An optically-gated AuNP-DNA protonic transistor

Songming Peng*, Amit Lal, Dan Luo, Yuerui Lu*

Supporting Information

Electrode Metal	Length	Width	Thickness	Average current at 1V bias
	(µm)	(µm)	(nm)	(nA, Average ± Stdev)
Au	3	220	230	0.16 ± 0.05
Pd	3	200	180	0.12 ± 0.03
PdH _x	3	200	180	15.92 ± 1.90

Supporting Table 1: Device dimensions. PdH_x device was obtained by soaking Pd device in H_2 overnight.



Supplementary Figure S1: I-V curve of the (a) AuNP-DNA membrane using Au electrodes, and (b) AuNP-PEG (Thiol mPEG Mw=20 000) membrane using Pd and PdHx electrode.



Supplementary Figure S2: Absorption curve of AuNP-DNA in solution.



Supplementary Figure 3: Reproducibility of AuNP-DNA protonic transistor. a. Current response curve to 532 nm light for device with different dimensions (Length × Width × Thickness: $3 \mu m \times 210 \mu m \times 170 nm$). b. Device current response curve to intermittent 532 nm (20 mW/mm², bias was fixed at 1 V) light illumination.



Supplementary Figure 4: Device response to global temperature change and local temperature change. a. Device response to global temperature. To change the global temperature, we heated up or cooled down the whole measuring/humidity chamber by using electrical heating belt or ice. The bias was set at 1 V. (Device dimension: Length × Width × Thickness: $3 \mu m \times 140 \mu m \times 150 nm$). The data was represented as average ± standard deviation. b. Device response to local temperature. The device was only heated up from the bottom, while the chamber temperature was kept at around 25 °C. (Device dimension: Length × Width × Thickness: $3 \mu m \times 120 nm$).