Synthetic logic circuits using RNA aptamer against T7 RNA polymerase

Jongmin Kim, Juan F Quijano, Enoch Yeung, et al.

bioRxiv first posted online September 4, 2014

Access the most recent version at doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/008771>

**Creative
Commons
License**

The copyright holder for this preprint is the author/funder. It is made available under a [CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license](#).

Synthetic logic circuits using RNA aptamer against T7 RNA polymerase

Jongmin Kim^{1,‡,§,*}, Juan F. Quijano^{2,‡}, Enoch Yeung³, Richard M. Murray^{1,3}

1 Department of Biology and Biological Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA, **2** Licenciado en Biotecnología Genómica, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Nuevo León, Mexico, **3** Department of Control and Dynamical Systems, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

‡ Equal contributions, § Present address: Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, Boston, MA 02115, USA

* Correspondence: jongmin@dna.caltech.edu

Abstract Recent advances in nucleic acids engineering introduced several RNA-based regulatory components for synthetic gene circuits, expanding the toolsets to engineer organisms. In this work, we designed genetic circuits implementing an RNA aptamer previously described to have the capability of binding to the T7 RNA polymerase and inhibiting its activity *in vitro*. Using *in vitro* transcription assays, we first demonstrated the utility of the RNA aptamer in combination with programmable synthetic transcription networks. As a step to quickly assess the feasibility of aptamer functions *in vivo*, a cell-free expression system was used as a breadboard to emulate the *in vivo* conditions of *E. coli*. We tested the aptamer and its three sequence variants in the cell-free expression system, verifying the aptamer functionality in the cell-free testbed. *In vivo* expression of aptamer and its variants demonstrated control over GFP expression driven by T7 RNA polymerase with different response curves, indicating its ability to serve as building blocks for both logic circuits and transcriptional cascades. This work elucidates the potential of RNA-based regulators for cell programming with improved controllability leveraging the fast production and degradation time scales of RNA molecules.

Keywords: synthetic biology, logic circuits, cell-free breadboard, genelet, molecular programming, aptamer

Introduction

Synthetic biology provides an engineering approach to the field of biology to (re)design tools and biological systems that can open up new possibilities in biotechnology and medicine [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. To achieve this goal, such *de novo* biological systems need to be easily engineerable, ideally through utilizing molecular mechanisms that work much as the programming codes. The first synthetic gene networks, the toggle switch [6] and the repressor [7], demonstrated the feasibility of programming computational tasks in living cells. Recent advances in synthetic biology further expanded the set of programs in biological organisms with novel functions including logic gates [8, 9, 10, 11, 12], cell classifiers [13], and analog signal processors [14]. Most synthetic gene circuits constructed to date relied on well-characterized protein components, which results in a challenge for scaling up synthetic circuitry with predictable dynamical behaviors due to the idiosyncratic nature of each protein component involved. Recent advances aim to address such limitations through increasing the number of orthogonal protein regulators [15] as well as protein regulators that rely on nucleic acids as guiding molecules [16, 17].

To address the component bottleneck in synthetic biology, RNA structures have also been utilized as important tools for programming gene expression inspired by the naturally occurring nucleic-acid-based regulatory motifs [18, 19, 20]. By virtue of their predictive interactions with other nucleic acids, proteins, and small molecules, many RNA-based regulatory circuits have been investigated and synthesized [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32]. Importantly, RNA aptamers possess the molecular recognition properties with specificity and affinity rivaling protein antibodies for exclusive ligands, allowing these molecules to form a useful set of components for synthetic circuitry in living cells. RNA aptamers are typically generated and selected *in vitro* starting from large random sequence libraries, and are subsequently optimized for high affinity binding to given ligands by a process known as SELEX (Systematic Evolution of Ligands by EXponential enrichment) [33, 34, 35, 36].

In a recent work, Ohuchi and co-workers [37] reported the *in vitro* evolution of RNA aptamers against T7 RNA polymerase (T7 RNAP) through SELEX. Although the detailed mechanism is not yet fully understood, it was shown that the RNA aptamers inhibited the association of T7 RNAP with T7 promoter DNA, thereby inhibiting the activity of RNAP in *in vitro* transcription assays. Utilizing the property of this aptamer, we implemented synthetic logic circuits in an *in vitro* transcription setting. As a step to quickly assess the aptamer functionality *in vivo*, a cell-free transcription-translation (TX-TL) system was employed as a ‘breadboard’ to emulate the

in vivo conditions of *E. coli* for circuitry testing [38, 39]. Experimental results demonstrated that the T7 RNAP aptamer showed up to 8- and 5-fold inhibition in TX-TL system and in *E. coli* MGZ1 cells, respectively. Due to the wide-spread usage of T7 RNAP for *in vitro* and *in vivo* applications [40, 41, 42, 43], the T7 RNAP aptamer can be a useful addition to the regulatory toolkit for *in vitro* and *in vivo* synthetic biology.

Materials and Methods

Circuit construction and use of strains. Plasmid pAR1219 was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Plasmid pTara was provided by Matthew Bennett’s group at Rice University. The rest of the circuits were constructed using Gibson assembly [44]. Schematics for plasmids are shown in the main text and the full sequences and annotations are described in Supplementary Figure S1. Plasmids were transformed into strains via chemical transformation. *E. coli* MGZ1 strain (MG1655 containing the LacI- and TetR-overexpressing Z1 cassette) was used for inducible expression from the TetR-regulated promoter. Plasmids pdeGFP-T7apt-4A, pdeGFP-T7apt-4G, and pdeGFP-T7apt-4 Δ were derived from the plasmid pdeGFP-T7apt via round-the-horn site-directed mutagenesis using 5'-phosphorylated primers for subsequent ligation. Plasmids containing the T7 RNAP aptamer and variants have an additional XhoI site between the aptamer and the terminator sequences (the functionality of the T7 RNAP aptamer was not affected by this feature). All of the plasmid sequences were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

***In vitro* transcription reaction.** The sequence of all DNA molecules and expected RNA transcript sequences were chosen to minimize the occurrence of alternative secondary structures using the DNA and RNA folding program NUPACK [45]. The DNA and RNA sequences used in this study are listed in Supplementary material. All DNA oligonucleotides were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (USA). The T7 RNA polymerase (Cellscript, Madison, WI, USA; #C-AS2607), T3 RNA polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA; #P4024), 10 $\times$ transcription buffer and thermostable inorganic pyrophosphatase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA; #B9012S, #M0296S), NTP (Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA; #RN02825) were purchased. Malachite green dye was purchased from Sigma (#M9015). Since pyrophosphatase is involved in regulating the byproduct inorganic pyrophosphate for our transcriptional circuits and is not directly involved in the dynamics, we do not call it an “essential enzyme” for the circuit dynamics.

The fluorescence was recorded every minute using a Fluorolog-3 spectrofluorometer (Jobin Yvon, Edison, NJ, USA). The excitation and emission for malachite green fluorescence were at 630 nm and 655 nm. DNA templates were annealed with 10% (v/v) 10 $\times$ transcription buffer

from 90°C to 20°C over 1 hour at 5 μ M concentrations. Transcription reactions were prepared by combining the annealed templates, 7.5 mM of each NTP, 24 mM MgCl_2 (to balance salt concentrations due to increased NTP concentrations), 10% (v/v) 10 $\times$ transcription buffer, and 25 μ M malachite green dye in a test tube. Transcription reactions for spectrofluorometer experiments were prepared as a total volume of 60 μ L in quartz cuvettes and enzymes (RNAP and PPase) were added and mixed after the baseline fluorescence was recorded for 10 min. Sample temperature was maintained at 29°C using a 4-sample changer with a temperature-controlled water bath.

Media, chemicals and other reagents. Strains were grown in LB liquid medium or on agar plates (1.5% agar), supplemented with carbenicillin (100 μ g/ml), and chloramphenicol (34 μ g/ml) as needed. IPTG induction was at 10 μ M unless otherwise noted. Anhydrotetracycline (aTc) induction experiments used different concentrations of aTc to achieve different levels of RNA aptamer expression as described in the figure captions.

Plate reader analysis. For cell-free TX-TL experiments, GFP fluorescence was measured using a filter set with excitation wavelength at 488 nm and emission wavelength at 507 nm. All data were obtained using Perkin Elmer Victor X3 plate reader. Preparation of the cell-free TX-TL expression system was done according to previously described protocols [38, 39]. A single batch of *E. coli* extract was used consistently throughout the experiments to prevent variation from batch to batch. Samples were prepared by combining extract and buffer tubes freshly thawed from -80°C, and purified plasmid DNA. Concentrations of plasmids pAR1219 and pdeGFP-T7apt and its variants were 0.8 nM for Figure 2. The concentrations of plasmids pAR1219, pT7-deGFP, and pTet-T7apt were 0.6 nM for Supplementary Figure S3. The reaction volume was 10 μ L in a 384-well plate (Nunc), and the number of replicates was two for each reaction. Sample temperature was maintained at 29°C.

For *in vivo* experiments, cells were grown in shaking liquid culture (LB media with carbenicillin and chloramphenicol) at 37°C overnight. Following this, the culture was diluted 1/200 fold for plate reader measurements. GFP fluorescence was measured using a monochromator with excitation wavelength at 485 nm and emission wavelength at 525 nm in Biotek Synergy H1 plate reader. Fluorescence data were normalized by OD600 values. The culture volume was 200 μ L in a 96-well plate (Nunc), and the number of replicates was three for each condition. Sample temperature was maintained at 37°C.

Flow cytometry analysis. Cells were grown in shaking liquid culture (LB media with carbenicillin and chloramphenicol) at 37°C overnight. Following this, the culture was diluted 1/100 fold and grown at 37°C (LB media with antibiotics and 1% glucose and aTc) for two

hours. Flow cytometry analysis used a Cell Lab Quanta SC MPL flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter). GFP was excited from a 488 nm laser and emission was measured from 517 nm longpass filter with a PMT setting of 5.0. For each sample, the GFP values of 50,000 cells were measured. Cells were then gated for GFP levels above background (2 a.u.). Data were analyzed using MATLAB and modal values were determined. The number of replicates was three for T7apt and the number of replicates were two for its variants T7apt-4A, T7apt-4G, and T7apt-4 Δ .

Results

T7 RNAP aptamer for *in vitro* transcriptional circuits. First, we aim to demonstrate the utility of T7 RNAP aptamer for *in vitro* transcriptional circuits. Using the synthetic transcriptional switch as the regulatory motif and the aptamer for the chromophore malachite green (MG) as the output signal, we construct a logic circuit utilizing the property of T7 RNAP aptamer (Figure 1A). Among the several variants of T7 RNAP aptamers reported in Ohuchi et al. [37], our first *in vitro* assays utilized the aptamer T230-38. In this circuit, the two RNA polymerases, T3 RNAP and T7 RNAP, together with DNA activators serve as inputs and the fluorescence of MG aptamer serves as an output. Figure 1B illustrates the molecular reactions for this synthetic transcriptional circuit. An MG aptamer, MGapt, consists of a short RNA sequence whose central loop region serves as the binding pocket for MG. When MG is bound to the aptamer, it becomes highly fluorescent [46], thereby providing a convenient, real-time read-out for RNA expression. Previous *in vitro* synthetic transcriptional circuits utilized T7 RNAP [47, 48, 49, 50, 51]. However, since we aim to characterize an aptamer against T7 RNAP, a separate enzyme was required to drive the production of aptamer in order to avoid self-repression. Thus, we first verified the design principle for synthetic switches utilizing T3 RNAP using a template encoding MG aptamer with an incomplete promoter for T3 RNAP, pT3-MGapt; the production of MGapt was observed upon the addition of its cognate activator, input A2 (Supplementary Figure S2A). Utilizing the modular switch architecture where the output domain is physically separated from the input and promoter domains, the two templates were designed to transcribe different RNA outputs and to be recognized by two different RNA polymerases. (See Supplementary Method for sequences.) The two templates encoding T7apt and MGapt are hereby denoted as pT3-T7apt and pT7-MGapt. In this circuit, T3 RNAP recognizes pT3 promoter upon addition of the DNA activator A2, and induces transcription of T7apt; T7 RNAP recognizes pT7 promoter upon addition of the DNA activator A1, and induces transcription of MGapt.

Experimentally, we initially included both templates

Figure 1: Characterization of T7 RNAP aptamer in *in vitro* transcription circuits. **(A)** Schematic representation of logic circuit. The two RNA polymerases and DNA activators serve as inputs and the fluorescence of malachite green aptamer serves as an output. **(B)** Detailed diagram of synthetic transcription circuit. Promoters pT3 and pT7 are to be recognized by T3 RNAP and T7 RNAP, respectively, upon addition of the corresponding DNA activator A2 and A1. The switch templates contain a promoter for RNA polymerase that lacks part of the double-stranded sequence; after addition of a single stranded DNA activator, the promoter is complete except for a nick, and thus, the transcription efficiency is high. When the MGapt is expressed, it can bind to the chemical compound malachite green, greatly increasing the fluorescence signal output. **(C)** Transcriptional assay of the circuit shown in (B). The two RNA polymerases, T3 RNAP and T7 RNAP, were added at 10 min, the input DNA activator A1 was introduced at 25 min and the input DNA activator A2 was added at 45 min as marked by black arrows. The T7apt was efficient in repressing the T7 RNAP activity; about 3-fold decrease in MGapt signal was observed over 3 hours in the presence of T7apt. 'Low RNAP' and 'High RNAP' refer to T7 RNAP concentrations of 20 and 40 nM, respectively.

pT3-T7apt and pT7-MGapt, both T3 and T7 RNAP along with NTP fuel in the reaction mixture (Figure 1C). No MGapt expression was observed until the addition of DNA activator A1; upon the addition of input A1, the transcription of MGapt was immediately observed. Then, the DNA activator A2 was added for half of the samples, enabling transcription of T7apt in order to inhibit T7 RNAP-mediated MGapt expression (Figure 1C). The

'input A2' trajectories began to diverge from 'no input A2' trajectories about 10 min after the introduction of input A2 (Supplementary Figure S2B). The T7apt was efficient in repressing the T7 RNAP activity; about 3-fold decrease in MGapt signal was observed in the presence of T7apt. Further, we tested a modified T7apt termed T7apt-v2 where two bases comprising stem were switched and six additional nucleotides were attached at the 3' end;

T7apt-v2 was equally efficient in repressing the activity of T7 RNAP (Supplementary Figure S2C), indicating that the core structural motif of T7apt is sufficient for its activity.

T7 RNAP aptamer functionality in cell-free TX-TL system. After observing the T7 RNAP aptamer functionality as a circuit element in the synthetic transcription system, we designed a new circuit for *in vivo* application (Figure 2A and Supplementary Figure S3A). Creating a functional *in vivo* biocircuit can be a lengthy process, involving multiple iterations of design, assembly, and test cycles. Similar challenges may arise for testing novel regulatory mechanisms such as the inhibition of T7 RNAP through aptamers. To streamline and expedite the design-build-test cycles, we chose to employ cell-free TX-TL system; TX-TL system is gaining interest as biomolecular breadboards that take advantage of shorter assembly and troubleshooting times by conducting reactions *in vitro* emulating *in vivo* conditions [38, 39]. Because requirements for propagating plasmids *in vivo* have been removed, fast iterations of the design-assembly-test cycle of a given circuit is possible using linear DNA constructs [52]. Further, RNA-based regulatory elements were recently shown to behave analogously in TX-TL system when compared to *in vivo*, increasing the utility of this platform [53].

In order to emulate the T7 RNAP aptamer functionality *in vivo*, we assayed the aptamer in the TX-TL system. Initially, a three plasmid system was tested (Supplementary Figure S3B): plasmid pAR1219 encoding T7 RNAP under pLac promoter along with LacI constitutively expressed, plasmid pT7-deGFP encoding deGFP (truncated eGFP to maximize fluorescence output [38]) under pT7 promoter, and plasmid pTet-T7apt encoding T7 RNAP aptamer under pTet promoter. For a negative control, two plasmids pAR1219 and pT7-deGFP were introduced in TX-TL system in the absence of IPTG; for a positive control, the same plasmids were used in the presence of IPTG; the aptamer functionality was tested by including all three plasmids at the same concentrations with IPTG induction. The T7 RNAP aptamer was being expressed constitutively since TetR repressor was not present in TX-TL cell extract nor was it encoded on the plasmids used. Eight-fold reduction of deGFP output was obtained in the presence of the plasmid expressing the T7 RNAP aptamer (Supplementary Figure S3C).

After the initial verification of T7 RNAP aptamer activity in TX-TL, the expression cassettes contained in the plasmids pT7-deGFP and pTet-T7apt were joined to create the plasmid pdeGFP-T7apt (Figure 2A). The replication origin and antibiotic resistance was also switched for pdeGFP-T7apt since we aim to investigate its *in vivo* activity. Also, mutant variants of the T7 RNAP aptamer were constructed through site-directed mutagenesis in or-

der to disrupt the conserved sequence domain presumably responsible for T7 RNAP inhibition [37]. The purpose of mutant constructs was two-fold: first, to demonstrate that T7 RNAP inhibition was not mediated by the resource exhaustion caused by T7 RNAP aptamer overproduction [54] and second, to verify the functional importance of conserved sequence domain. The nucleotides 28–31 of the T7apt sequence was targeted and replaced by AAAA, GGGG, or deleted, resulting in three aptamer variants: pdeGFP-T7apt-4A, pdeGFP-T7apt-4G, and pdeGFP-T7apt-4 Δ (Figure 2C).

These new set of plasmids were tested together with pAR1219 in the TX-TL expression system (Figure 2B). The results in the TX-TL system were as expected: the correct T7 RNAP aptamer (T7apt) showed 8-fold inhibition of the GFP output as in the previous experiment, whereas the mutant aptamers showed at most 2-fold inhibition, indicating that the core structure of the aptamer was presumably disrupted as a result of mutation. The GFP expression was observed after about 1 hr of TX-TL reaction possibly due to slow production and folding of T7 RNAP, and soon afterwards the slope of GFP production showed clear distinction depending on the identity of input aptamer used. Notably, the GFP output reduction was less than 1.5 fold for T7apt-4 Δ ; it is plausible that overexpression of non-specific RNA species could cause a small reduction in GFP expression due to resource usage [54].

Characterization of T7 RNAP aptamer circuit *in vivo*. Encouraged by the activity of T7 RNAP aptamer in the cell-free TX-TL system, we carried out the characterization of circuit *in vivo*, utilizing *E. coli* MGZ1 strain containing constitutively expressed LacI and TetR to complete the inducible circuit. In this circuit, IPTG will induce the production of T7 RNAP, hence driving the expression of deGFP, while aTc will induce the production of T7 RNAP aptamer, repressing the expression of deGFP (Figure 3A). The fluorescence measurement in plate reader clearly showed that aTc-induced T7apt expression inhibited the expression of deGFP (Figure 3B). When T7apt expression was induced with high concentration of aTc (0.6 μ g/mL), 5-fold reduction in the deGFP output was obtained compared to no aTc. In contrast, all the mutant variants when induced by the same concentration of aTc showed no effect on the deGFP output (Figure 3B), indicating that the inhibition of T7 RNAP activity is mediated by the correct aptamer rather than by cellular stress due to aTc or overexpression of non-specific RNA species. The fluorescence output decreased once the cells reach stationary phase, however, the fold-change was reasonably consistent over time (Supplementary Figure S4A). Similar results were obtained when *E. coli* were grown in LB or LB with 10 μ M IPTG although the fold-change was reduced to 2.5 fold and 2 fold, respectively

Figure 2: Characterization of T7 RNAP aptamer and its variants in the cell-free TX-TL system. **(A)** Two-plasmid system used for aptamer-mediated T7 RNAP inhibition test in the TX-TL cell-free extract. **(B)** The expression of T7 RNAP was induced by IPTG and the effect of expression of T7 RNAP aptamer and its variants was tested in TX-TL system. TX-TL results showed that deGFP expression was approximately 8-fold decreased in the presence of the correct aptamer; the fluorescence signals were much higher in the presence of modified aptamers indicating disrupted functionality. Negative control contained only pAR1219 plasmid and positive control contained pAR1219 and pT7-deGFP plasmids. The T7 RNAP aptamer production was not inhibited by TetR since the cell extract does not contain TetR protein. The fluorescence signal from deGFP was measured at 5 hrs for the bar plot. **(C)** The sequence of T7 RNAP aptamer and its variants. Red color highlights the modified consensus sequence domain.

(Supplementary Figure S4BC).

A more detailed characterization of the circuit was carried out by testing the response of the circuit to five different IPTG concentrations ranging from 0 to a 30 μ M and six different aTc concentrations ranging from 0 to 0.6 μ g/mL. As shown in Figure 3B, the response is characterized by high GFP expression for high IPTG concentra-

tions and low aTc concentrations. (The response curves are shown in Supplementary Figure 4D.) At high concentration of IPTG (30 μ M), the response was marked by a constant high GFP expression, possibly due to over-expression of T7 RNAP beyond that of T7apt. Even higher concentration of IPTG (100 μ M) or aTc (1 μ g/mL) severely impaired the cell growth, and therefore, those

Figure 3: Characterization of T7 RNAP aptamer and its variants *in vivo*. *E. coli* MGZ1 containing constitutively expressed LacI and TetR was used with two plasmids pAR1219 and pdeGFP-T7apt and its variants as shown in Figure 2A to complete the inducible circuit. **(A)** Circuit diagram of T7 RNAP aptamer *in vivo*. Inputs are small molecules IPTG and aTc and the output is GFP fluorescence. **(B)** Plate reader analysis for the response curve of T7 RNAP aptamer and its variants upon aTc induction. GFP/OD values in LB/1% glucose media were measured at 8 hrs for the plot. Time courses are shown in Supplementary Figure S4A. The GFP/OD value heat map for different levels of aTc and IPTG inputs is shown on the right. **(C)** Flow cytometry analysis for the response curve of T7 RNAP aptamer and its variants upon aTc induction. The modal values are plotted with the standard deviation of replicate measurements as error bars. One of the replicate GFP histogram (50,000 events) for T7apt is shown on the right.

regions were not further explored. Overall, the circuit exhibited a logic gate behavior as shown in Figure 3A.

To explore the circuit response at the individual cell levels, flow cytometry analysis was performed. Repre-

sentative flow cytometry histograms of GFP output for different aTc inducer levels clearly showed that GFP output is decreased upon induction of T7apt expression (Figure 3C). The modal fluorescence values from these his-

tograms were used to plot the response curves for T7apt and its variants. The GFP expression showed no dependence on aTc induction levels for mutant T7apt (Figure 3C and Supplementary Figure S5), confirming the findings of plate reader analysis.

Discussion

Synthetic gene circuitry and cellular programming open up new opportunities for biotechnological and medical applications. With the growing appreciation for RNA molecules as the key component of genetic regulatory networks, efforts to utilize the programmable structure and function of RNA molecules for synthetic biology have accelerated in the recent past. The demonstration of functional RNA aptamer in living cells provides an alternative to simple transcription regulatory circuits with transcription factors, expanding the toolkit to program cells in synthetic biology.

In this work, we show that the T7 RNAP aptamer has the capability of inhibiting the T7 RNAP activity *in vitro* and *in vivo* with a predictable functional behavior as a modular component within synthetic network. We first demonstrated the activity of T7 RNAP aptamer in *in vitro* transcription circuits. It is not surprising that the aptamer would be functional since the assay environment is similar to the previous works [37]; however, we provide a framework for systematic circuit construction utilizing other RNAP and aptamer components—T3 RNAP and MG aptamer. It remained a question whether T7 RNAP aptamer can show functionality *in vivo* given the complexity of *in vivo* environment with potentially strong crosstalk and degradation for aptamer. We utilized a cell-free TX-TL system as a testbed to quickly assess the feasibility of our approach *in vivo*. Despite certain limitations [54], TX-TL system provides a solid expression platform to connect *in vitro* activity to *in vivo* functionality [38, 52], as recently demonstrated for synthetic RNA circuitry [53]. We used equal concentrations of plasmids for TX-TL experiment to correlate with the plasmids that have pMB1 and p15A origins with similar medium copy numbers—this may partly explain the similar results observed in the aptamer-mediated T7 RNAP repression *in vitro* and *in vivo* (≈ 8 -fold vs. ≈ 5 -fold repression). Together with growing appreciation of the need for testbed in synthetic biology [55, 56, 57], the detailed characterization of cell-free expression platform may provide an easy-to-use breadboard to expedite implementation of synthetic biology circuits *in vivo*. For *in vivo* characterization, *E. coli* MGZ1 cells displayed reasonably high deGFP output even without IPTG induction—this could be due to a weak repression of T7 RNAP expression or a leakage from the medium-copy plasmid, considering that

even a small amount of T7 RNAP is sufficient to direct high-level transcription from a T7 promoter. Nevertheless, when cells were induced with IPTG, higher deGFP output was obtained, and repression was observed as expected when induced with aTc (except when IPTG induction was very strong, possibly exceeding the expression level of T7 RNAP aptamer). Utilizing a stronger inducible promoter and improving stability of RNA aptamer using tRNA scaffold [58] or hairpins [59] may increase the dynamic range provided by T7 RNAP aptamer.

A number of straightforward extensions could be implemented for a broad application of the T7 RNAP aptamer. Synthetic circuitry with another aptamer against RNAP, e.g., an aptamer against SP6 RNAP [60], could be utilized to design a synthetic bistable system or oscillators [61, 62]. It is also possible to utilize an antisense signal for T7 RNAP aptamer to produce adaptation behavior [51, 63]. Although widely adapted for gene expression *in vivo*, T7 RNAP due to its very strong transcriptional activity can cause growth arrest and toxicity when strongly induced. Analogous to recent studies utilizing RNA aptamers for quantitation of mRNA [54, 64], concatenation of T7 RNAP aptamer at the 3' end of an mRNA driven by T7 promoter can provide a necessary negative feedback to regulate the expression levels of target genes, thereby reducing toxicity upon T7 RNAP induction *in vivo*. An intriguing possibility that remains to be tested is whether the T7 RNAP aptamer can provide differential regulation of recently reported synthetic T7 RNAP variants with different promoter specificity [42, 43].

Supplementary data

Supplementary Data are available.

Funding

This work was supported by National Science Foundation award no. 0832824 (The Molecular Programming Project) and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA/MTO) Living Foundries program, contract number HR0011-12-C-0065 (DARPA/CMO). The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing officially policies, either expressly or implied, of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency or the U.S. Government.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank David Shis and Matthew Bennett for providing the pTara plasmid.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

References

- [1] Sprinzak, D. and Elowitz, M. B. (2005) Reconstruction of genetic circuits. *Nature*, **438**, 443–448.
- [2] Purnick, P. E. M. and Weiss, R. (2009) The second wave of synthetic biology: from modules to systems. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, **10**, 410–422.
- [3] Khalil, A. S. and Collins, J. J. (2010) Synthetic biology: applications come of age. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, **11**, 367–379.
- [4] Weber, W. and Fussenegger, M. (2009) The impact of synthetic biology on drug discovery. *Drug Discov Today*, **14**, 956–963.
- [5] Gibson, D. G., et al. (2010) Creation of a bacterial cell controlled by a chemically synthesized genome. *Science*, **329**, 52–56.
- [6] Gardner, T. S., Cantor, C. R., and Collins, J. J. (2000) Construction of a genetic toggle switch in *Escherichia coli*. *Nature*, **403**, 339–342.
- [7] Elowitz, M. B. and Leibler, S. (2000) A synthetic oscillatory network of transcriptional regulators. *Nature*, **403**, 335–338.
- [8] Moon, T. S., Lou, C., Tamsir, A., Stanton, B. C., and Voigt, C. A. (2012) Genetic programs constructed from layered logic gates in single cells. *Nature*, **491**, 249–253.
- [9] Siuti, P., Yazbek, J., and Lu, T. K. (2013) Synthetic circuits integrating logic and memory in living cells. *Nat Biotechnol*, **31**, 448–452.
- [10] Win, M. N. and Smolke, C. D. (2008) Higher-order cellular information processing with synthetic RNA devices. *Science*, **322**, 456–460.
- [11] Ausländer, S., Ausländer, D., Müller, M., Wieland, M., and Fussenegger, M. (2012) Programmable single-cell mammalian biocomputers. *Nature*, **487**, 123–127.
- [12] Bonnet, J., Yin, P., Ortiz, M. E., Subsoontorn, P., and Endy, D. (2013) Amplifying genetic logic gates. *Science*, **340**, 599–603.
- [13] Xie, Z., Wroblewska, L., Prochazka, L., Weiss, R., and Benenson, Y. (2011) Multi-input RNAi-based logic circuit for identification of specific cancer cells. *Science*, **333**, 1307–1311.
- [14] Daniel, R., Rubens, J. R., Sarpeshkar, R., and Lu, T. K. (2013) Synthetic analog computation in living cells. *Nature*, **497**, 619–623.
- [15] Stanton, B. C., Nielsen, A. A. K., Tamsir, A., Clancy, K., Peterson, T., and Voigt, C. A. (2014) Genomic mining of prokaryotic repressors for orthogonal logic gates. *Nat Chem Biol*, **10**, 99–105.
- [16] Qi, L. S., Larson, M. H., Gilbert, L. A., Doudna, J. A., Weissman, J. S., Arkin, A. P., and Lim, W. A. (2013) Repurposing CRISPR as an RNA-guided platform for sequence-specific control of gene expression. *Cell*, **152**, 1173–1183.
- [17] Bikard, D., Jiang, W., Samai, P., Hochschild, A., Zhang, F., and Marraffini, L. A. (2013) Programmable repression and activation of bacterial gene expression using an engineered CRISPR-Cas system. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **41**, 7429–7437.
- [18] Brantl, S. and Wagner, E. G. H. (2000) Antisense RNA-mediated transcriptional attenuation: an in vitro study of plasmid pT181. *Mol Microbiol*, **35**, 1469–1482.
- [19] Gulyaev, A. P., Franch, T., and Gerdes, K. (1997) Programmed cell death by *hok/sok* of plasmid R1: Coupled nucleotide covariations reveal a phylogenetically conserved folding pathway in the *hok* family of mRNAs. *J Mol Biol*, **273**, 26–37.
- [20] Winkler, W., Nahvi, A., and Breaker, R. R. (2002) Thiamine derivatives bind messenger RNAs directly to regulate bacterial gene expression. *Nature*, **419**, 952–956.
- [21] Bayer, T. S. and Smolke, C. D. (2005) Programmable ligand-controlled riboregulators of eukaryotic gene expression. *Nature Biotech.*, **23**, 337–343.
- [22] Buskirk, A. R., Kehayova, P. D., Landrigan, A., and Liu, D. R. (2003) *In vivo* evolution of an RNA-based transcriptional activator. *Chem Biol*, **10**, 533–540.
- [23] Desai, S. K. and Gallivan, J. P. (2004) Genetic screens and selections for small molecules based on a synthetic riboswitch that activates protein translation. *J Am Chem Soc*, **126**, 13247–13254.
- [24] Grate, D. and Wilson, C. (2001) Inducible regulation of the *S. cerevisiae* cell cycle mediated by an RNA aptamer-ligand complex. *Bioorg Med Chem*, **9**, 2565–2570.
- [25] Isaacs, F. J., Dwyer, D. J., Ding, C., Pervouchine, D. D., Cantor, C. R., and Collins, J. J. (2004) Engineered riboregulators enable post-transcriptional control of gene expression. *Nature Biotech.*, **22**, 841–847.
- [26] Saha, S., Ansari, A. Z., Jarrell, K. A., and Ptashne, M. (2003) RNA sequences that work as transcriptional activating regions. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **31**, 1565–1570.
- [27] Suess, B., Fink, B., Berens, C., Stentz, R., and Hillen, W. (2004) A theophylline responsive riboswitch based on helix slipping controls gene expression *in vivo*. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **32**, 1610–1614.
- [28] Werstuck, G. and Green, M. R. (1998) Controlling gene expression in living cells through small molecule-RNA interactions. *Science*, **282**, 296–298.
- [29] Yen, L., Svendsen, J., Lee, J.-S., Gray, J. T., Magnier, M., Baba, T., D’Amato, R. J., and Mulligan, R. C. (2004) Exogenous control of mammalian gene expression through modulation of RNA self-cleavage. *Nature*, **431**, 471–476.
- [30] Win, M. N. and Smolke, C. D. (2007) A modular and extensible RNA-based gene-regulatory platform for engineering cellular function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **104**, 14283–14288.
- [31] Callura, J. M., Cantor, C. R., and Collins, J. J. (2012) Genetic switchboard for synthetic biology applications. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, **109**, 5850–5855.
- [32] Mutalik, V. K., Qi, L., Guimaraes, J. C., Lucks, J. B., and Arkin, A. P. (2012) Rationally designed families of orthogonal RNA regulators of translation. *Nat Chem Biol*, **8**, 447–454.
- [33] Ellington, A. D. and Szostak, J. W. (1990) *In vitro* selection of RNA molecules that bind specific ligands. *Nature*, **346**, 818–822.
- [34] Stoltenburg, R., Reinemann, C., and Strehlitz, B. (2007) SELEX—a (r)evolutionary method to generate high-affinity nucleic acid ligands. *Biomol Eng*, **24**, 381–403.
- [35] Tuerk, C. and Gold, L. (1990) Systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment: RNA ligands to bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase. *Science*, **249**, 505–510.
- [36] Vinkenborg, J. L., Karnowski, N., and Famulok, M. (2011) Aptamers for allosteric regulation. *Nat Chem Biol*, **7**, 519–527.
- [37] Ohuchi, S., Mori, Y., and Nakamura, Y. (2012) Evolution of an inhibitory RNA aptamer against T7 RNA polymerase. *FEBS Open Bio*, **2**, 203–207.
- [38] Shin, J. and Noireaux, V. (2012) An *E. coli* cell-free expression toolbox: Application to synthetic gene circuits and artificial cells. *ACS Synth. Biol.*, **1**, 29–41.

- [39] Sun, Z. Z., Hayes, C. A., Shin, J., Caschera, F., Murray, R. M., and Noireaux, V. (2013) Protocols for implementing an *Escherichia coli* based TX-TL cell-free expression system for synthetic biology. *J Vis Exp*, p. doi: 10.3791/50762.
- [40] Milligan, J. F. and Uhlenbeck, O. C. (1989) Synthesis of small RNAs using T7 RNA polymerase. *Methods Enzymol*, **180**, 51–62.
- [41] Fuerst, T. R., Niles, E. G., Studier, F. W., and Moss, B. (1986) Eukaryotic transient-expression system based on recombinant vaccinia virus that synthesizes bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, **83**, 8122–8126.
- [42] Temme, K., Hill, R., Segall-Shapiro, T. H., Moser, F., and Voigt, C. A. (2012) Modular control of multiple pathways using engineered orthogonal T7 polymerases. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **40**, 8773–8781.
- [43] Ellefson, J. W., Meyer, A. J., Hughes, R. A., Cannon, J. R., Brodbelt, J. S., and Ellington, A. D. (2014) Directed evolution of genetic parts and circuits by compartmentalized partnered replication. *Nat Biotechnol*, **32**, 97–101.
- [44] Gibson, D. G., Young, L., Chuang, R.-Y., Venter, J. C., III, C. A. H., and Smith, H. O. (2009) Enzymatic assembly of dna molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat Methods*, **6**, 343–345.
- [45] Zadeh, J. N., Steenberg, C. D., Bois, J. S., R. Wolfe, B., Pierce, M. B., Khan, A. R., Dirks, R. M., and Pierce, N. A. (2011) NUPACK: Analysis and design of nucleic acid systems. *J Comput Chem*, **32**, 170–173.
- [46] Grate, D. and Wilson, C. (1999) Laser-mediated, site-specific inactivation of RNA transcripts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, **96**, 6131–6136.
- [47] Kim, J., White, K. S., and Winfree, E. (2006) Construction of an *in vitro* bistable circuit from synthetic transcriptional switches. *Mol. Syst. Biol.*, **2**, 68.
- [48] Kim, J. and Winfree, E. (2011) Synthetic *in vitro* transcriptional oscillators. *Mol. Syst. Biol.*, **7**, 465.
- [49] Franco, E., Friedrichs, E., Kim, J., Jungmann, R., Murray, R., Winfree, E., and Simmel, F. C. (2011) Timing molecular motion and production with a synthetic transcriptional clock. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **108**, E784–E793.
- [50] Weitz, M., Kim, J., Kapsner, K., Winfree, E., Franco, E., and Simmel, F. C. (2014) Diversity in the dynamical behaviour of a compartmentalized programmable biochemical oscillator. *Nat Chem*, **6**, 295–302.
- [51] Kim, J., Khetarpal, I., Sen, S., and Murray, R. M. (2014) Synthetic circuit for exact adaptation and fold-change detection. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **42**, 6078–6089.
- [52] Sun, Z. Z., Yeung, E., Hayes, C. A., Noireaux, V., and Murray, R. M. (2014) Linear DNA for rapid prototyping of synthetic biological circuits in an *Escherichia coli* based TX-TL cell-free system. *ACS Synth Biol*, **3**, 387–397.
- [53] Takahashi, M. K., et al. (2014) Rapidly characterizing the fast dynamics of RNA genetic circuitry with cell-free transcription-translation (TX-TL) systems. *ACS Synth Biol*.
- [54] Siegal-Gaskins, D., Tuza, Z. A., Kim, J., Noireaux, V., and Murray, R. M. (2014) Gene circuit performance characterization and resource usage in a cell-free “breadboard”. *ACS Synth Biol*, **3**, 416–425.
- [55] Hodgman, C. E. and Jewett, M. C. (2012) Cell-free synthetic biology: thinking outside the cell. *Metab. Eng.*, **14**, 261–269.
- [56] Shimizu, Y., Inoue, A., Tomari, Y., Suzuki, T., Yokogawa, T., Nishikawa, K., and Ueda, T. (2001) Cell-free translation reconstituted with purified components. *Nature Biotech.*, **19**, 751–755.
- [57] Chappell, J., Jensen, K., and Freemont, P. S. (2013) Validation of an entirely *in vitro* approach for rapid prototyping of DNA regulatory elements for synthetic biology. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **41**, 3471–3481.
- [58] Paige, J. S., Wu, K. Y., and Jaffrey, S. R. (2011) RNA mimics of green fluorescent protein. *Science*, **333**, 642–646.
- [59] Carrier, T. A. and Keasling, J. D. (1999) Library of synthetic 5′ secondary structures to manipulate mRNA stability in *Escherichia coli*. *Biotechnol Prog*, **15**, 58–64.
- [60] Mori, Y., Nakamura, Y., and Ohuchi, S. (2012) Inhibitory RNA aptamer against SP6 RNA polymerase. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, **420**, 440–443.
- [61] Mardanlou, V., Tran, C. H., and Franco, E. (2014) Design of a molecular bistable system with RNA-mediated regulation. *IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*.
- [62] Blanchini, F., Samaniego, C. C., Franco, E., and Giordano, G. (2014) Design of a molecular clock with RNA-mediated regulation. *IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*.
- [63] Franco, E., Giordano, G., Forsberg, P.-O., and Murray, R. M. (2014) Negative autoregulation matches production and demand in synthetic transcriptional networks. *ACS Synth Biol*, **3**, 589–599.
- [64] Pothoulakis, G., Ceroni, F., Reeve, B., and Ellis, T. (2013) The spinach RNA aptamer as a characterization tool for synthetic biology. *ACS Synth Biol*, **3**, 182–187.

Supplementary Information

Methods

The sequence of DNA oligonucleotides and RNA outputs used for *in vitro* transcription experiments are listed as follows.

DNA sequences

pT3-T7apt-nt (77mer), 5'-AAGCAAGGGTAAGATGGAATGAAATTAACCCCTCACTAAAGGCGAGCGTAAGTCAATTCCACTATCATTGCTGCAAGC-3'.

pT3-T7apt-t (49mer), 5'-GCTTGCAGCAATGATAGTGAATTGACTTACGCTCGCCTTTAGTGAGGG-3'.

pT3-T7apt-v2-nt (83mer), 5'-AAGCAAGGGTAAGATGGAATGAAATTAACCCCTCACTAAAGGCGAGCGTAAGTCAATTCCACTATCAT-TGCTGCTTGCCTCGAG-3'.

pT3-T7apt-v2-t (55mer), 5'-CTCGAGGCAAGCAGCAATGATAGTGAATTGACTTACGCTCGCCTTTAGTGAGGG-3'.

pT3-MGapt-nt (84mer), 5'-AAGCAAGGGTAAGATGGAATGAAATTAACCCCTCACTAAAGGACAAGCATCCCGACTGGCGAGAGCCAG-GTAACGAATGGATGCG-3'.

pT3-MGapt-t (56mer), 5'-CGCATCCATTTCGTTACCTGGCTCTCGCCAGTCGGGATGCTTGTCTTTAGTGAGGG-3'.

pT7-MGapt-nt (84mer), 5'-CTAATGAACTACTACTACACACTAATACGACTCACTATAGGACAAGCATCCCGACTGGCGAGAGCCAGG-TAAC-GAATGGATGCG-3'.

pT7-MGapt-t (57mer), 5'-CGCATCCATTTCGTTACCTGGCTCTCGCCAGTCGGGATGCTTGTCTTATAGTGAGTCG-3'.

A2-T3 (36mer), 5'-TTAATTTTCATTCCATCTTACCCTTGCTTCAATCCGT-3'.

A1-T7 (35mer), 5'-TATTAGTGTGTAGTAGTAGTTCATTAGTGTCTGTTTC-3'.

RNA output sequences

T7apt (38mer), 5'-GGCGAGCGUAAGUCAAUUCCACUAUCAUUGCUGCAAGC-3'.

T7apt-v2 (44mer), 5'-GGCGAGCGUAAGUCAAUUCCACUAUCAUUGCUGCUUGCCUCGAG-3'.

MGapt (45mer), 5'-GGACAAGCAUCCCGACUGGCGAGAGCCAGGUAACGAAUGGAUGCG-3'.

The sequence of DNA oligonucleotides used for cloning and Gibson assembly are listed as follows.

Target Constructs	Plasmids	Primers
pTet-T7apt	pTet-deGFP	Fwd: ggcgagcgtaagtcaattccactatcattgctgcaagcctcgagAACTTTAAGAAGGAGA-TATACCA Rev: gcttgacgaatgatagtggaattgacttacgctcgccTGTGCTCAGTATCTCTATCAC
pdeGFP-T7apt	pTara pT7-deGFP pTet-T7apt	Fwd: tcaggtgctacatttgaagaCACTTATTACAGGCGTAGCAC Rev: atagttagtctgattagaacAACATGAGCAGATCCTCTACG Fwd: gtagaggatctgctcatgttGTTCTAATACGACTCACTATAGG Rev: atctctatcactgatagggaTTACCGCCTTTGAGTGAGCT Fwd: agctcactcaaagcggttaaTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATTG Rev: gtgctacgctgaataaagtTCTTCAAATGTAGCACCTGAAG
pdeGFP-T7apt-4A	pdeGFP-T7apt	Fwd: aaaaTGCAAGCCTCGAGCAAAGCCC Rev: TGATAGTGGAATTGACTTACGCTCGC
pdeGFP-T7apt-4G	pdeGFP-T7apt	Fwd: ggggTGCAAGCCTCGAGCAAAGCCC Rev: TGATAGTGGAATTGACTTACGCTCGC
pdeGFP-T7apt-4Δ	pdeGFP-T7apt	Fwd: TGCAAGCCTCGAGCAAAGCCC Rev: TGATAGTGGAATTGACTTACGCTCGC

* Lower case letters indicate the parts of sequence that are different from the starting plasmids. For pTet-T7apt plasmid, XhoI digestion and subsequent ligation was performed to remove deGFP sequence. For pdeGFP-T7apt variant plasmids, primers were 5'-phosphorylated and a subsequent quick ligation step was performed.

```

01      ggatgtctgcaattcagagcg      21      gcagcaagtgaggagcagca      41      gaagacctgaccgccgcaga      61      gtggatgtttgacatggtga      81      agactatcgaccactaagcc
101     agaaaaccgaattttgtgtg      101     ggggctaacgatatccgcc      101     tgatcggtgaacgtgacgga      101     cgtaaccaccgcgacatgtg      101     tgtgtgttcttatacagcct
201     CACTATAGGGGCTAGCGGGA      201     TCCCGACTggcgagagccag      201     gtaacgaatggatccAATAA      201     TTTGTGTTAACTTTAAGAAG      201     GAGATATaccATGGAGCTTT
301     TCACTGGCGTTGTTCCTCATC      301     CTGGTGCAGCTGGAGCGGGA      301     CGTAAACGGCCACAAGTTCA      301     GCCTGTCCGGGAGGGGCGAG      301     GCGATGCCACTCTAGCGCAA
401     GCTGACCTGAAGTTTCATCT      401     GCACCACCGGCAAGCTGCC      401     GTGCCCTGGCCACCTCTCGT      401     GACCACCTGACCTACGGCG      401     TGCACTGCTTACGCCGTAC
501     CCGACCAATGAAGCAGCA      501     CGACTTCTTCAAGTCCGCCA      501     TGCCGGAAGGCTACGTCCAG      501     GAGCGCACTCTTCTTCAA      501     GGACGACGGCACTACAAGA
601     CCGCGCCGAGGTGAAGTTC      601     GAGGGCGACACCTTGGTGAA      601     CGCATCGAGCTGAAGGGCA      601     TCGACTTCAAGGAGGACGGC      601     AACATCCTGGGGCACAAGT
701     GGATCAACTACACAGCC      701     ACAACGCTCTATCATGTGCC      701     GACAAACGAGAAACGGCAT      701     CAAGGTGAACCTTAAGATCC      701     GCCACAATCGAGGACGGC
801     AGCGTCAGCTCGCCGACCA      801     CTACAGCAGAAACCCCA      801     TCGCGCAGCGCCCGTGTG      801     CTGCCCACACCACTACCT      801     GAGCACCACTCCGCCCTGA
901     GCAAGACCCCAACGAGAAG      901     CGCGATCACTGGTCTGCT      901     GAGTCTCGTGACCGCGCG      901     GGATCTAActcgagctaga      901     taaccccttggggcctctaa
1001    acgggtcttgagggttttt      1001    tggtcgaccgatgccttga      1001    gagccttcaaccagtcagc      1001    tccttcgggtggcgcgggg      1001    catgactatcgtcgcgcac
1101    ttatgactgtcttctttatc      1101    atgcaactcgtaggacaggt      1101    gcggcgagcgtcttcgcgt      1101    tcctcgctcactgactcgt      1101    gcgctgggtgttcgggtgc
1202    ggcgagcggtatcagctcac      1202    tcaaaggcggtataacggtt      1202    atccacagaatcaggggata      1202    acgcaggaagaacatgtga      1202    gcaaaaggccagcaaaaggc
1301    caggacccgtaaaaaGGCG      1301    CGTTGCTGGCGTTTTTCCAT      1301    AGGCTCCGCCCCCTGACGA      1301    GCATCAAAAAATCGACGCT      1301    CAACTCAGAGGTGGCGAAAC
1401    CCGACAGGACTATAAAGATA      1401    CCAGGCGTTTTCCCTCGGAA      1401    GCTCCCTCGTGCCTCTCT      1401    GTTCCGACCTGCGGCTTAC      1401    CGGATACCTGTCGCGCTTC
1501    TCCCTTCGGGAGCGTGCG      1501    CTTTCTCAATGCTCAGCTG      1501    TAGGTATCTCAGTTCGGTGT      1501    AGGTCGTTCTCCTCAAGCTG      1501    GGCTGTGTGCAAGACCCCG
1601    CGTTACGCCGACCGCTGCG      1601    CTTTATCCGGTAATCATGCT      1601    CTGAAGTCCAACCCGTAAG      1601    ACACGACTTATCGCACTGG      1601    ACAGGCACTGCTGTAACAGG
1701    ATTAGCAGAGCAGGATATGT      1701    AGGCGGTGCTACAGAGTTCT      1701    TGAAGTGGTGGCTTAATAC      1701    GGCTACACTAGAAGGACAGT      1701    ATTTGGTATCTGCGCTTGC
1801    TGAAGCCAGTTTACCTTCGA      1801    AAAAGAGTTGGTAGCTCTTG      1801    ATCCGGCAACCAACACCG      1801    CTGGTAGCGGTGGTTTTTT      1801    GTTTGCAAGCAGCAGATAC
1901    GCGCAGAAAAAAGGATCTC      1901    AAGAAGATCTTTTATCTTT      1901    TCTACggggtctgacgtca      1901    gtggaaacgaaactcaagtt      1901    aagggtatttttggtcatgaa
2001    ttatcaaaaaggatcttcac      2001    ctgagtccttttaaatataa      2001    aatgaagtttttaaatcaatc      2001    taaagtatatatgatgataac      2001    ttggtctgacagTTACCAAT
2101    GCTTAATCAGTAGGACCTC      2101    ATCTCAGCATCTGCTATT      2101    TCGTTCATCCATAGTTGCTC      2101    GACTCCCGCTCGTGTAGATA      2101    ACTACGATACGGGAGGCGCT
2201    ACCATCTGGCCCGAGTCTG      2201    CAATGATACCGCGAGACCCA      2201    CGCTCACCGGCTCCAGATT      2201    ATCAGCAATAAACCAAGCAG      2201    CGGAAAGGGCCGAGCGCAGA
2301    AGTGGCTCTGCACTTTATC      2301    CGCTCCATCCAGTCTATTA      2301    ATTGTTCCGGGAAAGCTAGA      2301    GTAAAGTAGTTCGCGAGTTAA      2301    TAGTTTGGCGCAAGCTTGTG
2401    CATTGTACACAGGATCGTG      2401     GTGTACGCTCGCTGTTTGG      2401    TATGGCTTCATTAGCTCCG      2401    GTTCCCAACGATCAAGGCGAA      2401    GTTACATGATCCCCCATGTT
2501    GTGCAAAAAGCGGTAGCT      2501    CCTTGCTCTCCGATCGGT      2501    GTCAGAAATAGTTGGCCCG      2501    AGCTTATCACTATGGTTA      2501    TGCGAGCACTGCATAATCT
2601    CTACCTCTATGCCATCCGT      2601    AAGATGCTTTCTGTGATGCT      2601    TTAGTACTCAACCAAGTCA      2601    TTCTGAGAAATAGTGTAGCG      2601    GCGACCGAGTTGCTCTTGGC
2701    CGGGCTCAATACGGGATAAT      2701    ACCGCGCCACATACGAGAAC      2701    TTTAAAGTGTCTCACTATG      2701    GAAAACGCTCTTCGGGCGCA      2701    AAACCTCTAAGGATCTTACC
2801    GCTGTTGAGATCCAGTTCGA      2801    TGTAAACCACTCGTCACCC      2801    AACTGATCTTCAGCATCTT      2801    TACTTTCCACGCGTTCTG      2801    ctttttcaattatttgaag
2901    CAAAATGCCCAAAAAGGG      2901    AATAAGGGCGACACGGAAT      2901    GTTGAATACTCATactcttc      2901    ctttttcaattatttgaag      2901    ctttttcaattatttgaag
3001    tcattgagcggaatacattt      3001    taattatcatgacattaacct      3001    aggccttctcgcttccaaga      3001    attctcggaatcctctgac      3001    cagccagaaaacgacatttc
3101    taattatcatgacattaacct      3101    tgggtgtaaac      3101      3101      3101      3101      3101
3201    tgggtgtaaac      3201      3201      3201      3201      3201

```

Figure S1: Plasmid maps. Plasmid pT7-deGFP was derived from the plasmid pIVEX2.3d (Roche) [38]. Plasmid pTet-T7apt was constructed using Gibson assembly method with plasmid pBEST-Luc (Promega) as a backbone. Plasmid pTara was used as a backbone to join pT7-deGFP and pTet-aptamer expression cassettes in plasmid pdeGFP-T7apt.

```

01  cacttattcaggcgtagcac      21  caggcgctttaagggcaccaa      41  taactgccttaaaaaaTTA      61  CGCCCCGCCCTGCCACTCAT      81  CGCAGTACTGTTGTAATTC
101 TTAAGCATCTCGCCGACATG    110 GAAGCCATCACAGACGGCAT    120 GATGAACCTGAATCGCCAGC    130 GGCATCAGCACCTTGTCGCC    140 TTGCGTATATATTTGCCCC
201 TGGTGAAGAACGGGGCGAAG    210 AAGTTGTCCATATTGGCCAC    220 GTTTAAATCAAACTGGTGA    230 AACTCACCAGGGATTGGCT    240 GAGACGAAAAACATATCTC
301 AATAAACCCCTTAGGGAAAT    310 AGGCCAGGTTTACCCTAA     320 CACGCCACATCTTGCGAATA    330 TATGTGTAGAACTGCCGGA    340 AATCGCTGGTATTCACTC
401 CAGAGCGATGAAACGTTTC    410 AGTTTGCTCATGAAAAACGG    420 TGTAAACAGGGTGAACACTA    430 TCCCATATCACCAGCTCACC    440 GTCTTCATGCCATACGGA
501 ATTCCGGATGACCATTCATC    510 AGGCGGGCAAGAAATGTGAAT    520 AAAGGCCGGATAAACTTGT    530 AAGAGTATTCCTTTACGGTC    540 TTTAAAGAGGCCGTATATC
601 CAGCTGAACGGTCTGGTTAT    610 AGGTACATTGAGCAACTGAC    620 agcttccttagtctcctgaaa    630 atctcgataactcaaaaaat    640 TTTACGATGCCATTGGGATA
701 GTGATTTTTTCTCCAtttt    710 agcttccttagtctcctgaaa    720 acgtctcatttttcgccaaaa    730 gttgcccagggtcttcccgg    740 acgcccggtagtgatttat
801 aacctcttagtctcctgaaa    810 atcttccttagtctcctgaaa    820 attcggcgcaaatgctgctcg    830 ggtgatgctgccaacttact    840 tatcaacagggaaccaggga
901 atcttccttagtctcctgaaa    910 cctcctgttcactgactgac    920 tcatgtggcaggagaaaaaa    930 ttcgactcgggcgagcgaaa    940 gatttagtgatgatggtgt
1001 tctctgttctcactgactgac    1010 gaggggtgctgactgactgac    1020 tcatgtggcaggagaaaaaa    1030 ttcgactcgggcgagcgaaa    1040 aagcaccgcgggacatcagc
1101 ctactactgttggtgactgat    1110 tgactcgctacgtcggtcg    1120 cgtttTTCCATAGGCTCCG    1130 TTCCCTCTGGCGCTCCCTC    1140 ggctgcaccggtgctcagc
1202 tattcgccttctcctgctcac    1210 gtgagagggcgcgcgcaaa    1220 ACTATAAAGATACCAGGCGT    1230 CACTCAGTTCCGGGTAGGCA    1240 atggcttacgaacggggcgg
1301 ggaagataacttaacagggaa    1310 TGTCTCATTCACGCTGA     1320 TCCGTAACATCTGCTTGA    1330 GTCCAACCCGGAAGACATG    1340 CCCCCGTGACAAAGCATCAG
1401 TGGTGGCGAAACCCGACAGG    1410 TGTCTCATTCACGCTGA     1420 TCCGTAACATCTGCTTGA    1430 GTCCAACCCGGAAGACATG    1440 GTGCGCTCTCTGTCTCTGC
1501 TTCCGCTGTTATGGCCGCGT    1510 TGTCTCATTCACGCTGA     1520 TCCGTAACATCTGCTTGA    1530 GTCCAACCCGGAAGACATG    1540 GTTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTGC
1601 AGTCGACCGCTGCGCTTAA    1610 TGTCTCATTCACGCTGA     1620 TCCGTAACATCTGCTTGA    1630 GTCCAACCCGGAAGACATG    1640 AGAGATTACGCGCAGACCAA
1701 AGGAGTTAGCTTGAAGTCA    1710 TGTCTCATTCACGCTGA     1720 TCCGTAACATCTGCTTGA    1730 GTCCAACCCGGAAGACATG    1740 tccggtgatgtcgcgatata
1801 GGTAGCTCAGAAACCTTCG    1810 TGTCTCATTCACGCTGA     1820 TCCGTAACATCTGCTTGA    1830 GTCCAACCCGGAAGACATG    1840 ATACGATCTCACTATAGGGG
1901 ctattataatcagataaaaa    1910 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    1920 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    1930 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    1940 GGAGCTTTTCACTGCGCTG
2001 tggcgccggtgatgcccgc    2010 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    2020 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    2030 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    2040 TACGGCAAGCTGACCCGTAA
2101 agagccaggttaacgaatgga    2110 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    2120 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    2130 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    2140 GCGCTTACCCGACCCCATG
2201 GAGCGCGCATTAACCGCCA    2210 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    2220 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    2230 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    2240 CCGCTACCCGACCCCATG
2301 AGCTGCGCGCGCCCTGCCCC    2310 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    2320 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    2330 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    2340 CTACAGAGCGAGCGACATG
2401 GTCGCGCATCCCGAGAGCT    2410 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    2420 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    2430 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    2440 CACAGCTGAGCTACAACTA
2501 CTGTCGACCGCATCGAGCT    2510 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    2520 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    2530 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    2540 AGGACGGCAGCGTGCAGTC
2601 TCATGGCCGACACAGAGAA    2610 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    2620 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    2630 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    2640 CGCCCTGAGCAAGACCCCA
2701 CACCCCATCGCGACGCCC    2710 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    2720 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    2730 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    2740 GGCTCTAAACGGGCTTGG
2801 GTCCCTCGTGAATCTGAC    2810 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    2820 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    2830 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    2840 CGCGCTAACCCGACCCCATG
2901 gcccttgagagccttaacc    2910 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    2920 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    2930 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    2940 CTACAGAGCGAGCGACATG
3001 ggaacaggtgagcgagcgct    3010 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    3020 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    3030 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    3040 CACAGCTGAGCTACAACTA
3101 atCCCTTACAGTGTATAGA    3110 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    3120 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    3130 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    3140 AGGACGGCAGCGTGCAGTC
3201 CAAAGCCGCGCGAAGGCGG    3210 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    3220 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    3230 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    3240 CGCCCTGAGCAAGACCCCA
3301 cgccgcacttatgactgtct    3310 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    3320 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    3330 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    3340 GGCTCTAAACGGGCTTGG
3401 ctgacttcaggtgctacatt    3410 tttgctcatgagccgaagt    3420 tccATAAATTTTGTAACT    3430 TTAAGAAGGAGATATccAT    3440 CGCGCTAACCCGACCCCATG

```

Figure S2: Spectrofluorometer analysis of T7 RNAP aptamer in *in vitro* transcription circuits. **(A)** With pT3-MGapt template in a transcription buffer in a cuvette, T3 RNAP was added at 10 min. MG aptamer was not produced until the activator A2 was added at 25 min, indicating that the incomplete promoter design also works for T3 promoter much as T7 promoter design used for genelet circuits. **(B)** Close-up of the timecourse experiment shown in Figure 1C. The activator A2 was added at 40 min. The 'input A2' trajectories began to diverge from 'no input A2' trajectories about 10 min after the introduction of input A2. **(C)** Test of modified aptamer against T7 RNAP (T7apt-v2). Here, pT3-T7apt-v2 template was used instead of pT3-T7apt. The two RNA polymerases were added at 10 min, the input A1 was added at 25 min, and the input A2 was added at 45 min following the protocols used in Figure 1C. The T7apt-v2 was efficient in repressing the T7 RNAP activity; about 3-fold decrease in MGapt signal was observed over 1.5 hours in the presence of the T7apt-v2.

Figure S3: Genetic circuits and aptamer-mediated T7 RNAP inhibition in the TX-TL system. **(A)** Designed circuit for *in vivo* experiments. **(B)** Three-plasmid system used for aptamer-mediated T7 RNAP inhibition test in the TX-TL cell-free extract. **(C)** Experimental results showed that T7 RNAP was induced by IPTG to express deGFP and that deGFP expression was decreased by 8 fold in the presence of T7 RNAP aptamer expressing plasmid pTet-T7apt. The T7 RNAP aptamer production was not inhibited by TetR since the cell extract does not contain TetR protein. The fluorescence signal from deGFP were measured at 4 hrs.

Figure S4: Plate reader analysis of T7 RNAP aptamer and variants *in vivo*. **(A)** Time course of GFP/OD measurements in LB/1% glucose media as reported in Figure 3B left panel. The GFP/OD values decreased over time as the cells reached stationary phase after 6 hrs. However, the relative ratio of GFP/OD values for different aTc induction levels did not vary considerably during the 6 to 10 hr time window. **(B)** The response curve of T7 RNAP aptamer and its variants upon aTc induction in LB media. **(C)** The response curve of T7 RNAP aptamer and its variants upon aTc induction in LB media with 10 μM IPTG. **(D)** The response curve of T7 RNAP aptamer upon aTc induction in LB/1% glucose media with different levels of IPTG. At high IPTG level, the response to aTc induction was abolished. This set of data is represented as a heat map in Figure 3B right panel. GFP/OD values were measured after 8 hrs for (B–D).

Figure S5: Flow cytometry analysis of mutant T7 RNAP aptamer *in vivo*. One of the replicate GFP histogram (50,000 events) for **(A)** T7apt-4A, **(B)** T7apt-4G, **(C)** T7apt-4 Δ . The GFP expression levels did not change upon induction of T7 RNAP aptamer by aTc for all mutant aptamers. The modal GFP values are plotted in Figure 3C.