THE FUV TO NEAR-IR MORPHOLOGIES OF LUMINOUS INFRARED GALAXIES IN THE GOALS SAMPLE

S. M. PETTY¹, L. ARMUS², V. CHARMANDARIS^{3,4,5}, A. S. EVANS^{6,7}, E. LE FLOC’H⁸, C. BRIDGE⁹,
 T. DÍAZ-SANTOS², J. H. HOWELL², H. INAMI¹⁰, A. PSYCHOGYIOS³, S. STIERWALT⁶, AND J. A. SURACE²

¹ Department of Physics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

² Spitzer Science Center, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

³ Department of Physics, University of Crete, GR-71003, Heraklion, Greece

⁴ Institute for Astronomy, Astrophysics, Space Applications & Remote Sensing, National Observatory of Athens, GR-15236, Penteli, Greece

⁵ Chercheur Associé, Observatoire de Paris, F-75014, Paris, France

⁶ Department of Astronomy, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA

⁷ National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA

⁸ CEA-Saclay, Orme des Merisiers, Bat. 709, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

⁹ Div. of Physics, Math & Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

¹⁰ National Optical Astronomy Observatory, Tucson, AZ, 85719, USA

Received 2014 March 31; accepted 2014 August 3; published 2014 October 30

ABSTRACT

We compare the morphologies of a sample of 20 luminous infrared galaxies (LIRGs) from the Great Observatories All-sky LIRG Survey (GOALS) in the *FUV*, *B*, *I*, and *H* bands, using the Gini (*G*) and M_{20} parameters to quantitatively estimate the distribution and concentration of flux as a function of wavelength. *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) images provide an average spatial resolution of ~ 80 pc. While our LIRGs can be reliably classified as mergers across the entire range of wavelengths studied here, there is a clear shift toward more negative M_{20} (more bulge-dominated) and a less significant decrease in *G* values at longer wavelengths. We find no correlation between the derived *FUV* G - M_{20} parameters and the global measures of the IR to *FUV* flux ratio (IRX). Given the fine resolution in our *HST* data, this suggests either that the UV morphology and IRX are correlated on very small scales, or that the regions emitting the bulk of the IR emission emit almost no *FUV* light. We use our multi-wavelength data to simulate how merging LIRGs would appear from $z \sim 0.5$ – 3 in deep optical and near-infrared images such as the Hubble Ultra-Deep Field, and use these simulations to measure the G - M_{20} at these redshifts. Our simulations indicate a noticeable decrease in *G*, which flattens at $z \geq 2$ by as much as 40%, resulting in mis-classifying our LIRGs as disk-like, even in the rest-frame *FUV*. The higher redshift values of M_{20} for the GOALS sources do not appear to change more than about 10% from the values at $z \sim 0$. The change in G - M_{20} is caused by the surface brightness dimming of extended tidal features and asymmetries, and also the decreased spatial resolution which reduced the number of individual clumps identified. This effect, seen as early as $z \sim 0.5$, could easily lead to an underestimate of the number of merging galaxies at high-redshift in the rest-frame *FUV*.

Key words: galaxies: structure – infrared: galaxies – ultraviolet: galaxies

Online-only material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

Luminous Infrared Galaxies (LIRGs) are defined as a class of infrared-dominant galaxies with $L_{\text{IR}} (8 - 1000 \mu\text{m}) \geq 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ in Houck et al. (1985) based on observations by the *Infrared Astronomical Satellite* (*IRAS*). The most luminous members of this class, Ultra-LIRGs (ULIRGs $L_{\text{IR}} = 10^{12}$ – $10^{13} L_{\odot}$) and Hyper-LIRGs (HyLIRGs $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{13} L_{\odot}$), have been the central subclass in much of the literature on LIRGs, where the focus has been on their merger rates and contribution to cosmic infrared background from $z \gtrsim 1$ (e.g., Sanders & Mirabel 1996; Veilleux et al. 2002; Goto 2005; Lonsdale et al. 2006). The lower luminosity local LIRGs ($L_{\text{IR}} = 10^{11}$ – $10^{12} L_{\odot}$) have more diverse morphologies compared to ULIRGs, which tend to be late-stage major mergers (see Sanders & Mirabel 1996, and references therein). The diverse LIRG morphology, coupled with the lower fraction of major mergers relative to ULIRGs, may reflect the broader evolutionary pathways of a galaxy to become a LIRG at low redshift. While most LIRGs are starburst-dominated (Petric et al. 2011; Stierwalt et al. 2013), many contain active galactic nuclei (AGNs). This, combined with the wide range of merger morphologies, makes them excellent

laboratories for studying the AGN-starburst connection under a variety of physical conditions.

Rough correlations between luminosity and merger stage have been extensively discussed (e.g., Veilleux et al. 2002; Goto 2005; Bridge et al. 2007; Kartaltepe et al. 2010; Petric et al. 2011; Haan et al. 2011), and are generally attributed to episodes of enhanced star formation during the close passage and final merger of two gas-rich galaxies. Most low-redshift LIRGs are found in systems which have undergone strong tidal perturbations due to the merging of gas-rich disk galaxies (Armus et al. 1987; Sanders et al. 1988a, 1988b; Murphy et al. 1996, 2001; Farrah et al. 2001; Bridge et al. 2007; Kartaltepe et al. 2010). At higher luminosities, the number density of ULIRGs increases with redshift (e.g., Schechter 1976; Sanders & Mirabel 1996; Kim & Sanders 1998; Lonsdale et al. 2006). Approximately 50% of the cosmic infrared background is due to LIRGs that dominate star formation rates at $z > 1$ (le Floc’h et al. 2005; Caputi et al. 2007; Magnelli et al. 2009; Berta et al. 2011; Magnelli et al. 2013). Kartaltepe et al. (2012) conclude that 24% of main sequence (Elbaz et al. 2011) and 73% of starburst ULIRGs are merging or interacting at $z \sim 2$. Over half of their sample have

AGNs, while $\sim 25\%$ of these AGNs are classified as mergers or interacting, and mostly lie on the main sequence.

Mid-IR diagnostics of the entire Great Observatories All-sky Lirg Survey (GOALS; Armus et al. 2009) sample indicate that AGNs are present in 18% of LIRGs, and 10% contribute more than 50% of the total IR luminosity (Petric et al. 2011). Stierwalt et al. (2013) find that the starburst-dominated LIRGs resemble $z \sim 2$ starburst-dominated submillimeter galaxies in their mid-IR spectral properties. While the spectroscopic features accurately separate the contributions of star formation versus AGN to the total L_{IR} , the morphological distinction between a dust-shrouded AGN versus dusty starburst galaxies is not definitive.

Even though LIRGs are powered by luminous starbursts and often AGNs the UV properties of LIRGs have received far less scrutiny, since much of this radiation is absorbed by dust. Because of their extreme luminosities, the small fraction of the UV radiation that escapes can make LIRGs strong sources of UV flux (Howell et al. 2010). When observed in the UV-optical wavelengths, dust may create the appearance of a very disturbed or post-merger morphology for an underlying disk structure. The location of the dust and gas, with respect to the young stars, can have a large impact on the appearance of a LIRG in the UV, the amount of radiation that escapes into the intergalactic medium, and the overall global properties. It is natural then to assume that the wide range in integrated IR to UV ratios and UV slopes seen in LIRGs might be related to the small-scale UV morphologies.

Previous morphology surveys in the rest-frame UV have primarily focused on optically bright starbursts and disks to gain an unobscured view of the distribution of star-forming regions (e.g., Conselice 2003; Lotz et al. 2006; Petty et al. 2009; Law et al. 2007, 2012). The galaxies generally appear as disk-like and/or are made up of compact irregular structures. Visually, there is a substantial difference between the UV and near-IR morphologies in dusty galaxies. The brightest clusters or even the nuclei in the IR can be extremely faint in the UV, making classifications highly uncertain (e.g., Hibbard & Vacca 1997; Mirabel et al. 1998; Charmandaris et al. 2004). Quantitative morphological studies of local LIRGs covering the full range of observed morphologies from the rest-frame UV through the near-IR are few in number. Evidence from studies of high-redshift, star-forming galaxies suggest that there is no quantifiable change in the basic morphological parameters across these wavelengths (Law et al. 2012). However, the issue of wavelength dependence morphologies is not resolved in the literature. Also, most of the galaxies are selected in the UV or optical, and they may show very different properties to those galaxies selected in the far-infrared.

In this paper, we use a large sample of local LIRGs observed in the rest-frame far-UV through the near-infrared with *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) to (1) measure the UV to *H*-band morphologies in a consistent quantitative manner, using Gini and M_{20} , (2) use the infrared excess (IRX) to explore correlations of the UV morphology with global IR and UV properties, and (3) demonstrate how redshift and surface brightness dimming can quantitatively affect the apparent UV morphology of IR-selected merging galaxies at $z \sim 3$. Here we present and analyze the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) FUV images of a small sample of 20 LIRGs, compare these to the visual and near-IR morphologies of the same galaxies on the same scales, and relate quantitative morphological

measures to the global IR and FUV properties. This is the first smaller local LIRG subsample from which complete FUV to far-IR *HST* data are available. Our sample of 20 LIRGs is described in Section 2. In Section 3, we discuss our methodology. In Section 4, we begin by treating each galaxy individually, and then as a set determine how $G-M_{20}$ values are affected by dust in multiple wavelengths. Where applicable, we adopt a Λ CDM cosmology, $(\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda, H) = (0.27, 0.73, 71)$.

2. SAMPLE SELECTION

GOALS (Armus et al. 2009) is a multi-wavelength imaging and spectroscopic study of 202 of the most luminous infrared-selected galaxies in the local universe, including observations from X-ray to far-IR. It is an ideal sample for comparing the effects of dust on the visual and quantitative morphologies from the UV to near-IR with the superb *HST* spatial resolutions. The GOALS targets are drawn from the *IRAS* Revised Bright Galaxy Sample (RBGS; Sanders et al. 2003), a complete sample of 629 galaxies with *IRAS* 60 μm flux densities $S_{60} > 5.24$ Jy, covering the full sky above Galactic latitudes $|b| > 5^\circ$. The 629 *IRAS* RBGS galaxies have a median redshift of $z = 0.008$ with a maximum of $z = 0.088$ and include 180 LIRGs and 22 ULIRGs that define the GOALS sample.

As part of a pilot program to obtain FUV images of the GOALS galaxies, we obtained ACS/F140LP images of a GOALS galaxy sample with $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{11.4} L_\odot$. A secondary goal was to study the ages and distributions of young star clusters in the sample (E. Evans 2014, in preparation). Therefore, the sources for UV imaging were selected from the existing ACS B, and *I*-band data to have significant numbers of bright ($23 < B < 21$ AB) star clusters. This resulted in a sample of 22 LIRGs, 5 of which are part of pairs, so 27 individual galaxies were observed in the FUV with the ACS High Resolution Channel. Only 20 of these have corresponding NICMOS imaging, which is the number of individual galaxies in our final sample.

We have complete UV to near-IR *HST* imaging for these 20 LIRGs. The UV and optical ACS filters include the SBC F140LP (UV), and F435W (B) and F814W (I). The near-IR images were observed with the Near-Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrometer (NICMOS) F160W filter (H). The *HST* FUV (F140LP) data were obtained with the ACS SBC over the period 2007 July 21 to 2009 August 2 (PID 11196; PI: A.S. Evans). The SBC has a $34'' \times 31''$ field of view (FOV) with a pixel scale of $0.032 \text{ arcsec pixel}^{-1}$. The data were taken in ACCUM mode using the PARALLELOGRAM dither pattern. We further reduced the SBC data using standard STScI routines: the images were calibrated using CALACS, then distortion-corrected, and combined using PyDrizzle. The PyDrizzle routine also removes any cosmic rays and bad pixels present in the images. We refer the reader to Haan et al. (2011) for the NICMOS data and data reduction description. The *B*- and *I*-band data and data reduction are described in Kim et al. (2013).

In Table 1, we list the sample and several derived properties (distance, pixel scale, L_{IR} , and merger stage) for each of the galaxies in our sample. The IR luminosities have a range of $10.45 < \log L_{\text{IR}}/L_\odot < 12.06$. The two IR luminosities below $10^{11} L_\odot$ are for individual components of interacting systems. The sample does represent a diverse visual morphology at different stages of merging from the 202 LIRGs in the GOALS catalog: Column 8 lists the *I*-band visually classified merger

Table 1
General Properties of 20 LIRGs

	R.A.	Decl.	D ^a (Mpc)	s ^a (pc pix ⁻¹)	log $L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\odot}$ ^b	Merger Stage ^c
NGC 34	00h11m06.6s	-12d06m26s	82.8	30	11.43	5
Arp 256N	00h18m50.1s	-10d21m42s	41	39	10.45	2
Arp 256S	00h18m50.9s	-10d22m37s	114.4	41	11.44	2
UGC 02369	02h54m01.8s	+14d58m14s	131.7	47	11.66	2
NGC 1614	04h33m59.9s	-08d34m44s	67.3	24	11.65	5
IRAS 08355-4944	08h37m01.8s	-49d54m30s	39.5	39	11.62	3
NGC 2623	08h38m24.1s	+25d45m17s	44.0	28	11.60	5
NGC 3256	10h27m51.3s	-43d54m14s	43.2	14	11.64	5
NGC 3690E	11h28m33.6s	+58d33m47s	95.7	16	11.58	3
NGC 3690W	11h28m31.0s	+58d33m41s	105.6	15	11.67	3
NGC 5257	13h39m52.9s	+00d50m24s	152.4	34	11.31	2
NGC 5331	13h52m16.3s	+02d06m11s	188.3	50	11.02	2
NGC 5331S	13h52m16.2s	+02d06m03s	122.1	49	11.54	2
VV 340a	14h57m00.8s	+24d37m04s	78.2	50	11.66	1
IC 4689	18h13m40.3s	-57d44m53s	109.4	25	10.88	2
NGC 6786	19h10m53.9s	+73d24m37s	142.2	38	11.23	1
IRAS 20351 + 2521	20h37m17.7s	+25d31m38s	69.7	50	11.61	0
IIZW 96	20h57m23.9s	+17d07m39s	142.3	54	11.77	2
ESO 148-IG 002	23h15m46.7s	-59d03m16s	139.5	63.6	12.06	4
NGC 7674	23h27m56.7s	+08d46m45s	138.5	44	11.54	1

^a Distances (D) were obtained from the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED). The pixel scale in parsec per pixel, s, is based on the NICMOS *H*-band pixel scale (0.076 arcsec pix⁻¹) and the distance, D.

^b L_{IR} calculations are described in Díaz-Santos et al. (2013).

^c *I*-band merger-stages classified by Haan et al. (2011): 0 = single galaxy, galaxy with minor companion; 1 = separate galaxies, disks symmetric (intact), no tails; 2 = progenitor galaxies distinguishable, disks asymmetric or amorphous, tidal tails; 3 = two nuclei in common envelope; 4 = double nuclei with tidal tail; 5 = single or obscured nucleus, long prominent tails; 6 = single or obscured nucleus, disturbed central morphology, short faint tails or tails absent, shells.

stages from Haan et al. (2011). The merger stages are described in Table 1. Only one LIRG is classified for type 0 and 4 stages (i.e., IRAS 20351: single nucleus with a minor companion, and ESO 148: double nuclei with a tidal tail). Eight LIRGs are classified as type 2, having distinguishable individual galaxies with disks and tidal tails. The LIRGs span distances of 40–188 Mpc with a mean of 106 Mpc. Given the *HST* NICMOS resolution (0''16), this provides an average spatial resolution of 80 pc (see also Haan et al. 2011 for a description of the NICMOS PSF).

3. MORPHOLOGICAL DIAGNOSTICS

The use of Gini (G) and M_{20} for quantifying the morphology of a galaxy is described in detail in Lotz et al. (2004, 2006). The Gini coefficient was originally developed as an economic indicator for determining the distribution of money in a society (Gini 1912). Applied to Astrophysics, G is correlated with the concentration of light of a source as well as with its surface brightness, and is defined as

$$G = \frac{1}{|\bar{f}| n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n (2i - n - 1) |f_i|, \quad (1)$$

where $|\bar{f}|$ and $|f_i|$ are the absolute average flux and *i*th pixel flux from *n* total pixels, respectively. Gini may typically have values between 0.3–0.8, where larger values are indicative of a more centralized, concentrated light distribution. M_{20} is a logarithmic ratio giving the normalized second-order moment of the brightest pixels whose integrated flux adds up to 20% of

the total flux of the galaxy and is defined by

$$M_{20} \equiv \log 10 \left(\frac{\sum_i M_i}{M_{\text{tot}}} \right). \quad (2)$$

M_i is given by

$$M_i = f_i [(x_i - x_c)^2 + (y_i - y_c)^2] \text{ with } \sum_i f_i > 0.2 f_{\text{tot}}, \quad (3)$$

where f_i is the flux at position x_i and y_i of the *i*th pixel; x_c and y_c is the galaxy center image coordinates. The pixels are rank ordered by flux for calculating both parameters. M_{20} is more negative for a galaxy with 20% of the light concentrated in a bulge or single nucleus. We use the G- M_{20} parameter space to identify morphological types. Lotz et al. (2008) defines G- M_{20} values to classify mergers, early type and disk like morphologies:

$$\text{Mergers: } G > -0.14M_{20} + 0.33; \quad (4)$$

$$\text{E/S0/Sa: } G \leq -0.14M_{20} + 0.33; \text{ and } G > 0.14M_{20} + 0.80; \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Sb - Ir: } G \leq -0.14M_{20} + 0.33; \text{ and } G \leq 0.14M_{20} + 0.80. \quad (6)$$

Although these classifications are based on UV-optical morphologies, we use these same regions to define *UV*-, *B*-, *I*-, and *H*-band morphologies, for comparison. We show in Section 4 that these region definitions are relatively robust at all wavelengths for all but a few cases.

Figure 1. GOALS subsample in the *FUV*, *B*, *I*, and *H* bands (left to right). The *H*-band footprint is outlined in a white box region. Individual plots of the *G*- M_{20} morphologies are shown to trace the behavior with wavelength. The individual *G*- M_{20} plots to the right of the image cutouts are the *FUV*-, *B*-, *I*-, and *H*-band values (UV and H are annotated).

The standard procedure is to calculate the total flux within 1.5 times the Petrosian radius (r_p) for *G*- M_{20} (see Lotz et al. 2004). Many clumpy, star-forming galaxies are elongated due to their irregular shape, so choosing an ellipsoid can more accurately measure the distribution of light in the defined pixel area. While this minimizes the inclusion of background flux, it works for images with enough background to more accurately determine the surface brightness. For comparing across the wavelengths, the size of the radius is limited to the smallest FOV, i.e., the NICMOS FOV, and in most cases using a radius of $1.5r_p$ to calculate *G*- M_{20} extends beyond this. Thus, we elected to use circular apertures of 7.8 – $15.4''$ (within the NICMOS FOV) for all of the images, including those at shorter

wavelengths. We show the NICMOS *H*-band footprint in Figure 1.

We subtracted an average sky flux from all frames before calculating *G*- M_{20} . To measure the background, we used the IRAF *imexamine* tool¹¹. Random errors were estimated by changing the *H*-band center (center of mass, x_c , y_c) by 5 arcseconds in random directions about the actual galaxy centroid, measuring the *G* and M_{20} using the same radius, and calculating the standard error. This method accounts for errors in defining the center between wavelengths that would change M_{20} . An additional error was added in quadrature, to account for

¹¹ Image Reduction and Analysis Facility: <http://iraf.net>.

Figure 1. (Continued.)

systematics, since the derived values of Gini and M_{20} depend on the precise background subtraction, binning and point-spread function (PSF) convolution to common sampling and resolution across wavelengths. This is particularly true when measuring these parameters using deep, wide-field images of complex objects whose structures can change by a great deal as one moves from the UV through the NIR. While some of these dependencies are captured within the random uncertainties that we have propagated through the analysis of the data, we have added, in quadrature, an average systematic uncertainty of 0.045 in Gini and 0.1 in M_{20} to the measured parameters for each object. These were derived from repeatedly varying the background subtraction, binning and radii of the measurements.

The images in the *FUV*, *B*, and *I* bands were re-binned (degraded) to match the *H* band image, due to the *H* band

having the largest pixel scale and PSF. We deconvolved each image with the band-specific PSF and convolved with the *H*-band PSF. This allows for the morphologies to be directly comparable, since the pixel scales and PSFs can lead to substantial changes in morphologies. We obtain spatial resolutions on average of ~ 60 – 100 pc based on the $0.076 \text{ arcsec pixel}^{-1}$ NICMOS pixel angular resolution.

4. THE MULTI-WAVELENGTH MORPHOLOGIES OF 20 LIRGS: RESULTS

In this section, we focus on G- and M_{20} -based classification of our local LIRG sample from the UV through near-IR. We compare the derived morphologies in the *FUV*, *B*, *I*, and *H* bands to assess any changes in G and M_{20} , we compare with visual classifications, and the IR to FUV flux ratio.

Figure 1. (Continued.)

Visually, LIRGs change in morphology from the *FUV* to *H* bands (i.e., from clumpy major mergers to smooth disks with well-defined centers), and the purpose of this analysis is to determine how, at *HST* resolutions, we can discriminate between these classifications with our prescribed morphological diagnostic. Our sample is wholly comprised of interacting systems at various stages. Table 1 lists the stages based on NIR (Haan et al. 2011). Since LIRGs are dusty, we expect their morphologies to vary with wavelength, and therefore their position in the $G-M_{20}$ parameter space. However, the precise manner of how these changes affect $G-M_{20}$ is not clear. Exploring this morphology parameter space of local LIRGs will be useful for comparisons with other galaxy samples, as the majority of automated morphology studies focus on higher redshift ($z > 0.2$) galaxies in the rest-frame UV and optical.

4.1. Probing *FUV*-, *B*-, *I*-, and *H*-band LIRG Morphologies With $G-M_{20}$

Many of the LIRGs in the *FUV* show resolved and unresolved clumps, as well as extended features, characterized by a complex morphology similar to the optical and near-IR. Figure 1 shows cutouts of the *FUV*-, *B*-, *I*-, and *H*-band images (left to right) of the entire sample of 20 galaxies. The box regions outline the *H*-band footprint, which in all cases is slightly smaller than the FOV in the UV and optical ACS data. Individual $G-M_{20}$ plots are included to the right of the individual galaxy cutouts. Each point in the $G-M_{20}$ plane is derived from one of the *FUV*, *B*, *I* and *H* images (*FUV*- and *H*-band points are annotated as UV and H, respectively). We also show the boundaries between the regions where mergers,

Figure 1. (Continued.)

E–Sa, and Sb–Ir galaxies typically fall ($G-M_{20}$ boundary, hereafter; Lotz et al. 2008).

Based on the $G-M_{20}$ boundaries, the multi-wavelength morphologies are consistently in the merger region for 13/20 objects in all four bands. We do not consider those on the merger boundary to have changed class, because of uncertainties inherent in the derivation of $G-M_{20}$ for a particular source (see Section 3 and Figure 2). For example, IC 4689 shows an FUV band $G-M_{20}$ value on the merger/non-merger boundary, but we do not consider it to have changed type from a merger to Sb–Ir or E–Sa type.

Seven LIRGs reside in different regions in $G-M_{20}$ space as a function of wavelength: UGC 02369, IRAS 08355, NGC 3256, NGC 3690E, VV 340a, NGC 6786, and NGC 7674. These objects have visual classifications of type 2, 3, 3, and 1, respectively.

UGC 02369 has a very irregular shape with a compact nucleus and an adjacent extended bar-like structure bright in the FUV - and B -bands, and fades to look like a spiral arm in the I and H bands. The values for $G-M_{20}$ are in the merger boundary in the FUV , hover along the merger/non-merger border for B and I bands, and shift to the border between the E–S0/Sb–Ir region in the H band.

In the FUV , IRAS 08355 is entirely dominated by the bright nucleus. As a result, the FUV image of this galaxy places it squarely inside the Sb–Ir boundary in the $G-M_{20}$ plane. The light for both nuclei in the post-merger envelope is revealed redward of FUV . The B , I , and H values for $G-M_{20}$ do vary, staying just within the merger boundary.

NGC 3256 shows striking differences in symmetry between wavelengths. The FUV - and B -band images show a significant

Figure 2. $G-M_{20}$ at multiple wavelengths (*UV*, *B*, *I*, and *H*, as labeled) show that, within the Lotz et al. (2008) lines, the quantitative morphology is consistent from UV to near-IR. Most of the objects are in the merger-designated region and move around in that relative space. Figure 1 shows this for each object, where only a few cross the merger line. The yellow pentagons are the galaxies known to be hosting AGN (NGC 34, NGC 3690E, NGC 3690W, NGC 6786, NGC 7674). There is no clear correlation between an AGN, dominating the morphology as it becomes unveiled at longer wavelengths.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

portion of the lower disk is masked by dust. The *I* band reveals a disk-like pattern with many clumpy star-forming regions. The *H* band shows a bright nucleus and an asymmetric distribution of light along the arms. $G-M_{20}$ values are quite different for each wavelength, but stay within the merger boundary in the *FUV*, *B*, and *H* bands, while the *I*-band values are within the Sb–Ir boundary. Given the errors, the *I*-band value is arguably on the border.

In NGC 3690E the $G-M_{20}$ for *FUV* and *H* are consistent within the merger boundary. The *B* and *I* values are distinctly different and are located within the Sb–Ir boundary. Visually the *FUV*-, *B*-, and *I*-band images are very similar, and in this case the $G-M_{20}$ values do not reflect that. The disk-like nature is clear in the *H* band.

In all wavelengths VV 340a shows a disk-like structure. In the *FUV*, the nucleus is shrouded by dust and the morphology takes on a pattern of clumpy, tightly wound spiral arms. In the longer wavelengths, the nucleus is revealed and the morphology resembles more of a normal disk. This behavior is reflected in the $G-M_{20}$ values where the points shift from the merger boundary in the *FUV* band, to the Sb–Ir boundary in the *B*, *I*, and *H* bands.

The loosely bound spiral arms in NGC 6786 are visible in each wavelength. However, the *FUV* and *B* bands do show a more clumpy, disjointed pattern from the nucleus to the extended arms. In the *I* and *H* bands the emission appears more smooth and symmetric with a bright bulge and bar. All but the *H* band $G-M_{20}$ are in the merger boundary.

NGC 7674 appears very disk-like in all bands. *FUV* and *B* reveal clumpy disk arms, where much of the light is veiled by structured dust lanes. The $G-M_{20}$ points are in the merger boundary for the *FUV*, and the Sb–Ir boundary for all other

bands, where the nucleus is much brighter and the spiral arms more smoothly distributed.

In four of these cases (UGC 02369, VV 340a, NGC 6786, and NGC 7674), the movement in the $G-M_{20}$ plane with wavelength is as expected, namely a straightforward progression from merger to a more regular morphology at longer wavelengths. The other three objects have a more complicated progression. An interesting result is that majority of our sample do not follow the expected pattern of merger to more regular morphology with increased wavelength, given the copious amounts of dust in LIRGs.

Figure 2 shows the $G-M_{20}$ values for the *FUV*, *B*, *I*, and *H* bands in four panels. The dashed lines indicate the $G-M_{20}$ boundaries for different morphological types. We included errors based on the methods described in Section 3. The averages for Gini are $\langle G \rangle = 0.64 \pm 0.02$, 0.62 ± 0.02 , 0.60 ± 0.02 , and 0.67 ± 0.02 ; the averages for M_{20} are $\langle M_{20} \rangle = -1.24 \pm 0.19$, -1.33 ± 0.17 , -1.53 ± 0.20 , and -1.89 ± 0.27 in the *FUV*, *B*, *I*, and *H* bands, respectively. The averages clearly show that M_{20} becomes more negative with longer wavelengths. Gini narrowly hovers around 0.6 with a standard deviation of ≈ 0.1 . While the classification does not change on average with wavelength, the shift toward a more negative M_{20} from *FUV* to the *H* band is consistent with a bright bulge being revealed in the near-IR.

Of the 20 galaxies, 5 are known hosts to AGN: NGC 34, NGC 3690E, NGC 3690W, NGC 6786, and NGC 7674. They are labeled in Figure 2 as yellow pentagons. A bright nuclear point source can affect the location of an object in the $G-M_{20}$ plane, but these five AGN do not appear to significantly affect the location of the host galaxies in Figure 2. It is expected that the definition of the center of flux highly biases the quantitative morphology; therefore, galaxies with highly obscured nuclei would exhibit the biggest differences between wavelength.

Since most of the LIRGs are mergers, the morphological classification, due to both stellar tidal features and dust, should change as the merger progresses. In Figure 3 we explore the variation of G and M_{20} in our sample as a function of merger stage (see Table 1 and Haan et al. 2011). The black diamonds (*FUV*), black triangles (*H*), gray squares (*B*), and gray circles (*I*) are the mean G and M_{20} values of all galaxies in a given merger type. The averages for all of the values, regardless of wavelength, are shown by the solid black line. Note that there is only one type 0, IRAS 20351, and one type 4, ESO 148, so these values are for the individual galaxy. Type 0 are single galaxies with a minor companion, and type 4 are double nuclei with a tidal tail. The overall trend (black line) indicates that Gini and M_{20} do not vary significantly as a function of merger stage. There is a slight rise in Gini in the later stages of mergers, but the uncertainties are large and the effect is subtle.

One way to quantify the affects of dust on the emitted UV radiation is by referring to the ratio of infrared to *FUV* flux IRX and UV slope (β ; Howell et al. 2010). In general, LIRGs extend over a large range in $IRX-\beta$ as shown in Figure 4. Since IRX is driven by the fraction of bolometric emission originating from regions which become thick in the UV, due to the presence of high quantities of dust, we might expect a correlation between IRX , β , and UV morphology.

Of the objects in our sample with available UV data, 16 are a subset of the LIRGs found in Howell et al. (2010), who analyzed the $IRX-\beta$ relation for the entire GOALS sample. Although the current sample does not span the full range of

Figure 3. Plots are of the average G (top) and M_{20} (bottom) values in different wavebands compared to the visual classification type (Table 1). Black diamonds, gray squares, gray circles, and black triangles are the mean values in the FUV , B , I , and H bands, respectively. The black solid line is the average for all values in that classification type.

Figure 4. IRX- β for GOALS LIRGs. The black filled circles are from (Howell et al. 2010) and the cyan points are the LIRGs in the current paper. The black line is the starburst relation adapted from the fit to a (Meurer et al. 1999) 10^8 year old starburst population. While the cyan points span a large range in IRX, including a number that fall significantly above the starburst correlation, they do not cover the full range in beta seen in the parent GOALS sample.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

IRX and β seen among local LIRGs, the subset of 16 galaxies studied here does span nearly 2 orders of magnitude in IRX (0.3–2.3), and a significant range in β (–1 to +0.5).

Since IRX measures the global ratio between the FIR and FUV light, and this light originates from star-forming complexes in the nuclei and disk of each LIRG, we might expect a correlation between IRX and the morphologies of the galaxies, particularly in the FUV as measured with G and M_{20} . Figure 5 shows IRX versus M_{20} (top row) and G (bottom row) for each band, UV - H . The gray shaded areas are values attributed to a more disturbed light distribution; disturbed

Figure 5. IRX- M_{20} and G for 16 objects with available IRX in the UV , B , I , and H bands. The gray-shaded regions roughly define more merger- or clumpy disk-like composition based on the G - M_{20} boundary.

galaxies generally have $G > 0.57$ and $M_{20} > -1.7$, which is the intersection of the G - M_{20} boundary lines (see Figure 5). Aside from the general trend for our sample to have more negative M_{20} values in the H band, there is no obvious correlation between IRX and Gini or M_{20} among LIRGs. Galaxies with extremely large IRX do not have M_{20} values significantly different than the rest of the sample. While there may be a tendency for high IRX systems to have lower Gini, the UV panel shows a larger scatter in Gini among those galaxies with large IRX, even though all sources with $G \lesssim 0.5$ also have $IRX > 2.0$.

M_{20} has a larger scatter into the more bulge-dominated region in the I and H bands (M_{20} is more negative). G does not change between wavelengths. The Gini outlier in B and I is NGC 3690E, and in H is Arp 256N. Here we find no correlation between IRX and G - M_{20} classification in the FUV , or other wavelengths.

4.2. Simulations of High- z LIRGs in the Rest-frame FUV

Numerous papers analyze the apparent morphology of distant galaxies and how they are affected by resolution and depth (e.g., Hibbard & Vacca 1997; Lotz et al. 2004). Similarly, the often clumpy nature of UV imaging data can make even normal, star-forming galaxies appear disturbed and irregular. Our sample of rest-frame HST FUV data of local mergers enables us to simulate the appearance of high- z mergers in the optical bands. In particular, using our sample, we simulate how the LIRGs in the SBC FUV rest-frame would appear in ultra-deep field (UDF) images at $z = 0.5, 1.5, 2$, and 3 , in order to examine if local LIRGs would be identified as galaxy mergers at these redshifts.

The simulated images are generated by applying the same method described in Giavalisco et al. (1996; see Petty et al. 2009; Bridge et al. 2010 for more recent applications). Briefly, we rebin and convolve the SBC images according to the pixel scale and PSF of the bands at the depth of the UDF. We use the $F220W$ ($z = 0.5$), B - ($z = 1.5, 2$), and V -band ($F606W$; $z = 3$) filter responses and detector characteristics ($0.03'' \text{ pix}^{-1}$), corresponding with the redshifted FUV rest-frame band. The limiting AB magnitudes are 25.9, 28.7, and 29 for the $F220W$, B , and V bands, respectively (Giavalisco et al. 2004; Beckwith et al. 2006). We rebinned the $z \sim 0$ FUV

Figure 6. Five of 15 objects artificially redshifted in the rest-frame FUV from $0.5 \leq z \leq 3$ as labeled, and simulated to the depth of the UDF in the bands from left to right: F220W ($z = 0.5$), B ($z = 1.5, 2$), and V ($z = 3$). All circles are $1.5''$ in radius. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

images to the calculated angular size scales at each redshift, convolved with the instrument PSFs (produced by Tiny Tim; Krist et al. 2011), and added background from each band in the UDF to match the noise levels (Giavalisco et al. 2004; Beckwith et al. 2006). We exclude five LIRGs from this analysis that were not detected after being redshifted to $z = 3$: NGC 5331S, VV 340a, NGC 2623, *IRAS* 20351 + 2521, and *IRAS* 08355-4944, leaving 15 for the full range of redshifts to analyze.

The radii used for measuring G and M_{20} for the high-redshift simulations have the same projected physical size (6–10.8 kpc) used for the $z \sim 0$ values. This allows for a direct comparison between the nearby and simulated higher redshift values of G and M_{20} . To check the sensitivity of the high- z G - M_{20} measurements, we adjusted the radius for each galaxy at the incremental radii: $r/2$, $2r$. At $r/2$, Gini decreases on average by ~ 0.02 , while M_{20} increases (becomes less negative) on average by ~ 0.2 ; at $2r$ Gini increases on average by ~ 0.01 , and

M_{20} decreases by ~ 0.1 . The differences are well within the uncertainty ranges estimate described in Section 3.

In Figure 6, the simulated UDF rest-frame FUV images of the LIRGs are shown at each redshift. The circles have radii of $1.5''$ (~ 9 – 13 kpc in the redshift range considered here), and are for comparison purposes. The majority of the LIRGs retain the brightest clump structures, while low surface-brightness areas are lost to noise, or some of the clumps blend in the rebinning process. The galaxies that appear most affected by this—NGC 6786, IIZw 96, ESO 148, NGC 7674—have been mostly reduced to a single bright clump that would be regarded as the entire galaxy. Structural details are lost for $z \gtrsim 2$, and they might be mistaken for compact UV-bright galaxies. This is in agreement with the results of Hibbard & Vacca (1997) performed on a smaller sample.

The morphologies as estimated by the G - M_{20} values further confirm this result. The left four panels in Figure 7 compares G - M_{20} between each redshift bin, starting with the original

Figure 6. (Continued.)

values at $z \sim 0$. We also include the G - M_{20} boundary lines defining the expected G - M_{20} morphology types as in Figure 2. By the first step in the redshift sequence at $z = 0.5$, the majority of objects have moved to the merger boundary. As the redshift increases, the galaxies transition almost entirely into the Sb–Ir region, and out of the merger area.

We also show M_{20} and G versus redshift in the two right panels. While M_{20} may vary for the individual galaxies at each redshift as shown in the G - M_{20} plots, the overall ranges of M_{20} show no trend with redshift. However, the G values decrease significantly until $z \gtrsim 1.5$, where the values flatten to $G \sim 0.45$. Several factors, including the reduction of spatial resolution from 80 pc to 1.36 kpc, in addition to dimming of tails and other extended features, have a systematic affect on both M_{20} and G . The KS-tests between the original, or $z \sim 0$ and redshifted G values are inconsistent with them arising from the same sample (P values $\ll 0.1\%$). For M_{20} the KS-tests give P values $> 10\%$ for $z = 0.5, 1.5$ and 3 when compared with the original LIRG M_{20} value.

Overall, at higher redshifts, most merging LIRGs in the FUV-rest-frame would appear as compact Sb–Ir galaxies. Visually, some may appear clumpy and disturbed (Figure 6),

but many of the extended features are absent, which is one key attribute to a merger classification. Based on our small, yet diverse sample, merging galaxies at $z \gtrsim 2$ can appear to be similar to normal disk galaxies when observed at visual wavelengths.

NGC 5257 presents an interesting case, which points to the opposite possibility; namely, the classification of disk galaxies with irregular star formation as mergers. NGC 5257 is a member of an interacting pair (Haan et al. 2011), where the value for G - M_{20} in the NIR sit on the border between irregular and normal disk galaxies. The G - M_{20} values as measured in the optical and UV, however, are well within the irregular/merger region. When redshifted to $z > 1$, NGC 5257 follows the same trend toward smaller G as the other LIRGs in the sample, but straddles the dividing line between the merger and disk galaxy regions in the G - M_{20} plane. Therefore, although this source is a member of an interacting pair, the G - M_{20} classification suggests a distorted disk, driven by the asymmetric star formation evident in our images. In this case the classification is correct, but is entirely driven by the unusual star formation in the disk of NGC 5257 itself. If galaxies with similar asymmetries are common at higher redshifts, they

Figure 6. (Continued.)

would also appear as mergers even if the star formation is driven by other processes, such as gas accretion and disk instabilities.

Overall, the largest effect on $G-M_{20}$ is that G decreases significantly, due to the decrease in signal to noise, $(1+z)^4$ surface brightness dimming, and decrease in spatial resolution from pixel rebinning, during the redshifting process. Even at UDF depths, the surface brightness dimming removes extended tidal features and other asymmetries. The pixel rebinning significantly reduces the number of resolved individual clumps, concentrating the brightest pixels.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a study of the $G-M_{20}$ -derived morphologies in local sample of LIRGS, observed with Hubble from the FUV through near-IR at an average spatial resolutions of 80 pc. Visually, the distribution of individual star-forming clusters in the LIRGs appear to depend on wavelength. Whether the morphology of a dusty galaxy may be effectively

identified based on UV-optical observations has been explored previously. Mirabel et al. (1998) analyzed the double nuclei in the Antennae galaxies with *HST* optical and mid-IR (ISO). They found that the brightest mid-IR cluster is dark in the optical wavelengths, and used these results to postulate that this would bias high redshift observations. Hibbard & Vacca (1997) studied four nearby irregular galaxies using images in the rest-frame *FUV*, *B*, and *V* bands and simulated them to look like Hubble Deep Field observations at higher redshifts ($0.5 < z < 2.5$). They demonstrated that it was not possible to recover the global properties of the galaxies after $z > 1.5$. They further argued that the rest-frame UV was not sensitive to an older, more general stellar population, such as the shorter, optical wavelengths.

Our results indicate that quantitative $G-M_{20}$ measurements do not effectively reflect this wavelength dependence. While the location of mergers in the $G-M_{20}$ plane move significantly from the FUV through the visual and near-IR, they do not typically cross the boundaries that define merging systems to

Figure 6. (Continued.)

those occupied by regular galaxies (spiral or elliptical). Merging LIRGs stay in the same $G-M_{20}$ classification independent of wavelength. This result may be a function of both resolution and depth, but it is nonetheless an observed property of these data. For our dusty merger sample, the $G-M_{20}$ classifications seem robust from the FUV through the NIR.

However, there are some obvious changes where G and M_{20} behave differently with wavelength: M_{20} becomes more negative and G increases (within a very narrow range), which moves the $G-M_{20}$ values into the upper right corner of the merger region with increasing wavelength. These results are consistent with a dusty central bulge being revealed in the near-IR and dominating the brightest pixels. Specifically, this effect is driving the $G-M_{20}$ changes for NGC 34 and NGC 7674, which visually become more bulge-/disk-like. Some of our galaxies harbor AGNs, which do not seem to affect their $G-M_{20}$ values compared to the starbursts in our sample. Even though Gabor et al. (2009) show that the AGNs can affect the host morphology, we do not find this to be the case for nearby LIRGs.

Both G and M_{20} are very good at selecting merger/LIRGs regardless of rest-frame wavelength at $z \sim 0$. However, G and M_{20} do not correlate clearly with merger stage, although there is a slight rise in G with late stage mergers in our sample. This is promising for selecting merger candidates from large catalogs, where the rest-frame does not need to be taken into account within a reasonable confidence level.

We also compare $G-M_{20}$ with IRX and find no correlation. This lack of correlation between the IRX, G , and M_{20} parameters suggests that a significant change in the amount of dust reprocessing of the FUV emission (over two orders in magnitude in IRX) is not changing the overall UV morphology in a systematic way across the sample, as revealed in $G-M_{20}$. This in turn suggests that the dust is not preferentially affecting the brightest UV knots, but rather that it is either (1) evenly distributed across the galaxies, or (2) is surrounding and obscuring the bulk of the FUV emission from a small number of regions which in turn emit most of the FIR light. Since we know that the starbursts and the dust emission in LIRGs becomes more concentrated as the luminosity increases in the

Figure 7. The four panels on the left show the G - M_{20} values for the FUV rest-frame redshifted images. For each redshift bin, we connect the G - M_{20} values from an object’s lower redshift (gray) to its higher redshift (open circles) as defined in the legend. The two panels on the right show the average G and M_{20} values with simulated redshift (black circles). The vertical lines span the minimum and maximum values for that redshift bin. The dashed and solid lines illustrate the trend for G to decrease and flatten with increasing redshift, while M_{20} remains relatively stable.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

later merger stages, this result is consistent with the warm dust which drives LIRGs to higher IRX being concentrated around the nucleus. An increase in IRX would seem to provide a smaller contribution of the brightest clumps to the total UV flux. We are probing the H II region scales, implying that any correlation between IRX and morphology would be occurring on smaller scales.

Due to the levels of dust obscuration causing low-surface brightness, rest-frame UV and optical surveys of higher redshift infrared luminous galaxies are more rare (e.g., Conselice 2003; Lotz et al. 2006; Ravindranath et al. 2006; Petty et al. 2009; Conselice et al. 2011; Law et al. 2012; Holwerda et al. 2012). Elbaz et al. (2007) visually classify the morphologies of LIRGs at $z \sim 1$, and find that only one-third have signatures of being in a major merger. In comparison, Kartaltepe et al. (2012) find that $\sim 24\%$ of ULIRGs at $z \sim 2$ are mergers.

Based on our simulation of LIRGs at increasing redshift, we detect a consistent loss of information due to surface brightness dimming, removing the tidal tails, and other faint asymmetric features in late-stage merging systems. Plus, a loss of resolution of individual clumps occurs because of the pixel rebinning. The results from redshifting the LIRGs up to $z = 3$ suggest that G is highly sensitive to these effects. However, M_{20} appears to be relatively stable to the redshift simulations with an average difference of $\sim 4\%$ from the range of values it takes at $z \sim 0$. Moreover, our analysis shows that G decreases as much as 40% over the range of values. It is likely that the loss of resolution (clumps combine with pixel rebinning) and surface brightness dimming of the faint, extended features dominate the change, skewing G - M_{20} toward a smoother disk-like parameter space. In other words, merging galaxies will look more disk-like at $z \gtrsim 2$ in the G - M_{20} plane, even in the rest-frame FUV.

While our results show that mergers, when seen at high redshift, can often appear more “regular” in the visual and UV than they really are, the converse is also true: galaxies can

appear to be mergers when in fact they are irregular, as in the case of NGC 5257.

A number of authors have already discussed the significantly lower fraction of mergers seen among high- z galaxies. At fixed luminosities this is certainly a factor, since galaxies will lie along a rising main sequence. However, our data suggest that the exact fraction of mergers at $z \gtrsim 0.5$ can also be underestimated. In Wang et al. (2012), the authors measure G - M_{20} on a color-selected sample of extremely red objects (mostly disks) at $z \sim 2$ in the rest-frame optical. They find a clean separation in G - M_{20} space between the quiescent and dSFGs, and infer that 30% of star-forming galaxies and 70% of quiescent galaxies are disks, claiming that this argues against a significant role for mergers in building galactic mass. However, we show that merging systems at high redshift with faint extended features may be classified as disks. Petty et al. (2009) also find that nearby merger-like galaxies simulated at $z \sim 1.5$ and 4, in the rest-frame UV, have more disk-like G - M_{20} values.

There are some discrepancies in determining the morphology-wavelength dependence, or k-correction, particularly for estimating mergers. Understanding this factor is important for determining merger-fractions at higher redshifts. The results we find for this LIRG sample are similar to the basic conclusion of Overzier et al. (2010), who compare nearby Lyman-break analogs (LBAs), LBGs at $z \sim 3$ –4, and BzK galaxies at $z \sim 2$ in the rest-frame UV and optical at HUDF depths. They show that the extended features of the LBAs disappear after degrading the images to the BzK and LBG sensitivities (simulating higher redshifts), implying that the rest-frame UV is particularly susceptible to the removal of extended features.

This effect could have an impact on studies of mergers as the major source of mass building which rely purely on morphological classifications of high- z galaxies. In particular, observations and simulations show that disks can survive and reform after a major wet merging event (e.g., Robertson

et al. 2006; Robertson & Bullock 2008; Hopkins et al. 2009; Governato et al. 2009). Even though our results do not suggest that all star-forming $z \gtrsim 0.5$ disks or recent starbursts are powered by wet, major mergers, it is clear that the number of major mergers may be underestimated when using $G-M_{20}$, and other quantitative measures to recognize merging systems at higher redshifts in the rest-frame UV. In particular, although our sample is small, we find that $\sim 50\%$ would appear disk-like if observed at UDF-like depths with *HST* by $z \sim 0.5$, and nearly all of them are classified as disk-like by $z \sim 2$. At low-redshift, galaxies look more irregular in the UV due to dust and the dominance of hot, young stars, but when observed at high-redshift this trend can be reversed, and even mergers can look disk-like. In future papers we will explore these trends with a larger sample of local mergers observed from the UV through NIR with *HST*, and analyze the star-forming clumps as a function of merger stage and other global parameters, such as dust temperature and SED shape.

Support for Program number HST-GO-11196 was provided by NASA through a grant from the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Incorporated, under NASA contract NAS5-26555. VC would like to acknowledge partial support from the EU FP7 Grant PIRSES-GA-2012-316788. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

REFERENCES

- Armus, L., Heckman, T., & Miley, G. 1987, *AJ*, **94**, 831
- Armus, L., Mazzarella, J. M., Evans, A. S., et al. 2009, *PASP*, **121**, 559
- Beckwith, S. V. W., Stiavelli, M., Koekemoer, A. M., et al. 2006, *AJ*, **132**, 1729
- Berta, S., Magnelli, B., Nordon, R., et al. 2011, *A&A*, **532**, A49
- Bridge, C. R., Carlberg, R. G., & Sullivan, M. 2010, *ApJ*, **709**, 1067
- Bridge, C. R., Appleton, P. N., Conselice, C. J., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **659**, 931
- Caputi, K. I., Lagache, G., Yan, L., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **660**, 97
- Charmandaris, V., Le Floch, E., & Mirabel, I. F. 2004, *ApJL*, **600**, L15
- Conselice, C. J. 2003, *ApJS*, **147**, 1
- Conselice, C. J., Bluck, A. F. L., Ravindranath, S., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, **417**, 2770
- Díaz-Santos, T., Armus, L., Charmandaris, V., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **774**, 68
- Elbaz, D., Daddi, E., le Borgne, D., et al. 2007, *A&A*, **468**, 33
- Elbaz, D., Dickinson, M., Hwang, H. S., et al. 2011, *A&A*, **533**, A119
- Farrah, D., Rowan-Robinson, M., Oliver, S., et al. 2001, *MNRAS*, **326**, 1333
- Gabor, J. M., Impey, C. D., Jahnke, K., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **691**, 705
- Giavalisco, M., Livio, M., Bohlin, R. C., Macchetto, F. D., & Stecher, T. P. 1996, *AJ*, **112**, 369
- Giavalisco, M., Ferguson, H. C., Koekemoer, A. M., et al. 2004, *ApJL*, **600**, L93
- Gini, C. 1912, *Memorie di metodologia statistica*, ed. E. Pizetti, & T. Salvemini (Rome, Italy: Libreria Eredi Virgilio Veschi)
- Goto, T. 2005, *MNRAS*, **360**, 322
- Governato, F., Brook, C. B., Brooks, A. M., et al. 2009, *MNRAS*, **398**, 312
- Haan, S., Surace, J. A., Armus, L., et al. 2011, *AJ*, **141**, 100
- Hibbard, J. E., & Vacca, W. D. 1997, *AJ*, **114**, 1741
- Holwerda, B. W., Pirzkal, N., & Heiner, J. S. 2012, *MNRAS*, **427**, 3159
- Hopkins, P. F., Cox, T. J., Younger, J. D., & Hernquist, L. 2009, *ApJ*, **691**, 1168
- Houck, J. R., Schneider, D. P., Danielson, G. E., et al. 1985, *ApJL*, **290**, L5
- Howell, J. H., Armus, L., Mazzarella, J. M., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **715**, 572
- Kartaltepe, J. S., Sanders, D. B., le Floch, E., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **721**, 98
- Kartaltepe, J. S., Dickinson, M., Alexander, D. M., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **757**, 23
- Kim, D., & Sanders, D. B. 1998, *ApJS*, **119**, 41
- Kim, D.-C., Evans, A. S., Vavilkin, T., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **768**, 102
- Krist, J. E., Hook, R. N., & Stoehr, F. 2011, *Proc. SPIE*, **8127**, 16
- Law, D. R., Steidel, C. C., Erb, D. K., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **656**, 1
- Law, D. R., Steidel, C. C., Shapley, A. E., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **745**, 85
- le Floch, E., Papovich, C., Dole, H., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **632**, 169
- Lonsdale, C. J., Farrah, D., & Smith, H. E. 2006, *Ultraluminous Infrared Galaxies*, ed. J. W. Mason (Chichester, UK: Praxis), 285
- Lotz, J. M., Madau, P., Giavalisco, M., Primack, J., & Ferguson, H. C. 2006, *ApJ*, **636**, 592
- Lotz, J. M., Primack, J., & Madau, P. 2004, *AJ*, **128**, 163
- Lotz, J. M., Davis, M., Faber, S. M., et al. 2008, *ApJ*, **672**, 177
- Magnelli, B., Elbaz, D., Chary, R. R., et al. 2009, *A&A*, **496**, 57
- Magnelli, B., Popesso, P., Berta, S., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **553**, A132
- Meurer, G. R., Heckman, T. M., & Calzetti, D. 1999, *ApJ*, **521**, 64
- Mirabel, I. F., Vigroux, L., Charmandaris, V., et al. 1998, *A&A*, **333**, L1
- Murphy, T. W. Jr., Armus, L., Matthews, K., et al. 1996, *AJ*, **111**, 1025
- Murphy, T. W. Jr., Soifer, B. T., Matthews, K., & Armus, L. 2001, *ApJ*, **559**, 201
- Overzier, R. A., Heckman, T. M., Schiminovich, D., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **710**, 979
- Petric, A. O., Armus, L., Howell, J., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, **730**, 28
- Petty, S. M., de Mello, D. F., Gallagher, J. S., et al. 2009, *AJ*, **138**, 362
- Ravindranath, S., Giavalisco, M., Ferguson, H. C., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, **652**, 963
- Robertson, B., Bullock, J. S., Cox, T. J., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, **645**, 986
- Robertson, B. E., & Bullock, J. S. 2008, *ApJL*, **685**, L27
- Sanders, D. B., Mazzarella, J. M., Kim, D., Surace, J. A., & Soifer, B. T. 2003, *AJ*, **126**, 1607
- Sanders, D. B., & Mirabel, I. F. 1996, *ARA&A*, **34**, 749
- Sanders, D. B., Soifer, B. T., Elias, J. H., et al. 1988, *ApJ*, **325**, 74
- Sanders, D. B., Soifer, B. T., Elias, J. H., Neugebauer, G., & Matthews, K. 1988, *ApJL*, **328**, L35
- Schechter, P. 1976, *ApJ*, **203**, 297
- Stierwalt, S., Armus, L., Surace, J. A., et al. 2013, *ApJS*, **206**, 1
- Veilleux, S., Kim, D., & Sanders, D. B. 2002, *ApJS*, **143**, 315
- Wang, T., Huang, J.-S., Faber, S. M., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **752**, 134