Electrostatic correlations and the polyelectrolyte self energy

Kevin Shen and Zhen-Gang Wang

Citation: *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **146**, 084901 (2017); doi: 10.1063/1.4975777

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4975777>

View Table of Contents: <http://aip.scitation.org/toc/jcp/146/8>

Published by the American Institute of Physics

**COMPLETELY
REDESIGNED!**

Physics Today Buyer's Guide
Search with a purpose.

Electrostatic correlations and the polyelectrolyte self energy

Kevin Shen and Zhen-Gang Wang^{a)}

Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology Pasadena, Pasadena, California 91125, USA

(Received 11 November 2016; accepted 26 January 2017; published online 23 February 2017)

We address the effects of chain connectivity on electrostatic fluctuations in polyelectrolyte solutions using a field-theoretic, renormalized Gaussian fluctuation (RGF) theory. As in simple electrolyte solutions [Z.-G. Wang, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 021501 (2010)], the RGF provides a unified theory for electrostatic fluctuations, accounting for both dielectric and charge correlation effects in terms of the self-energy. Unlike simple ions, the polyelectrolyte self energy depends intimately on the chain conformation, and our theory naturally provides a self-consistent determination of the response of intramolecular chain structure to polyelectrolyte and salt concentrations. The effects of the chain-conformation on the self-energy and thermodynamics are especially pronounced for flexible polyelectrolytes at low polymer and salt concentrations, where application of the wrong chain structure can lead to a drastic misestimation of the electrostatic correlations. By capturing the expected scaling behavior of chain size from dilute to semi-dilute regimes, our theory provides improved estimates of the self energy at low polymer concentrations and correctly predicts the eventual N -independence of the critical temperature and concentration of salt-free solutions of flexible polyelectrolytes. We show that the self energy can be interpreted in terms of an infinite-dilution energy $\mu_{m,0}^{\text{el}}$ and a finite concentration correlation correction μ^{corr} which tends to cancel out the former with increasing concentration. *Published by AIP Publishing.* [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4975777>]

I. INTRODUCTION

Polyelectrolytes are widely used for many applications, ranging from energy materials¹ to solution additives (e.g., for food, cosmetics, and healthcare products).² Polyelectrolytes are also ubiquitous in biology, as many naturally occurring polymers—DNA/RNA, proteins, and some polysaccharides—are charged. Consequently, understanding the interplay of electrostatics with polyelectrolyte functionality is central for understanding many biological processes,³ and guiding the design of materials such as adhesives,⁴ drug-delivery microencapsulants,^{5–7} and micro/nanoactuators.⁸

The long-range nature of electrostatic interactions gives rise to nontrivial correlation effects in polyelectrolyte systems such as ion-condensation⁹ and complex coacervation.^{10–12} A key challenge in the theoretical study of polyelectrolytes is the proper description of electrostatic correlations and their consequences on the structure and thermodynamics.

The physical origin of electrostatic correlation is the preferential interaction between opposite charges. For simple electrolyte solutions, this correlation is manifested in the “ionic atmosphere” (Fig. 1) first proposed by Debye and Hückel (DH).¹³ As a result of the favorable interaction of an ion with its ionic atmosphere, the free energy of the system is lowered. Theoretically, for point charges, the spatial extent of the ion atmosphere is characterized by the well-known inverse Debye screening length

$$\kappa^2 = \lambda_D^{-2} = 4\pi l_b \sum_i z_i^2 c_i, \quad (1.1)$$

where the Bjerrum length $l_b = e^2/4\pi\epsilon kT$ is the length scale at which two unit charges interact with energy kT and characterizes the strength of charge interactions. These charge correlations modify a host of properties such as osmotic pressure, ionic activities, and mobilities. For dilute electrolyte solutions, the electrostatic free energy density and the associated excess chemical potential are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta f^{\text{el}} &= -\frac{\kappa^3}{12\pi}, \\ \beta \mu_i^{\text{el}} &= -z_i^2 \frac{l_b \kappa}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

A salient feature of the DH theory is that electrostatic correlations increase with increasing ion valency z_i . Thus, polyelectrolytes, being inherently multivalent, have increased correlation effects compared to simple electrolyte systems. However, the magnitude of the multivalency effect is unclear due to the spatial extent of the polyelectrolyte chains (which introduces new length scales) and the conformation degrees of freedom of the polymers (Fig. 1).

The foundational (and still widely used)^{14–17} theory of polyelectrolyte coacervation put forth by Voorn and Overbeek¹² completely ignores the contribution of chain connectivity to electrostatic correlations, directly borrowing the DH expressions for charge correlations and hence treating the backbone charges as disconnected free ions. The idea of approximating the backbone charge as disconnected ions has been adopted in recent theories of polyelectrolytes that replace the DH correlation using more advanced treatments of *simple* electrolytes.¹⁸

Another widely used theory is the thermodynamic perturbation theory (TPT), which aims to capture the effects

^{a)}Electronic mail: zgw@caltech.edu

FIG. 1. (a) For simple ions, the self energy involves both a solvation contribution and, at finite concentrations, a correlation contribution due to the ionic atmosphere. (b) For polyelectrolytes, the ionic atmosphere is modified by neighboring monomers along the same and other chains, and depends on the chain structure.

due to chain connectivity through a leading order perturbation expansion around the simple electrolyte results.^{19–24} The first-order TPT (TPT-1) forms the basis for a widely used density-functional framework for the study of inhomogeneous polyelectrolyte systems.^{25–28} While producing reasonable agreements for bulk properties and inhomogeneous systems at higher densities, like the VO theory and its variants, TPT-1 offers no insight into how one can use some of the hallmark design features of polymers—backbone architecture and charge distribution on the backbone—to control electrostatic interactions in materials. Only recently has there been a proposal to use TPT with a polymer one component plasma as the reference system to better describe the connectivity effects.²⁹

An approach that tries to capture the effects of chain connectivity from the outset is the one-loop expansion/Random Phase Approximation (RPA) based on a leading order treatment of fluctuations in the field theoretic partition function.^{30–32} RPA theories require a chain structure as input, and for the prescribed chain structure provide explicit expressions describing how chain-connectivity generates extra charge correlations. Recent work has applied the RPA to macromolecules of arbitrary fractal dimensions with fixed intrachain structure factors.³³ However, for flexible chains, the use of a fixed Gaussian-chain structure factor for all concentrations (hereafter referred to as fixed gaussian-RPA) leads to overestimation of the correlation effects, particularly at dilute concentrations. As a result, for flexible chains fg-RPA predicts critical concentrations that vanish with increasing chain length,³⁴ in contradiction to simulation results.³⁵ Previous work has tried to fix this deficiency by introducing an *ad hoc* mesoscopic wavevector cut-off while still keeping the Gaussian structure factor for the long-wavelength fluctuation contributions.³⁶

The RPA theories of polyelectrolytes typically only consider fluctuations in the electrostatic interactions. In order to account for excluded volume fluctuations that are important even for neutral polymer systems,³⁷ a variational method³⁸ was employed to treat the “double screening,”³⁹ due to both excluded volume and electrostatic interactions. The theory has been used to study phase separation,⁴⁰ adapted to account for counterion condensation,^{41,42} and applied to study polyelectrolyte coil-globule transitions.⁴³ Unlike the fg-RPA, this approach allows the polyelectrolyte conformation to self-adapt. However, in the interest of obtaining analytical expressions, the double-screening theory pre-integrates the salt degrees of freedom, resulting in the Debye-Hückel description of screened interactions between monomer units, which

does not feed back on the effective interaction between the salt ions. We note that such an approximation of using a screened Coulomb interaction for macroions is a common practice in many analytical and simulation studies.^{44–46}

Another method that bypasses the fixed-structure assumption is the *self-consistent* PRISM (sc-PRISM) integral equation approach^{47,48} that employs a structure-dependent effective medium-induced potential; such an approach has been applied to polyelectrolytes by Yethiraj and co-workers.^{45,49–52} The sc-PRISM has been quite successful for studying polyelectrolyte solution structure, but there have been limited studies on the thermodynamics.^{53–56} Further, PRISM theories require the use of closures, the choice of which is guided by experience and comparisons to experiment and theory.⁴⁵ Finally, to date sc-PRISM studies have been limited to only one polyelectrolyte species. To our knowledge the only application of PRISM to complex coacervates *ignored* the self-consistent determination of chain structure, and inconsistently borrowed thermodynamic expressions from theories of monomeric solutions.⁵⁷

A variational theory close in spirit to the sc-PRISM was proposed by Donley, Rudnick, and Liu⁵⁸ to study the concentration-dependence of polyelectrolyte chain structure. In hindsight, the theory can be understood as a sc-PRISM where the form of the effective intrachain interaction is motivated by RPA theory using heuristic arguments. Their theory yields good agreement with available computer simulation data on the end-to-end distance of the polyelectrolyte chain as a function of the polyelectrolyte and salt concentrations.

In this work, we study electrostatic fluctuations in polyelectrolyte solutions using a field-theoretic renormalized Gaussian fluctuation theory (RGF). In this theory, the key thermodynamic quantity that captures the electrostatic fluctuations is the self-energy of an effective single chain. For simple electrolytes, the self-energy is the electrostatic work required to assemble charge from an infinitely dispersed state onto an ion and is given by⁵⁹

$$\beta\mu_{\text{chg}}^{\text{el}} = \frac{z_{\text{chg}}^2}{2} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{r}'' h_{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') G(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}'') h_{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r}'' - \mathbf{r}), \quad (1.3)$$

where h_{chg} is the charge distribution on an ion, and $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ is a self-consistently determined Green's function characterizing electrostatic field fluctuations, which can be thought of as an effective interaction between two test charges in an ionic environment. Eq. (1.3) is a unified expression accounting for

both the polarization of the dielectric medium (e.g., Born solvation and image charge interactions) and correlations due to the ionic atmosphere.

For polyelectrolytes, we will see that the self energy is analogously the work required to assemble charge onto the polyelectrolyte. However, because of the conformational degrees of freedom, part of the work is due to the entropic change of deforming the chain. The internal energy contribution to the self-energy resembles Eq. (1.3) and involves the single-chain structure factor, reflecting the spatial extent of the polyelectrolyte chain. The RGF theory prescribes a *self-consistent* determination of the effective intrachain structure along with the effective interaction $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ as an inherent part of the theory.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. In Section II we present a full derivation of the RGF theory for polyelectrolyte solutions. At this stage, our derivation is general for arbitrary charged macromolecules. A key part of the variational calculation is the natural emergence of a self-consistent calculation of single-chain averages and chain structure under an effective interaction $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$. We then specify a bulk system and provide expressions for the osmotic pressure and electrostatic chemical potential of polyelectrolytes, the latter being identified as the average self energy. In Section III we apply our theory to study flexible, discretely charged chains, and demonstrate how the self-consistent procedure and single-chain averages can be approximated with a variational procedure. In Section IV we present and discuss numerical results for the intrachain structure, effective interaction, polyelectrolyte self-energy, osmotic coefficient, and critical point. We demonstrate the crucial importance of intrachain structure in determining the self-energy and thermodynamics. We compare our results with those from several existing theories, with particular attention paid to the chain length dependence in the various properties. Finally, in Section V we conclude with a summary of the key results and future outlook.

II. GENERAL THEORY

A. Field-theoretic formulation

We consider a general solution of polyelectrolytes (charged macromolecules with arbitrary internal connectivity and charge distribution) and salt ions in a solvent. We start with the microscopic density operator for species γ (which indexes over all solvent, polyelectrolyte, and salt ion species),

$$\hat{\rho}_\gamma(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{A=1}^{n_\gamma} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\gamma} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{\gamma A j}), \quad (2.1)$$

where A refers to the A th molecule of species γ , running up to the total number n_γ of molecules of species γ , and j refers to the j th “monomer” out of a total number N_γ of monomers in a molecule A of species γ . For monomeric species, such as the small ions and solvent, $N_\gamma = 1$ and the index j only takes the value of 1.

Following previous work on the self energy of simple electrolytes,⁵⁹ individual charges (i.e., salt ions or charged monomers) are described by a short-ranged charge distribution $ez h(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$, for an ion located at $\mathbf{r}'$, where we have factored

out the elementary charge e and the (signed) valency z . A convenient choice for h is a Gaussian

$$h = \left(\frac{1}{2a^2} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left[-\frac{\pi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')^2}{2a^2} \right]. \quad (2.2)$$

This distribution gives the ion a finite radius, not of excluded volume, but of charge distribution. Doing so avoids the diverging interactions in point-charge models and captures the Born solvation energy of individual ions in a dielectric medium, as well as finite-size corrections to the ion correlation energy.⁵⁹ This feature allows our coarse-grained theory to capture the essential thermodynamic effects of finite-size ions at higher densities without having to resolve the microscopic structure.

For a simple salt, the charge density of the A th molecule of species γ in units of the elementary charge e is simply $\hat{\rho}_{\gamma A}^{\text{chg}} = z_\gamma h_\gamma(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_A)$. However, for polyelectrolytes we need to sum over all monomers j of a particular macromolecule A of species γ . In addition, to allow for arbitrary charge distribution along the polymer backbone, we introduce the signed valency $z_{\gamma j}$ such that an uncharged monomer in the polyelectrolyte chain has $z_{\gamma j} = 0$. The charge density due to the A th molecule of the γ th species is

$$\hat{\rho}_{\gamma A}^{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_j z_{\gamma j} h_{\gamma j}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{\gamma A j}), \quad (2.3)$$

where the sum runs over each monomer j of object A of species γ . With this definition, the charge density of each species γ is given by

$$\hat{\rho}_\gamma^{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_A \hat{\rho}_{\gamma A}^{\text{chg}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Allowing for the presence of external (fixed) charge distribution ρ_{ex} , we then define a total charge density

$$\hat{\rho}^{\text{chg}} = \rho_{\text{ex}} + \sum_\gamma \hat{\rho}_\gamma^{\text{chg}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Treating the charged interactions as in a linear dielectric medium with electric permittivity ϵ (which can be spatially dependent), the Coulomb energy of the system is written as

$$H_C = \frac{e^2}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \hat{\rho}^{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \hat{\rho}^{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r}'), \quad (2.6)$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ is the Coulomb operator given by

$$-\nabla \cdot [\epsilon \nabla \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')] = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \quad (2.7)$$

To complete the description of the system, we add the excluded volume interactions and polyelectrolyte conformation degrees of freedom. The excluded volume between all the species is accounted for by an incompressibility constraint $\sum_\gamma \hat{\phi}_\gamma(\mathbf{r}) = 1$ that applies at all $\mathbf{r}$.⁶⁰ This constraint is enforced by a Dirac δ -function in its familiar exponential representation $\delta(1 - \sum_\gamma \hat{\phi}_\gamma) = \int \mathcal{D}\eta e^{i\eta(1 - \sum_\gamma \hat{\phi}_\gamma)}$, which introduces an incompressibility field η and the volume fraction operator $\hat{\phi}_\gamma$, which is related to the density operator via $\hat{\phi}_\gamma = v_\gamma \hat{\rho}_\gamma$, where v_γ is the monomer volume of species γ (for simplicity, we have implicitly assumed that all monomers on the polyelectrolyte chain have the same volume).

The conformation degrees of freedom of the polyelectrolyte are accounted for by a (arbitrary) chain connectivity

bonded interaction Hamiltonian H_B which depends on the relative positions of the monomers in a polyelectrolyte chain. To focus on the electrostatic correlation, we ignore other interactions, such as the Flory-Huggins interaction.

The canonical partition function is

$$\mathcal{Q} = \prod_{\gamma} \frac{1}{n_{\gamma}! v_{\gamma}^{n_{\gamma} N_{\gamma}}} \prod_{A,j} \int d\mathbf{r}_{\gamma A j} \int \mathcal{D}\eta \exp(-\beta H), \quad (2.8)$$

where the effective “Hamiltonian” is

$$\beta H = \beta H_C - i\eta \left(1 - \sum_{\gamma} \hat{\phi}_{\gamma} \right) + \beta H_B \quad (2.9)$$

and includes the incompressibility constraint. In Eq. (2.9) the species index γ runs over all species (solvent, simple salt ions, and polyelectrolyte). We use the monomer volume v_{γ} instead of the usual cube of the thermal de Broglie wavelength to avoid introducing nonessential notations; this merely produces an immaterial shift in the reference chemical potential.

We then introduce the scaled Coulomb operator

$$C = \beta e^2 \mathcal{C}$$

and the scaled permittivity

$$\epsilon = \epsilon / (\beta e^2),$$

which has units of inverse length and is related to the Bjerrum length by

$$l_b = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon}.$$

For an inhomogeneous dielectric medium, ϵ will be more convenient to use than l_b . The scaled permittivity also leads naturally to the scaled inverse Coulomb operator

$$C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot [\epsilon(\mathbf{r}) \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')],$$

which is related to the Coulomb operator by

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_1 C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1) C(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}') = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}').$$

To further simplify notation, we henceforth use $k_B T$ as the unit of energy and e as the unit of charge, so we set $\beta = 1$ and $e = 1$.

Next, we use the Hubbard-Stratanovich (HS) transformation to decouple the Coulomb interaction, which introduces the electrostatic potential $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ (non-dimensionalized by βe) and renders the canonical partition function as

$$\mathcal{Q} = \frac{1}{\Omega_C} \prod_{\gamma} \frac{1}{n_{\gamma}! v_{\gamma}^{n_{\gamma} N_{\gamma}}} \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \mathcal{D}\eta \exp(-Y), \quad (2.10)$$

where Ω_C is a normalization factor from the HS transformation given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_C &= \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \Psi(\mathbf{r}) C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \Psi(\mathbf{r}') \right] \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \epsilon (\nabla \Psi)^2 \right] = [\det C]^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

and the canonical “action” is

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \Psi(\mathbf{r}) C(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')^{-1} \Psi(\mathbf{r}') \\ &\quad - \int d\mathbf{r} (i\eta - i\rho_{\text{ex}} \Psi) - \sum_{\lambda} n_{\gamma} \ln Q_{\gamma}[\eta, \Psi], \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where Q_{γ} is the single-particle/polymer partition function given shortly below.

Transforming to the grand canonical ensemble by introducing the species fugacities λ_{γ} , we obtain the grand canonical partition function

$$\Xi = \frac{1}{\Omega_C} \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \mathcal{D}\eta e^{-L[\Psi, \eta]} \quad (2.13)$$

with the grand canonical “action” L ,

$$\begin{aligned} L[\Psi, \eta] &= \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \Psi(\mathbf{r}) C(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')^{-1} \Psi(\mathbf{r}') \\ &\quad - \int d\mathbf{r} (i\eta - i\rho_{\text{ex}} \Psi) - \sum_{\lambda} \lambda_{\gamma} Q_{\gamma}[\eta, \Psi]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

It is useful to notationally distinguish between the three basic types of species: solvent (s), simple salt ($\pm$), polymer (p). Correspondingly, the single-particle/polymer partition functions of the three basic types of species are

$$Q_s = \int d\mathbf{r}_s e^{-i v_s \eta}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$Q_{\pm} = \int d\mathbf{r}_{\pm} e^{-i v_{\pm} \eta - i z_{\pm} h_{\pm} \Psi}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$Q_p = \int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{R} e^{-H_B - i v_p \int d\mathbf{r} \eta \hat{\rho}_p - i \int d\mathbf{r} \Psi \hat{\rho}_p^{\text{chg}}}, \quad (2.17)$$

where we have introduced $\mathcal{R}$ to denote collectively the positions of all monomers in a single polyelectrolyte. For economy of notation, it is to be understood that $\hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}}$ refers to the charge density of a *single* chain only, defined as in Eq. (2.3). We also write $h * \Psi$ to denote a convolution, or spatial averaging by the distribution h ,

$$h * \Psi = \int d\mathbf{r}' h(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \Psi(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (2.18)$$

Thus far Eq. (2.13) is the formally exact expression for the partition function of our system. It forms the starting point for field-based numerical simulations such as the complex Langevin methods⁶⁰ as well as approximate analytical theories.

B. Renormalized Gaussian fluctuation theory

For analytical insight, we seek to develop an approximate theory for evaluating Ξ , Eq. (2.13). The lowest-order saddle-point approximation would lead to a self-consistent mean-field theory,⁶¹ in which the saddle-point condition on Ψ results in a Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) level description where correlations between fixed charges ρ_{ex} and mobile charges $\rho_{\gamma}^{\text{chg}}$ are included, but correlations between mobile charges themselves are ignored. The standard RPA theory accounts for the quadratic fluctuations around the saddle-point. In doing so, however, one is left with a *fixed* structure factor determined solely by the saddle-point condition. For uniform systems of polyelectrolytes, the chain structure factor that enters the RPA is independent of polyelectrolyte and salt concentrations. To circumvent this shortcoming, we approximate the partition function Eq. (2.13) as in our previous RGF theory, using a *non-perturbative* variational calculation.

The RGF theory follows the Gibbs-Feynman-Bogoliubov (GFB) variational approach by introducing a general Gaussian reference action L_{ref} . As written, Eq. (2.13) involves two fields η and Ψ to which we may apply the variational method. Allowing fluctuations in both fields will lead to the so-called double screening of both electrostatic and excluded volume.^{38,39} However, in this work, we focus on the fluctuation effects due to electrostatics and thus perform the variational calculation only for the Ψ field; the excluded volume interaction will be treated at the mean-field level by the saddle-point approximation for the η field. For the Ψ field, we make the following Gaussian reference action:

$$L_{\text{ref}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' [\Psi(\mathbf{r}) + i\psi(\mathbf{r})] G^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') [\Psi(\mathbf{r}') + i\psi(\mathbf{r}')] \quad (2.19)$$

which is parametrized by a mean electrostatic potential $-i\psi(\mathbf{r})$ and a variance, or Green's function $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$, which we will later show to correspond to an effective electrostatic interaction that generalizes the familiar screened-Coulomb interaction. This reference action thus accounts for the deviation $\chi = \Psi - (-i\psi) = \Psi + i\psi$ from the mean electrostatic potential.

Using L_{ref} we rewrite the grand canonical partition function Eq. (2.13) as

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi &= \frac{1}{\Omega_C} \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \mathcal{D}\eta e^{-L_{\text{ref}}[\Psi]} e^{-(L[\Psi, \eta] - L_{\text{ref}}[\Psi])} \\ &= \frac{\Omega_G}{\Omega_C} \int \mathcal{D}\eta \langle e^{-(L[\Psi, \eta] - L_{\text{ref}}[\Psi])} \rangle_{\text{ref}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle_{\text{ref}}$ denotes an average over Ψ with respect to the reference action L_{ref} , and Ω_G the corresponding partition function of L_{ref} , defined analogously to Ω_C in Eq. (2.11) with G in place of C . For notational clarity, we will henceforth write $\langle \cdots \rangle_{\text{ref}}$ as $\langle \cdots \rangle$.

To implement the GFB procedure,⁶² we begin with approximating the field integral over Ψ with a leading order cumulant expansion

$$\Xi \approx \int \mathcal{D}\eta \frac{\Omega_G}{\Omega_C} e^{-(L - L_{\text{ref}})} \equiv \Xi_{\text{GFB}}. \quad (2.21)$$

The first cumulant in the exponent can be readily evaluated owing to the Gaussian nature of the fluctuating field, and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L - L_{\text{ref}} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \langle \Psi \cdot C^{-1} \cdot \Psi \rangle - \int d\mathbf{r} (i\eta - i\rho_{\text{ex}} \langle \Psi \rangle) \\ &\quad - \sum_j \lambda_j \langle Q_j \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' G^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \langle \chi(\mathbf{r}) \chi(\mathbf{r}') \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' [C^{-1} - G^{-1}] G \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \psi \cdot C^{-1} \cdot \psi \\ &\quad - \int d\mathbf{r} (i\eta - \rho_{\text{ex}} \psi) - \sum_\gamma \lambda_\gamma \langle Q_\gamma \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

where we have used $\langle \Psi \rangle = -i\psi$ and $\langle \chi(\mathbf{r}) \chi(\mathbf{r}') \rangle = G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$. The grand partition function Ξ_{GFB} and variational grand free energy W_v are found to be

$$\Xi_{\text{GFB}} = \int \mathcal{D}\eta e^{-W_v[G, \psi; \eta]}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_v[G, \psi; \eta] &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\det G}{\det C} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' [C^{-1} - G^{-1}] G \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \psi \cdot C^{-1} \cdot \psi - \int d\mathbf{r} (i\eta - \rho_{\text{ex}} \psi) \\ &\quad - \sum_\gamma \lambda_\gamma \langle Q_\gamma \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

In Eq. (2.24), the Ψ -field averaged single-particle/polymer partition functions are

$$\langle Q_s \rangle = \int d\mathbf{r}_s \exp[-iv_s \eta], \quad (2.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q_\pm \rangle &= \int d\mathbf{r}_\pm \exp[-iv_\pm \eta - z_\pm h_\pm * \psi] \\ &\quad \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} z_\pm^2 h_\pm * G * h_\pm \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q_p \rangle &= \int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{R} \exp \left[-H_B - iv_p \int d\mathbf{r} \eta \hat{\rho}_{p1} - \int d\mathbf{r} \psi \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \cdot G \cdot \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

For the small ions, the electrostatic fluctuations characterized by $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ enter as an instantaneous self-interaction which defines the self-energy of the ion⁵⁹

$$\begin{aligned} u_\pm(\mathbf{r}) &\equiv \frac{1}{2} z_\pm^2 h_\pm * G * h_\pm \\ &= \frac{1}{2} z_\pm^2 \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 h_\pm(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1) G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) h_\pm(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Similarly, the calculation of the single-chain partition function now features $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ as an effective *intrachain* interaction

$$u_p^{\text{inst}}(\mathcal{R}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r}) G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (2.29)$$

In the limit where the polymer has only one monomer, $\hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r}) = z_p h(\mathbf{r} - \hat{\mathbf{r}})$, and the polyelectrolyte expression reduces to that of the simple electrolytes above. In the general case, however, this *instantaneous* (hence the superscript 'inst') interaction is clearly conformation dependent and *non-local*; Eq. (2.27) further suggests that there will also be chain conformation entropy contributions.

We emphasize that although our theory has the structure of independent particles and chains, the single-particle/chain partition functions involve the fluctuation-mediated effective intra-particle/chain interaction $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ that is missing in self-consistent mean-field (SCMF) theories. For polymeric species, it is precisely this intrachain interaction that is able to generate chain structures that adapt to the solution conditions.

For transparency and notational simplicity, in the following we specify to a system of solvent, salt, and one polyelectrolyte species, but the expressions can be trivially extended to treat the general case with more salt and polyelectrolyte species.

To proceed, we first make the saddle-point approximation for the field η . Anticipating that the saddle-point value of η is

purely imaginary, we define a real field $\mathcal{P} = i\eta$. The saddle-point condition is

$$\frac{\delta W_v}{\delta \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{r})} = 0 \quad (2.30)$$

which yields

$$1 - v_s \rho_s - v_+ \rho_+ - v_- \rho_- - v_p \rho_p = 0. \quad (2.31)$$

The densities of the species are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_s(\mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{\lambda_p}{v_s} \frac{\delta \langle Q_s \rangle}{\delta \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{r})} = \lambda_s e^{-v_s \mathcal{P}}, \\ \rho_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{\lambda_{\pm}}{v_{\pm}} \frac{\delta \langle Q_{\pm} \rangle}{\delta \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{r})} = \lambda_{\pm} e^{-v_{\pm} \mathcal{P} - z_{\pm} h_{\pm} * \psi - u_{\pm}}, \\ \rho_p(\mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{\lambda_p}{v_p} \frac{\delta \langle Q_p \rangle}{\delta \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{r})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Eq. (2.31) is just the condition of incompressibility, and $\mathcal{P}$ can be solved to yield

$$\mathcal{P} = -\frac{1}{v_s} \log \frac{1 - \phi}{\lambda_s v_s}, \quad (2.33)$$

where ϕ is the total volume fraction $\phi = \sum_{\gamma \neq s} v_{\gamma} \rho_{\gamma}$ of non-solvent species. It is customary to set $\lambda_s = 1/v_s$, which gives

$$\mathcal{P} = -\frac{1}{v_s} \log(1 - \phi). \quad (2.34)$$

We note that, at the saddle-point level, our theory can be easily adapted to accommodate other models of excluded volume and hard sphere equations of state.

With the excluded volume effects taken care of, we now discuss the determination of the variational parameters (G, ψ) describing the electrostatic fluctuations and interactions. The self-consistency of the GFB procedure comes from determining the values of (G, ψ) such that $W_v[G, \psi; \mathcal{P}]$ is stationary at fixed pressure field $\mathcal{P}$, by a partial functional differentiation with respect to the variational parameters G and ψ .^{62,63}

Performing the variation with respect to the mean electrostatic potential

$$\frac{\delta W_v}{\delta \psi(\mathbf{r})} = 0 \quad (2.35)$$

leads to a Poisson-Boltzmann type expression

$$-\nabla \cdot \epsilon(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \psi = \rho_{\text{ex}} + \rho_+^{\text{chg}} + \rho_-^{\text{chg}} + \rho_p^{\text{chg}}, \quad (2.36)$$

where the species charge densities are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\pm}^{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r}) &= -\lambda_{\pm} \frac{\delta \langle Q_{\pm} \rangle}{\delta \psi(\mathbf{r})} = \lambda_{\pm} z_{\pm} h_{\pm} * e^{-v_{\pm} \mathcal{P} - z_{\pm} h_{\pm} * \psi - u_{\pm}}, \\ \rho_p^{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r}) &= -\lambda_p \frac{\delta \langle Q_p \rangle}{\delta \psi(\mathbf{r})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

Finally, the stationarity condition on G ,

$$\frac{\delta W_v}{\delta G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')} = 0, \quad (2.38)$$

leads to an integro-differential equation

$$\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 [C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1) + 2I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1)] G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}'), \quad (2.39)$$

where the ionic strength term is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 2I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') &= \sum_{\gamma} \lambda_{\gamma} \frac{\delta \langle Q_{\gamma} \rangle}{\delta G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')} \\ &= z_+^2 \int d\mathbf{r}_1 h_+(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1) \rho_+(\mathbf{r}_1) h_+(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}') \\ &\quad + z_-^2 \int d\mathbf{r}_1 h_-(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1) \rho_-(\mathbf{r}_1) h_-(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}') \\ &\quad + \lambda_p \langle Q_p \rangle \langle \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

In the last line above we have used the identity for the *single-chain* charge correlation

$$\langle \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \rangle = \frac{1}{\langle Q_p \rangle} \frac{\delta \langle Q_p \rangle}{\delta G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')} \quad (2.41)$$

of a single chain with partition function $\langle Q_p \rangle$ to rewrite the differentiation with respect to G ,

$$\lambda_{\gamma} \frac{\delta \langle Q_p \rangle}{\delta G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')} = \lambda_p \frac{\langle Q_p \rangle}{\langle Q_p \rangle} \frac{\delta \langle Q_p \rangle}{\delta G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')} = \lambda_p \langle Q_p \rangle \langle \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \rangle. \quad (2.42)$$

In the case where the polymer only consists of one monomer, the polymer contribution to the ionic strength reduces to the simple electrolyte case.

Equations (2.34), (2.36), (2.39), and (2.40) constitute the central expressions of our self-consistent theory. The self-consistent determination of polymer conformation originates from the fact that the Green's function $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ Eq. (2.39) itself depends on the single-chain charge correlations $\langle \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r}') \rangle$, which in turn comes from the average single-chain partition function $\langle Q_p \rangle$ determined by $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$, Eq. (2.27).

Although the idea of a self-consistent determination of chain structure is not new, it is gratifying that our derivation of the RGF naturally prescribes how to perform the self-consistent calculation.

C. Bulk solution thermodynamics: Self energy and osmotic pressure

To demonstrate the nature of this self-consistent calculation, we now specify a bulk solution with $\rho_{\text{ex}} = 0$. For a bulk solution, the single-particle/polymer partition functions simplify to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q_{\pm} \rangle &= V e^{-v_{\pm} \mathcal{P} - z_{\pm} \psi} q_{\pm}, \\ q_{\pm} &= e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' z_{\pm} h_{\pm} * G * z_{\pm} h_{\pm}} = e^{-u_{\pm}}, \\ \langle Q_p \rangle &= V e^{-N_p v_p \mathcal{P} - z_p^{\text{tot}} \psi} q_p, \\ q_p &= \frac{1}{V} \int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{R} e^{-H_B - \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \cdot G \cdot \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

where z_p^{tot} is the total charge carried by a chain. $q_{\gamma} = Q_{\gamma}/V$ is the single-particle/chain partition function excluding the translational degrees of freedom; for simple ions $q_{\pm}$ is simply the Boltzmann weight given by the simple ion self energy $u_{\pm}$ in Eq. (2.28).

Using Eq. (2.32), we evaluate the density and determine the fugacities to be

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\pm} &= \frac{n_{\pm}}{\langle Q_{\pm} \rangle} = \frac{\rho_{\pm}}{\exp[-u_{\pm}] \exp[-v_{\pm} \mathcal{P} - z_{\pm} \psi]}, \\ \lambda_p &= \frac{n_p}{\langle Q_p \rangle} = \frac{\rho_p / N_p}{q_p \exp[-v_p N_p \mathcal{P} - z_p^{tot} \psi]},\end{aligned}\quad (2.44)$$

where $\rho_p = n_p N_p / V$ is the monomer density. Using the fugacity relation, the polymer contribution Eq. (2.42) to the ionic strength is simply

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_p \langle Q_p \rangle \langle \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \rangle &= n_p \langle \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \rangle = \frac{n_p}{V} \cdot V \langle \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \rangle \\ &= \frac{\rho_p}{N_p} S_p^{\text{chg}}.\end{aligned}\quad (2.45)$$

Recognizing that the *single-chain* structure $\langle \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \rangle$ scales as the density of a single chain N/V , we have pre-emptively regrouped a factor of V/V in anticipation that the single-chain charge structure factor defined as $S_p^{\text{chg}} \equiv V \langle \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}} \rangle$ is independent of volume.

The fugacity is related to the chemical potential by $\mu_{\gamma} = \ln(\lambda_{\gamma} v_{\gamma})$, whence we can identify the per-ion and per-chain chemical potentials as

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\pm} &= \ln(\rho_{\pm} v_{\pm}) + v_{\pm} \mathcal{P} + z_{\pm} \psi + u_{\pm}, \\ \mu_p &= \ln\left(\frac{\rho_p v_p}{N_p}\right) + v_p N_p \mathcal{P} + z_p^{tot} \psi + u_p,\end{aligned}\quad (2.46)$$

where

$$u_p = -\ln q_p. \quad (2.47)$$

The first three terms in both chemical potential expressions of Eq. (2.46) are the same as in a mean-field analysis of a bulk solution. The physical content of the last term u_p is the free energy of a chain interacting with itself via the effective potential G , and within our theory u_p is easily identifiable as the *per-chain*, chemical potential attributable to electrostatic fluctuations. We thus define $\mu_p^{el} \equiv u_p$ and term it the (bulk) *per-chain self-energy*.

It can be easily verified that all the polymer expressions above reduce to those of simple electrolytes in the single-monomer limit $N_p = 1$, since then $z_p^{tot} = z_p$, H_B can be set to zero, $\hat{\rho}_{p1}^{\text{chg}}(\mathbf{r}) = z_p h(\mathbf{r} - \hat{\mathbf{r}})$, and by translation invariance $\int \mathcal{DR} \rightarrow \int d\mathbf{r} \rightarrow V$. Clearly, in this limit $u_p \xrightarrow{N_p=1} u_{\pm}$.

In the bulk, it is also useful to define the self energy per monomer (note subscript “m” for “monomer”) as

$$\mu_m^{el} \equiv \frac{u_p}{N_p}. \quad (2.48)$$

Further, the self-consistent set of Equations (2.34), (2.36), (2.39), and (2.40) are simple in the bulk case: the constitutive Equation (2.36) for ψ is just the global charge neutrality constraint, while in Eq. (2.39) the structure factors and $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ become translation-invariant, allowing a simple Fourier representation. Further, because of the rotational symmetry, only the magnitude of the wavevector matters, and Eqs. (2.39) and (2.40) become

$$1 = \epsilon k^2 \tilde{G}(k) + 2\tilde{I}(k) \tilde{G}(k), \quad (2.49)$$

$$2\tilde{I}(k) = \rho_+ \tilde{S}_+^{\text{chg}}(k) + \rho_- \tilde{S}_-^{\text{chg}}(k) + \frac{\rho_p}{N_p} \tilde{S}_p^{\text{chg}}(k), \quad (2.50)$$

which can be easily solved to obtain

$$\tilde{G}(k) = \frac{1}{\epsilon[k^2 + \tilde{\kappa}^2(k)]}, \quad (2.51)$$

where we identify $\tilde{\kappa}^2(k) = 2\tilde{I}(k)/\epsilon$ as the *wave-vector dependent* screening function, a generalization of the Debye screening constant. In our spread-charge model, even simple salt ions have some internal charge structure

$$\tilde{S}_{\pm}^{\text{chg}} = z_{\pm}^2 \tilde{h}_{\pm}^2(k). \quad (2.52)$$

For point charges $\tilde{h}_{\pm} = 1$, recovering the same ionic strength contribution as in DH theory. Therefore in the absence of polymers, in the point charge limit for a simple electrolyte, $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{k})$ is precisely the DH screened Coulomb interaction.

In bulk solution, a polyelectrolyte with discrete charges has a charge structure factor that can generally be divided into a self and non-self piece

$$\tilde{S}_p^{\text{chg}}(k) = \sum_l z_{pl}^2 \tilde{h}_{pl}^2(k) + \sum_l \sum_{m \neq l} z_{pl} \tilde{h}_{pl}(k) z_{pm} \tilde{h}_{pm}(-k) \tilde{\omega}_{lm}(k). \quad (2.53)$$

The first sum is the $l = m$ self piece, and the second sum is over all other terms. The structure is characterized by the intramolecular correlation $\tilde{\omega}_{lm}$ between two monomers l and m on the same chain.⁵¹ While $\tilde{\omega}_{lm}(k)$ has unknown analytical form, we know that $\tilde{\omega}_{lm}(k) \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow 0$, and $\tilde{\omega}_{lm}(k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \gg 1/a$. We thus see that in the large wavelength limit the polyelectrolyte charges contribute collectively to the screening $\tilde{\kappa}^2(k)$ as a high-valency object $\sim (z^{tot})^2$ where $z^{tot} = \sum_l z_{pl}$ is the total valency. In contrast, in the small wavelength limit the charges screen as independent charges, which for historical reasons we call the Voorn-Overbeek (VO) limit (only in the sense of treating the charges as disconnected from each other—the original VO theory used DH theory with point charges, while we leave open the possibility of giving charges internal structure).

It has been previously noted that the magnitude of collective screening by polyelectrolyte charges should be wave-vector dependent and described by the charge structure (contained in $\tilde{I}$):^{31,58} at different wavelengths portions of chains screen as independent objects, and the size of these screening portions is set by the structure. These discussions correctly identified that with increasing density, screening will be increasingly controlled by higher- k structure. However, previous discussions, with few exceptions,⁶⁴ often smear out the charges on a chain, thus treating simple ions and polymer charges on a different footing and missing the approach to the VO-limit at high wavevectors.

We now present the osmotic pressure Π . We can use $\lambda_{\gamma} \langle Q_{\gamma} \rangle / V = \rho_{\gamma} / N_{\gamma}$ to identify the ideal osmotic contribution. Then, using Fourier integrals to evaluate the determinants in

W_v Eq. (2.24), the osmotic pressure is

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi &= - \left[\frac{W_v - W_v^0}{V} \right] \\ &= - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 dk \left[\ln \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{\kappa}^2(k)}{k^2} \right) - \frac{\tilde{\kappa}^2(k)}{k^2 + \tilde{\kappa}^2(k)} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{v_s} \left(1 + \log(1 - \phi) \right) + \frac{1 - \phi}{v_s} + \rho_\pm + \frac{\rho_p}{N_p},\end{aligned}\quad (2.54)$$

where W_v^0 is the grand free energy of a pure solvent system.

An important feature of the theory is the necessity of self-consistently determining the chain charge structure $\tilde{S}_p^{\text{chg}}(k)$ Eq. (2.53) and $\tilde{G}(k)$ Eq. (2.51). The Green's function $\tilde{G}(k)$ itself depends on the chain structure; the latter is in turn determined by a chain interacting with itself through G in the single-chain partition function $\langle Q_p \rangle$, Eq. (2.27). The self-consistency is typically solved by iteratively approximating G and the chain structure until convergence is achieved.

The last piece required to implement our theory is an evaluation of the single-chain partition function and corresponding intramolecular charge structure. The exact evaluation of single-chain partition functions is difficult even for simpler pair interactions, and in general should be done by numerical simulation.⁵⁰ In Sec. III we demonstrate how $\langle Q_p \rangle$ can be approximately and simply evaluated, but we point out that such an approximation is not itself inherent to the general theory.

III. SELF-CONSISTENT CALCULATION OF FLEXIBLE CHAIN STRUCTURE

Our discussion has heretofore been general for macromolecules of arbitrary internal connectivity and charge distribution. To illustrate one way of carrying out the self-consistent calculation and facilitate comparison to previous theories, we specify to study flexible polyelectrolyte chains with Kuhn length b , equally spaced (discrete) charges of the same valency z_p , and overall charged monomer fraction f , such that the total polymer charge is $z_p^{\text{tot}} = Nfz_p$. Again, the discrete nature of the charges will be reflected in the charge structure factor and is important at high wavevectors.

Given this chain model, the expressions for the per-monomer chemical potential, density, and charge structure factor $\tilde{S}_p^{\text{chg}}(k)$ are now

$$\mu_m = \frac{1}{N_p} \ln \frac{\rho_p v_p}{N_p} - v_p \mathcal{P} + f z_p \psi + \frac{u_p}{N_p}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\rho_p = \lambda_p N_p e^{-N_p v_p \mathcal{P} - N_p f z_p \psi - u_p}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\tilde{S}_p^{\text{chg}}(k) = z_p^2 N f [1 + (N f - 1) \tilde{\omega}(k)] \tilde{h}_p^2(k), \quad (3.3)$$

where we have re-expressed the sum over all monomer-monomer pair correlations $\tilde{\omega}_{lm}$ with an average per-monomer structure $\tilde{\omega}$. Following our previous discussion, one can check that when $k \rightarrow 0$, $\tilde{S}_p^{\text{chg}} \sim (N f z_p)^2$ as for a $N f z_p$ -valent object, and when $ka \gg 1$, $\tilde{S}_p^{\text{chg}} \sim N f z_p^2$ as for $N f$ independent charges of valency z_p .

Returning to the task of calculating the single-chain partition function, we resort to a commonly used variational

technique. There are many variations reported in the literature,^{49,51,52,58,65–67} but they all essentially reduce to a Flory-type decomposition of the single-chain free energy into entropic F_{ent} and interaction F_{int} contributions,

$$u_p = -\ln q_p \approx \min_{\zeta} \left[F_{\text{ent}}(\zeta) + F_{\text{int}}(\zeta) \right], \quad (3.4)$$

where ζ indicates some conformational parameterization of a reference chain. In Flory's treatment of the excluded volume of a single chain, for example, ζ would be the average end-to-end distance. For our case, we take our reference chain to be a wormlike chain parameterized by an effective persistence length $\zeta \equiv l_{\text{eff}}$. Under this model, l_{eff} controls the chain expansion $\alpha^2 = \langle R_{ee}^2 \rangle / R_{ee,0}^2$,

$$\alpha^2 = 2 \frac{l_{\text{eff}}}{b} \left[1 - \frac{l_{\text{eff}}}{Nb} \left(1 - e^{-Nb/l_{\text{eff}}} \right) \right]. \quad (3.5)$$

The variational parameter l_{eff} also controls the per-monomer structure $\tilde{\omega}(k; l_{\text{eff}})$ in the charge structure factor $\tilde{S}_p^{\text{chg}}$. We note, however, that l_{eff} introduced here cannot be simply interpreted as the mechanical persistence of the polymer, since it is defined by the overall chain size rather than reflecting the local bending stiffness.⁶⁵ While exact expressions of the worm-like-chain (WLC) structure factor exist in the literature,⁶⁸ to facilitate calculations we use a simple analytical form

$$\tilde{\omega}(k) = \frac{\exp[-kl_{\text{eff}}/2]}{1 + k^2 Nb l_{\text{eff}}/6} + \frac{1 - \exp[-kl_{\text{eff}}/2]}{1 + k Nb/\pi} \quad (3.6)$$

which interpolates between the appropriate asymptotic limits of $\tilde{\omega}(k; l_{\text{eff}})$,^{69,70}

$$\tilde{\omega}(k) \sim \begin{cases} 1, & k < \sqrt{6/Nb l_{\text{eff}}} \\ 6/k^2 Nb l_{\text{eff}}, & \sqrt{6/Nb l_{\text{eff}}} < k < 1/l_{\text{eff}} \\ \pi/k Nb, & k > 1/l_{\text{eff}} \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Like a previously proposed expression,⁶⁹ our expression interpolates between Gaussian-chain behavior $\tilde{\omega} \sim 6/Nb l_{\text{eff}} k^2$ at low wavevector and rodlike behavior $\tilde{\omega} \sim \pi/k Nb$ at high wavevector, with a crossover set by l_{eff} . An important feature of the WLC chain structure captured by our expression is that the *magnitude* of $\tilde{\omega}$ at high wavevector is negligibly affected by l_{eff} , reflecting the intuition that while electrostatics can greatly deform the overall chain structure, smaller scale structure is less affected,⁶⁵ consistent with blob-theory arguments⁷¹ and simulation observations.⁷² As long as we work in the regime where electrostatic blobs have only $g \sim (b/f^2 l_b)^{2/3} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ monomers each, the WLC structure persists down to the monomer length scale so that smaller length-scale structures do not need to be resolved.

We are thus able to write a one-parameter (l_{eff}) model for the single-polyelectrolyte free-energy Eq. (3.4) with entropic and interaction terms given by

$$F_{\text{ent}} = -\frac{3}{2} N \ln \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{N} \right) - 3 \ln(\alpha), \quad (3.8)$$

$$F_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int k^2 dk \tilde{G}(k) \tilde{S}_p^{\text{chg}}(k; l_{\text{eff}}). \quad (3.9)$$

The first term of the entropic free energy is a finite extensibility approximation that lies between the elastic free energies

obtained from integrating the worm-like-chain (WLC) and freely jointed-chain (FJC) force-extension relationships.^{73,74} The second term $-3 \ln(\alpha)$ is a term that resists chain compression, first deduced by Flory and used by several subsequent authors.^{75–78}

We also note that the expression for the interaction energy Eq. (3.9) is an improvement upon typical scaling estimates of the Coulomb energy. When the effective interaction G is a bare Coulomb interaction, for an extended structure ($R \sim N$) the usual scaling estimate gives an energy of $N^2/R \sim N$.⁷¹ The structure factor of an extended chain is roughly $\tilde{S}^{\text{chg}}(k) \sim N^2/(1 + kN/\pi)$, and Eq. (3.9) gives an interaction energy of $\sim N \ln N$ with the correct logarithmic correction.^{79,80}

An interesting consequence of this decomposition of the single-chain partition function is that the electrostatic fluctuation contribution to the self energy is decomposed into two contributions: (1) entropic work of deforming the chains and (2) average interaction energy. The presence of an entropic contribution to the fluctuation-induced excess chemical potential is a special feature of flexible chains.

With the structure factor specified, we solve for self-consistency iteratively: for given Green's function $\tilde{G}$ we minimize the single-chain free energy Eq. (3.4) to approximate l_{eff} and estimate the charge structure factor $\tilde{S}_p^{\text{chg}}(k)$ via Eqs. (3.3) and (3.6), which we then use as input to update $\tilde{G}$ using Eq. (2.51). We stop when the rms relative error of l_{eff} between iterations is below 10^{-10} . Results of our calculations are presented in Sec. IV.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For numerical calculations, we consider fully charged chains with $f = 1$, $z_p = 1$, monovalent salt, set all ion sizes to be the same diameter $\sigma = 2a = 1$, and set the Kuhn length $b = \sigma$. We also study systems with Bjerrum length $l_b \sim 1$, ensuring that electrostatic blobs only have $g \sim (b/f^2 l_b)^{2/3} \sim 1$ Kuhn monomers each. To facilitate comparison with the restricted primitive model, the parameters v_γ are chosen to reproduce the divergence in the excluded volume free energy at the closest packing number density of hard spheres with diameter σ .

We start by examining salt-effects on the structure of isolated chains, then finite-concentration effects on chain size in salt-free polyelectrolyte solutions. Subsequently, we present the effective self-interaction G , demonstrate the presence of charge oscillations, and compare to screening predictions under the DH and fixed-Gaussian structure approximations. Next, we examine the polymer self-energy of *salt-free* polyelectrolyte solutions and compare our predictions to alternative theories for electrostatic correlations. Finally, we study the consequences of our theory on the osmotic coefficient and phase separation behavior.

A. Chain structure

The scaling behavior of linear homopolyelectrolytes is well-known for both the single-chain⁷¹ and semidilute regimes.^{80,81}

In the single-chain, salt-free limit, scaling theory predicts that the long range electrostatic forces elongate flexible chains into a “cigar” of electrostatic blobs with chain size scaling

linearly with chain length as $R \sim N$.⁷¹ At finite concentrations of salt, the screened Coulomb interaction effectively acts as an excluded volume interaction for chain segments separated by distances greater than κ^{-1} , where κ is the inverse Debye length of the added salt. Consequently, while short chains still exhibit the “cigar” scaling, sufficiently long chains behave as self-avoiding walks,^{80,81} with the crossover determined by the salt concentration. These expectations are borne out in Fig. 2, where we plot results for the chain size as a function of chain length for several different salt concentrations $\rho_\pm$.

For our theory, in the single-chain limit the polyelectrolyte does not contribute to the screening $\tilde{\kappa}(k)$, and the Green's function reduces to a modified screened Coulomb interaction $\tilde{G} = 4\pi l_b/(k^2 + \tilde{\kappa}(k)^2)$. Since in the single-chain limit $\tilde{G}$ is independent of polymer conformation, the self-consistent calculation only requires us to minimize the free energy of a single effective chain Eq. (3.4).

Because the salt concentrations considered are still dilute enough for finite ion-size effects to be negligible, the DH expression $\kappa^2 = 4\pi l_b z^2 (2\rho_\pm) = 8\pi l_b z^2 \rho_\pm$ is a good estimate of the screening length, and the crossover condition $\kappa R > 1$ predicts a crossover salt concentration $\rho_\pm^* \sim N^{-2}$, where we have used that in the dilute salt limit $R \sim N$. In the inset of Fig. 2 we locate the crossover by the intersection of fits to the asymptotic scaling limits, and verify this scaling expectation of the crossover concentration.

At finite concentrations of polyelectrolyte, there is a new scaling regime for the polymer size when the monomer concentration ρ_p becomes sufficiently high. This concentration is usually taken to be at the physical overlap, $\rho_p^* \sim 1/N^2$, with new scaling behavior given by the semidilute prediction of ideal random walk statistics $R \sim N^{1/2}$.^{80,81}

We plot our results for salt-free polyelectrolyte solutions in Fig. 3 at several polymer concentrations. For sufficiently high concentrations, we recover the ideal random walk scaling. Further, the crossovers happen below physical overlap, in accord with limited simulation data,^{49,72} and are attributed to the fact that the Coulomb interactions are long-ranged and that chains repel each other even below physical overlap.

FIG. 2. End-to-end distance in the single-chain limit, with parameters $l_b = 0.7$, $f = 1$, $z_p = 1$, at different salt concentrations $\rho_\pm$. The blue and green dashed lines represent, respectively, the $R \sim N$ and $R \sim N^{3/5}$ scalings in the zero and high salt limits. The inset shows the crossover salt concentration $\rho_\pm^* \sim N^{-2}$.

FIG. 3. End-to-end distance of a polyelectrolyte in salt-free solutions at finite monomer concentration ρ_p , with parameters $l_b = 0.7$, $f = 1$, $z_p = 1$. The blue and green dashed lines represent, respectively, the $R \sim N$ scaling in the dilute regime, and the $R \sim N^{1/2}$ scaling in the semidilute regime. The inset shows the crossover monomer concentration $\rho_p^* \sim N^{-3}$.

The crossover appears to be extremely gradual (more than two decades). Nevertheless, for a given concentration we can approximately locate the crossover chain length by again finding the intersection of the asymptotic limits. We plot these results in the inset of Fig. 3 and find that the crossover concentration goes as $\rho_p^* \sim N^{-3}$.

To understand this apparently strong N -dependency, we will have to first understand the nature of screening in solutions with finite concentrations of polyelectrolyte, and we give a more detailed discussion in Section IV C. We do mention, however, that if one uses the most conservative estimate of screening where only counterions contribute to the screening length, chains are expected to interact at concentrations a factor of $1/(4\pi l_b f)^3$ below physical overlap,⁸¹ which is several orders of magnitude for parameters studied in this paper ($4\pi l_b f \approx 10$). This is in qualitative agreement with our results that chains begin contracting far below physical overlap.

Thus, we have shown that our theory is able to correctly capture asymptotic chain size scaling behavior and reproduce qualitatively reasonable crossovers. We will show that correctly capturing these asymptotic limits is sufficient to give correct behavior for the osmotic coefficient and critical properties.

B. Effective interaction $G(k)$

For simple electrolytes, the field fluctuations and effective screened interaction are well-described by the Debye-Hückel screened Coulomb function. The Voorn-Overbeek approximation of neglecting chain connectivity takes Debye-Hückel as its starting point, and describes electrostatic fluctuations in polyelectrolyte solution by a screened Coulomb with screening constant $\kappa_{vo}^2 = 4\pi l_b(\rho_+ + c_p N) = 8\pi l_b \rho_p$, where the monomer number density ρ_p is related to the chain number density c_p by $\rho_p = c_p N$, and $\rho_+ = \rho_p$ in salt-free solution of polyelectrolyte (recall $f = 1$, $z_p = 1$). The opposite limit is to treat polyelectrolytes as point charges of valency $z_{tot} = N$.⁷² In this case, the electrostatic fluctuations are characterized by the screened Coulomb with a renormalized screening constant $\kappa_N^2 = 4\pi l_b(\rho_+ + c_p N^2) = 4\pi l_b \rho_p(1 + N)$. Clearly, the effect of chain connectivity on screening should lie somewhere between

these two limits. In Fig. 4, we plot the Fourier-transformed Green's function G for salt-free solutions of (1) chains with adaptable structure (our theory, RGF) and for (2) chains with fixed Gaussian-chain structure (fg-RPA), and compare to the two aforementioned limits.

For sufficiently dilute systems, the Green's function G for both our theory and RPA falls on the screened Coulomb line with screening constant κ_N^2 —information about the chain connectivity is reflected only through the total charge z_{tot} . We argue that this is the correct limiting law—for sufficiently dilute systems translational entropy opposes any ion condensation and the counterions can be considered a constant background charge. As long as the polymers are sufficiently far apart, they appear to each other essentially as point charges with valency z_{tot} , and can be treated using results from the one-component plasma (OCP) theory once one scales the charges by z_{tot} . In the dilute limit, the OCP is known to be governed by the DH expressions⁸²—when treating polyelectrolytes as a single z_{tot} -valent object the OCP theory gives a screening length λ that scales as $\sim (l_b \rho_p N)^{-1/2}$, which is consistent with screening constant $\kappa_N^2 = 4\pi l_b \rho_p(1 + N)$ for $N \gg 1$.

At higher concentrations, the finite-extent of the polyelectrolyte begins impacting the Green's function, and leads to a peak in $G(k)$ at finite wavenumbers that depends on the concentration. This peak can be shown to lead to attractive wells in $G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$, which allow for positively charged chains to assume random walk statistics; the random-walk conformation would not be possible with a purely repulsive screened Coulomb interaction. This is the reason our RGF is able to reproduce the Gaussian-chain scaling in semidilute solution. On the other hand, the fg-RPA assumes a Gaussian-chain structure for all concentrations, and there is no feedback of G onto the chain structure.

The peak in $G(k)$ is also associated with decreased screening compared to DH expectations using the dilute limit κ_N^2 as the screening strength. The onset of a peak is actually also present for simple electrolyte solutions and corrects for the

FIG. 4. Green's function $G/4\pi l_b$ characterizing electrostatic field fluctuations, at different polyelectrolyte concentrations, from our RGF theory (blue solid), fg-RPA (green dashed), DH prediction with VO screening strength $\kappa_{vo}^2 = 8\pi l_b \rho_p$ (DH_{VO} , red dotted), and DH prediction using the N -valent screening strength $\kappa_N^2 = 4\pi l_b \rho_p(1 + N)$ (DH_N , brown dotted-dashed). Results are for salt-free solutions ($l_b = 1$, $f = 1$, $z_p = 1$, $N = 100$) at different monomer densities ρ_p . Relative to the RGF, the fg-RPA over-predicts screening, has a delayed crossover, and predicts a peak at higher wavenumber (smaller wavelength).

over-prediction of correlations within the DH approximation at higher concentrations. It can be generally shown that the peak sets in at lower concentrations for larger ion sizes: at higher concentrations, only sub-portions of spatially extended charged objects screen independently, hence decreasing the effective valency and screening strength.

Polyelectrolytes have their charge greatly extended across space, and correspondingly their peak sets in at a much lower concentration than simple electrolytes. The RGF, which predicts an adaptable chain size that becomes expanded relative to the ideal Gaussian chain, predicts an earlier onset of a peak in $G(k)$ and less screening (larger $G(k)$ values) than the fg-RPA theory at all concentrations. However, the peaks of the two theories do approach each other with increasing concentration, as expected of the semidilute regime.

C. Electrostatic self energy and correlations

We now examine the self energy per polyelectrolyte monomer Eq. (2.48), which depends on both the chain structure and Green's function. We first give the *total* self energy, where the zero energy of the electrostatics is taken to be the state where charges are dispersed into infinitesimal bits at infinity in vacuum. This perspective highlights the energetic consequences of connecting charges onto a chain, which is especially important in dilute solution. Further, this reference energy includes the energy of assembling charge onto each charged monomer, thus ensuring we account for both dielectric effects of solvation and interactions between charges.

To study correlation effects due to finite polymer concentration, we argue that the most natural definition involves subtracting out the infinite-dilution energy. We are then able to distinguish a dilute limit following a renormalized DH-like scaling, and a crossover to less effective screening due to the overlap of polyelectrolyte chains in space.

To simplify the parameter space to one density variable and highlight the role of chain structure in modifying the self-energy, below we only present results for salt-free solutions of flexible polyelectrolytes. However, the physical principles regarding screening and the correlation energy are generally applicable to more complicated systems.

1. Total self energy

One key feature of semidilute solutions is that the self energy should become independent of chain length for sufficiently long chain lengths. This is confirmed in Fig. 5(a), where we plot the *total* self energy of salt-free solutions of polyelectrolytes. With increasing chain length, the self energies begin overlapping over greater concentration ranges, in agreement with our expectations for semidilute solutions.

Figure 5(a) also shows that the fg-RPA theory greatly over-estimates the self-energy at low concentrations, and its value rapidly grows with chain length N . Having shown in Section IV B that with increasing dilution the Green's function G becomes insensitive to chain structure, we conclude that the origin of this huge over-estimate of the self energy is the fg-RPA chain structure.

To understand the magnitude of the fg-RPA's over-estimate of the self energy, we consider the infinite-dilution limit. The self energy of a simple ion is the Born solvation

(a) Total Self Energy

(b) Correlation Self Energy

FIG. 5. (a) Per-monomer total self energy μ_m^{el} of salt-free polyelectrolyte solutions, with parameters $l_b = 1, f = 1, z_p = 1$, comparing fg-RPA (dashed, green), RGF (solid, blue), MSA (dotted, black) and TPT-1 (dotted-dashed, red) results. (b) Per-monomer correlation energy $\mu_m^{corr} = \mu_m^{el} - \mu_{m,0}^{el}$. In addition to MSA and TPT-1, we also plot the VO prediction using point-charge DH expression (dashed, brown), and our RGF theory for 1:1 salt (solid, purple). The fg-RPA correlation energy scales as $N^{1/2}$, and is omitted because it is not well-represented by the scales of the figure.

energy given by $l_b/2a$, representing the work done against the dielectric background to assemble a charge into a region of size a . For polyelectrolytes, we expect the infinite-dilution per-monomer energy to be *higher* than the Born solvation energy of an isolated ion, due to the additional work (including chain elasticity) required to assemble multiple charges at finite separation from each other.

As confirmed in Section IV A, the chain size of an isolated flexible polyelectrolyte scales linearly with chain length $R \sim N$. Elementary calculation of the energy of a line of charges gives an energy that scales as $\sim N \ln N$; as mentioned earlier in the context of our expression for the interaction energy Eq. (3.9), this is in general true of charges arranged in a structure that scales as $R \sim N$ for large N . Thus, for flexible polyelectrolytes we expect that at infinite dilution, the per-monomer energy associated with connectivity grows logarithmically $\sim \ln N$.

In contrast, for a fixed-Gaussian structure $R \sim N^{1/2}$ the infinite-dilution (chain) self energy scales as $\sim N^2/R \sim N^2/N^{1/2} \sim N^{3/2}$, leading to a per-monomer self energy that grows as $\sim N^{1/2}$. This is the origin of the rapidly diverging self-energy in fg-RPA, and is attributed to the artificially compact conformation imposed by a fixed-Gaussian-chain structure. The self energies predicted by the fg-RPA at low concentrations

lead to an artificially high driving force for phase separation into denser states. In contrast, our theory allows the chain conformation to relax, significantly reducing the self energy and increasing the stability of the single-phase region of a polyelectrolyte solution relative to fg-RPA theory.

For a constant dielectric background, the screening due to correlations (as a result of finite polymer concentration) reduces the amount of work required to assemble charge onto a chain, which we have defined as the self energy. The infinite-dilution self energy, then, contains information about the amount of correlation energy attributable to chain connectivity and provides an upper bound for its magnitude.

2. Electrostatic correlation energy

To isolate the correlation self energy μ_m^{corr} associated with finite concentrations of polyelectrolyte, for constant-dielectric backgrounds, it is most natural to subtract out the infinite-dilution self energy $\mu_{m,0}^{\text{el}}$,

$$\mu_m^{\text{corr}} = \mu_m^{\text{el}} - \mu_{m,0}^{\text{el}}. \quad (4.1)$$

In the simple-electrolyte case, this is simply taking the single-ion Born solvation energy to be the reference energy, and is the usual reference used for studying simple electrolytes. For polyelectrolytes, however, one must be careful to subtract the infinite-dilution energy of an entire chain, not just the sum of the Born solvation energy of each of the charged monomers.

In Fig. 5(b) we plot the correlation energy μ_m^{corr} . For comparison we also plot the correlation energy results from the liquid-state integral equation Mean Spherical Approximation (MSA) theory of simple electrolytes,²⁴ TPT-1 chain perturbation theory,²⁴ the VO approximation, and our theory applied to 1:1 electrolytes. Here we focus on the behavior for our theory, and postpone comparison until Section IV C 3.

At sufficiently low concentrations, our theory predicts a per-monomer correlation energy μ_m^{corr} that scales as $\sim (N\rho_p l_b)^{1/2} = (N^2 c_p l_b)^{1/2}$, where we remind the readers that ρ_p and c_p are the monomer and chain number densities, respectively. Comparison with the DH point charge result $\sim (z^2 c l_b)^{1/2}$ indicates that in sufficiently dilute solution, the correlations follow DH-scaling, with chains screening as N -valent ions—the entire chain behaves as a fundamental, N -valent screening unit. This is in accord with the dilute limit, renormalized-DH behavior of electrostatic fluctuations described by $G(k)$.

At first sight this N -dependence may seem unusually strong. Examination of Fig. 5(b) shows that the dilute scaling quickly crosses over to a weaker concentration dependence at higher concentrations. The presence of a crossover is a generic feature of finite-sized charges, and is also present in the MSA theory for simple electrolytes and the RGF theory applied to simple electrolytes. However, the location of the crossover in simple electrolytes depends on the ion size a , which is much smaller than the size of a polyelectrolyte. As hinted by our examination of the chain structure and Green's function, the dilute solution DH behavior only persists while the chain size $R < \xi$, where ξ is some length scale that we attempt to identify below.

In general the screening function $\tilde{\kappa}$ in our RGF theory is wavelength-dependent but, as demonstrated above in the dilute

solution limit, DH behavior describes the thermodynamics, with an N -dependent screening constant $\kappa_N^2 = 4\pi l_b \rho_p (1 + N)$, suggesting that the relevant length scale may be given by $\xi_{\text{DH}}^{-2} = \kappa_N^2$. Combined with the dilute solution scaling $R \sim N$, the condition $R/\xi_{\text{DH}} > 1$ is equivalent to $\rho_p < N^{-3} \equiv \rho_p^{*DH}$, which is the crossover scaling observed in Section IV A for the chain size. We note that this crossover scaling is in contrast to the physical overlap condition or “minimal” screening arguments that predict a crossover that scales as $\rho_p^* \sim N^{-2}$.⁸¹ We leave the resolution of this discrepancy to future research.

Nevertheless, even if our RGF estimate of the location of crossovers is not accurate, the theory still reproduces the asymptotic limits in both dilute and semi-dilute solutions for the electrostatic correlations, both set by the scale of the infinite-dilution self energy. Thus the range of uncertainty in the intermediate concentrations is limited and we expect the theory to be able to reasonably describe the thermodynamic properties in this concentration range. The same cannot be said for the fg-RPA theory, which can severely overpredict correlations over a significant concentration range.

3. Comparison to other theories

We now compare our predictions for the correlation energy to other theories. Note that in Fig. 5(b) the fg-RPA results are not discussed because they are not well-represented on the axes used: the over-estimation of the correlation energy is too great.

As can be seen in Fig. 5(b), classic VO theory approximates correlations with a solution of disconnected point charges using the Debye-Hückel theory. The DH theory predicts a self energy that scales linearly with the Debye screening constant $\kappa \sim \rho^{1/2}$ for all concentrations, without crossovers. Compared to our theory for polyelectrolytes, the VO theory underestimates correlations for most concentrations.

We also plot the MSA theory as an example of a liquid-state integral equation theory for the restricted primitive model of simple electrolytes, which accounts for ion size through a hard-core model. At low concentrations the integral equation theory matches the DH point charge theory; it is only at higher concentrations ($\kappa a > 1$) that there is a deviation, and correlations have a weaker concentration-dependence relative to the DH result. Recent polyelectrolyte theories that use integral equation results for the simple electrolyte¹⁸ make the same VO approximation of treating the correlations with a solution of disconnected charges, and are expected to more or less coincide with the MSA results presented here. While for high concentrations the MSA correctly reduces correlations relative to point-charge VO, the lack of any chain-length information means that the chain-length dependent crossover is completely neglected.

Thermodynamic perturbation theory (TPT-1) is an attempt at correcting for chain correlations by perturbing about liquid-state results for simple electrolytes.^{24,83} TPT-1 predicts a perturbation that grows with chain length as $\sim (N - 1)/N$,⁸⁴ yielding a modest multivalency effect of chain-connectivity. However, the perturbation rapidly becomes insensitive to chain length. As shown in Fig. 5(b), the TPT-1 results for chain lengths $N = 16$ and $N = 2^{20}$ are indistinguishable on the scale

of the plot. Further, because the TPT-1 theory uses correlations of a simple electrolyte system, it is unable to capture the crossover behavior in the electrostatic correlations at low concentrations, an essential consequence of polymer chain connectivity. Instead, the crossover observed in TPT-1 theory is tied to the monomeric length-scale a .

D. Thermodynamics and critical point behavior

The theory presented in this work is applicable to the study of the thermodynamics of general polyelectrolyte solutions, which will be the subject of future work. Below we illustrate its application to the osmotic coefficients and the critical properties for a fully charged ($f = 1$) salt-free polyelectrolyte solution with monovalent counterions.

With increasing chain length N , we expect the osmotic coefficient to become independent of N in semidilute solutions. The osmotic coefficient is defined as the ratio of the actual osmotic pressure of a solution to its ideal value (given by van't Hoff's law). For a salt-free polyelectrolyte solution with monovalent counterions, the osmotic coefficient is

$$\Phi = \frac{\Pi}{\rho_p + c_p} = \frac{\Pi}{\rho_p(1 + 1/N)}. \quad (4.2)$$

In Fig. 6, we plot the RGF theory's predictions of Φ for salt-free polyelectrolyte solutions at $l_b = 1$, which can be seen to reproduce the expected convergence in the large- N limit. Our result for $N = 16$ is in good quantitative agreement with reported simulation data of salt-free polyelectrolyte solutions for that chain length.⁵³

For large N , our predicted osmotic coefficient Φ exhibits a plateau at a value less than 1 over a wide range of concentration. (At sufficient dilution we expect Φ to return to 1.) Such a plateau is often interpreted as a signature of counterion condensation which reduces the population of osmotically active counterions.⁸⁵ However, we believe that in our theory, the plateau is a reflection of the behavior of a semidilute solution of rods—we expect locally the chains to be stiff, up to some semidilute mesh size. Indeed, recently published work

FIG. 6. Osmotic coefficient $\Phi = \Pi/\rho_p(1 + 1/N)$ of salt-free polyelectrolyte solutions as a function of the monomer concentration ρ_p , with parameters $l_b = 1.0$, $f = 1$, $z_p = 1$. Our RGF results for $N = 16$ (solid, green) are shown in comparison with existing simulation data (circle, black).⁵³ In RGF theory, as the chain length increases the osmotic coefficients approach each other in semidilute solution ($N = 16\,000$ and $N = 2^{20}$ are nearly indistinguishable). TPT-1 underpredicts the chain-length dependence, with $N = 16$ (solid, black) nearly indistinguishable from $N = 128$ (squares, black).

(without accounting for counterion condensation) found that infinite charged rods have a correlation energy that goes as $\sim \rho \ln \rho$, which serves to renormalize (reduce) the effective translational entropy of the counterions.³³ Such renormalization would lead the osmotic coefficient to exhibit a plateau at a value less than 1.

Importantly, though not obvious from the figure, it can be shown that at the presented $l_b = 1$, the solution remains stable against increased chain length. Our results are in contrast to those from the fg-RPA, where at any l_b , increasing the chain length will eventually turn the osmotic coefficient negative and drive the system to phase separation.³⁴ Finally, although the TPT-1 involves a modest chain-length correction, it far underpredicts the dependence of correlations on chain length, reflecting its behavior for the self energy.

In Fig. 7, we plot the chain-length dependence of the critical Bjerrum length ($\sim$ inverse temperature) l_b^c and critical monomer density ρ_p^c , for chain lengths up to $N = 10^4$. The predicted critical point appears insensitive to chain length for chain lengths $N \approx 30$; this insensitivity to chain length is in agreement with previous simulations and theories.^{35,36}

Previous literature suggested the origin of this critical behavior as either due to counterion condensation or other strong correlations on small length scales that cannot be accounted for by weak fluctuation theories.^{35,36} In contrast, our theory suggests that, in fact, accounting for chain conformational change is sufficient to explain the chain-length independence of the critical point of salt-free solutions of flexible polyelectrolytes. The conformational change is particularly important in dilute and low-concentration semidilute solutions, where the unscreened Coulomb interactions can significantly distort the chain structure, and in doing so bound the correlation energy gain that drives phase separation. Application of the Gaussian chain structure at low concentrations drastically overestimates the correlation energy and hence the driving force for phase separation in salt-free solutions of a flexible polyelectrolyte.

One might notice that the predicted critical Bjerrum length for long chains $l_b^c \approx 2$ is above 1 (the critical value for Manning condensation for $f = 1$) and hence expect counterion condensation to play a role in further stabilizing the dilute phase.³⁵ (At sufficiently large l_b , Brilliantov and co-workers^{86,87} showed

FIG. 7. Chain-length dependence of the critical Bjerrum length ($\sim 1/T^c$) and critical concentration of salt-free polyelectrolyte solutions, with parameters $f = 1$, $z_p = 1$.

that the chain can undergo a first-order coil-globule transition in a salt-free dilute solution. However, the value of l_b for such a transition is beyond the range of interest of our work.) Although counterion condensation will undoubtedly further reduce the self energy, the magnitude of such reduction is still bounded by the same infinite-dilution self energy. Because the infinite-dilution self energy per monomer has only a weak dependence on the chain length (logarithmic vs. $N^{1/2}$ from fg-RPA), the energy range in the relevant range of the concentration where counterion condensation can play a role for large N in our theory is rather limited; we do not expect counterion condensation to substantially affect our conclusions. (In contrast, the magnitude of the counterion condensation contribution to the correlation energy would be much greater if the correlation energy followed the fg-RPA behavior. But even with the inclusion of counterion condensation, the fg-RPA theory does not predict the correct behavior of the critical properties without introducing additional modifications.)³⁶ Nevertheless, for quantitative prediction it would be important to account for counterion condensation and this is planned for future work.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have extended the field-theoretic renormalized Gaussian fluctuation (RGF) variational theory of simple electrolyte systems to systematically account for electrostatic fluctuations in polyelectrolytes. The key results of our theory can be summarized as follows:

1. Our theory *derives* a self-consistent procedure whereby electrostatic fluctuations characterized by G Eq. (2.39) are coupled to the intrachain structure and vice versa. The theory provides a unified framework for simultaneously describing the chain structure and thermodynamics, in dilute *and* semidilute solutions.
2. Our theory correctly predicts the crossover from the $R \sim N$ scaling in the chain size to the $R \sim N^{3/5}$ scaling as a function of increasing salt in the single-chain limit. For finite concentrations of polyelectrolyte, the theory also predicts the dilute-limit scaling $R \sim N$ and the semidilute scaling $R \sim N^{1/2}$ in salt-free solutions.
3. The self-consistent procedure allows the clarification of the nature of screening, described by G . We confirm the screening behavior at long length scales, where the polyelectrolytes screen as polyvalent point charges, and at smaller length scales, where the charges on the polyelectrolyte chains behave as disconnected units (the VO limit). The onset and location of a peak in G are determined by the chain size, which is more accurately described by an adaptive chain structure.
4. Our theory features prominently the role of the polyelectrolyte self-energy u_p , Eq. (2.47), which is the free energy of an independent chain interacting with itself through G , and is the work required to assemble charge onto a chain. The infinite-dilution self-energy bounds the magnitude of connectivity contributions to the correlation energy, the latter tending to cancel out the former with increasing concentration.

5. We clarify that the correlation energy μ_m^{corr} is the difference of the self energy from its infinite-dilution value; μ_m^{corr} characterizes finite-concentration effects and reduces the self energy. With increasing polymer concentration, the correlation energy has a chain-size dependent crossover from a renormalized DH scaling in dilute solution to a weaker concentration dependence in the semidilute regime and beyond.
6. For salt-free polyelectrolyte solutions, we show that the chain structure can dramatically affect the self energy. By capturing the correct chain conformation in dilute and low-concentration semidilute solutions, our theory correctly bounds the correlation energy and reproduces the N -insensitivity both in the osmotic coefficient in semidilute solution and the critical properties in the large- N limit.

We note that our physical picture of the self-energy corroborates the self-energy explanation used by some authors for “strong correlation” complexation.^{88–90} These works treated polyelectrolyte complexation in the zero temperature limit where Coulomb interactions dominate. They identified the driving force for polyelectrolytes to aggregate into denser states as driven by a loss of an infinite-dilution self energy (which was estimated using the Coulomb energy of line charges $\sim N \log N$ per chain) upon entering a dense, neutralized state. Our theory works at finite temperature, and for a given concentration is able to *quantify* how much of the infinite-dilution self energy is lost. Being a weak coupling theory, our theory will require further modification to include structures due to strong correlation effects, such as counterion condensation and ion-pairing.

The response of chain conformation to changing density is a key feature in our theory that is not present in theories of polyelectrolytes assuming fixed chain structures, such as fg-RPA^{34,36} or ultra-soft-restricted-primitive model.^{91,92} Such theories predict, for Gaussian chains, spuriously strong N -dependencies of correlation energies, and this behavior is due to the failure of the assumed Gaussian structure at low concentrations. The fixed-Gaussian structure assumption artificially confines flexible chains to a radius that is too small by a factor of $\sqrt{N}$, thus raising the infinite-dilution self energy by the same factor. Thus, the fg-RPA theory predicts a higher infinite-dilution energy (which is a positive quantity), and there is correspondingly more electrostatic energy for the correlations (which contribute a negative energy) to reduce, leading to overestimations that persist into the semidilute regime. By a self-consistent determination of the single-chain structure, our theory avoids the artificially high energies appearing in the fg-RPA theory.

The self-consistency procedure derived in our theory is similar in spirit to sc-PRISM proposals⁴⁹ and the procedure employed by Donley *et al.*,⁵⁸ all involving a single chain interacting through an effective pairwise additive interaction G . Indeed, our Green’s function G would be the same as that prescribed by the sc-PRISM theory if one used (1) the so-called “RPA” closure,^{49,93} (2) smeared charge distributions to regularize the electrostatic interactions, and (3) a commonly employed estimate of the medium-induced potential.^{47–49} We note that Chandler demonstrated that RISM + RPA theory can

be derived using a Gaussian Hamiltonian for density fluctuations, but no prescription was given on the self-consistent determination of a polymer's intrachain structure.⁹⁴

A quantity of great interest for comparison with experimental scattering functions of polyelectrolyte solutions is the structure factor. Based on the similarity in G between our theory and the sc-PRISM + RPA theory, one might be tempted to assume the structure factor associated with our theory to be comparable to that of the sc-PRISM + RPA theory. However, we note that our theory is formulated without explicit density fields, and density is determined by conjugating an external field and taking the first functional derivative of the thermodynamic potential with respect to this field. It is thus most natural to calculate the structure factor using the conjugating field by taking the appropriate functional derivatives; this procedure is known to produce corrections that go beyond RPA,^{32,95} thus resulting in an improved expression for the structure factor relative to sc-PRISM + RPA. The calculation is rather involved and is planned for future work.

While in this paper we have focused on linear homopolyelectrolyte solutions, our theory is applicable to general polyelectrolyte systems such as polyelectrolyte coacervates, dendrimers, and gels. Promisingly, our theory gives a systematic framework for studying the impact of arbitrary polyelectrolyte architectures on electrostatic correlations, not achievable by many commonly used theories of polyelectrolyte thermodynamics (i.e., TPT-1 and other theories that use the VO disconnected charges approximation). This feature of our theory is critical for advancing the theoretical design of novel polyelectrolyte materials, for which polymer architecture is a particularly important design parameter.

Finally, our theory retains many of the advantages of the original Gaussian variational theory applied to simple electrolytes,⁵⁹ providing a systematic framework for studying inhomogeneities in the dielectric medium and concentration profiles. Study of inhomogeneous systems will be the subject of future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Bilin Zhuang, Rui Wang, Pengfei Zhang, and Marco Heinen, for helpful discussions. Special thanks go to Professor Jian Qin for his insightful comments on our manuscript. K.S. acknowledges support by the NSF-GRFP fellowship.

- ¹D. T. Hallinan, Jr. and N. P. Balsara, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **43**, 503 (2013).
- ²C. Schmitt and S. L. Turgeon, *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **167**, 63 (2011).
- ³C. L. Ting, J. Wu, and Z.-G. Wang, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108**, 16986 (2011).
- ⁴D. S. Hwang, H. Zeng, A. Srivastava, D. V. Krogstad, M. Tirrell, J. N. Israelachvili, and J. H. Waite, *Soft Matter* **6**, 3232 (2010).
- ⁵F. Pittella and K. Kataoka, *RNA Interference from Biology to Therapeutics* (Springer, 2013), p. 161.
- ⁶D. W. Pan and M. E. Davis, *Bioconjugate Chem.* **26**, 1791 (2015).
- ⁷K. A. Black, D. Priftis, S. L. Perry, J. Yip, W. Y. Byun, and M. Tirrell, *ACS Macro Lett.* **3**, 1088 (2014).
- ⁸M. A. C. Stuart, W. T. Huck, J. Genzer, M. Müller, C. Ober, M. Stamm, G. B. Sukhorukov, I. Szleifer, V. V. Tsukruk, M. Urban *et al.*, *Nat. Mater.* **9**, 101 (2010).
- ⁹G. S. Manning, *J. Chem. Phys.* **51**, 924 (1969).
- ¹⁰H. Bungenberg de Jong and H. Krut, *Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet.* **32**, 849 (1929).

- ¹¹D. Priftis, N. Laugel, and M. Tirrell, *Langmuir* **28**, 15947 (2012).
- ¹²J. T. G. Overbeek and M. J. Voorn, *J. Cell Comp. Physiol.* **49**, 7 (1957).
- ¹³P. Debye and E. Hückel, *Phys. Z.* **24**, 185 (1923).
- ¹⁴R. de Vries and M. C. Stuart, *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.* **11**, 295 (2006).
- ¹⁵E. Spruijt, A. H. Westphal, J. W. Borst, M. A. Cohen Stuart, and J. van der Gucht, *Macromolecules* **43**, 6476 (2010).
- ¹⁶J. van der Gucht, E. Spruijt, M. Lemmers, and M. A. C. Stuart, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **361**, 407 (2011).
- ¹⁷J. Qin, D. Priftis, R. Farina, S. L. Perry, L. Leon, J. Whitmer, K. Hoffmann, M. Tirrell, and J. J. de Pablo, *ACS Macro Lett.* **3**, 565 (2014).
- ¹⁸C. E. Sing, J. W. Zwanikken, and M. O. de la Cruz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 168303 (2013).
- ¹⁹L. Blum, Y. V. Kalyuzhnyi, O. Bernard, and J. N. Herrera-Pacheco, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **8**, A143 (1996).
- ²⁰I. Protsykevych, Y. Kalyuzhnyi, M. Holovko, and L. Blum, *J. Mol. Liq.* **73**, 1 (1997).
- ²¹O. Bernard and L. Blum, *J. Chem. Phys.* **112**, 7227 (2000).
- ²²N. von Solms and Y. C. Chiew, *J. Chem. Phys.* **111**, 4839 (1999).
- ²³N. von Solms and Y. C. Chiew, *J. Stat. Phys.* **100**, 267 (2000).
- ²⁴J. Jiang, L. Blum, O. Bernard, and J. M. Prausnitz, *Mol. Phys.* **99**, 1121 (2001).
- ²⁵Z. Li and J. Wu, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **110**, 7473 (2006).
- ²⁶Z. Li and J. Wu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 048302 (2006).
- ²⁷T. Jiang, Z.-G. Wang, and J. Wu, *Biophys. J.* **96**, 3065 (2009).
- ²⁸L. Wang, H. Liang, and J. Wu, *J. Chem. Phys.* **133**, 044906 (2010).
- ²⁹Yu. A. Budkov, A. L. Kolesnikov, N. Georgi, E. A. Nogovitsyn, and M. G. Kiselev, *J. Chem. Phys.* **142**, 174901 (2015).
- ³⁰V. Y. Borue and I. Y. Erukhimovich, *Macromolecules* **21**, 3240 (1988).
- ³¹A. Khokhlov and K. Khachaturian, *Polymer* **23**, 1742 (1982).
- ³²M. Castelnovo and J.-F. Joanny, *Eur. Phys. J. E* **6**, 377 (2001).
- ³³J. Qin and J. J. de Pablo, *Macromolecules* **49**, 8789 (2016).
- ³⁴K. A. Mahdi and M. O. de la Cruz, *Macromolecules* **33**, 7649 (2000).
- ³⁵G. Orkoulas, S. K. Kumar, and A. Z. Panagiotopoulos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 048303 (2003).
- ³⁶A. V. Ermoshkin and M. Olvera de la Cruz, *Macromolecules* **36**, 7824 (2003).
- ³⁷S. F. Edwards, *Proc. Phys. Soc.* **88**, 265 (1966).
- ³⁸M. Muthukumar and S. Edwards, *J. Chem. Phys.* **76**, 2720 (1982).
- ³⁹M. Muthukumar, *J. Chem. Phys.* **105**, 5183 (1996).
- ⁴⁰M. Muthukumar, *Macromolecules* **35**, 9142 (2002).
- ⁴¹M. Muthukumar, *J. Chem. Phys.* **120**, 9343 (2004).
- ⁴²R. Kumar, A. Kundagrami, and M. Muthukumar, *Macromolecules* **42**, 1370 (2009).
- ⁴³A. Kundagrami and M. Muthukumar, *Macromolecules* **43**, 2574 (2010).
- ⁴⁴M. J. Stevens and K. Kremer, *J. Phys. II* **6**, 1607 (1996).
- ⁴⁵A. Yethiraj, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 3789 (1997).
- ⁴⁶J. D. Perlmutter, C. Qiao, and M. F. Hagan, *elife* **2**, e00632 (2013).
- ⁴⁷C. J. Grayce and K. S. Schweizer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **100**, 6846 (1994).
- ⁴⁸C. J. Grayce, A. Yethiraj, and K. S. Schweizer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **100**, 6857 (1994).
- ⁴⁹A. Yethiraj, *J. Chem. Phys.* **108**, 1184 (1998).
- ⁵⁰C.-Y. Shew and A. Yethiraj, *J. Chem. Phys.* **110**, 5437 (1999).
- ⁵¹C.-Y. Shew and A. Yethiraj, *J. Chem. Phys.* **113**, 8841 (2000).
- ⁵²T. Hofmann, R. Winkler, and P. Reineker, *J. Chem. Phys.* **118**, 6624 (2003).
- ⁵³R. Chang and A. Yethiraj, *Macromolecules* **38**, 607 (2005).
- ⁵⁴K. S. Schweizer and J. G. Curro, *J. Chem. Phys.* **89**, 3342 (1988).
- ⁵⁵K. S. Schweizer and J. G. Curro, *J. Chem. Phys.* **89**, 3350 (1988).
- ⁵⁶J. P. Donley, J. G. Curro, and J. D. McCoy, *J. Chem. Phys.* **101**, 3205 (1994).
- ⁵⁷S. L. Perry and C. E. Sing, *Macromolecules* **48**, 5040 (2015).
- ⁵⁸J. P. Donley, J. Rudnick, and A. J. Liu, *Macromolecules* **30**, 1188 (1997).
- ⁵⁹Z.-G. Wang, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 021501 (2010).
- ⁶⁰G. Fredrickson, *The Equilibrium Theory of Inhomogeneous Polymers* (Oxford University Press, USA, 2013).
- ⁶¹Q. Wang, T. Taniguchi, and G. H. Fredrickson, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **108**, 6733 (2004).
- ⁶²D. Frydel, *Eur. J. Phys.* **36**, 065050 (2015), The GFB procedure makes use of an inequality that only holds for real actions. When the action is complex, like in our case, the inequality is no longer true, and the true value is approached in oscillatory fashion. Nevertheless the formal steps can still be followed, and the stationarity condition can be shown to satisfy a certain self-consistency condition from an hierarchy of possible conditions.
- ⁶³While procedurally the same as the saddle-point evaluation of $\mathcal{P}$, we stress that while $\mathcal{P}$ is an original field variable of the exact partition function, (G, ψ)

- have a distinct origin in the GFB variational approximation of fluctuations in the Ψ field.
- ⁶⁴I. I. Potemkin and V. V. Palyulin, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 041802 (2010).
 - ⁶⁵M. Manghi and R. R. Netz, *Eur. Phys. J. E* **14**, 67 (2004).
 - ⁶⁶H. Li and T. Witten, *Macromolecules* **28**, 5921 (1995).
 - ⁶⁷J.-L. Barrat and J.-F. Joanny, *Europhys. Lett.* **24**, 333 (1993).
 - ⁶⁸A. J. Spakowitz and Z.-G. Wang, *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 041802 (2005).
 - ⁶⁹A. V. Ermoshkin, A. N. Kudlay, and M. Olvera de la Cruz, *J. Chem. Phys.* **120**, 11930 (2004).
 - ⁷⁰R. R. Netz and D. Andelman, *Phys. Rep.* **380**, 1 (2003).
 - ⁷¹P. G. De Gennes, P. Pincus, R. M. Velasco, and F. Brochard, *J. Phys. France* **37**, 1461 (1976).
 - ⁷²M. J. Stevens and K. Kremer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **103**, 1669 (1995).
 - ⁷³P. K. Jha, F. J. Solis, J. J. de Pablo, and M. O. de la Cruz, *Macromolecules* **42**, 6284 (2009).
 - ⁷⁴J. F. Marko and E. D. Siggia, *Macromolecules* **28**, 8759 (1995).
 - ⁷⁵P. J. Flory, *Principles of Polymer Chemistry* (Cornell University Press, 1953).
 - ⁷⁶D. Yang and Q. Wang, *ACS Macro Lett.* **2**, 952 (2013).
 - ⁷⁷O. Ptitsyn and I. Eizner, *Biofizika* **10**, 3 (1965).
 - ⁷⁸P. G. De Gennes, *J. Phys. Lett.* **36**, 55 (1975).
 - ⁷⁹L. D. Landau, E. Lifshitz, and L. Pitaevskii, *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*, 2nd ed. (Pergamon Press, 1984), Vol. 8.
 - ⁸⁰A. V. Dobrynin and M. Rubinstein, *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **30**, 1049 (2005).
 - ⁸¹A. V. Dobrynin, R. H. Colby, and M. Rubinstein, *Macromolecules* **28**, 1859 (1995).
 - ⁸²N. V. Brilliantov, *Contrib. Plasm. Phys.* **38**, 489 (1998).
 - ⁸³M. Wertheim, *J. Stat. Phys.* **35**, 35 (1984).
 - ⁸⁴Y. Zhou and G. Stell, *J. Chem. Phys.* **96**, 1507 (1992).
 - ⁸⁵Q. Liao, A. V. Dobrynin, and M. Rubinstein, *Macromolecules* **36**, 3399 (2003).
 - ⁸⁶N. V. Brilliantov, D. V. Kuznetsov, and R. Klein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 1433 (1998).
 - ⁸⁷A. M. Tom, S. Vemparala, R. Rajesh, and N. V. Brilliantov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 147801 (2016).
 - ⁸⁸E. M. Mateescu, C. Jeppesen, and P. Pincus, *Europhys. Lett.* **46**, 493 (1999).
 - ⁸⁹R. Zhang and B. Shklovskii, *Physica A* **352**, 216 (2005).
 - ⁹⁰A. Y. Grosberg, T. T. Nguyen, and B. I. Shklovskii, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 329 (2002).
 - ⁹¹D. Coslovich, J.-P. Hansen, and G. Kahl, *J. Chem. Phys.* **134**, 244514 (2011).
 - ⁹²P. B. Warren and A. J. Masters, *J. Chem. Phys.* **138**, 074901 (2013).
 - ⁹³K. S. Schweizer and A. Yethiraj, *J. Chem. Phys.* **98**, 9053 (1993).
 - ⁹⁴D. Chandler, *Phys. Rev. E* **48**, 2898 (1993).
 - ⁹⁵B. P. Lee and M. E. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 2906 (1996).