Investigation of a transiting planet candidate in Trumpler 37: an astrophysical false positive eclipsing spectroscopic binary
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We report our investigation of the first transiting planet candidate from the YETI project in the young (~4 Myr old) open cluster Trumpler 37. The transit-like signal detected in the lightcurve of the F8V star 2M21385603+5711345 repeats every 1.364894 ± 0.000015 days, and has a depth of 54.5 ± 0.8 mmag in $R$. Membership to the cluster is supported by its mean radial velocity and location in the color-magnitude diagram, while the Li diagnostic and proper motion are inconclusive in this regard. Follow-up photometric monitoring and adaptive optics imaging allow us to rule out many possible blend scenarios, but our radial-velocity measurements show it to be an eclipsing single-lined spectroscopic binary with a late-type (mid-M) stellar companion, rather than one of planetary nature. The estimated mass of the companion is 0.15–0.44 $M_\odot$. The search for planets around very young stars such as those targeted by the YETI survey remains of critical importance to understand the early stages of planet formation and evolution.
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1 Introduction

The transit technique has been one of the most successful methods for detecting extrasolar planets. It has enabled the discovery of more than 436 transiting exoplanets to date. The transit light curve enables one to determine the planet-to-star radius ratio and the inclination of the planetary orbit (Seager & Mallén-Ornelas 2003), from which the absolute size of the planet can be established provided an estimate of the size of the parent star is available. Inferring the planetary mass usually requires high-precision radial velocity measurements that can be more expensive to obtain in terms of telescope time. These spectroscopic observations yield the minimum mass \( M_p \sin i \) of the companion, which, combined with the inclination from the light curve and an estimate of the stellar mass, then enables the true mass \( M_p \) of the planet to be calculated. Alternatively, measurements of transit timing variations can also yield the planetary mass in favorable cases.

The presence of transit-like signals in the light curve of a star is no guarantee of the planetary nature of the star’s companion. The majority of such signals in ground-based transit searches end up being astrophysical false positives, and careful analysis is required to rule them out (see, e.g., Charbonneau et al. 2004). For example, the transit of a small star in front of a much larger star (of earlier type, or a giant) can produce a transit depth indistinguishable from that of a true planet around a star. These scenarios can usually be discovered by performing low-resolution spectroscopy to classify the primary star. In the low-mass regime of degenerate compact objects the radius is independent of the mass (Guillot 1999). Therefore, for a given transit signal, the companion may be a planet, a brown dwarf, or even a low-mass star. Medium- or high-resolution spectroscopy obtained near the quadratures is often sufficient to distinguish these cases, as companions that are brown dwarfs or late-type stars would generally induce easily detectable radial velocity variations on the star. The same spectra also permit the identification of cases of grazing eclipses of binary systems, which can also mimic the transit signals. Another important source of false positives are background eclipsing binaries blended with the foreground star (see, e.g., Torres et al. 2004). The light from the foreground target can reduce the otherwise deep eclipses of the binary to planetary proportions, mimicking a transit signal. In many cases adaptive optics imaging on large telescopes can help to reduce the possibility of such a contaminant at small angular separations.

Most transit surveys target field stars, for which age determination is generally challenging, and those searches are often biased towards main-sequence stars with ages of the order of Gyrs. No transiting planets have yet been identified around young stars (ages less than 100 Myr), with the possible exception of the transit candidate around the weak-lined T Tauri star CVSO30 in the 8 Myr old cluster 25 Ori (van Eycken et al. 2012, Barnes et al. 2013). Only a few studies have searched for transiting planets around young stars. Two examples include the CoRoT satellite, which observed the 3 Myr old cluster NGC 2264 for 24 days (Affer et al. 2013), and the MONITOR project, which is investigating several young clusters with ages in the range 1–200 Myr (Hodgkin et al. 2006), staring at each cluster for at least 10 nights. Neither project has reported any close-in planet discoveries to date.

This is rather surprising, as one expects planets to form already in the proto-planetary disk, which begins to dissipate a few Myr after star formation (Mamajek 2009). Theoretical models are still rather uncertain at young ages as they depend upon the unknown initial conditions for planet formation (e.g., Marley et al. 2007, Fortney et al. 2008, Spiegel & Burrows 2012). Therefore, obtaining precise mass and radius measurements for planets around stars in young clusters of known age is critically important to test various aspects of current models of planet formation and evolution.

The YETI (Young Exoplanet Transit Initiative) network was established precisely to search for transiting planets in young clusters (Neuhäuser et al. 2011). The network consists of ground-based telescopes with apertures of 0.4 m to 2 m, spread out in longitude across several continents for significantly increased duty cycle and insurance against bad weather. The project is narrowly focused on clusters with ages of 2 to 20 Myr, including Trumpler 37, 25 Ori, IC 348, Collinder 69, NGC 1980, and NGC 7243. Each of the clusters is monitored for three years at a time. In each year we schedule three YETI campaigns of one to two weeks duration each.

In this paper we report on the investigation of the first transiting planet candidate uncovered by the YETI project around the \( R = 15 \) magnitude star 2M21385603+5711345. This object is located in the area of the 4 Myr old (Kun, Kiss & Balog 2003) cluster Trumpler 37, distant about 870 pc from the Sun (Contreras et al. 2002). For a summary of the cluster properties we refer the reader to the work of Ermann et al. (2013), which includes a list of candidates members of Trumpler 37. The transit candidate studied here is not included in that list, so that its properties were not previously known. As we describe below, our extensive follow-up observations reveal it to be a false positive (an eclips-
ing single-lined spectroscopic binary with a low-mass stellar secondary) rather than a true planet. We use our observations to characterize both the companion and the parent star.

2 Photometric detection

2.1 University Observatory Jena

Monitoring of the Trumpler 37 cluster began with the 90/60 cm Schmidt Telescope of the Astrophysical Institute and University Observatory Jena in July 2009, one year ahead of the first YETI campaign. The Schmidt Teleskop Kamera (STK, Mugrauer & Berthold 2010) was used in combination with a Bessell R filter (Bessell 1990). Exposure times were either 60 s, 120 s, or 105 s. The increase from 60 s to 120 s yielded higher signal-to-noise ratios on fainter stars (V ≥ 13 mag). After a recoating of the main mirror in October of 2011 the exposure time was set to 105 s, on account of the improved reflectivity. We obtained data on Trumpler 37 during 170 nights from the (northern) summer of 2009 until the fall of 2011.

The data were subjected to standard image reduction procedures, including overscan correction, dark current subtraction, and flat-fielding, using IRAF2 routines. A list of the pixel coordinates of each star was extracted using a mosaic of Trumpler 37 and Source Extractor (sextractor; Bertin & Arnouts 1996), which is part of the GAIA3 package. The final list contained 17097 stars and was used as the reference catalog for the photometry.

The tracking offsets were removed by extracting the star positions in each image with sextractor and by comparing that list to the reference list of stars. The optimal size of the aperture used for the photometric measurements was determined separately for each night to account for changes in seeing and telescope focus. This was done by performing IRAF photometry with 15 different aperture sizes in the range of 1 to 2.5 times the average FWHM (from sextractor). To decrease computing time, we used only a subset of the 700 brightest stars that showed no variations in single nights, and only a subset of a maximum of 100 randomly derived images (including the first and last 10 images of each night). We calculated the standard deviation of the differential magnitudes between all stars for each of the 15 apertures, and selected the one giving the smallest sum of the standard deviations. Typical values for the aperture radii were between 3 and 4.5 pixels, corresponding to 4.5′′–6′′. Aperture photometry was then performed with the optimized aperture, followed by differential photometry as described by Broeg, Fernandez & Neuhaus (2005). All stars were used for the creation of an artificial standard star, but the more photometrically stable stars were weighted higher. The differential magnitudes m_{diff} were calculated in respect for this star. Since formal photometric errors are typically smaller than the dispersion of the light curves because they do not account for systematics, we have chosen to adjust the errors by rescaling them by a factor f and adding a constant offset s representing an error floor, to match the scatter of the light curves \( \sigma_{\text{light curve}} \):

\[ s + f \cdot \Delta m_{\text{inst},i,j} = \Delta m_{\text{diff},i,j} \sim \sigma_{\text{light curve},j} \]

with \( i, j \) standing for image and star number (for further details see Broeg et al. 2005). The scale factor and floor were determined by testing a grid of values, in such a way as to obtain mean errors for the data points equal to the standard deviation of the light curve. To decrease computing time, only a subset of the brightest and most stable 400 stars was used for these calculations. Typical values for the scale and floor level were \( f = 0.95 \) and \( s = 4.5 \) mmag (millimagnitudes).

The images were reduced separately for each night. To combine data from different nights we corrected for differences in brightness between the standard stars with the following algorithm. For each star and night we calculated the median magnitude, and then the difference between these median magnitudes between two given nights. The median of all of these differences was then applied as an offset to the differential magnitudes of the second night.

The photometric precision of a light curve was calculated as the standard deviation of the brightness measurements. The results for a typical night are shown in Fig. 1.1 For the brightest, non-saturated stars the photometric precision can be as low as 2 mmag.
using stars in Trumpler 37 from Sicilia-Aguilar et al. (2004), was determined by calibrating our differential magnitudes with only proper motion membership. Also shown are solar metallicity model isochrones for 1, 5, 10, and 50 Myr from Siess et al. (2000), adjusted to the adopted cluster age of 870 pc and average cluster visual extinction of 1.56 mag. The arrow represents the reddening vector.

The apparent brightness of the stars in the field of view was determined by calibrating our differential magnitudes using stars in Trumpler 37 from Sicilia-Aguilar et al. (2004, 2005). In order to obtain color information, observations on one night were carried out with Bessell $B$, $V$, $R$, and $I$ filters.

The transit signal of the candidate was found by fitting a simple box model to the unfolded light curves, followed by subsequent visual inspection. The transit depth is $54.5 \pm 0.8$ mmag, and the signals recur with a periodicity of about 1.365 days. The parent star has a measured brightness of $R = 15.08 \pm 0.12$ mag. Its location in the color-magnitude diagram of the cluster is shown in Fig. 2 along with that of other stars considered probable members of Trumpler 37 (Errmann et al. 2013). The color and magnitude of the candidate place it near other cluster members in this diagram. The folded and binned light curve of the star is shown in Fig. 3, together with data from other YETI telescopes (Sect. 2.2).

### 2.2 YETI network

The YETI observations were conducted during three campaigns each in the summer of 2010 and 2011 (see Table 1). The last two rows give the number of participating telescopes, and the overall number of images gathered. Additional data were obtained by several telescopes outside of these runs, particularly the ones at Jena and Rozhen. The phase-folded and binned light curve of the transit candidate in the $R$ band for individual telescopes, and for all telescopes combined. The ephemeris adopted is $P = 1.364894 \pm 0.000015$ days, $T_0 = 2455404.4932$ HJD (see Sect. 5). Bins are 0.01 in phase (0.005 for overall curve), and outliers were removed by sigma-clipping. For the light curves from individual telescopes the average number of points per bin is 124, 9, 54, 12, 4.6, and 1.6 (top to bottom). Jena has the smallest scatter (standard deviation $\sigma = 3.6$ mmag) due to the larger number of data points binned together. For the other telescopes $\sigma$ is 9.5, 4.9, 13.4, 7.6, 13.4, and 7.0 mmag. The combined light curve has an average of 100 data points per bin. The standard deviation is 3.1 mmag (3.2 mmag) for a bin size of 0.01 (0.005). The gray line through the combined light curve shows our best fit model described in Sect. 5.

Table 1 Dates of the YETI campaigns on Trumpler 37.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3 - 12 Aug.</td>
<td>11 - 22 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 - 30 Sept.</td>
<td>9 - 20 Sept.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Telescopes Images

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telescopes</th>
<th>Images</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jena</td>
<td>27500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xinglong</td>
<td>21000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rozhen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenagra2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lulin 1m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all telescopes together</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The phase-folded and binned light curve of the transit candidate in the $R$ band for individual telescopes, and for all telescopes combined. The ephemeris adopted is $P = 1.364894 \pm 0.000015$ days, $T_0 = 2455404.4932$ HJD (see Sect. 5). Bins are 0.01 in phase (0.005 for overall curve), and outliers were removed by sigma-clipping. For the light curves from individual telescopes the average number of points per bin is 124, 9, 54, 12, 4.6, and 1.6 (top to bottom). Jena has the smallest scatter (standard deviation $\sigma = 3.6$ mmag) due to the larger number of data points binned together. For the other telescopes $\sigma$ is 9.5, 4.9, 13.4, 7.6, and 7.0 mmag. The combined light curve has an average of 100 data points per bin. The standard deviation is 3.1 mmag (3.2 mmag) for a bin size of 0.01 (0.005). The gray line through the combined light curve shows our best fit model described in Sect. 5.

The phase-folded and binned light curves from the telescopes with the most data are shown in Fig. 5. Unfortunately, for some of the telescopes the transit candidate was located outside the field of view. The full list of participating sites that gathered data is given in Table 2. A map of all participating facilities in the YETI network may be seen in Fig. 1 of Errmann et al. (2014). As shown in Fig. 3 of that work, the phase coverage enabled by this network is as high as 90% for orbital periods up to 25 days.

In order to create a light curve combining all YETI data, we explored a number of procedures as follows: (1) Us-
Table 2  List of the YETI telescopes and instruments that obtained data for this transit candidate. Sorting is from east to west starting in Asia. Telescopes for which the candidate was not in the field of view are not listed (Gunma/Japan, Byurakan/Armenia, Calar Alto/Spain, and Sierra Nevada/Spain).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observatory</th>
<th>Mirror diameter [m]</th>
<th>CCD type (camera)</th>
<th>no. of pixels</th>
<th>size of field [’ x ’]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lulin/Taiwan</td>
<td>1.00 (2)</td>
<td>Marconi CCD36-40 P1300B</td>
<td>1340 × 1390</td>
<td>22 × 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xinglong/China</td>
<td>0.90 (1)</td>
<td>E2V 42-40 (U42)</td>
<td>2048 × 2048</td>
<td>28 × 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rozhen/Bulgaria</td>
<td>0.60 (2)</td>
<td>E2V CCD203-82</td>
<td>4096 × 4096</td>
<td>94 × 94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stará Lesná/</td>
<td>0.70 (3)</td>
<td>FLI ProLine 09000</td>
<td>3056 × 3056</td>
<td>27 × 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovak Rep.</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>SBIG ST10 MXE</td>
<td>2184 × 1472</td>
<td>20 × 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toruń/Poland</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>STTe TK1024</td>
<td>1024 × 1024</td>
<td>11 × 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jena/Germany</td>
<td>0.90 (1)</td>
<td>E2V CCD42-10 (STK)</td>
<td>2048 × 2048</td>
<td>53 × 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swarthmore/USA</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>Apogee U16M KAF-16803</td>
<td>4096 × 4096</td>
<td>26 × 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gettysburg/USA</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>STTe 003B</td>
<td>1024 × 1024</td>
<td>18 × 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenagra II/USA</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>STTe SI003 AP8p</td>
<td>1024 × 1024</td>
<td>15 × 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: (1) 0.60 m in Schmidt mode; (2) with focal reducer; (3) 0.50 m in Schmidt mode.

3 Follow-up observations

3.1 Calar Alto 2.2 m telescope – light curve

To improve upon the survey photometry from the YETI network, a high-precision light curve of the target star was obtained on 2010 July 26 (UT) with CAFOS (Calar Alto Faint Object Spectrograph) on the Calar Alto 2.2 m telescope, using an I-band filter. Exposure times for imaging were 40 s to 70 s, depending on the atmospheric conditions. To decrease readout time we used 2 × 2 binning and recorded only a 201 × 201 pixel sub-frame. We obtained a total of 238 images over 4.8 hours, including one hour of normal light before and after the transit. The images were bias-corrected, and flat-fielding was not necessary because of the stable auto-guiding (pixel shifts less than 0.5 pixel), as shown by Maciejewski et al. (2011). Aperture photometry was performed on the 8 brightest non-saturated stars, including the target star. The optimal aperture was determined to be 2.44 pixels, or 2.59”. The differential photometry (Broeg et al. 2005) yielded standard deviations of 3.1 to 4.2 mmag and similar weights (10-18%) for the comparison stars. The final light curve is displayed in Fig. 4 and its shape is quite consistent with that of a typical transiting planet.

3.2 Subaru telescope – imaging

High-resolution imaging can assist in ruling out eclipsing binaries blended with the target, which is particularly important for small telescopes with large PSFs (point-spread functions). To this end we obtained high-resolution images...
with the Infrared Camera and Spectrograph (IRCS, Kobayashi et al. 2000) and adaptive optics AO188 at the Subaru telescope. Images in J, H, and K were obtained with overall exposure times of 300 s, 270 s, and 250 s, respectively. The observations were carried out on 2009 November 4 from 19:30 to 19:55 (local time) at airmass 1.265 to 1.278, and outside of the transit. The seeing was 0.4″–0.8″.

The master dark and flat field images were created with standard IRAF routines. Further reduction was performed with the jitter routine from the ESO eclipse software package (Devillard 1997), and consisted of dark and flat-field correction, sky background estimate and correction, and image combination. The final image for the H band is shown in Fig. 5. Several faint sources are detected within the typical 5″ aperture used for the YETI photometry, and could in principle be causing the transit signal.

We performed PSF photometry to obtain an accurate measurement of the brightness of these close companions using StarFinder (Dialaiti et al. 2000). The best available PSF is that of the target star itself. To extract it, we first removed the neighboring sources as described next. We created an azimuthally averaged image of the target star, and subtracted it from the original. In this residual image (symrem) we fitted the PSF of the well-resolved star 5 to the remaining stars, and produced a synthetic image containing only stars 2 to 6. Subtracting this synthetic image from the original one results in a less disturbed PSF of the main star (PSF 1). To improve upon this PSF we did the following: we fitted PSF 1 to sources 2 to 6 in the symrem image to create another image with an improved synthetic field. The new synthetic image was then subtracted from the original image with only the main star remaining. We used this to obtain a new PSF 1. This procedure was repeated until we saw no further improvements in the PSF of the target. The optimized PSF of the main star was then fitted to the original image to measure the instrumental brightness of the neighboring stars 2 to 6.

Apparent magnitudes for all sources including the target were computed from the measured magnitude differences with the constraint that the combined brightness must match the magnitudes listed in the 2MASS catalog (Skrutskie et al. 2006). The 2MASS Ks magnitudes were converted to the instrumental K-band system used with the IRCS following Carpenter (2003). Table 3 presents our derived J, H, and K magnitudes. However, the more relevant passband for our purposes is the R band. Because the spectral type, extinction, and distance to each of the faint sources is unknown, we estimated R-band magnitudes for them by exploring a range of possible spectral types using our measured near-infrared colors as a guide, along with the intrinsic colors of main-sequence stars as listed by Kenyon & Hartmann (1995). Extinction and distance were calculated for each spectral type using the interstellar extinction law of Rieke & Lebofsky (1985). We used the Kenyon & Hartmann table to infer the brightness for each spectral type in the R band. From these experiments the brightest that any of these close companions can be in that passband is 19.4 ± 1.1 mag. In the worst case scenario, if this source is a fully eclipsing binary composed of two identical main-sequence stars (maximizing the eclipse depth), the depth of the transit-like signal would be 4.3 ± 0.7 mmag. Since this is much smaller than the measured depth of ~50 mmag, we can rule out all of these sources as possible contaminants. In order to mimic the observed transit, the binary would have to be as bright as R = 16.5 mag. Other companions that are angularly much closer to the target and are not seen in Fig. 5 may still be present, of course, but we have no means of ruling them out.

3.3 Spectroscopy

3.3.1 Calar Alto 2.2 m – low-resolution spectrum

Two low-resolution spectra (R = 1800) were obtained with CAFOS on the 2.2 m Calar Alto telescope on 2010 August 26 (UT) to aid in the spectral classification of the target. The spectral coverage is ~5800–7200 Å, and the exposure time was 1800 s for both observations. Bias correction was applied, and the spectra were extracted with the IRAF task apall. Wavelength calibration was based on a Hg-He-Rb arc exposure from the same night, and cosmic rays were removed by hand. The two spectra were then combined. Additionally, we obtained a spectrum of the O7V star HD 217086 at the same airmass for atmospheric correction; the difference in airmass was smaller than 0.04. The differences between the continuum slope of the spectrum of HD 217086 and that of published spectra of the standard O7V star HD 47839 (Valdes et al. 2004) and of the O7.5V star HD 44811 (Silva & Cornell 1992) were applied to the spectrum of the target star to remove the effects of atmospheric extinction. There is no significant difference.

Fig. 5 Reduced H-band image from IRCS at the Subaru telescope. Five faint sources are seen around the target star (identified as objects 2 to 5) that are unresolved in the YETI images. The dashed circle shows the typical YETI aperture for the photometry (4.5″). The faint source near the left edge of the circle was ignored, because for some observations it was outside of the aperture, and thus could not cause the transit on those nights.
Table 3  Inferred brightness of the sources in Fig. 5. The first line labeled “2MASS” gives the values for the combined light of all sources in the aperture as listed in the 2MASS catalog (converted to the instrumental passbands). Individual magnitudes for sources 2–6 were derived as described in the text. Uncertainties are given in parentheses in units of the last significant digits. Star 3 was not resolved in the $J$ band, due to less efficient AO. The $R$ magnitudes were extrapolated from the near-infrared colors, using the intrinsic colors as listed by Kenyon & Hartmann (1995) and the interstellar extinction law of Rieke & Lebofsky (1985). Depending on the (main-sequence) spectral type, each source has a range of possible $R$ magnitudes. The uncertainties in the $R$ band can be as large as 1.1 mag.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2MASS</td>
<td>13.454 (29)</td>
<td>12.968 (35)</td>
<td>12.925 (40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.503 (29)</td>
<td>13.053 (35)</td>
<td>12.925 (40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.761 (34)</td>
<td>16.881 (36)</td>
<td>16.427 (41)</td>
<td>20.7–22.9</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.411 (37)</td>
<td>17.187 (43)</td>
<td>19.4–21.1</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.838 (30)</td>
<td>17.245 (36)</td>
<td>17.016 (41)</td>
<td>19.4–21.6</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>19.231 (37)</td>
<td>18.552 (40)</td>
<td>18.105 (48)</td>
<td>21.5–23.8</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.651 (57)</td>
<td>18.613 (42)</td>
<td>18.224 (52)</td>
<td>22.9–25.1</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

between the results using both literature standards. The corrected spectrum is shown in Fig. 6; further discussion follows in the analysis section below (Sect. 4.1).

3.3.2 Keck telescope – high-resolution spectra

The target star 2M21385603+5711345 was also observed spectroscopically with the High Resolution Echelle Spectrometer (HIRES, Vogt et al. 1994) at the Keck I telescope, for the purpose of monitoring its radial velocity (RV). The resolving power of the instrument with the setup we use is approximately $R = 55,000$, and the spectral coverage is $\sim 3800–8000$ Å, recorded on three contiguous CCDs. Five spectra were obtained on 2010 September 26 and September 28, close to the expected RV extrema based on the ephemeris. The exposure time was 4200 s for each spectrum. Exposures of a Th-Ar lamp were taken before and after the science exposures for the purpose of wavelength calibration. Data reduction including dark, flat-field, and cosmic-ray correction was carried out using standard procedures, followed by extraction of the spectra. Fig. 7 shows one of the orders in each our spectra containing the Ca 6717.7 Å line. No sign of another star is seen in these spectra.

Radial velocities from the Keck spectra were derived by cross-correlation using the IRAF task xcsao (Kurtz & Mink 1998). We used a synthetic template based on Kurucz model atmospheres with parameters approximating those of the target star: $T_{\text{eff}} = 6000$ K, $\log g = 4.5$, $v \sin i = 30$ km s$^{-1}$, and $[\text{Fe/H}] = 0$ (see Sect. 4.1). The RV at each epoch was derived as a weighted mean of 12 independent radial velocity measurements from the usable echelle orders on the red CCD. We rejected orders with very few stellar lines or that were severely contaminated by telluric lines. The wavelength range of the red chip was not covered by the model spectrum. The RV uncertainty for each epoch was taken to be the standard deviation of the 12 measurements. Errors from cross correlation in an individual order are about 2 km s$^{-1}$; the standard deviations of all 12 orders ranged from 1.3 to 1.8 km s$^{-1}$. Barycentric corrections were applied for the flux-weighted mid-time of each exposure, as provided by the exposure meter of the instrument (Kibrick et al. 2006). The radial velocity standard star HD 182488 (adopted RV = $-21.508$ km s$^{-1}$) was observed on each night to correct for instrumental drifts. The resulting RVs are given in Table 4. The large amplitude of the velocity variations clearly indicates that the companion is of stellar mass, thus ruling out the planetary nature of the candidate. Below we provide evidence that the companion is a late-type star.

3.3.3 MMT – high-resolution spectrum

An additional spectrum of the star was recorded with the Hectochelle instrument (Szentgyorgyi et al. 1998) on the MMT on 2010 November 21 (UT). Hectochelle is a multi-object fiber-fed echelle spectrograph with a spectral...
Table 4 Barycentric radial velocities of the target from HIRES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HJD-2455000</th>
<th>RV [km s(^{-1})]</th>
<th>standard deviation [km s(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>466.7709</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466.8208</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466.9105</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>468.9080</td>
<td>−49.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>468.9715</td>
<td>−51.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

range of 155 Å centered at a wavelength of 5225 Å (setup RV31), which includes the Mg b triplet. The exposure time was 1800 s. Extraction was performed using the custom pipeline written at the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics. Unfortunately no RV standard was observed on the same night, which prevents us from deriving an accurate radial velocity from this observation. Nevertheless, the spectrum is useful for inferring the stellar properties, as described below in Sect. 4.1.

4 Properties of the host star

Because both the light curves and the radial velocities only allow one to obtain the properties of the companion relative to those of the primary star, we describe in this section our efforts to characterize the star with the observations we have in hand. Table 5 summarizes the observed properties, as well as the derived properties discussed below.

4.1 Spectral type and rotation

An initial estimate of the spectral type of the star was obtained by comparing its colors with tables of standard main-sequence colors from the literature. We assume hereafter that the stellar companion inferred above from the RV var-

Table 5 Properties of the host star.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Com.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2MASS J [mag]</td>
<td>13.45 ± 0.029</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2MASS H [mag]</td>
<td>12.96 ± 0.035</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2MASS Ks [mag]</td>
<td>12.88 ± 0.030</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EW(Li I) [mA]</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec. Type</td>
<td>F8</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(_{\text{eff}}) [K]</td>
<td>6120 ± 450</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: [1]: this work, [2]: Skrutskie et al. [2006]
ations is faint enough that it does not significantly affect the measured magnitudes. For this exercise we used the brightness measurements from the 2MASS catalog and our own $BVRI$ measurements. Corrections for extinction were applied based on the mean visual extinction to the cluster of $A_V = 1.56 \pm 0.55$ mag (Mercer et al. 2009). The intrinsic colors in Table 5 of Kenyon & Hartmann (1995) suggest a spectral type around F7 or F9. For a more quantitative estimate we computed six non-independent color indices from the photometry, and applied appropriate reddening corrections following Cardelli et al. (1989). We used the color-temperature calibrations of Casagrande et al. (2010), based on the infrared flux method, to obtain effective temperatures from each index. The weighted average temperature is $6100 \pm 250$ K, consistent with the above classification.

A rough estimate of the spectral type was attempted also using the CAFOS spectrum, with mixed results. Comparing the slope of the continuum with that of standard stars from Valdes et al. (2004) suggests a much earlier spectral type than inferred above (see Fig. 6), while the measurement of the equivalent widths of key features such as Hα, the Na I D lines (5890 Å, 5896 Å), and the Fe line at 6495 Å are more consistent with an F or G star. A similar classification was obtained by measuring other lines including the Ca I line at 6162 Å with SPTCLASS (SPecTral CLASSificator code). Hernandez et al. (2005).

Another, more reliable spectroscopic estimate was obtained from the Hectochelle spectrum, by cross-correlating it against a grid of synthetic spectra based on model atmospheres by R. L. Kurucz. Cross-correlations were performed with the IRAF task xcso, and the calculated spectra spanned a broad range of effective temperatures, surface gravities, and rotational velocities, for an assumed solar metallicity. Peak cross-correlation coefficients reached values as high as 92–93%, and a total of 168 synthetic spectra were found to provide equally satisfactory fits. The average and standard deviation of the parameters of these 168 spectra are $T_{\text{eff}} = 6120 \pm 450$ K, $\log g = 4.28 \pm 0.53$, and $v \sin i = 44 \pm 11$ km s$^{-1}$. We adopt these values for the remainder of the analysis. The temperature corresponds to a spectral type of F8V, in good agreement with most of our other estimates. The uncertainty yields a possible range from F4 to G6.

These properties correspond broadly to a main-sequence star with a mass in the range 0.90–1.33 $M_{\odot}$ and a radius of 0.91–1.35 $R_{\odot}$. Interestingly, we find that the measured projected rotational velocity of 44 km s$^{-1}$ would be consistent with synchronous rotation in a binary with the observed orbital period of 1.365 days if the primary had a radius of 1.2 $R_{\odot}$. This happens to be near the middle of the radius range given above, and is another indication that the companion is unlikely to be of planetary nature, because its mass would be too small to induce the tidal forces needed to lock the primary into synchronous rotation.

### 4.2 Lithium

No evidence for the lithium 6707.8 Å line is seen in either our low-resolution or high-resolution spectra, with an upper limit on its equivalent width of 5 mÅ. This is smaller than expected for a member of Trumpler 37, and would cast doubt on the membership of the star. Indeed, among the probable cluster members listed by Errmann et al. (2013), the star with the earliest spectral type with measured lithium absorption is F9, and its strength is EW(Li) = 100 mÅ. A few early G-type stars reach EWs up to 600 mÅ. The stellar (as opposed to planetary) nature of the binary companion indicated earlier by our RV measurements suggests that the absence of the Li line could perhaps be due to stronger mixing in the primary star caused by the secondary, resulting in faster depletion of Li. Increased Li burning could also have occurred as a result of episodic accretion (Baraffe & Chabrier 2010). In any case, the late-type companion itself would be expected to have strong lithium (EW(Li) $\sim$ 900 mÅ), as other M stars in the cluster do. However, dilution of the much brighter primary star would render the feature undetectable in our spectra. In conclusion, the Li diagnostic in our target is inconclusive regarding membership in the Trumpler 37 cluster.

### 4.3 Proper motion

Finally, in Table 6 we list the proper motion (p.m.) values according to the UCAC4 (Zacharias et al. 2013) and PMXL catalogs (Roeser, Demleitner & Schilbach 2010). For easier comparison we have also transformed these values to the reference frame for the cluster proper motions adopted by Marschall & van Altena (1987), which is aligned with the minor and major axes of the Trumpler 37 p.m. distribution (a rotation by 48°). Though formally consistent with the cluster motion, the uncertainties are large enough that our target is equally likely to be a cluster member or a background star.

### 5 Radial velocity and light curve analysis

A refined orbital period for the system was derived using the string-length algorithm of Dworetsky (1983), as implemented in the program stringlength by Broeg (2006).
obtained $P = 1.364894 \pm 0.000015$ d, in which the uncertainty corresponds to the range over which additional significant signals are seen. We created a high quality light curve for initial analysis by folding the Jena photometry with the period $P$ and applying a binning of 0.002 in phase. The depth and duration of the transit as measured using the software available with the Exoplanet Transit Database (ETD) (Poddaný, Bráť & Pejcha 2010) are listed in Table 7 both for the Jena data and the Calar Alto $I$-band photometry.

Further analysis of the light curves was performed with the Transiti Analysis Package (TAP), version 2.104 (Gazak et al. 2012), which we used to determine the inclination angle $i$, the relative semi-major axis in units of the stellar radius $a/R_A$, and the radius ratio between the companion (B) and the main star (A), $R_B/R_A$. The period was held fixed at the value reported above, and the quadratic limb-darkening coefficients were allowed to vary freely. A circular orbit was assumed. The resulting parameters for the Jena $R$-band data and the Calar Alto $I$-band data are consistent (see Table 7).

Even though it is eclipsing, the fact that our target is only a single-lined spectroscopic binary implies that the absolute masses of the stars cannot be obtained directly from the data, particularly that of the secondary, which would speak to its nature. Nevertheless, a weak constraint is contained in the photometry, principally through the out-of-eclipse variations, which depend on the mass ratio and are caused in part by tidal deformation of the stars. We explored this weak dependence by fitting all of the photometry (from both YETI and Calar Alto) and the radial velocities simultaneously with the PHOEBE program (PHysics Of Eclipsing BinariEs, version 0.31a, Prša & Zwitter 2005), to obtain the plausible range of mass ratios allowed by the data. We adopted a model for a detached eclipsing binary, with albedo, limb-darkening (for a linear law), and gravity-brightening parameters appropriate for stars with convective envelopes. Initial values for the various fitting parameters were derived from the TAP solutions above, along with the period reported earlier. The temperature of the primary star was set to 6100 K. This exercise allowed us to restrict the mass ratio to the range between 0.17 and 0.29, which, together with the range of primary masses established in Sect. 4.1 yields a mass for the companion of $M_B = 0.15$–0.44 $M_\odot$. Plausible radius ratios were found to be in the range $R_B/R_A = 0.205$–0.209, slightly larger than determined with the less sophisticated TAP procedure. The corresponding radius interval for the low-mass companion is $R_B = 0.18$–0.30 $R_\odot$. Assuming the secondary is on the main sequence, these values are typical of a mid-M star. The range of effective temperatures derived for the secondary is consistent with this classification. We report these and other results in Table 8.

A graphical representation of our best-fit model for the light curve may be seen overplotted on the photometry of Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, and the fit to the radial velocities is illustrated in Fig. 8. Small out-of-eclipse changes caused by the tidal bulge of the primary are visible. The center-of-mass velocity we infer from the measurements is $\gamma = -14.79 \pm 0.09$ km s$^{-1}$. This is in good agreement with the mean radial velocity reported for Trumpler 37 of $-15.3 \pm 3.6$ km s$^{-1}$ (Sicilia-Aguilar et al. 2006), which supports membership in the cluster.

The above analysis assumed no light contribution from the other stars visible in photometric aperture (see Fig. 4). This could in principle affect the results, leading, e.g., to an overestimate of the transit depth and consequently of the radius ratio. While the spectral types of these nearby stars are not known, we estimate their contribution to the overall flux in the passbands of interest to be less than 2.2%. This level of contamination has only a minimal impact on the parameters given in Table 8.

| Table 7 | Initial light-curve parameters from ETD and TAP. |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                     | Jena ($R$) | Calar Alto ($I$) |
| Depth [mmag]         | $54.5 \pm 0.8$    | $51.8 \pm 0.5$    |
| Duration $t_{\text{trans}}$ [min] | $162.7 \pm 1.5$ | $159.8 \pm 0.8$ |
| TAP                  | $i$ (°)       | $85.1^{+0.9}_{-0.9}$ | $85.2^{+2.9}_{-1.9}$ |
|                     | $a/R_A$       | $4.35^{+0.23}_{-0.24}$ | $4.36^{+0.22}_{-0.24}$ |
|                     | $R_B/R_A$     | $0.202^{+0.0056}_{-0.0029}$ | $0.2019^{+0.0058}_{-0.0029}$ |

Note: Durations are measured between the first and last contacts.

| Table 8 | Results of our joint photometric-spectroscopic fits with PHOEBE. |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                     | PHOEBE                |
|                     | $R_B/R_A$ (*)          | $0.205$–$0.209$       |
|                     | $M_B/M_A$              | $0.171$–$0.288$       |
|                     | $a/R_\odot$            | $4.42$–$6.74$         |
|                     | $T_{\text{eff},2}$ [K] | $2827$–$3549$         |
|                     | $\log(g)_1$           | $4.14$–$4.36$         |
|                     | $\log(g)_2$           | $4.95$–$4.98$         |
|                     | $T_0$ [HJD]            | $2455404.4932 \pm 0.0002$ |
|                     | $\gamma$ [km s$^{-1}$] | $-14.79 \pm 0.09$    |

Notes: “A” denotes the brighter and more massive star, “B” the companion, $\gamma$ is the center-of-mass velocity. (*) indicates values which were calculated from other results of PHOEBE.

6 Conclusions

We have performed extensive follow-up observations (photometric monitoring, imaging, and spectroscopy) of the first transiting planet candidate (2M21385603+5711345) from the YETI network, in the young open cluster Trumpler 37. Membership in the cluster seems likely based on its mean radial velocity and location in the color-magnitude diagram, though other evidence (small proper motion and lack of Li $\lambda$6708 absorption) is inconclusive.

Careful analysis of our survey and follow-up observations shows that the candidate is an astrophysical false pos-
We have experienced very high rates of false positives typically in the analysis. A sign, but that is too subtle to have been noticed earlier.

Fig. 8 Keck radial velocity measurements of our target, along with the best-fit circular model. The velocity semi-amplitude $K = 36.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and the horizontal line represents the center-of-mass velocity $\gamma = -14.79 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The standard deviation of the fit is $0.32 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Residuals are shown at the bottom.

We determine the companion to be a late-type (mid-M) star in an eclipsing configuration rather than a true planet. We note that the fully reduced and rebinned YETI light curve in Fig. 3 at phase 0.5 that would normally be an early warning sign, but that is too subtle to have been noticed earlier in the analysis.

While disappointing, this outcome is not surprising given the fact that all other ground-based transit surveys have experienced very high rates of false positives typically in excess of 80% (see, e.g., Brown 2003, Konacki et al. 2003, O’Donovan et al. 2006, Latham et al. 2009). Regardless of this result, the search for planets around very young stars remains of critical importance for our understanding of the formation and evolution of exoplanets, and to learn about the properties of these objects at the very early stages.

With the YETI network in full operation we continue to monitor several young open clusters as described in Sect. I1 and follow-up observations for two additional transit candidates are currently underway.
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