

Is microcanonical ensemble stable?

Yichen Huang (黄溢辰)*

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA
ychuang@caltech.edu

January 4, 2017

Abstract

No, in a rigorous sense specified below.

1 Introduction

For the purpose of this work, it suffices to work with a chain of n spins (qudits), each of which has local dimension $d = \Theta(1)$. We are given a local Hamiltonian $H = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} H_j$ with open boundary conditions, where $\|H_j\| = O(1)$ acts on the spins j and $j+1$ (nearest-neighbor interaction). Since the standard bra-ket notation can be cumbersome, in most but not all cases quantum states and their inner products are simply denoted by ψ, ϕ, \dots and $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle$, respectively, cf. $\| |\psi\rangle - |\phi\rangle \|^2$ versus $\|\psi - \phi\|^2$. Let $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{d^n}$ be the eigenstates of H with the corresponding eigenvalues $E_1 \leq E_2 \leq \dots \leq E_{d^n}$ in non-descending order. The projector onto the energy window $[E - \delta, E + \delta]$ is given by

$$P(E, \delta) = \sum_{j: |E_j - E| \leq \delta} |\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j|. \quad (1)$$

A microcanonical ensemble is a fundamental concept in statistical mechanics. Throughout this paper, we only consider the physical situation that the bandwidth is (at most) a constant.

Definition 1 (microcanonical ensemble). An (exact) microcanonical ensemble of energy E and bandwidth $2\Delta_e = O(1)$ is the set

$$EXT = \{\psi : \psi = P(E, \Delta_e)\psi\}. \quad (2)$$

The state in practice may well only be approximately rather than exactly in a microcanonical ensemble. A state is in an approximate microcanonical ensemble if the population “leakage” outside a distance (in the spectrum) from the target energy is exponentially small in the distance.

Definition 2 (approximate microcanonical ensemble). An approximate microcanonical ensemble of energy E and bandwidth $2\Delta_a = O(1)$ is the set

$$APX = \{\phi : |\langle \phi, P(E, x)\phi \rangle| \geq 1 - O(e^{-x/\Delta_a}), \forall x \geq 0\}. \quad (3)$$

*We acknowledge funding provided by the Institute for Quantum Information and Matter, an NSF Physics Frontiers Center (NSF Grant PHY-1125565) with support of the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (GBMF-2644).

The stability of a microcanonical ensemble can be phrased as follows. Suppose a microcanonical ensemble has a universal physical property in the mathematical sense of an inequality satisfied by all states in EXT . Is this inequality valid (possibly up to small corrections) for all states in APX ? If not, the physical property of the microcanonical ensemble is not robust against perturbations.

One might tend to believe that a microcanonical ensemble is stable due to a continuity argument. Given $\phi \in APX$, let $\psi = P(E, \Delta_e)\phi / \|P(E, \Delta_e)\phi\|$ so that $\psi \in EXT$ and $|\langle \psi, \phi \rangle| \geq 1 - O(e^{-\Delta_e/\Delta_a})$. For $\Delta_a \ll \Delta_e = O(1)$, the states ψ, ϕ are close to each other, and thus believed to behave similarly. The pitfall of this hand-waving argument is that ψ, ϕ differ only by a small constant, which has the potential of affecting the physics significantly.¹ Therefore, the continuity argument (if not combined with more sophisticated reasonings) does not immediately lead to the stability of a microcanonical ensemble.

We show that a microcanonical ensemble is unstable from an entanglement point of view.

Definition 3 (entanglement entropy). The Renyi entanglement entropy R_α ($0 < \alpha < 1$) of a bipartite (pure) quantum state $\rho_{AB} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ is defined as

$$R_\alpha(\psi) = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} \log \text{tr} \rho_A^\alpha, \quad \rho_A = \text{tr}_B \rho_{AB}, \quad (4)$$

where ρ_A is the reduced density matrix. The von Neumann entanglement entropy is defined as

$$S(\psi) = -\text{tr}(\rho_A \log \rho_A) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} R_\alpha(\psi). \quad (5)$$

Remark. For fixed ψ , the Renyi entanglement entropy R_α is a non-increasing function of α .

We consider the evolution of entanglement entropy across a particular cut.

Definition 4 (dynamical entanglement scaling exponent). Suppose the state ψ_0 at time $t = 0$ has bond dimension D_0 across the cut. Let z be a nonnegative number such that

$$R_\alpha(e^{-iHt}\psi_0) \leq \log D_0 + O(t^z \text{poly log } t), \quad \forall t. \quad (6)$$

Remark. On the right-hand side, the first term is an upper bound on the entanglement of the initial state. Note that D_0 is allowed to grow (even exponentially, e.g., $D_0 = d^{n/100}$) with the system size. The second term, which involves polylogarithmic corrections due to a technical reason, characterizes the growth of entanglement.

Traditional Lieb-Robinson techniques imply a universal bound $z \leq 1$ for arbitrary initial states. This bound can (cannot) be improved for states in an exact (approximate) microcanonical ensemble.

Theorem 1. *For any initial state $\psi_0 \in EXT$, we have $z \leq 1/2$, and this bound is tight.*

Proposition 1. *There is a Hamiltonian H_{XX} and an initial state $\phi_0 \in APX$ such that $z = 1$.*

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank John Preskill for an insightful comment.

¹For example, a generic state in a small-constant neighborhood of a product state has volume law for entanglement. The stability of area law for entanglement can be proved, but only if in the presence of additional structure.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

We go beyond traditional Lieb-Robinson techniques using the idea of polynomial approximation. For the dynamics in a microcanonical ensemble, consider the Taylor expansion

$$e^{-iHt}\psi_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-iHt)^k}{k!} \psi_0 \approx \sum_{k=0}^g \frac{(-iHt)^k}{k!} \psi_0, \quad (7)$$

where $E = 0$ is assumed without loss of generality. The truncation error is upper bounded by

$$\sum_{k=g+1}^{+\infty} \left\| \frac{(-iHt)^k}{k!} \psi_0 \right\| = \sum_{k=g+1}^{+\infty} \left\| \frac{(-iHt)^k}{k!} P(0, \Delta_e) \psi_0 \right\| \leq \sum_{k=g+1}^{+\infty} \frac{(\Delta_e t)^k}{k!} \approx \frac{(e\Delta_e t)^g}{g^g}, \quad (8)$$

which is super-exponentially small in g for $g \geq 3\Delta_e t$. Let $\tilde{O}(x) := O(x \text{ poly log } x)$ hide a polylogarithmic factor. A polynomial interpolation argument leads to the following result.

Lemma 1 ([1], Lemma 4.2). *Suppose ψ_0 has bond dimension D_0 across a particular cut. The bond dimension of $p(H)\psi_0$ across the cut is $\leq D_0 e^{\tilde{O}(\sqrt{g})}$, where p is an arbitrary polynomial of degree g .*

Combining Lemma 1 with the error estimate (8), a straightforward calculation shows

$$R_\alpha(e^{-iHt}\psi_0) \leq \log D_0 + \tilde{O}(\sqrt{\Delta_e t} + 1/\alpha). \quad (9)$$

Therefore, $z \leq 1/2$. To prove the tightness of this bound on z , it suffices to construct an example that violates the bound $z \leq 1/2 - \delta$ for any $\delta > 0$.

Proposition 2 ([3]). *Let H_{Is} be the Hamiltonian of the critical transverse-field Ising chain with length n , and ψ_0 be a product state that respects the Z_2 symmetry of H_{Is} . The entanglement entropy $S(e^{-iH_{\text{Is}}t}\psi_0)$ across the middle cut saturates to $\Omega(n)$ in time $t = O(n)$.*

The Hamiltonian $H'_{\text{Is}} = H_{\text{Is}}/n$ has bandwidth $O(1)$. Hence, any state, including ψ_0 , is in a microcanonical ensemble (with respect to H'_{Is}). The entanglement entropy $S(e^{-iH'_{\text{Is}}t}\psi_0)$ saturates to $\Omega(n)$ in time $t = O(n^2)$. This violates the bound $z \leq 1/2 - \delta$.

Remark. To approximate the propagator with polynomials, we used the “naive” Taylor expansion, which is known to be non-optimal. The optimal approach is to expand e^{-iHt} in the basis of the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. Unfortunately, this only improves the parameters hidden in $\tilde{O}(\dots)$. Also, the bound in Lemma 1 is tight up to polylogarithmic corrections due to the tightness of the bound $z \leq 1/2$.

3 Proof of Proposition 1

Consider the XX chain of length $2n$ with a defect in the middle:

$$H_{XX} = (1-\lambda)(\sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+1}^x + \sigma_n^y \sigma_{n+1}^y) + \sqrt{1-\lambda^2}(\sigma_n^z - \sigma_{n+1}^z) - \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \left(\sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y \right), \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \quad (10)$$

where $\sigma_j^x, \sigma_j^y, \sigma_j^z$ are the Pauli matrices at the site j . Let $\phi_0 = |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle$ with $|\uparrow\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle^{\otimes n}$ and $|\downarrow\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle^{\otimes n}$. The entanglement entropy across the middle cut grows linearly with time only in the presence of a defect $\lambda \neq 1$.

Proposition 3 ([4]). *In the thermodynamic limit, we have*

$$S(e^{-iHt}\phi_0) = h(\lambda^2)t/(4\pi) + O(\log t), \quad h(x) := -x \ln x - (1-x) \ln(1-x). \quad (11)$$

Proposition 4. *The state ϕ_0 is in an approximate microcanonical ensemble with $E = 2\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}$ and $\Delta_a = 20$.*

Proof. We decompose H_{XX} into three parts: $H_{XX} = H_L + H_\partial + H_R$, where H_L, H_R include the terms acting only on the left or right half of the chain, and $H_\partial = -\lambda(\sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+1}^x + \sigma_n^y \sigma_{n+1}^y)$ is the term across the middle cut. Note that H_L, H_R are decoupled from each other. For the domain wall state $\phi_0 = |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle$, it is easy to see that $|\uparrow\rangle$ or $|\downarrow\rangle$ is an eigenstate of H_L or H_R with energy $\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}$. The proof is completed by applying Theorem 2.3 in Ref. [2]. \square

References

- [1] I. Arad, A. Kitaev, Z. Landau, and U. Vazirani. An area law and sub-exponential algorithm for 1D systems. arXiv:1301.1162, 2013.
- [2] I. Arad, T. Kuwahara, and Z. Landau. Connecting global and local energy distributions in quantum spin models on a lattice. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2016(3):033301, 2016.
- [3] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy. Evolution of entanglement entropy in one-dimensional systems. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2005(04):P04010, 2005.
- [4] V. Eisler and I. Peschel. On entanglement evolution across defects in critical chains. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 99(2):20001, 2012.