Ground state energy of large polaron systems

Rafael D. Benguria, Rupert L. Frank, and Elliott H. Lieb

Citation: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **56**, 021901 (2015); doi: 10.1063/1.4908125

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4908125>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jmp/56/2?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the [AIP Publishing](#)

Articles you may be interested in

[Effect of screening on the phonon-polaron states in diluted magnetic semiconductor nanotubes](#)
Low Temp. Phys. **39**, 498 (2013); 10.1063/1.4811335

[Electronic excitation dynamics in multichromophoric systems described via a polaron-representation master equation](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **135**, 154112 (2011); 10.1063/1.3652227

[Ground state energy of the low density Hubbard model: An upper bound](#)

J. Math. Phys. **48**, 023302 (2007); 10.1063/1.2437650

[Ground state energy of the polaron in the relativistic quantum electrodynamics](#)

J. Math. Phys. **46**, 102307 (2005); 10.1063/1.2084749

[Variational energy band theory for polarons: Mapping polaron structure with the Merrifield method](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **106**, 5622 (1997); 10.1063/1.473598

Ground state energy of large polaron systems

Rafael D. Benguria,^{1,a)} Rupert L. Frank,^{2,b)} and Elliott H. Lieb^{3,c)}

¹*Instituto de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile*

²*Mathematics 253-37, Caltech, Pasadena, California 91125, USA*

³*Departments of Mathematics and Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA*

(Received 17 December 2014; accepted 3 February 2015; published online 18 February 2015)

The last unsolved problem about the many-polaron system, in the Pekar–Tomasevich approximation, is the case of bosons with the electron-electron Coulomb repulsion of strength exactly 1 (the “neutral case”). We prove that the ground state energy, for large N , goes exactly as $-N^{7/5}$, and we give upper and lower bounds on the asymptotic coefficient that agree to within a factor of $2^{2/5}$. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4908125>]

I. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we are concerned with the ground state energy of a system of N polarons in the Pekar–Tomasevich approximation,¹⁷ which is derived from the Fröhlich polaron,⁷ in the limit of large coupling constant α (see (1.7)). The Pekar–Tomasevich energy functional is

$$\mathcal{E}_U^{(N)}[\psi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N |\nabla_j \psi|^2 + U \sum_{j < k} \frac{|\psi|^2}{|x_j - x_k|} \right) dx - D(\rho_\psi, \rho_\psi), \quad (1.1)$$

for $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\psi|^2 dx = 1$. (We will write $\|\psi\|$ to denote the L^2 , not the H^1 norm.) We have used the usual notations

$$\rho_\psi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} |\psi(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_N)|^2 dx_1 \dots dx_{j-1} dx_{j+1} \dots dx_N,$$

for the particle density corresponding to ψ and

$$D(\rho, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\overline{\rho(x)} \sigma(x')}{|x - x'|} dx dx',$$

for the Coulomb energy. The dimensionless parameter $U > 0$ in (1.1) describes the strength of the Coulomb repulsion between the particles relative to the strength of their self-attraction. (Originally, there is another parameter $\alpha > 0$ in front of $D(\rho_\psi, \rho_\psi)$, but by scaling, we may assume that $\alpha = 1$.)

We are concerned both with the case of bosonic and of fermionic statistics, and we denote the corresponding ground state energies by

$$E_U^{(b)}(N) = \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}_U^{(N)}[\psi] : \text{symmetric } \psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N}), \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\psi|^2 dx = 1 \right\}$$

and

$$E_U^{(f)}(N) = \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}_U^{(N)}[\psi] : \text{antisymmetric } \psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N}), \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\psi|^2 dx = 1 \right\}.$$

^{a)}E-mail address: rbenguri@fis.puc.cl

^{b)}E-mail address: rlfrank@caltech.edu

^{c)}E-mail address: lieb@princeton.edu

For simplicity, we ignore spin. It is well known that $E_U^{(b)}(N)$ coincides with the infimum of $\mathcal{E}_U^{(N)}[\psi]$ over all $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\psi|^2 dx = 1$, that is, the assumption “symmetric” in the definition of $E_U^{(b)}(N)$ can be dropped. This implies, in particular, that $E_U^{(b)}(N) \leq E_U^{(f)}(N)$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us review what is known about the large N behavior of $E_U^{(b)}(N)$ and $E_U^{(f)}(N)$. These results depend crucially on the sign of $U - 1$. For $U < 1$ and fermions, it is shown in Ref. 8 that

$$-\infty < \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-7/3} E^{(f)}(N) \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-7/3} E^{(f)}(N) \leq e_U^{(f)}, \quad (1.2)$$

for some explicit constant $e_U^{(f)}$ (defined in (1.9)). We shall prove that both $\leq$ in (1.2) are $=$, in fact. For $U < 1$ and bosons, it was noted in Ref. 6 that $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-3} E^{(b)}(N)$ and $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-3} E^{(b)}(N)$ are finite and in Ref. 1, it was shown that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-3} E^{(b)}(N) = e_U^{(b)},$$

for some explicit constant $e_U^{(b)}$. Note that in these cases, the thermodynamic limit does not exist.

The situation changes when $U > 1$. In this case, it was shown in Ref. 6 that $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} E_U^{(f)}(N) \geq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} E_U^{(b)}(N) > -\infty$ and it was deduced that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} E_U^{(b)}(N) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} E_U^{(f)}(N) \quad \text{exist.}$$

In the critical case $U = 1$, (also known as the neutral case) for fermions, it is shown in Ref. 8 that $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} E_U^{(f)}(N) > -\infty$. By the same sub-additivity argument as in Ref. 6, this implies that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} E_1^{(f)}(N) \quad \text{exists.}$$

Thus, our understanding of polaron ground state energies is complete except for the bosonic case with $U = 1$. Our goal in this paper is to fill this gap. The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *In the bosonic case with $U = 1$,*

$$-2^{2/5} A \leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-7/5} E_1^{(b)}(N) \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-7/5} E_1^{(b)}(N) \leq -A, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$-A = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx - I_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi|^{5/2} dx : \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi|^2 dx = 1 \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

with

$$I_0 = \frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} \frac{\Gamma(3/4)}{\Gamma(5/4)} \simeq 0.60868. \quad (1.5)$$

We emphasize that (1.3) identifies the correct growth rate of $E_1^{(b)}(N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Our asymptotic upper and lower bounds, however, differ by a factor of $2^{2/5}$. We believe that the upper bound is the correct one. Our proof of the theorem is constructive and leads to explicit error bounds. For instance, for the upper bound, we obtain

$$E_1^{(b)}(N) \leq -AN^{7/5}(1 - CN^{-1/35}). \quad (1.6)$$

Remark 1.2. Consider the Fröhlich Hamiltonian,⁷

$$H_{U,\alpha}^{(N)} = \sum_{j=1}^N (-\Delta_j + \sqrt{\alpha} \varphi(x_j)) + U \sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|^{-1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_k^* a_k dk \quad (1.7)$$

in $L^2_{\text{symm}}(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \otimes \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$, where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the bosonic Fock space and where

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a_k e^{ik \cdot x} + a_k^* e^{-ik \cdot x}) \frac{dk}{|k|},$$

with annihilation and creation operators a_k and a_k^* . Since a (rescaled) Pekar functional¹⁶ is an upper bound on $\inf \text{spec } H_{U,\alpha}^{(N)}$,⁶ we conclude from Theorem 1.1 that for $U = \alpha$,

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-7/5} \inf \text{spec } H_{\alpha,\alpha}^{(N)} \leq -A\alpha^2.$$

In particular, the thermodynamic limit does not exist. If the particles are treated as fermions, the existence of such a limit is still an open problem. *End of Remark*

In our second theorem, proved in the Appendix, we show that the upper bound obtained in Ref. 8 in the fermionic case for $U < 1$ is, in fact, asymptotically correct.

Theorem 1.3. *In the fermionic case with $0 < U < 1$,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-7/3} E_U^{(f)}(N) = e_U^{(f)}, \quad (1.8)$$

with

$$e_U^{(f)} = \inf \left\{ \frac{3}{5} (6\pi^2)^{2/3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho^{5/3} dx - (1-U) D(\rho, \rho) : \rho \geq 0, \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho dx = 1 \right\}. \quad (1.9)$$

We emphasize that the proof of Theorem 1.1 is much more involved than that of Theorem 1.3, which we include mostly for the sake of completeness.

II. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

A. The lower bound

Oddly enough, the *lower* bound is the easy one for us because the results are available in Ref. 14 for the two-component charged Bose gas. With its use, we can deduce our lower bound from an asymptotic lower bound for the two-component charged Bose gas. Let us recall this result. Given a vector $e = (e_1, \dots, e_N) \in \{-1, 1\}^N$ (representing charges), we introduce the Hamiltonian

$$H^{(N)}(e) = \sum_{j=1}^N (-\Delta_j) + \sum_{i < j} \frac{e_i e_j}{|x_i - x_j|} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}).$$

In Ref. 14, it is proved that

$$\inf_{e \in \{-1, 1\}^N} \inf \text{spec } H^{(N)}(e) \geq -AN^{7/5} (1 + o(1)), \quad (2.1)$$

where A is as in (1.4). (Note that we rescaled the result from Ref. 14, where the kinetic energy is described by $-\Delta/2$, whereas it is $-\Delta$ in our case.)

Let us fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Given $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\psi|^2 dx = 1$, we define $\tilde{\psi}(x, y) = \psi(x)\psi(y)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$ and let $e = (1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1)$, where both 1 and -1 are repeated N times. Then, from (1.1),

$$(\tilde{\psi}, H^{(2N)}(e) \tilde{\psi}) = 2 \mathcal{E}_1^{(N)}[\psi] \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6N}} |\tilde{\psi}|^2 dx dy = 1.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$2E_1^{(b)}(N) = \inf_{\psi} 2 \mathcal{E}_1^{(N)}[\psi] \geq \inf_{e \in \{-1, 1\}^N} \inf \text{spec } H^{(2N)}(e).$$

The lower bound (1.3) in Theorem 1.1 thus follows from (2.1).

B. The upper bound

1. Introduction

Most of this paper is taken up with the upper bound in Theorem 1.1. Normally, upper bounds are easier than lower bounds, but this is not necessarily so for Coulomb systems where we want

not just an asymptotic power law but also an accurate constant multiplying the power law. The truly remarkable fact is that the accurate constants were first found by Foldy⁵ for the one-component plasma (jellium) using Bogoliubov's method and for the two-component gas by Dyson⁴ using Foldy's result. None of this was rigorous, however. The rigorous lower bounds were done in Refs. 13 and 14. The upper bounds were done by Solovej in a *tour de force*.¹⁸ Our work here consists largely in imitating and adapting Solovej's work to our special case.

As Solovej points out, Foldy's calculation, while not yielding a rigorous lower bound, essentially yields a rigorous upper bound — much as Pekar's model is a rigorous upper bound for Fröhlich's model (1.7). Unfortunately, this is not quite so simple since one of the things done in Ref. 5 is to use periodic boundary conditions (which is not easy to justify for Coulomb systems). Another hard to justify procedure is to mimic the charge neutralizing background by simply discarding the $k = 0$ term in the Fourier series for the Coulomb potential. It was Solovej who succeeded in solving these problems.

Bogoliubov's method of 1947³ takes account of bosonic symmetry using boson creation and annihilation operators in momentum space. The Coulomb interaction is, like any 2-body interaction, represented by a quartic in these operators. Bogoliubov's idea is to retain only those terms that have no more than two operators of non-zero momentum and to replace the zero momentum operators by $\sqrt{N}$ (see Ref. 12). The resulting quadratic in non-zero momentum operators is then diagonalized. This latter process can be thought of as using “squeezed coherent states.”

2. First step

In our proof of the upper bound, we shall linearize the non-linear functional $\mathcal{E}_1^{(N)}[\cdot]$, as in Ref. 6. This process replaces the 2-body interaction by a one-body potential, so that the problem tends to resemble the one-component Coulomb gas. Given a real-valued function σ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with $D(\sigma, \sigma) < \infty$, we introduce the operator

$$H_\sigma^{(N)} = \sum_{j=1}^N (-\Delta_j - \sigma * |x_j|^{-1}) + \sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|^{-1} + D(\sigma, \sigma) \quad \text{in } L^2_{\text{symm}}(\mathbb{R}^{3N}),$$

where $\sigma * |x_j|^{-1}$ is an abbreviation for $(\sigma * |\cdot|^{-1})(x_j)$. Then by linearization, we mean that

$$\inf_{\sigma} \inf \text{spec } H_\sigma^{(N)} = E_1^{(b)}(N), \quad (2.2)$$

as is easily verified by completing a square.

We denote by $\mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ the bosonic Fock space over $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and consider the operator

$$\mathcal{H}_\sigma = \bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} H_\sigma^{(N)} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

(with $H_\sigma^{(0)} = 0$ and $H_\sigma^{(1)} = -\Delta - \sigma * |x|^{-1} + D(\sigma, \sigma)$.) As usual, we denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the number operator.

In order to prove the upper bound in Theorem 1.1, our strategy will be to find an upper bound on $\inf_{\sigma} \inf \text{spec } \mathcal{H}_\sigma$ of the required form. Indeed, the main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *For any sufficiently large n , there is a normalized $\Psi_n \in \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with finite kinetic energy and a σ_n with $D(\sigma_n, \sigma_n) < \infty$ such that*

$$(\Psi_n, \mathcal{H}_{\sigma_n} \Psi_n) \leq -An^{7/5} (1 - Cn^{-1/35}), \quad (2.3)$$

$$(\Psi_n, \mathcal{N} \Psi_n) - n \leq Cn^{3/5}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$(\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}^2 \Psi_n) - (\Psi_n, \mathcal{N} \Psi_n)^2 \leq Cn, \quad (2.5)$$

where A is the constant from (1.4) and C is some constant independent of n .

Actually, instead of (2.4) and (2.5), we shall show the stronger facts that

$$|(\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}\Psi_n) - n| \leq Cn^{3/5} \quad (2.6)$$

$$(\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}^2\Psi_n) - (\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}\Psi_n)^2 \leq n + Cn^{3/5+4/15}. \quad (2.7)$$

3. From Proposition 2.1 to Theorem 1.1

Accepting Proposition 2.1 for the moment, we now explain how this trial state Ψ_n on $\mathcal{F}$ leads to an upper bound for $E_1^{(b)}(N)$. The following argument is taken from Ref. 18 and reproduced here for the sake of completeness.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 given Proposition 2.1. As a preliminary to the proof, we shall show that for all $M > 0$ and all sufficiently large n ,

$$\sum_{m > (\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}\Psi_n) + M} m^{7/5} \|\Psi_n^{(m)}\|^2 \leq CM^{-3/5} n^{17/10}. \quad (2.8)$$

Here $\Psi_n^{(m)}$ denotes the projection of Ψ_n onto the sector of m particles.

Indeed, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m > (\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}\Psi_n) + M} m^{7/5} \|\Psi_n^{(m)}\|^2 &\leq M^{-3/5} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m^{7/5} |m - (\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}\Psi_n)|^{3/5} \|\Psi_n^{(m)}\|^2 \\ &\leq M^{-3/5} (\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}^2\Psi_n)^{7/10} \left((\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}^2\Psi_n) - (\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}\Psi_n)^2 \right)^{3/10}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$(\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}^2\Psi_n) \leq Cn^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}^2\Psi_n) - (\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}\Psi_n)^2 \leq Cn.$$

This proves (2.8).

After this preliminary, we begin with the main part of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Recalling the linearization formula (2.2) and using the fact that $N \mapsto \inf \text{spec } H_{\sigma}^{(N)}$ is non-increasing and non-positive, we have for any n ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{(b)}(N) &\leq \inf \text{spec } H_{\sigma_n}^{(N)} \leq \left(\inf \text{spec } H_{\sigma_n}^{(N)} \right) \sum_{m=0}^N \|\Psi_n^{(m)}\|^2 \leq \sum_{m=0}^N \left(\inf \text{spec } H_{\sigma_n}^{(m)} \right) \|\Psi_n^{(m)}\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{m=0}^N (\Psi_n^{(m)}, H_{\sigma_n}^{(m)} \Psi_n^{(m)}) = (\Psi_n, \mathcal{H}_{\sigma_n} \Psi_n) - \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} (\Psi_n^{(m)}, H_{\sigma_n}^{(m)} \Psi_n^{(m)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we need a lower bound on the last sum. Because of the linearization formula (2.2) and the lower bound on $E_1^{(b)}(m)$ proved above, we have

$$\sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} (\Psi_n^{(m)}, H_{\sigma_n}^{(m)} \Psi_n^{(m)}) \geq \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \|\Psi_n^{(m)}\|^2 \mathcal{E}_1^{(m)}[\Psi_n^{(m)}] \geq -C \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} m^{7/5} \|\Psi_n^{(m)}\|^2.$$

For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, we shall apply the previous bounds with $n = N - C_0 N^{3/5}$. Here, by (2.4), the constant C_0 can be chosen in such a way that for another constant $C_1 > 0$ one has

$$(\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}\Psi_n) \leq N - C_1 N^{3/5}.$$

Then, we can use (2.8) to bound

$$\begin{aligned} -C \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} m^{7/5} \|\Psi_n^{(m)}\|^2 &\geq -C \sum_{m > (\Psi_n, \mathcal{N}\Psi_n) + C_1 N^{3/5}} m^{7/5} \|\Psi_n^{(m)}\|^2 \\ &\geq -CN^{-9/25} n^{17/10} \\ &\geq -CN^{7/5-3/50}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.3), we conclude that

$$N^{-7/5} E_1^{(b)}(N) \leq -A(1 - C_0 N^{-2/5})^{7/5} (1 - C N^{-1/35}) + C N^{-3/50} \leq -A(1 - C N^{-1/35}).$$

This proves (1.6) and completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

4. Proof of Proposition 2.1, Step 1

Thus, we have reduced the proof of the upper bound in Theorem 1.1 to the proof of Proposition 2.1. The following lemma guarantees the existence of an appropriate trial state.

Lemma 2.2. *For any real $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and any non-negative, real trace class operator γ with finite kinetic energy, there is a normalized $\Psi \in \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with finite kinetic energy and a σ with $D(\sigma, \sigma) < \infty$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi, \mathcal{H}_\sigma \Psi) &= (\varphi, -\Delta \varphi) + \text{Tr}(-\Delta) \gamma - \text{Tr} K_\varphi \left(\sqrt{\gamma(\gamma + 1)} - \gamma \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\gamma(x, x')|^2}{|x - x'|} dx dx' \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\sqrt{\gamma(\gamma + 1)}(x, x')|^2}{|x - x'|} dx dx', \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$$(\Psi, \mathcal{N} \Psi) = \|\varphi\|^2 + \text{Tr} \gamma, \quad (2.10)$$

$$(\Psi, \mathcal{N}^2 \Psi) - (\Psi, \mathcal{N} \Psi)^2 = \|\varphi\|^2 + 2 \text{Tr} \gamma(\gamma + 1) - 2(\varphi, \sqrt{\gamma(\gamma + 1)} \varphi) + 2(\varphi, \gamma \varphi). \quad (2.11)$$

Here, K_φ is the integral operator with integral kernel $K_\varphi(x, x') = \varphi(x)|x - x'|^{-1}\varphi(x')$.

Proof. We write $\gamma = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_\alpha^2}{1-\lambda_\alpha^2} |\psi_\alpha\rangle\langle\psi_\alpha|$ with $0 < \lambda_\alpha < 1$ and (ψ_α) orthonormal. Since γ is real, the ψ_α can be chosen real. Following Ref. 18, we set

$$\Psi = \prod_{\alpha} \left((1 - \lambda_\alpha^2)^{1/4} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_\alpha}{2} (a(\psi_\alpha)^* - (\varphi, \psi_\alpha)) (a(\psi_\alpha)^* - (\varphi, \psi_\alpha)) \right) \right) |\varphi\rangle_C$$

with

$$|\varphi\rangle_C = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \|\varphi\|^2 + a(\varphi)^* \right) |0\rangle.$$

Here a and a^* are (bosonic) annihilation and creation operators on $\mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$. One can check that $\|\Psi\| = 1$. Equations (2.10) and (2.11) follow from Ref. 18, (23), (24). (Note that the last two terms on the right side of (2.11) are absent in Ref. 18, since there $\gamma\varphi = 0$.) Moreover, as in Ref. 18, (58), (59), and (60), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Psi, \bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^N (-\Delta_j - \sigma * |x_j|^{-1}) \Psi \right) &= \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 - 2D(\sigma, \varphi^2) + \text{Tr}(-\Delta - \sigma * |x|^{-1}) \gamma \\ &= \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \text{Tr}(-\Delta) \gamma - 2D(\sigma, \varphi^2) \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Psi, \bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|^{-1} \Psi \right) &= -\text{Tr} K_\varphi \left(\sqrt{\gamma(\gamma + 1)} - \gamma \right) \\ &\quad + D(\varphi^2, \varphi^2) + 2D(\rho_\gamma, \varphi^2) + D(\rho_\gamma, \rho_\gamma) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\gamma(x, x')|^2}{|x - x'|} dx dx' + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\sqrt{\gamma(\gamma + 1)}(x, x')|^2}{|x - x'|} dx dx'. \end{aligned}$$

With the choice $\sigma = \varphi^2 + \rho_\gamma$, we obtain (2.9). $\square$

5. Proof of Proposition 2.1, Step 2

Lemma 2.2 reduces our task of proving Proposition 2.1 to finding corresponding φ and γ . We will do this using the method of coherent states; see, e.g., Sec. 12 of Ref. 10. Given a real, even function $G \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\|G\| = 1$, we let

$$G_{p,q}(x) = e^{ip \cdot x} G(x - q), \quad p, q, x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Let M be a non-negative, integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying $M(p, q) = M(-p, q)$ and define the operator

$$\gamma = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} M(p, q) |G_{p,q}\rangle \langle G_{p,q}| \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3}.$$

Clearly, γ is a real, non-negative trace class operator. Let $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be real. Then Lemma 2.2 yields a trial state Ψ and a σ with

$$(\Psi, \mathcal{H}_\sigma \Psi) = (\varphi, -\Delta \varphi) + \text{Tr}(-\Delta) \gamma - \text{Tr} K_\varphi \left(\sqrt{\gamma(\gamma + 1)} - \gamma \right) + \mathcal{R}_{\text{xc}},$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{xc}} = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\gamma(x, x')|^2}{|x - x'|} dx dx' + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\sqrt{\gamma(\gamma + 1)}(x, x')|^2}{|x - x'|} dx dx'.$$

By Theorem 12.9 of Ref. 10

$$\text{Tr}(-\Delta) \gamma = \text{Tr}(-\Delta - \|\nabla G\|^2) \gamma + \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} p^2 M(p, q) \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3} + \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}},$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} = \|\nabla G\|^2 \text{Tr} \gamma = \|\nabla G\|^2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} M(p, q) \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3}.$$

Moreover, since $t \mapsto \sqrt{t(t+1)} - t$ is operator-concave, Solovej's operator version of the Berezin–Lieb inequality (Ref. 18, Theorem. A.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} K_\varphi \left(\sqrt{\gamma(\gamma + 1)} - \gamma \right) \\ & \geq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \left(\sqrt{M(p, q)(M(p, q) + 1)} - M(p, q) \right) (G_{p,q}, K_\varphi G_{p,q}) \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $G_{p,q}$ is a coherent state, it is not unreasonable to think that $(G_{p,q}, K_\varphi G_{p,q})$ should be an approximation to $4\pi\varphi(q)^2|p|^{-2}$, and therefore, we introduce the remainder

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{int}} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \left(\sqrt{M(p, q)(M(p, q) + 1)} - M(p, q) \right) \left(\frac{4\pi\varphi(q)^2}{|p|^2} - (G_{p,q}, K_\varphi G_{p,q}) \right) \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3}.$$

Thus, we have

$$(\Psi, \mathcal{H}_\sigma \Psi) \leq \mathcal{E}(\varphi, M) + \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} + \mathcal{R}_{\text{int}} + \mathcal{R}_{\text{xc}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\varphi, M) &= \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} p^2 M(p, q) \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &\quad - 4\pi \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \left(\sqrt{M(p, q)(M(p, q) + 1)} - M(p, q) \right) \frac{\varphi(q)^2}{p^2} \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Minimizing $\mathcal{E}(\varphi, M)$. In order to make our upper bound as small as possible, we would like to minimize the functional $\mathcal{E}(\varphi, M)$ with respect to functions $M \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying the requirements above. Carrying out the minimization over M first yields

$$M_*(p, q) = g \left(\frac{|p|}{(4\pi)^{1/4} \varphi(q)^{1/2}} \right), \quad \text{where} \quad g(a) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a^4 + 1}{\sqrt{a^4(a^4 + 2)}} - 1 \right). \quad (2.12)$$

With this choice of M , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \left(p^2 M_*(p, q) - \frac{4\pi \varphi(q)^2}{p^2} \left(\sqrt{M_*(p, q)(M_*(p, q) + 1)} - M_*(p, q) \right) \right) \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &= \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \right)^{3/4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi(q)|^{5/2} dq \int_0^\infty \left(a^4 g - (\sqrt{g(g+1)} - g) \right) da \\ &= -2^{1/2} \pi^{-3/4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi(q)|^{5/2} dq \int_0^\infty \left(a^4 + 1 - a^2 \sqrt{a^4 + 2} \right) da \\ &= -\frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} \frac{\Gamma(3/4)}{\Gamma(5/4)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi(q)|^{5/2} dq \\ &= -I_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi(q)|^{5/2} dq, \end{aligned}$$

with I_0 from (1.5). Thus,

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi, M_*) = \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 - I_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi(q)|^{5/2} dq. \quad (2.13)$$

The latter functional has a minimizer for any fixed value of $\|\varphi\|^2$ and the minimizer is non-negative. (This is a well-known result in the calculus of variations—in fact, for us the existence of a minimizer is not really necessary and we could simply work with almost-minimizers.) Thus, let us introduce a parameter $n > 0$ and let us choose φ_* to be the minimizer of (2.13) under the constraint $\|\varphi\|^2 = n$. Then, by scaling,

$$\varphi_*(x) = n^{4/5} \Phi(n^{1/5} x)$$

for a universal function Φ with $\|\Phi\| = 1$, and (2.13) is equal to $-An^{7/5}$ with A from (1.4).

Moreover, if M_* is chosen according to (2.12), then

$$\text{Tr } \gamma = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} M_*(p, q) \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3} = \frac{(4\pi)^{3/4}}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_*(q)^{3/2} dq \int_0^\infty g(a) a^2 da = Cn^{3/5}.$$

(The fact that $\Phi \in L^{3/2}$ follows from the fact that Φ is exponentially decaying, as can be verified using the Euler–Lagrange equation satisfied by Φ .) Thus, from (2.10), we obtain

$$(\Psi, \mathcal{N}\Psi) = n + Cn^{3/5}.$$

Definition of $\gamma_{n,\varepsilon}$. The problem with the above argument is that we cannot get a good bound on $\text{Tr } \gamma^2$, which is needed in order to control the fluctuations of the particle number of Ψ , see (2.11). Therefore, we shall introduce $g_\varepsilon(a) = 0$ if $a \leq \varepsilon$ and $g_\varepsilon(a) = g(a)$ if $a > \varepsilon$. We denote by $\Psi_{n,\varepsilon}$ the state constructed in Lemma 2.2 corresponding to $\gamma_{n,\varepsilon}$ which is given in terms of

$$M_{n,\varepsilon}(p, q) = g_\varepsilon \left(\frac{|p|}{(4\pi)^{1/4} n^{2/5} \Phi(n^{1/5} q)^{1/2}} \right).$$

Then, as before, $\gamma_{n,\varepsilon}$ is trace class with

$$\text{Tr } \gamma_{n,\varepsilon} = \frac{(4\pi)^{5/4}}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi(q)^{3/2} dq \int_\varepsilon^\infty g(a) a^2 da \leq Cn^{3/5}, \quad (2.14)$$

with C independent of ε . In view of (2.10), this implies (2.6), which in turn implies (2.4).

The advantage of introducing the parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ is that now, by the Berezin–Lieb inequality,^{2,9}

$$\text{Tr } \gamma_{n,\varepsilon}^2 \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} M(p, q)^2 \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3} = \frac{(4\pi)^{3/4}}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi(q)^{3/2} dq \int_\varepsilon^\infty g(a)^2 a^2 da \leq C\varepsilon^{-1} n^{3/5}. \quad (2.15)$$

(In the final inequality, we used the fact that $g(a)$ diverges like a^{-2} as $a \rightarrow 0$.) Thus,

$$0 \leq 2 \text{Tr } \gamma_{n,\varepsilon} (\gamma_{n,\varepsilon} + 1) \leq C\varepsilon^{-1} n^{3/5}.$$

We will later choose $\varepsilon = n^{-4/15}$. Then, in view of (2.11), and since $(\varphi, \sqrt{\gamma(\gamma+1)}\varphi) \geq (\varphi, \gamma\varphi)$, this implies (2.7), which in turn implies (2.5).

Thus, to complete the proof of Proposition 2.1, we need to verify that if ε is chosen suitably as function of n , then

$$(\Psi_{n,\varepsilon}, \mathcal{H}\Psi_{n,\varepsilon}) \leq -An^{7/5}(1 - Cn^{-1/35}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.16)$$

Note that by repeating the previous argument, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\varphi, M_{n,\varepsilon}) &= \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 - I_\varepsilon\|\varphi\|_{5/2}^{5/2} \\ &= (\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 - I_0\|\varphi\|_{5/2}^{5/2}) + (I_0 - I_\varepsilon)\|\varphi\|_{5/2}^{5/2} \\ &= -An^{7/5} + \mathcal{R}_{\text{main}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} -I_\varepsilon &= \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{3/4} \int_\varepsilon^\infty \left(a^4 g - (\sqrt{g(g+1)} - g)\right) da \\ &= -2^{1/2}\pi^{-3/4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi(q)|^{5/2} dq \int_\varepsilon^\infty (a^4 + 1 - a^2\sqrt{a^4 + 2}) da \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{main}} = (I_0 - I_\varepsilon)\|\varphi\|_{5/2}^{5/2}.$$

This will prove (2.16), provided we can show that, for an appropriate choice of the function G , the errors $\mathcal{R}_{\text{main}}$, $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}$, $\mathcal{R}_{\text{int}}$, and $\mathcal{R}_{\text{xc}}$ are at most $O(n^{7/5-1/35})$. To do so, we choose $G(x) = (\pi\ell)^{-3/2} \exp(-(x/\ell)^2)$ with a parameter $\ell > 0$ to be determined.

Bound on $\mathcal{R}_{\text{main}}$. Since $a^4 + 1 + a^2\sqrt{a^4 + 2}$ is finite near $a = 0$ and since, by scaling, $\|\varphi\|_{5/2}^{5/2} = n^{7/5}\|\Phi\|_{5/2}^{5/2}$, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{main}} \leq C\varepsilon n^{7/5}.$$

Bound on $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}$. Clearly, by (2.14) we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} = \|\nabla G\|^2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} M_{n,\varepsilon}(p, q) \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3} \leq C\ell^{-2}n^{3/5} = Cn^{7/5}(n^{2/5}\ell)^{-2}.$$

Bound on $\mathcal{R}_{\text{int}}$. This bound can be taken literally from Eq. (47) of Ref. 18,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{int}} \leq Cn^{7/5} \left((n^{2/5}\ell)^{-1/2} + (n^{2/5}\ell)^3 n^{-1/5} \right).$$

(The constant here can be chosen independently of $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$.)

Bound on $\mathcal{R}_{\text{xc}}$. Here, we argue as in Solovej's analysis of the one-component gas; see Eq. (67) of Ref. 18. Hardy's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\gamma_{n,\varepsilon}(x, x')|^2}{|x - x'|} dx dx' &\leq \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |\gamma_{n,\varepsilon}(x, x')|^2 dx dx' \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\gamma_{n,\varepsilon}(x, x')|^2}{|x - x'|^2} dx dx' \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2(\text{Tr } \gamma_{n,\varepsilon}^2)^{1/2} (\text{Tr } (-\Delta)\gamma_{n,\varepsilon}^2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

According to (2.15), we have $\text{Tr } \gamma_{n,\varepsilon}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{-1}n^{3/5}$. Moreover, by Solovej's operator-version of the Berezin–Lieb inequality (Ref. 18, Theorem. A.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } (-\Delta)\gamma_{n,\varepsilon}^2 &\leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} M_{n,\varepsilon}(p, q)^2 (G_{p,q}, (-\Delta)G_{p,q}) \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} M_{n,\varepsilon}(p, q)^2 (p^2 + \|\nabla G\|^2) \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &\leq C(n^{7/5} + \varepsilon^{-1}\ell^{-2}n^{3/5}). \end{aligned}$$

(Here, we used the fact that $\int_0^\infty a^4 g(a)^2 da < \infty$ to bound the term involving p^2 , as well as (2.15) to bound the term involving $\|\nabla G\|^2$.) Thus,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\gamma_{n,\varepsilon}(x, x')|^2}{|x - x'|} dx dx' \leq C\varepsilon^{-1/2} n^{7/5-2/5} \left(1 + \varepsilon^{-1/2} (n^{2/5} \ell)^{-1}\right).$$

The term that involves $\sqrt{\gamma_{n,\varepsilon}(\gamma_{n,\varepsilon} + 1)}$ instead of $\gamma_{n,\varepsilon}$ can be bounded similarly, and we finally obtain

$$\mathcal{R}_{xc} \leq C\varepsilon^{-1/2} n^{7/5-2/5} \left(1 + \varepsilon^{-1/2} (n^{2/5} \ell)^{-1}\right).$$

In order to minimize the remainder in $\mathcal{R}_{\text{int}}$, we choose $\ell = n^{-2/5+2/35}$ and find $\mathcal{R}_{\text{int}} \leq Cn^{7/5-1/35}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} \leq Cn^{7/5-4/35}$. In order to minimize the error coming from $\mathcal{R}_{\text{main}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{xc}$, we choose $\varepsilon = n^{-4/15}$ and find $\mathcal{R}_{\text{main}} \leq Cn^{7/5-4/15}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{xc} \leq Cn^{7/5-4/15}$. As explained above, this proves (2.16) and finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Jan Philip Solovej for useful discussions about Theorem 1.1. Work is partially supported by Fondecyt (Chile) project 112–0836 and the Iniciativa Científica Milenio (Chile) through the Millenium Nucleus RC–120002 “Física Matemática” (R.D.B.), and NSF Grant Nos. PHY–1347399 and DMS–1363432 (R.L.F.); PHY–0965859 and PHY–1265118 (E.H.L.).

APPENDIX: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Since Ref. 8 have already shown the upper bound, we only need to show the lower bound. In fact, we shall show the lower bound

$$\mathcal{E}_{U,\alpha}^{(N)}[\psi] \geq N^{7/3} e_U^{(f)} - C(1 - U)^2 N^{7/3-2/33} (1 + N^{-40/33} (U/(1 - U))^2). \quad (\text{A1})$$

Using the Lieb–Oxford inequality,¹¹ we bound from below

$$\mathcal{E}_U^{(N)}[\psi] \geq \text{Tr}(-\Delta)\gamma_\psi - (1 - U)D(\rho_\gamma, \rho_\gamma) - 1.68 U \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\gamma^{4/3} dx,$$

where

$$\gamma_\psi(x, x') = \int \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} \overline{\psi(x, x_2, \dots, x_N)} \psi(x', x_2, \dots, x_N) dx_2 \dots dx_N$$

denotes the one-particle density matrix. Thus, for any $G \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$,

$$\mathcal{E}_U^{(N)} \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \text{Tr}(-\Delta + \|\nabla G\|^2)\gamma_\psi - (1 - U)D(\rho_\psi * |G|^2, \rho_\psi * |G|^2) + \varepsilon \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{rep}} - \mathcal{R}_{xc},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} &= \text{Tr}(-\Delta)\gamma_\psi, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{(\varepsilon)} &= (1 - \varepsilon)\|\nabla G\|^2 \text{Tr} \gamma_\psi = (1 - \varepsilon)N\|\nabla G\|^2, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\text{rep}} &= -(1 - U)(D(\rho_\psi * |G|^2, \rho_\psi * |G|^2) - D(\rho_\psi, \rho_\psi)), \\ \mathcal{R}_{xc}^{(\varepsilon)} &= 1.68 U \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\gamma^{4/3} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume again the G is real, even, and normalized and let $G_{p,q}$ be the corresponding coherent states. Set

$$M(p, q) = (G_{p,q}, \gamma_\psi G_{p,q}).$$

Then, $0 \leq \gamma_\psi \leq 1$ implies that $0 \leq M \leq 1$. We now observe that for any number $\rho > 0$,

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p^2 m(p) \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} : 0 \leq m \leq 1, \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} m(p) \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} = \rho \right\} = \frac{3}{5} (6\pi^2)^{2/3} \rho^{5/3}.$$

(In fact, the infimum is attained iff $m(p) = \chi_{\{p^2 < (6\pi^2\rho)^{2/3}\}}$.) Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} M(p, q) \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} = (\rho_\psi * G^2)(q)$ for any $q \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we obtain the lower bound

$$\text{Tr}(-\Delta + \|\nabla g\|^2)\gamma_\psi = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} p^2 M(p, q) \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^3} \geq \frac{3}{5}(6\pi^2)^{2/3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\rho_\gamma * G^2)^{5/3} dx.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_U^{(N)}[\psi] &\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{3}{5}(6\pi^2)^{2/3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\rho_\gamma * G^2)^{5/3} dx - (1 - U)D(\rho_\gamma * G^2, \rho_\gamma * G^2) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{rep}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{xc}} \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} N^{7/3} e_U^{(f)} + \varepsilon \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{rep}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{xc}} \\ &\geq N^{7/3} e_U^{(f)} + \varepsilon \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{main}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{rep}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{xc}}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{main}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} N^{7/3} |e_U^{(f)}|.$$

In the second inequality above, we used scaling to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \left\{ (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{3}{5}(6\pi^2)^{2/3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma^{5/3} dx - (1 - U)D(\sigma, \sigma) : \sigma \geq 0, \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma dx = N \right\} \\ = (1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} N^{7/3} e_U^{(f)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to obtain the claimed lower bound (A1), it remains to show that G and ε can be chosen such that

$$\varepsilon \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{main}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{rep}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{xc}} \geq -C(1 - U)^2 N^{7/3-2/33} (1 + N^{-40/33} (U/(1 - U))^2).$$

We bound the positive term $\mathcal{T}$ from below by the Lieb–Thirring inequality,¹⁵

$$\mathcal{T} \geq K \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi^{5/3} dx,$$

for some positive constant K . Since, by scaling, $e_U^{(f)}$ is proportional to $-N^{7/3}(1 - U)^2$, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{main}} \leq C\varepsilon N^{7/3}(1 - U)^2.$$

To bound $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\text{rep}}$, we choose $G(x) = \ell^{-3/2}g(x/\ell)$, with some $\ell > 0$ to be determined and find that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} = (1 - \varepsilon)N\ell^{-2}\|\nabla g\|^2 \leq N\ell^{-2}\|\nabla g\|^2.$$

Moreover, by Lemma A.1, if g is radial and has support in the unit ball, then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{rep}} \leq C(1 - U)\ell^{1/5}\|\rho_\psi\|_1\|\rho_\psi\|_{5/3} = C(1 - U)\ell^{1/5}N\|\rho_\psi\|_{5/3}.$$

Finally, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{xc}} \leq 1.68 U \|\rho_\psi\|_1^{1/2} \|\rho_\psi\|_{5/3}^{5/6} = 1.68 U N^{1/2} \|\rho_\psi\|_{5/3}^{5/6}.$$

In order to balance the errors coming from the localization and the repulsion, we choose ℓ proportional to $((1 - U)\|\rho_\psi\|_{5/3})^{-5/11}$. To summarize, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{main}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{rep}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{xc}} \\ \geq \varepsilon K \|\rho_\psi\|_{5/3}^{5/3} - C \left(\varepsilon(1 - U)^2 N^{7/3} + (1 - U)^{10/11} N \|\rho_\psi\|_{5/3}^{10/11} + U N^{1/2} \|\rho_\psi\|_{5/3}^{5/6} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Minimizing $(\varepsilon K/2)\|\rho_\psi\|_{5/3}^{5/3} - C(1 - U)^{10/11} N \|\rho_\psi\|_{5/3}^{10/11}$ and $(\varepsilon K/2)\|\rho_\psi\|_{5/3}^{5/3} - C U N^{1/2} \|\rho_\psi\|_{5/3}^{5/6}$ with respect to $\|\rho_\psi\|_{5/3}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{main}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{rep}} - \mathcal{R}_{\text{xc}} \\ \geq -C \left(\varepsilon(1 - U)^2 N^{7/3} + \varepsilon^{-6/5} (1 - U)^2 N^{11/5} + \varepsilon^{-1} U^2 N \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we the choice $\varepsilon = N^{-2/33}$ we obtain (A1). This proves the claimed lower bound, except for the following lemma that was used in the proof.

Lemma A.1. Let σ be a non-negative, radially symmetric function with support in a ball of radius $R > 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma dx = 1$. Then, for all $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^{5/3}(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$0 \leq D(\rho, \rho) - D(\rho * \sigma, \rho * \sigma) \leq CR^{1/5} \|\rho\|_1 \|\rho\|_{5/3}.$$

Proof. The left inequality is easily verified in Fourier space, or by using Newton's theorem, and we concentrate on proving the right one. In fact, we shall show that

$$D(\rho - \rho * \sigma, \tau) \leq CR^{1/5} \|\rho\|_1 \|\tau\|_{5/3}.$$

Then, writing

$$D(\rho, \rho) - D(\rho * \sigma, \rho * \sigma) = D(\rho - \rho * \sigma, \rho + \rho * \sigma)$$

and noting that $\|\rho + \rho * \sigma\|_{5/3} \leq \|\rho\|_{5/3} + \|\rho * \sigma\|_{5/3} \leq 2\|\rho\|_{5/3}$, we will obtain the inequality of the lemma.

Thus, it remains to prove the above inequality. By Hölder's and Young's inequality,

$$2D(\rho - \rho * \sigma, \tau) \leq \| |x|^{-1} * \rho * \sigma - |x|^{-1} * \rho \|_{5/2} \|\tau\|_{5/3} \leq \|\rho\|_1 \| |x|^{-1} * \sigma - |x|^{-1} \|_{5/2} \|\tau\|_{5/3}.$$

By Newton's theorem, we have $0 \leq |x|^{-1} - |x|^{-1} * \sigma \leq |x|^{-1} \chi_{\{|x| < R\}}$. Thus,

$$\| |x|^{-1} * \sigma - |x|^{-1} \|_{5/2} \leq \int_{\{|x| < R\}} \frac{dx}{|x|^{5/2}} = 8\pi R^{1/2}.$$

This proves the claimed inequality. $\square$

- ¹ Benguria, R. D. and Bley, G. A., "Exact asymptotic behavior of the Pekar–Tomasevich functional," *J. Math. Phys.* **52**(5), 052110 (2011).
- ² Berezin, F. A., "Covariant and contravariant symbols of operators," *Math. USSR Izv.* **6**, 1117–1151 (1972).
- ³ Bogoliubov, N. N., "On the theory of superfluidity," *Izv. Akad. Nauk USSR* **11**, 77 (1947); *Eng. Trans. J. Phys. (USSR)* **11**, 23 (1947).
- ⁴ Dyson, F. J., "Ground-state energy of a finite system of charged particles," *J. Math. Phys.* **8**(8), 1538–1545 (1967).
- ⁵ Foldy, L. L., "Charged boson gas," *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 649–651 (1961); *Erratum*, **125**, 2208 (1962).
- ⁶ Frank, R. L., Lieb, E. H., Seiringer, R., and Thomas, L. E., "Stability and absence of binding for multi-polaron systems," *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **113**, 39–67 (2011).
- ⁷ Fröhlich, H., "Theory of electrical breakdown in ionic crystals," *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **160**, 230–241 (1937).
- ⁸ Griesemer, M. and Møller, J. S., "Bounds on the minimal energy of translation invariant N -polaron systems," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **297**(1), 283–297 (2010).
- ⁹ Lieb, E. H., "The classical limit of quantum spin systems," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **31**, 327–340 (1973).
- ¹⁰ Lieb, E. H. and Loss, M., *Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics Vol. 14, 2nd ed. (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001).
- ¹¹ Lieb, E. H. and Oxford, S., "Improved lower bound on the indirect Coulomb energy," *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **19**, 427–439 (1980).
- ¹² Lieb, E. H., Seiringer, R., and Yngvason, J., "Justification of c-number substitutions in bosonic Hamiltonians," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 080401-1–080401-4 (2005).
- ¹³ Lieb, E. H. and Solovej, J. P., "Ground state energy of the one-component charged Bose gas," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217**, 127–163 (2001); *Erratum*, **225**, 219–221 (2002).
- ¹⁴ Lieb, E. H. and Solovej, J. P., "Ground state energy of the two-component charged Bose gas," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **252**(1-3), 485–534 (2004).
- ¹⁵ Lieb, E. H. and Thirring, W., "Inequalities for the moments of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Hamiltonian and their relation to Sobolev inequalities," in *Studies in Mathematical Physics*, edited by Lieb E. H., Simon B., and Wightman A (Princeton University Press, 1976), pp. 269–303.
- ¹⁶ Pekar, S. I., *Research in Electron Theory of Crystals* (United States Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, DC, 1963).
- ¹⁷ Pekar, S. I. and Tomasevich, O. F., "Theory of F centers," *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fys.* **21**, 1218–1222 (1951).
- ¹⁸ Solovej, J. P., "Upper bounds to the ground state energies of the one- and two-component charged Bose gases," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **266**(3), 797–818 (2006).