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Stereoretentive Olefin Metathesis: An Avenue to Kinetic Selectivity

T. Patrick Montgomery, Tonia S. Ahmed, and Robert H. Grubbs*

Abstract: Olefin metathesis is an incredibly valuable transformation that has gained widespread use in both academic and industrial settings. Lately, stereoretentive olefin metathesis has garnered much attention as a method for the selective generation of both E- and Z-olefins. Early studies employing ill-defined catalysts showed evidence for retention of the starting olefins at early conversion. However, thermodynamic ratios were reached as the reaction proceeded to equilibrium. Recent studies in olefin metathesis have focused on the synthesis of catalysts that can overcome the inherent thermodynamic preference of an olefin, providing synthetically useful quantities of a kinetically favored olefin isomer. These reports have led to the development of stereoretentive catalysts that not only generate Z-olefins selectively, but also kinetically produce E-olefins, a previously unmet challenge in olefin metathesis. Advancements in stereoretentive olefin metathesis using tungsten, ruthenium, and molybdenum catalysts are presented.

1. Introduction

Olefin metathesis has become an indispensable method for the formation of carbon–carbon double bonds,[1–5] finding use in synthetic organic,[6–8] biological,[9–11] and materials chemistry.[12–14] The ubiquity of olefin metathesis as a synthetic tool has been linked to the evolution of catalysts from ill-defined mixtures to well-characterized molecular species based on molybdenum, ruthenium and tungsten. This transformation proceeds through a [2+2] cycloaddition between a metal-carbene and an olefin to generate a metallacyclobutane intermediate (Scheme 1).[15] Cycloreversion can occur to release both a new olefin species and metal carbene. The orientation of the substituents on the metallacyclobutane intermediate is critical for determining stereoselectivity; thus, controlling the geometry of this intermediate is necessary for achieving both stereoselective and stereoretentive metathesis.

Typically, metathesis using early well-defined catalysts is non-selective: the geometry of the products represents the thermodynamic ratio of olefin isomers. A kinetically selective process is required to overcome this thermodynamic preference for olefin geometry. The first examples of kinetically Z-selective processes were reported by Schrock, Hoveyda, and coworkers, identifying both molybdenum- and tungsten-based systems to perform this transformation, which generated the desired Z-olefins in high selectivity.[16–38] A large arlyoxy-moiety shields one side of the catalyst, forcing the substituents on the generated metallacyclobutane to be all syn (Figure 1 (a)). Later, Grubbs and coworkers introduced a highly efficient, Z-selective olefin metathesis catalyst that utilized a cyclometalated ruthenium-carbene species.[39–41] The aryl group on the N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) forces all the substituents on the ruthenacyclobutane syn (Figure 1 (b)). Subsequent reports by the Jensen,[42–43] and Hoveyda[44–45] groups also described Z-selective ruthenium catalysts. These catalysts relied on successfully controlling the orientation of the metallacyclobutane substituents as well.

An unmet challenge in olefin metathesis is the development of a kinetically E-selective process. Thermodynamic properties of a metathesis normally favor formation of the E-isomer, but in some cases the energy difference between E- and Z-olefins can be quite small or even favors formation of the Z-isomer.[46] Alkyne metathesis followed by stereoselective semireduction represents a reliable method for the stereoselective formation of E-olefins,[47] but a multi-step protocol is not desired. A recent study from Grubbs and coworkers indicated that a catechololate-modified ruthenium catalyst could be used to generate E-olefins selectively when employing E-olefins as the reactants.[48] This represented the earliest case of a kinetically E-selective metathesis. The idea of stereoretentive olefin metathesis to kinetically generate both E- or Z-olefins has shown great promise of late and has encouraged the synthesis of new metathesis catalysts. This minireview will focus on the development of stereoretentive olefin metathesis catalysts and their application to generate products of both E- or Z-isomers for small molecule synthesis. Although important, stereoretentive olefin metathesis reactions to generate polymers will not be discussed in this report.

Scheme 1. Accepted mechanism of olefin metathesis.

Figure 1. General model for Z-selectivity. (a) Model for Z-selectivity when using molybdenum catalysts. (b) Model for Z-selectivity when using cyclometalated ruthenium catalysts.
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2. Evidence of Stereoretention

Early studies employing ill-defined metathesis catalysts were conducted to gain a better understanding of the metallacycle intermediate.\cite{45,46,47,48} These investigations were aimed at exploring the stereochemistry of the metallacycle and how it may affect the stereochemistry of the olefin products. As various olefins were examined, it was reported that the stereochemistry of the reactant olefin could sometimes influence the stereochemistry of the product, even when using the same catalyst for either E- or Z-olefins. Stereoretention was detected at early conversion where the ratio of E:Z isomers was different than what is observed as the equilibrium ratio. Although important for deciphering the reactive intermediate in olefin metathesis, these findings also provided early inspiration for stereoretentive olefin metathesis. The catalytic systems discussed in this section showed some evidence of the products retaining the starting olefin’s geometry at early conversion, but equilibration to the thermodynamic ratio of E:Z was rapid.

2.1. Early Tungsten Catalysts Showing Stereoretention

Many of the early observations for stereoretention in olefin metathesis were made using tungsten catalysts.\cite{46,47,48,49,50,51,52} One report from Calderon and coworkers examined WCl4/EtOH/EtAlCl2 in the self-metathesis of 2-pentene.\cite{48} They noted that when using cis-2-pentene, early conversion indicated preferential formation of both cis-2-butene and cis-3-hexene, which quickly began to approach the equilibrium ratio (the observed equilibrium ratios of 2.6:1 E:Z and 6.2:1 E:Z respectively). A similar trend was observed when using trans-2-pentene, with the products being formed initially in high trans-content then reaching the thermodynamic ratio of E:Z isomers as conversion increased.

Bassett and coworkers studied the self-metathesis of cis-2-pentene employing various tungsten precatalysts in combination with EtAlCl2.\cite{49} When using W(CO)5P-(n-Bu)3EtAlCl2 in the self-metathesis of cis-2-pentene, early conversion indicated 2-butene was formed in 0.76:1 E:Z, and 3-hexene was formed in 0.83:1 E:Z, which are different from the thermodynamic ratios. Additional studies from Bassett and coworkers involved depositing various hexavalent tungsten precatalysts onto an alumina support. These catalysts provided a higher rate of stereoretention when performing the self-metathesis of cis-2-pentene, furnishing 2-butene in 0.37:1 E:Z.\cite{49,50} It is believed that the higher levels of stereoretention at early conversion for the supported catalysts are due to the more defined steric environment dictated by the alumina surface. These ligand effects on stereoretention are also noted when comparing W(CO)5Br2 and W(CO)5Cl2, with the bromide catalyst providing 2-butene in 0.5:1 E:Z to 0.69:1 E:Z for the chloride catalyst.\cite{51}

Katz and coworkers were able to improve the rate of stereoretention in the self-metathesis of 2-pentene when using well-defined precatalyst (C6H5)2C=W(CO)5.\cite{52} Levels of stereoretention in the self-metathesis of cis-2-pentene employing (C6H5)2C=W(CO)5 were high at early conversion, providing 2-butene as 94% cis and 3-hexene as 93% cis (Table 1, entry 1). For previous catalytic systems, they believed the presence of metal halides could behave as Lewis acids, facilitating the cleavage of a carbon-metal bond in the metallacyclobutane and furnish the 3-metalla-propyl cation which can perform bond rotations, scrambling the geometry of the olefin.\cite{53} The stereoretention observed in the self-metathesis of trans-2-pentene was not as great as what was seen with cis-2-pentene (Table 1, entry 2), with the E:Z ratios being similar to the observed equilibrium ratios. However, it was thought that these are true properties of stereoretention at early conversion, in which there was negligible isomerization of the trans-2-pentene starting olefin (Table 1, entry 2). Improvements on the stereoretention of trans-olefins was made using
W(CO)₆/CCl₄/py [52] Garnier and coworkers reported the self-metathesis of trans-olefins (2-pentene, 2-hexene, and 2-heptene) provided 2-butenes in more than 95% trans.

Table 1. Evidence of stereoretention observed in the self-metathesis of 2-pentene using (C₅H₅)₂C=W(CO)₆.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Starting Olefin</th>
<th>2-butenes</th>
<th>2-pentene</th>
<th>3-hexene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>cis-2-pentene</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>trans-2-pentene</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Equilibration of the self-metathesis of cis-2-pentene over time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>2-butenes</th>
<th>2-pentene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1:1</td>
<td>7:0:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.83:1</td>
<td>1.6:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.48:1</td>
<td>0.36:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.42:1</td>
<td>0.30:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.40:1</td>
<td>0.28:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.40:1</td>
<td>0.22:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As with tungsten, molybdenum-based catalysts provided some indication that olefin metathesis could be rendered stereoretentive. One of the early reports of stereoretentive olefin metathesis was made by Hughes, in which he employed py₃Mo(NO)₂Cl₂/EtAlCl₂ as the catalytic system in the self-metathesis of 2-pentene [58]. At early conversion, Hughes noticed the catalyst provided the products with preferential retention for the starting olefin geometry. When performing self-metathesis on cis-2-pentene, 2-butenes and 3-hexene were generated with 0.22:1 and 0.43:1 E/Z respectively. Conversely, when using trans-2-pentene, 2-butenes and 3-hexene were furnished as 10:1 and >10:1 E/Z respectively.

Doyle probed rates of stereoretention using various molybdenum complexes with the form R₂N[Mo(CO)₅]X [59]. He ultimately examined nBu₂N[Mo(CO)₅]Cl]/MeAlCl₂ in the self-metathesis of 2-pentene and found that this catalytic system behaved similarly to that reported by Hughes (vide supra). Self-metathesis of cis-2-pentene showed high stereoretention at early conversion, but as the metathesis reached equilibrium over time, the thermodynamic ratio dominated (Table 2). The same trend was observed using trans-3-heptene. As the self-metathesis of trans-3-heptene proceeded, the stereochemical distribution of the product moved from highly stereoretentive to the equilibrium ratio (Table 3).

2.2. Early Molybdenum Catalysts Showing Stereoretention
Along with their investigations into tungsten catalysts, Basset and coworkers also examined molybdenum-based systems.\cite{63} Using Mo(NO)\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}(PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2},\cite{60,61} they found that the self-metathesis of 2-pentene provided 2-butene with much higher levels of stereoretention than for tungsten catalysts at early conversion. The self-metathesis of cis-2-pentene provided 2-butene in 0.2:1 $E/Z$ while the self-metathesis of the trans-isomer provided 2-butene in 12.5:1 $E/Z$. They proposed that the levels of stereoretention were higher for molybdenum because molybdenum-based catalysts form shorter molybdenum–carbon bonds in the metallacyclobutane. This results in decreased distance between C\textsubscript{2} and C\textsubscript{4} in the metallacycle; consequently, there would be more 1,3-dialixal interaction between substituents attached to those carbons.

Tanaka and coworkers developed a MoO\textsubscript{2}β-TiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst that was resistant to hydrogen scrambling.\cite{62} They used this catalyst to examine the cross metathesis between cis-2-butene and cis-2-butene-\textsubscript{d\textsubscript{3}} and found 2-butene-\textsubscript{d\textsubscript{3}} was formed in more than 60% cis at early conversion. When evaluating the trans-isomers in this transformation, they also found high stereoretention at early conversion, with greater than 90% of the trans-isomer being formed.

3. Stereoretentive Metathesis Using Tungsten

The discovery of well-defined catalysts enabled the design and synthesis of new scaffolds to investigate reactivity and selectivity. Although research on metathesis catalysts has largely centered on ruthenium- and molybdenum-based systems, useful and interesting tungsten catalysts have been unveiled. Furthermore, some examples of stereoretentive tungsten-based olefin metathesis catalysts are reported.

One tungsten catalyst that is known to promote stereoretentive olefin metatheses is the cyclometalated arylxy-based system 1 described by Basset and coworkers (Figure 3).\cite{63-67} This catalyst displayed good activity in the self-metathesis of 2-pentene, and exhibited excellent stereoretention.\cite{63} When performing the self-metathesis of cis-2-pentene, the predominant isomer of 2-butene formed is cis, even when reaction equilibrium is reached (Figure 3 (a)). Likewise, trans-2-pentene also showed high stereoretention with catalyst 1. Upon reaching reaction equilibrium, trans-2-butene is formed in 9:1 $E/Z$ (Figure 3 (b)).\cite{63} It is believed that the steric environment created by the cyclometalated-arylxy group favors the formation of metallacyclobutane with substituents at C\textsubscript{2} and C\textsubscript{4} positions equatorial for the metathesis of cis-olefins (Figure 4 (a)) and a metallacyclobutane with all substituents equatorial for the metathesis of trans-olefins (Figure 4 (b)). This catalyst was recently shown to catalyze the self-metathesis of sterically hindered olefins with high stereoretention (Scheme 2).\cite{67} Incredibly, even at high conversion, cis-olefin 3 was favored in 2:1 $Z/E$. Following these results, 1 was employed in the synthesis of cis-combretastatin A-4, a potent chemotherapy agent which functions as a tubulin polymerization inhibitor.\cite{68-76}
4. Stereoretentive Metathesis

Using Ruthenium

Ruthenium-based olefin metathesis catalysts have become essential in the synthesis of complex molecules and materials. These catalysts are exceptionally tolerant of air, moisture, and a wide variety of functional groups.\(^2\) This has enabled their widespread use in numerous applications. Recent studies using ruthenium-based olefin metathesis catalysts have succeeded at developing Z-selective ruthenium catalysts.\(^{28,29,77}\) One of these systems, using ruthenium catalysts bearing catechothiolate ligands, used stereoretention to provide Z-olefins. Additional investigations revealed this catalyst to also kinetically generate E-olefins.

4.1. Z-Selectivity Through Stereoretention

Initial studies in stereoretentive olefin metathesis to generate Z-olefins made use of a catechothiolate-modified ruthenium catalyst (4) for ring-opening cross metathesis (ROCM).\(^{40-42}\) Catalyst 4 performed ROCM of norbornenes and a variety of terminal olefins, displaying good reactivity with styrenes, functionalized carbon chains, and dienes (Table 4).

### Table 4. ROCM of norbornene diols with terminal olefins using 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>4 (xx mol %)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Z(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>m-FC6H4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(CH2)2OTBS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>&gt;98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(CH2)2C(O)NHPh</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>&gt;98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&gt;98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Entries 1 and 2 conducted in THF.

The Hoveyda group also evaluated 4 in the cross metathesis of cis-2-butene-1,4-diol and allylbenzene.\(^{43}\) Catalyst 4 furnished the desired product in high Z-selectivity (98:2 Z:E), but poor yield (42% Yield). Migratory insertion of the propagating carbene into the ruthenium-sulfur bond trans to the NHC was a proposed decomposition pathway (Figure 5). Computational studies indicated incorporation of electron-withdrawing substituents to the catechothiolate would increase catalyst stability by reducing the electron density on the sulfur atoms. This would weaken their trans influence and make the ruthenium-sulfur bond more stable. Based on
these findings, catalysts 5-8 were synthesized. They catalyzed the cross metathesis of cis-2-buten-1,4-diol and allylbenzene, delivering high Z-selectivity and moderate yields (Figure 6). Although the reactivities and selectivities were similar, 5 has the benefit of being synthesized from commercially available 3,6-dichloro-1,2-benzenedithiol. The catalytic efficiency of 5 was evaluated across a wide substrate scope. Cis-2-buten-1,4-diol participated in cross metathesis with functionalized carbon chains (esters, aldehydes, and acids), styrenes, and dienes (Figure 7). Additionally, stereoretentive cross metathesis of readily available olefins such as oleyl alcohol and oleic acid with cis-2-buten-1,4-diol proceeded well, delivering high-value products. 

![Figure 6](image.png)

**Figure 6.** Ruthenium-catalyzed cross metathesis of allylbenzene and cis-2-buten-1,4-diol employing electron deficient dithiolate ligands.

4.2. E-Selectivity Through Stereoretention

Catalyst 5 proved to be a suitable catalyst for stereoretentive Z-selective metathesis. Upon further study of this catalysts by scientists at Materia Inc. and in the Grubbs group, it was observed that self-metathesis of trans-5-tetradecene generated trans-5-decene in 95:5 E:Z[76]. This was the first report of a highly E-selective metathesis through kinetic control. The proposed model for this discovery is based on a “side-bound” metallacyclobutane intermediate in which the substituents at C2 and C4 are forced down and away from the N-aryl groups of the NHC (Figure 8). The substituent at C3, however, can point up or down, depending on the stereochemistry of the starting material due to the presence of the open space between the two N-aryl groups and in front of the imidazole-2-ylidene ring. Beginning with a cis-olefin, this substituent is predisposed to point down (Figure 8 (a)). However, this substituent points up if the reacting olefin has trans-stereochemistry (Figure 8 (b)). As expected from the model, E-substrates were less reactive due to the steric congestion in the trans-metallacycle.

![Figure 8](image.png)

**Figure 8.** Models for stereoretention using ruthenium olefin metathesis catalysts modified by catecholato ligands. (a) Stereoregulatory model for cis-selectivity. (b) Stereoregulatory model for trans-selectivity.

It was believed that reducing the size of the ortho-substituents on the N-aryl group of the NHC would lead to a catalyst that could better accommodate trans-olefins. A series of catalysts (9-11) were synthesized, varying the size of the ortho-substituents of the N-aryls (Table 5). A general trend was seen upon reaction with trans-olefins in which reactivity increased with decreasing size of ortho-substituents (9 < 5 < 10 < 11) (Table 5, entries 1-4). The open space between the two N-aryl groups increases as the ortho-substituent size decreases, thus further supporting the proposed stereoregulatory model of the metallacyclobutane intermediate in which the C3 substituent needs to point up in reactions with trans-olefins. A similar trend was observed when reacting internal olefins such as trans-1,4-diacetoxy-but-2-ene and trans-4-octene: as the ortho-substituents on the N-aryls get smaller, yield of the desired product increased, while maintaining exceptional selectivity (>99:1 E:Z). Interestingly, the reactivity of these catalysts with cis-olefins decreased as the ortho-substituents got smaller (11 < 10 < 5 < 9) (Table 5, entries 5-8).
Table 5. Stereoretentive metathesis of 1-decene and 4-octene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Octene</th>
<th>Cat</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Z:E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>trans</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>trans</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;1:99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>trans</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>&lt;1:99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>trans</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>&lt;1:99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>cis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>&gt;99:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>cis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>&gt;99:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>cis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>97:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>cis</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>&gt;99:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although Z-selective cross metathesis involving catalysts 5-11 was selective and high yielding when using cis-olefins, the corresponding reactions with trans-olefins were significantly slower and generated poor yields of products, especially in reactions with terminal olefins. In the presence of terminal olefins, a ruthenium methyldiene species can be generated, which is susceptible to insertion of the ruthenium sulfur bond, rendering the catalyst inert (a similar process is proposed in Figure 5).


Continuing investigations into the catechothiolate-based ruthenium catalysts revealed that a large contributing factor to this lack of activity in reactions involving trans-olefins was due to poor catalyst initiation with trans-olefins. Replacing the 2-isopropoxynbenzylidene ligand with a 3-phenyl-2-isopropoxynbenzylidene ligand, a modification that is known to increase initiation rates of ruthenium metathesis catalysts, resulted in the synthesis of a series of fast-initiating catalysts.


metathesis with 12-15 was completed in minutes or hours rather than the multiple days required for catalyst 5. A comparison of the relative rates of reactions with Z- and E-olefins was conducted using the self-metathesis of methyl oleate. The relative rate constant (kq/kE) for each catalyst was calculated using the time required (tE and tQ) for the self-metathesis of each isomer to reach equilibrium using a specific catalyst (Eq. 1).

First-order kinetics were assumed with respect to initial catalyst concentration (Figure 9). Again, a similar trend with respect to the ortho-substituents on the N-aryl group of the NHC showed that as the ortho-substituent gets smaller (13 < 12 < 14 < 15), reactivity with trans-olefins increases (Figure 9). One of the most pronounced effects of utilizing this larger chelating group was observed in the cross metathesis of trans-1,4-diacetoxy-2-butene and trans-4-octene. With the previous generation of stereoretentive ruthenium metathesis catalyst (5), this transformation was problematic, delivering the desired olefin in poor yield after one hour (Table 6, entry 1); however, employment of 12-15 in this transformation provided the desired olefin in much higher yield after only one hour, while maintaining exceptional E-selectivity (Table 6, entries 2-5). Additional investigations of 12-15’s tolerance for terminal olefins using the cross metathesis of 1-decene and 4-octene revealed similar activities and selectivities observed in Table 5.
Table 6. Stereoretentive cross metathesis of trans-1,4-diaceetoxy-2-butene and trans-4-octene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Cat</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>E:Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>&gt;99:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;99:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>&gt;99:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>&gt;99:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Stereoretentive Metathesis Using Molybdenum

Molybdenum-based olefin metathesis catalysts were some of the first catalysts investigated in the early stages of this field. Since, many breakthroughs in olefin metathesis have been made using molybdenum catalysts. Recent advancements have built on the catalytic structure of Z-selective systems and have provided access to valuable products through stereoretentive olefin metathesis.

5.1. Z-Selectivity Through Stereoretention

Initial studies into stereoretentive olefin metathesis using molybdenum catalysts were driven by the desire to obtain access to alkenyl halides through the direct cross metathesis of terminal olefins and halo-substituted olefins. The synthesis of alkenyl halides is inefficient, and methods for the stereoselective synthesis of Z-alkenyl halides are even more limited. Unfortunately, the development of a catalyst to perform cross metathesis with alkenyl halides was challenging. Ruthenium metathesis catalysts proved to be less stable in the presence of alkenyl halides, due to the formation of Fischer-type carbenes and catalyst decomposition, requiring high catalyst loadings for good yields.

Studies in the cross-metathesis of alkenyl halides from Schrock, Hoveyda, and coworkers commenced using the cross metathesis system of 8-bromo-1-octene and Z-1,2-dichloroethene (Table 7). Catalysts 16 and 17 provided minimal desired product (Table 7, entries 1 and 2), showing preference for the cross metathesis of the terminal olefin. Catalyst 18, a well characterized Z-selective catalyst, furnished the desired product in low yield but with high Z-selectivity (Table 7, entry 3). Further modification to the imido and aryloxy groups delivered catalysts (19–21), which performed the cross metathesis much more efficiently (Table 7, entries 4–6). It is believed that the m-terphenyl-based aryloxy group provides the necessary steric demands for catalytic robustness, reactivity, and selectivity. No E-isomer was detected using this method.

Table 7. Catalysts assayed in cross metathesis to form alkenyl chlorides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Cat</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Z:E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>&gt;98:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>&gt;98:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>98:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>&gt;98:2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Entry 6 was used 3 mol % 21.

Catalyst 21 was assayed across a wide substrate scope using Z-1,2-dichloroethene as the metathesis partner, delivering functionalized aliphatics, alkynes, and heteroaromatic products (Figure 11). Further evaluation of 21 employed 1,2-dibromoethene as the metathesis partner. Pure Z,1,2-dibromoethene is difficult to obtain, so a 64:36 Z:E mixture (commercially available) was used. Z-alkenyl bromide products were formed in good yields and with good levels of Z-selectivity (Figure 11), albeit lower than what was observed for the formation of Z-alkenyl chlorides. The reduced Z-selectivity is attributed to the incorporation of E-1,2-dibromoethene as a cross metathesis partner.
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Z-alkenyl fluorides could also be generated using 21. Fluorinated molecules have seen widespread application in both the pharmaceutical and agrochemical industries due to their useful properties: improved metabolic stability, enzyme binding, and lipophilicity. However, 1,2-difluoroethene has a low boiling point and is explosive, so a practical fluorine source was needed. Z-1-Bromo-2-fluoroethene was identified as a useful alkenyl fluoride source, but the unsymmetrical olefin could create a selectivity problem. It was reasoned that the fluoro-products would be favored. 1H NMR revealed that HBr was more upfield than HF, indicating an electron polarization of the olefin (Figure 12 (a)). This would favor approach of the electrophilic molybdenum center to the carbon attached to the bromide over the carbon attached to the fluoride (which should be more electron-deficient). Additionally, fluoride is a smaller substituent than bromide, so steric clash between the fluoride and the R group on the alkylidene is minimal, delivering the desired regioselectivity in the metallacyclobutane (Figure 12 (b)).

Results consistent with these hypotheses were obtained in the cross metatheses of terminal olefins and Z-1-bromo-2-fluoroethene with 21. This system displayed good tolerance for functionalized carbon chains and aromatic systems (Figure 13). Though the bromo-product was generated, this pathway can be minimized by increasing the steric demands of the R group on the terminal olefin. Further employment of this methodology to complex molecules was also achieved (Figure 13).

Improvements to 21 were needed to promote the efficient and selective cross metathesis of Z-1,2-dichloroethene with aryl olefins and dienes, substrates found to be a problem in the aforementioned report. Studies aimed at better understanding the catalytic intermediates during these transformations led to the discovery of a molybdenum catalyst with bromide incorporation. Further investigations indicated a chloride-substituted molybdenum aryloxy catalyst, 22, easily performed the cross metathesis of these problematic substrates (Figure 14).

Schrock, Hoveyda, and coworkers were also interested in Z-alkenyl chlorides and bromides via stereoretentive cross metathesis.

Figure 11. Employment of 21 in the formation of Z-alkenyl chlorides and bromides via stereoretentive cross metathesis.

Figure 12. Rationalization for the selectivity in the formation of Z-alkenyl fluorides. (a) Electronic observations for Z-bromo-fluoroethene. (b) Steric and electronic effects in the formation of the metallacycle intermediate.

Figure 13. Employment of 21 in the formation of Z-alkenyl fluorides via stereoretentive cross metathesis.

Figure 14. Employment of 22 in the formation of previously difficult to access Z-alkenyl chlorides via stereoretention.
Employment of hexafluorobutene would allow access to a wide scope of substrates that possess a trifluoromethyl group, privileged molecules in the pharmaceutical and agrochemical industries.\textsuperscript{[98,99]} Moreover, the synthesis of trifluoromethyl substituted olefins is limited, with these methods providing poor stereoselectivity or the thermodynamically favored E-product.\textsuperscript{[102–110]} Common molybdenum and ruthenium catalysts provided no desired cross metathesis, due to the relative inertness of this electron deficient and hindered olefin.

When employing 22 in the cross metathesis of hexafluorobutene and methyl oleate, the desired product was obtained in good Z-selectivity, but only in modest yields. Additional modifications to the imido group led to catalyst 23, which furnished the desired product in 90% yield and 98:2 Z:E. Further examining the scope of 23 provided access to a variety of Z-trifluoromethyl substituted olefins. Catalyst 23 exhibited incredible functional group tolerance, performing cross metathesis with olefins containing protected alcohols, esters, allyl groups, aromatics, and heteroaromatics (Figure 15). Highly functionalized, biologically active precursors would also participate in cross metathesis with hexafluorobutene using 23 (Figure 15). Computational studies attribute the activity and selectivity of the chloride-bound catalyst to enhanced Lewis acidity of the molybdenum center and reduced steric repulsion between substituents in the metallacycle intermediate.

5.2. \(E\)-Selectivity Through Stereoretention

Building on the success of stereoretentive olefin metathesis using Z-olefins, Schrock, Hoveyda, and coworkers investigated a pathway to a kinetically \(E\)-selective olefin metathesis through stereoretention of the olefins using molybdenum catalysts.\textsuperscript{[100]} Initial studies were directed at formation of \(E\)-alkenyl halides\textsuperscript{[108]} (it is known that alkenyl halides thermodynamically favor the \(Z\)-isomer owing to hyperconjugation of the \(C–H\) \(\sigma^*\) bond to the \(C–halogen\) \(\sigma^*\)). Employment of 21 in the cross metathesis of \(E\)-1,2-dichloroethene and a terminally unsaturated silyl ether provided the desired product in 70% yield and 80:20 \(E\):\(Z\) (Figure 16).

Cyclohexyl, aromatic, and heteroaromatic terminal olefins all participated in the cross metathesis furnishing the desired products in good yield and high \(E\)-selectivity (Figure 17). Unhindered aliphatic olefins performed less efficiently, providing the desired product in good yields but modest \(E\)-selectivity (Figure 17). It is believed that non-selective dimerization and competitive product isomerization can account for this effect.

Figure 15. Employment of 23 in the formation of Z-trifluoromethyl substituted olefins via stereoretentive olefin metathesis.

Figure 16. Assay of catalysts in the formation of \(E\)-alkenyl chlorides.
Use of $E$-1,2-disubstituted olefins can circumvent this issue, providing a route to highly functionalized products in good yield and high $E$-selectivity.

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
R & \text{AcO} \\
\text{Cl} & \text{Br} \\
\text{Cl} & 10 \text{ equiv.}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
28 (3-5 \text{ mol} \%) & \text{PhMe, 2-4 h} & 22^\circ \text{C} \\
R & \\
\text{Cl} & \\
\text{Cl} & \\
\text{Cl} & \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
80\% \text{ Yield, } 92:8 \text{ E:Z} & 60\% \text{ Yield, } >98:2 \text{ E:Z} & 75\% \text{ Yield, } >98:2 \text{ E:Z}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
80\% \text{ Yield, } >98:2 \text{ E:Z} & 62\% \text{ Yield, } >98:2 \text{ E:Z} & 78\% \text{ Yield, } 74:26 \text{ E:Z}
\end{array}
\]

Figure 17. Employment of 26 in the formation of $E$-alkenyl chlorides via stereoretentive olefin metathesis.

The design of 26 is important to consider. The metallacyclobutane must be in an anti-arrangement, which is favored due to the mitigation of eclipsing interactions between the substituents on the metallacyclobutane (Figure 18, XII vs. XIII). However, this arrangement forces the substituent at C$_3$ toward the large aryloxy ligand. By removing the ortho-substituents on the terphenyl groups in 21, this area is opened in 26 to accommodate the chloride at C$_3$ (Figure 18, XII). Additionally, the meta-substituents on the terphenyl will clash with the chloride at C$_4$ in XIII, disfavoring this species (Figure 18).

Cross metathesis between N-Boc-3-vinylindole and $E$-1-chloro-2-fluoroethene was performed with 26. The fluorinated product was isolated in high $E$-selectivity but in a 77:23 ratio to the chlorinated product (Figure 19 (b)). A cross metathesis using 21 was attempted, as 21 has larger steric demands surrounding the metal center due to the ortho-substitution on the terphenyl group. Catalyst 21 generated the desired product, favoring the fluorinated product in an 89:11 ratio (Figure 19 (b)). Catalyst 21 displayed a wide substrate tolerance, performing the metathesis on alkyl, aromatic, and heteroaromatic functionalized olefins, selectively generating the desired fluorinated product in good yield and high $E$-selectivity.

Schrock, Hoveyda, and coworkers also investigated kinetically $E$-selective macrocyclic ring-closing metathesis (mRCM) using 26.[106] Initial studies focused on using a diene with one of the olefins being an $E$-chloro-olefin, building on the previous success with chlorinated olefins in stereoretentive olefin metathesis. However, low turnovers were observed. It is believed that the chloro-alkylidene generated in the metathesis is of low stability, and because mRCM’s are performed under dilute conditions, the alkylidene decomposes before it can react with another olefin. Previous studies showed that pinacolatoboryl- (B(pin)) substituted alkylidenes were less reactive than their carbon counterparts,[105] so these were

Continued examination of this catalytic system led to the idea that steric demands for the substituent at C$_3$ could be used to develop a product-selective olefin metathesis using an unsymmetrical halo-olethene. $E$-1-Chloro-2-fluoroethene was employed as the unsymmetrical olefin, which could furnish two different metallacyclobutanes (Figure 19 (a)). It was proposed that XIV would be more favored than XV because there would be less steric repulsion with the fluoride pointed down toward the large aryloxy group than with the chloride. This would allow access to $E$-alkenyl fluorides. Considering the importance of alkynyl fluorides, a kinetically $E$-selective process[106] would complement the methods previously developed forming $Z$-alkenyl fluorides[86] and $Z$-trifluoromethyl substituted olefins.[103]
assayed in the mRCM using 26. Macrocycles of various sizes were synthesized in moderate yield and high E-selectivity using 26 and B(pin)-substituted precursors (Figure 20). Furthermore this method was applied to the synthesis of recifeiodole\(^{[111–113]}\) and pacritinib\(^{[114–117]}\) (Figure 20).

![Figure 20. Employment of 26 in stereoretentive mRCM's to form E-macrocycles.](image)

### 6. Summary and Outlook

Evidence for a stereoretentive pathway was apparent in early metathesis studies; however well-defined catalytic scaffolds to promote stereoselective olefin metathesis were unavailable. Since, stereoselective olefin metathesis has become ubiquitous as new catalysts that exhibit high degrees of control over the geometry of the key metallacyclobutane intermediate were developed. This has led to the emergence of highly active Z-selective catalysts based on molybdenum, tungsten, and ruthenium. Mechanistic investigations into the cationic ruthenium systems revealed that their Z-selectivity was a result of stereoretention. Exploitation of this stereoretentive pathway was also used to deliver a kinetically selective route to E-olefins. Z-selective molybdenum catalysts provided inspiration for the development of stereoretentive molybdenum catalysts, which allowed access to Z- and E-alkenyl halides through stereoretention. While stereoretention was observed in early studies of olefin metathesis, it has only recently been utilized as a reliable means of selectively controlling olefin geometry. Continued efforts in stereoretentive olefin metathesis will examine more robust catalytic scaffolds, ultimately leading to the discovery of a kinetically E-selective pathway for coupling terminal olefins.
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