012; Grillmair et al. 2013; Koposov et al. 2014; Martin et al. 2014). Since we can in principle measure all six phase space coordinates for the stars in such streams, they have the potential to significantly improve our understanding of the mass distribution in the Galaxy, particularly in regions where we have no comparable tracers (Deg & Widrow 2014; Law et al. 2009; Newberg et al. 2010; Koposov et al. 2010). The coldest streams (e.g., Palomar 5, GD-1, with velocity dispersions of $\leq 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) are particularly interesting as they may provide a sensitive means of detecting the presence and abundance of dark matter subhalos (Carlberg 2009; Yoon et al. 2011; Carlberg & Grillmair 2013).

In this Letter we reexamine a portion of the northern imaging footprint of the Sloan Survey. Using photometrically filtered star counts we detect two long, nearly parallel overdensities whose orientations, morphologies, and color–magnitude distributions are consistent with stellar debris streams at distances of 18 and 21 kpc. We briefly describe our analysis in Section 2. We characterize the new streams in Section 3 and we put preliminary constraints on their orbits in Section 3.1. We make concluding remarks in Section 4.

2. DATA ANALYSIS

Photometric data in g , r , and i for stars with $g < 22.5$ were extracted from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) DR10 release (Ahn et al. 2014). We dereddened the photometry as a function of sky position using the DIRBE/IRAS dust maps of Schlegel et al. (1998), corrected using the prescription of Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011). We constructed color–magnitude filters in $g-r$ and $g-i$ using the observed main sequence and red giant branch color–magnitude locus of the metal-poor globular cluster NGC 5053. The use of matched filters for highlighting particular stellar populations has been described at length by Rockosi et al. (2002) and Grillmair (2009).

The color–magnitude distribution of field stars was sampled over most of the survey area, with the exception of regions occupied by known streams (see Grillmair 2010 for a list). We applied the filters to the entire survey area, and the resulting weighted star counts were summed by location on the sky, using pixels 0.2×0.2 in size, to produce two-dimensional (2D), filtered surface density maps. The $g-r$ and $g-i$ maps were then coadded to improve the signal-to-noise ratio.

To identify features caused by calibration or completeness discontinuities between survey scans, we chose to work in the Sloan Survey coordinates λ and η . Discontinuities between scans are then confined to run primarily along the λ coordinate and can more easily be identified and discounted.

The surface density of the filtered field star population was modeled by first masking the new streams, and then smoothing the 2D surface density map with a Gaussian kernel of width 7° . This smooth background model was then subtracted from the original and the result, smoothed with a kernel of width 1° , is shown in Figure 1. Note that this figure has been optimized for a distance of 18 kpc by shifting the NGC 5053-based color–magnitude filters faintward by 0.2 mag.

3. DISCUSSION

The two new streams appear as long, nearly parallel, nearly great-circle enhancements extending through the constellations Hercules and Corona Borealis. The streams show no correlation with reddening maps of the region (Schlegel et al. 1998) and stand out strongly when blinking against surface density maps optimized for different distances or metallicities. The streams appear somewhat fragmented, with numerous lumps and gaps which may be products of either epicyclic motions of constituent stars (e.g., Küpper et al. 2012) or of encounters with massive structures in the halo and/or disk. Following Grillmair (2009), we designate the new streams Hermus and Hyllus, after two neighboring rivers in *The Illiad*. At their southern ends, both Hermus and Hyllus appear to run off the edge of the survey footprint. Hermus extends completely across the footprint and terminates at its northern edge, while Hyllus appears to peter out at $\eta \approx 22^\circ$.

Figure 1. Filtered surface density map of the eastern portion of the northern footprint of the Sloan imaging survey. East is upward and north is to the left. The map was generated using a matched-filter based on the color–magnitude distribution of stars in NGC 5053, shifted to a distance of 18 kpc. The map has been background-subtracted and smoothed as described in the text. The Sloan Survey coordinate system is used so that survey scans (and any discontinuities which could be mistaken for streams) run vertically in the figure. The stretch is linear and lighter areas indicate higher surface densities. Previously discovered streams (Odenkirchen et al. 2001; Grillmair 2009) are indicated.

The southernmost 10° of Hermus curves in a direction opposite to that of the northern 30° . Combined with a rather indistinct and confused region connecting the southern and northern portions, this creates some uncertainty as to whether the two features are part of the same stream. The changing curvature is due primarily to the coordinate system used. However, we find that while it is easy to find orbits that fit either the northern or southern portions of the stream individually, attempts to fit both sections simultaneously result in significantly poorer fits, with the southern portion of the predicted orbit lying $\approx 3^\circ$ to the west of the stream. This may be a consequence of the fact that tidal streams do not lie precisely along a single orbit (Eyre & Binney 2011), or of inadequacies in our adopted model of the Galaxy. However, it may also be that, despite striking similarities in distance and orientation, the northern and southern portions of Hermus are physically unrelated. Kinematic information will be required before we can further test this hypothesis.

The northern 30° of Hermus can be well-described in J2000 equatorial coordinates (to within 0.2°) using a polynomial of the form

$$\alpha = 237.680 + 0.75025\delta - 0.03802\delta^2 + 0.0005836\delta^3.$$

The entire 50° of Hermus requires a higher-order fit, and can be described to within 0.3° using

$$\alpha = 241.571 + 1.37841\delta - 0.148870\delta^2 + 0.00589502\delta^3 - 1.03927 \times 10^{-4}\delta^4 + 7.28133 \times 10^{-7}\delta^5. \quad (1)$$

Though Hyllus shows no appreciable curvature in Figure 1, the transformation to J2000 equatorial coordinates requires a second-order fit to match the stream to within 0.1° :

$$\alpha = 255.8150 - 0.78364\delta + 0.01532\delta^2. \quad (2)$$

To estimate the significance of the streams above the background, we employ the T-statistic of Grillmair (2009), which measures the median contrast along its length between a stream and the surrounding field. Figure 2 compares the signals of the two streams with the field extending 15° to the west (Hermus) and 9° to the east (Hyllus). Both Hermus and Hyllus are detected at the $\approx 13\sigma$ level. The lateral FWHM of Hermus and

Figure 2. T-statistic (Grillmair 2009), showing the background-subtracted, median filtered signal over five segments, integrated over a width of 0.8° , as a function of lateral offset from Hermus (top) and Hyllus (bottom). The peak values are ≈ 13 times larger than the rms measured for the identically sampled regions to the west (Hermus) and east (Hyllus) of the streams, indicating a very low probability that the streams could be due to purely random fluctuations in the field.

Hyllus are $\approx 0.7^\circ$ and 1.2° , respectively. We note that the broad width of Hyllus appears to be influenced by two or more strong features along its length that may or may not be related to the stream. If we ignore these features and measure the width at less confused portions of the stream, we find a FWHM of $\approx 0.5^\circ$. At mean distances of 18 and 21 kpc (see below), these

Figure 3. $(g, g - i)$ Hess diagrams of the stars lying within 1° of the centerlines of Hermus (left) and Hyllus (right). The blue lines show the shifted main sequence and red giant branch locus of the globular cluster NGC 5053, while the red lines show similar isochrones for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.2$. Lighter areas indicate higher surface densities.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

correspond to spatial widths of ≈ 220 and 180 pc, respectively. These widths are considerably narrower than the > 1 kpc widths associated with presumed dwarf galaxy streams (Majewski et al. 2003; Grillmair 2006a, 2006b, 2009; Belokurov et al. 2006, 2007), and we conclude that the progenitors of Hermus and Hyllus were most likely globular clusters. The stream widths are broader than several known or presumed globular cluster streams (e.g., Pal 5, GD-1) but are similar to the ≈ 170 pc width of the eastern banded structure, which Grillmair (2011) suggested is a globular cluster stream which has been subject to significant heating over time (Carlberg 2009).

Hermus passes within about 1° of NGC 6229, a globular cluster visible in Figure 1 near the northern edge of the SDSS footprint. However, Hermus appears to continue past NGC 6229 with no evidence for the characteristic S-curve that would be expected to connect to both NGC 6229 and to a trailing stream on the opposite side of the cluster. Moreover, the published distance of NGC 6229 is 30 kpc (Harris 1996), well outside the error bound for our distance estimate for Hermus. NGC 6229 is most pronounced in our surface density maps when we use a filter shifted at least one magnitude fainter than a filter that optimizes the strength of Hermus itself. We conclude that Hermus and NGC 6229 are not physically associated.

Color-magnitude diagrams (CMDs) for Hermus and Hyllus are shown in Figure 3. These distributions were determined by using Equations (2) and (3) to select stars within 1° of the centerline of each stream. Similar regions, 4° wide on either side of the stream and laterally offset by 5° , were used to sample the field star population. Scaling the latter to the former by area, the CMDs in Figure 3 are a subtraction of the two. While not strong, main sequence loci are clearly visible. In both cases, most of the signal in Figure 1 relies on stars with $g > 21$. Experimentation shows that the stream signals are maximized when using a filter constructed from the CMD locus of NGC 5053, which has

$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -2.29$ (Harris 1996). However, examination of Figure 3 suggests that we cannot exclude metallicities as high as $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.2$. Spectroscopy will be required to better constrain the metallicities in these streams.

We estimate the distances to the streams by shifting our filter brightward and faintward. We use only the portion of the NGC 5053 locus fainter than one magnitude below the main sequence turn-off to avoid introducing uncertainties due to the unknown ages of the stars in these streams. We find the northern end of Hermus peaks at a magnitude offset relative to NGC 5053 of -0.2 ± 0.2 mag, the central portion ($\delta = 40^\circ$) at 0.4 ± 0.2 mag, while the southern end peaks at a relative offset of $+0.3 \pm 0.2$ mag. Adopting a distance to NGC 5053 of 16.9 kpc (Harris 1996), we find that the northern end of the stream is at a heliocentric distance of 15 ± 3 kpc, the central portion at 20 ± 3 kpc, and the southern end is at 19 ± 3 kpc.

For Hyllus, we find offsets of $+0.2 \pm 0.2$ and $+0.7 \pm 0.2$ mag at the northern and southern ends, respectively. This yields estimated distances of 18.5 ± 3 and 23 ± 3 kpc.

Integrating the background-subtracted, *unfiltered* star counts over a width of 1° and within 3σ of the shifted NGC 5053 CMD locus, we find the total number of stars with $g < 22.5$ in Hermus to be 320 ± 190 , while for Hyllus we find 200 ± 150 stars. The large uncertainties reflect primarily Poisson statistics due to the relatively large number of field stars at this Galactic latitude ($30 < b < 45$). To $g = 22.5$, the average surface density of the stream stars is therefore $\approx 9 \pm 5$ stars deg^{-2} for Hermus, and 16 ± 12 stars deg^{-2} for Hyllus. Within each stream are clumps with surface densities in excess of 40 stars deg^{-2} .

3.1. Constraints on Orbits

Given our distance uncertainties and the lack of velocity or proper motion information, we can place only limited constraints on the orbit. Moreover, Odenkirchen et al. (2009) and

Figure 4. Best-fit orbit projections for Hermus and Hyllus in X, Y, Z Galactic coordinates. The heavy lines show the portions of the orbits visible in Figure 1. The thin solid curves show the best-fit orbits integrated backward, while the dashed curves show the orbits integrated forward. Hermus is shown in blue, while Hyllus is shown in red. The Sun's location at $(X, Y, Z) = (8.5, 0, 0)$ kpc is indicated.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Eyre & Binney (2011) have demonstrated that tidal streams do not precisely trace the orbits of their progenitors. Nevertheless, we estimate the orbits of the stream stars to determine whether the streams might plausibly be related to one another. We do this using the Galactic model of Allen & Santillan (1991) to compute trial orbit integrations, and matching these orbit integrations with the measured positions and distances of the streams in a least-squares sense. We fit to 11 (Hyllus) and 12 (Hermus) α, δ normal points chosen to lie along the centerline of each stream, and distance estimates to the centers and each end of the streams. For Hermus we use normal points and distances for only the northern 30° . We adopt a solar Galactocentric distance of 8.5 kpc, and stream distances as given above. Though we examined the proper motions of stars along the streams (Munn et al. 2004, 2008), the relatively large uncertainties and severe contamination by field stars combined to prevent us from discerning any obvious stream signals. We have therefore left the proper motions as free parameters in the fit.

We compute orbits over a grid of radial velocity and μ_α, μ_δ proper motions to produce a χ^2 data cube. χ^2 is computed using the positional and distance offsets of each trial orbit from the normal points and distance estimates above. Uncertainties of 0.3 and 3 kpc are assigned to the positional normal points and distances, respectively. An initial grid is computed over the ranges $-300 < v_{\text{rad}} < +300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $-5'' < \mu_\alpha, \mu_\delta < +5'' \text{ yr}^{-1}$ to locate all reasonable minima. Once the deepest minimum is identified, a finer grid with 5 km s^{-1} and 0.02 yr^{-1} spacing is used to find the minimum χ^2 values for radial velocity and proper motion. Uncertainties are estimated using the marginal χ^2 distributions.

For Hermus, the best-fitting prograde orbit models predict a radial velocity of $-30 \pm 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and proper motions $\mu_\alpha = -2.52 \pm 0.03 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $\mu_\delta = -2.22 \pm 0.1 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ at a fiducial point with coordinates $[\alpha, \delta] = [247.1667, +44.7455]$. A retrograde orbit would have $v_r = -269 \pm 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $\mu_\alpha = -1.28 \pm 0.03 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, and $\mu_\delta = -0.05 \pm 0.1 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ at the same point. The uncertainties correspond to the 95% confidence interval. At the northernmost point of the stream ($\delta = 50^\circ$) the predicted distance and radial velocity are 13 kpc and -15 km s^{-1} , while at the southernmost point ($\delta = 5^\circ$) the corresponding values are 19 kpc and -92 km s^{-1} . Varying the radial velocities and proper motions over all combinations of their respective uncertainty ranges, we find an apogalactic radius of $R_a = 17.2 \pm 1.5 \text{ kpc}$, perigalactic radius $R_p = 7.1 \pm 1.0 \text{ kpc}$,

orbital eccentricity $e = 0.41 \pm 0.04$, and orbital inclination $i = 42.0 \pm 1.0^\circ$.

For Hyllus the best-fitting model predicts $v_r = -10 \pm 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $\mu_\alpha = -1.82 \pm 0.02 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $\mu_\delta = -1.92 \pm 0.08 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ at coordinates $[\alpha, \delta] = [246.9207, 34.1556]$ in the prograde case. A retrograde orbit would have $v_r = -253 \pm 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $\mu_\alpha = -1.43 \pm 0.02 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $\mu_\delta = -0.64 \pm 0.08 \text{ yr}^{-1}$. At the southern end of the stream the best-fit model predicts a distance and radial velocity of 21 kpc and -128 km s^{-1} . The corresponding orbital parameters have $R_a = 18.6 \pm 1 \text{ kpc}$, $R_p = 5.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ kpc}$, $e = 0.55 \pm 0.03$, and orbital inclination $i = 39.0 \pm 0.5^\circ$.

Comparing the orbital parameters of Hermus and Hyllus, we see that the apo- and periGalactic distances are within 2σ of one another. On the other hand, the well-measured orbital inclinations are separated by at least 3σ . Given our current lack of velocity information, it would be unwise to put much weight on these orbit fits or their differences. The fact that we see two distinct, well-separated streams indicates that Hermus and Hyllus originated in two different clusters. However, we cannot rule out that the parent clusters themselves were not part of a larger accretion event. This is made more evident in Figure 4, where we compare the best-fit orbit projections of the two streams. The orbital parameters for such a larger, accreted object would presumably lie somewhere near those of Hermus and Hyllus, though the initial orbit may have been considerably more energetic, only evolving toward the current configuration through dynamical friction. As dynamical friction is proportional to $1/v^2$ (where v is the velocity of the progenitor relative to the field stars in the Galaxy) this evolution would presumably have been considerably more rapid for a prograde orbit. Radial velocity measurements will be necessary before we can ascertain the direction of motion, refine the orbital parameters, and establish the degree to which the two streams might be related.

4. CONCLUSION

Hermus and Hyllus add to a growing list of debris streams that, with suitable follow-up, will ultimately enable detailed tomography of the Galactic halo. Refinement of the orbits of the streams will require radial velocity and proper motion measurements of carefully selected stars along the length of each stream. Given the relative proximity of the streams, and if a sufficient number of stars can be found with $V < 21$, then the *Gaia* survey may help to identify individual stars in

the streams (for follow-up spectroscopy), and constrain their proper motions. An initial survey reveals a significant number of RR Lyrae lying in projection along both Hermus and Hyllus. If some number of these RR Lyrae can be physically associated with the streams, then follow-up infrared observations with the *Spitzer Space Telescope* would allow us to determine much more accurate distances to various portions of the streams. These distance measurements will in turn reduce the uncertainties in the space motions of the streams determined by *Gaia*.

We are grateful to an anonymous referee for a careful reading and many useful comments that significantly improved the final manuscript. Funding for the creation and distribution of the SDSS Archive has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy, the Japanese Monbukagakusho, and the Max Planck Society.

Facility: Sloan

REFERENCES

- Ahn, C. P., Alexandroff, R., Allende Prieto, C., et al. 2014, *ApJS*, **211**, 17
- Allen, C., & Santillan, A. 1991, *RMxAA*, **22**, 255
- Belokurov, V., Evans, N. W., Irwin, M. J., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **658**, 337
- Belokurov, V., Zucker, D. B., Evans, N. W., et al. 2006, *ApJL*, **642**, L137
- Bonaca, A., Geha, M., & Kallivayalil, N. 2012, *ApJ*, **760**, 6
- Carlberg, R. G. 2009, *ApJL*, **705**, L223
- Carlberg, R. G., & Grillmair, C. J. 2013, *ApJ*, **768**, 171
- Deg, N., & Widrow, L. 2014, *MNRAS*, **439**, 2678
- Eyre, A., & Binney, J. 2011, *MNRAS*, **413**, 1852
- Grillmair, C. J. 2006a, *ApJL*, **645**, L37
- Grillmair, C. J. 2006b, *ApJL*, **651**, L29
- Grillmair, C. J. 2009, *ApJ*, **693**, 1118
- Grillmair, C. J. 2010, in *Galaxies and Their Masks*, ed. D. Block, K. C. Freeman, & I. Puerari (New York: Springer), 247
- Grillmair, C. J. 2011, *ApJ*, **738**, 98
- Grillmair, C. J., Cutri, R., Masci, F. J., et al. 2013, *ApJL*, **769**, L23
- Harris, W. E. 1996, *AJ*, **112**, 1487
- Koposov, S. E., Irwin, M., Belokurov, V., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, **442**, 85
- Koposov, S. E., Rix, H.-W., & Hogg, D. W. 2010, *ApJ*, **712**, 260
- Küpper, A. H. W., Lane, R. R., & Hogg, D. C. 2012, *MNRAS*, **420**, 2700
- Law, D. R., Majewski, S. R., & Johnston, K. V. 2009, *ApJ*, **703**, 67
- Majewski, S. R., Skrutskie, M. F., Weinberg, M. D., & Ostheimer, J. C. 2003, *ApJ*, **599**, 1082
- Martin, N. F., Ibata, R. A., Rich, R. M., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **787**, 19
- Munn, J. A., Monet, D. G., Levine, S. E., et al. 2004, *AJ*, **127**, 3034
- Munn, J. A., Monet, D. G., Levine, S. E., et al. 2008, *AJ*, **136**, 895
- Newberg, H. J., Willett, B. A., Yanny, B., & Xu, Y. 2010, *ApJ*, **711**, 32
- Odenkirchen, M., Grebel, E. K., Kayser, A., Rix, H.-W., & Dehnen, W. 2009, *AJ*, **137**, 3378
- Odenkirchen, M., Grebel, E. K., Rockosi, C. M., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, **548**, 165
- Rockosi, C. M., Odenkirchen, M., Grebel, E. K., et al. 2002, *AJ*, **124**, 349
- Schlafly, E. F., & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2011, *ApJ*, **737**, 103
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, *ApJ*, **500**, 525
- Yoon, J. H., Johnston, K. V., & Hogg, D. W. 2011, *ApJ*, **731**, 58