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ABSTRACT

We have developed highly sensitive, low power gas sensors through the novel integration method of porous SnO₂ nanotubes (NTs) on a micro-electro-mechanical-systems (MEMS) platform. As a template material, ZnO nanowires (NWs) were directly synthesized on beam-shaped, suspended microheaters through in-situ localized hydrothermal reaction induced by
local thermal energy around the Joule-heated area. Also, liquid phase deposition (LPD) process enabled the formation of porous SnO$_2$ thin film on the surface of ZnO NWs and simultaneous etching of ZnO core, eventually to generate porous SnO$_2$ NTs. Due to the localized synthesis of SnO$_2$ NTs on the suspended microheater, very low power for the gas sensor operation (< 6 mW) has been realized. Moreover, sensing performance (e.g. sensitivity and response time) of synthesized SnO$_2$ NTs was dramatically enhanced compared to those of ZnO NWs. In addition, the sensing performance was further improved by forming SnO$_2$-ZnO hybrid nanostructures due to the heterojunction effect.

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, there are growing demands for mobile and personalized environmental monitoring. For example, personal mobile gas sensors are used to monitor indoor air quality or natural disasters, as well as for healthcare applications such as breath-based early diagnosis of diseases. Also, mobile gas sensors are used in the industry to increase the yield of products or to ensure the safety of workers. Among various types of gas sensors, semiconductor metal oxide (SMO) gas sensors are suitable for mobile applications due to their small size, low cost and high sensitivity.$^1$ However, they usually need high operation temperatures ranging from 200 °C to 400 °C, requiring high electrical power for heating. In order to reduce the operating power, researchers have tried to combine micro-heating platforms and sensing nanomaterials. Most widely used approaches are based on drop casting or printing (e.g. inkjet printing, screen printing etc.) of nanomaterials that were pre-synthesized through CVD, PVD and sol-gel methods.$^{2-4}$ Although these methods are simple, they require additional steps to form a stable liquid solution of nanomaterials and suffer from limited downscaling of integration area due to poor patterning resolution. For example, Zhou et al. developed ultra-low power microheaters
that require only 2 mW of power at 300 °C for SMO gas sensors. They developed a beam-shaped suspended structure to isolate the small heating spot. However, previously mentioned deposition methods did not allow fine integration of sensing nanomaterials on small selected areas, except for thin film deposited with a shadow mask. Meanwhile, Long et al., and Xu et al. introduced a direct synthesis method of porous SnO₂ films on microheating platforms using an explosive evaporation method and a polystyrene (PS) bead-tempered method, respectively. Although nanoscale sensing materials were well formed on microheaters, there were limitations in applying them on a suspended microstructure or on a very small area (e.g. diameter < 10 μm) due to their fabrication mechanisms.

In our previous study, we reported a localized hydrothermal synthesis method for ZnO nanowires, CuO nanospikes and TiO₂ nanotubes. When an electrical voltage is applied across the pre-fabricated microheaters submerged in the liquid precursor, the temperature rise around localized Joule-heated region induces a hydrothermal reaction of precursor chemicals. Also, as convective heat transfer followed by convective mass transfer of precursor is generated, fresh precursors are continuously supplied to the reaction area where endothermal reaction continues a selective synthesis of nanomaterials. This method has enabled a direct integration of one-dimensional nanomaterials onto desired spot along microheaters. However, microheaters were bound on the substrate (i.e. not suspended from the substrate) and thus required high electrical power for heating due to the significant conductive heat dissipation to the substrate. Furthermore, this method could not be applied to one dimensional SnO₂ nanomaterials, which is known to possess outstanding gas sensing performances and excellent stability, due to the lack of their hydrothermal synthesis methods in liquid environment under atmospheric pressure conditions.

This paper introduces a novel integration method of one dimensional (1-D) SnO₂ nanomaterials on suspended MEMS microheaters to achieve high sensitivity, great stability,
outstanding response speed and low power consumption for the detection of toxic gases. The suspended structure of MEMS microheaters thermally isolate the heating spot from the Si substrate. Through the optimal design of the suspended microheater, heat loss to the substrate has been dramatically reduced, facilitating very low heating power for the gas detection (< 6 mW). Also, we directly synthesized porous SnO₂ NTs on suspended microheaters in a low cost, fast and low-temperature liquid-phase process. First, ZnO NWs were synthesized on MEMS microheaters through a localized hydrothermal reaction. In order to enhance the gas sensing performances (i.e. sensitivity, response speed and stability), we substituted pre-synthesized ZnO NWs with SnO₂ NTs via liquid phase deposition (LPD) process along ZnO NW templates. Due to the acidic condition (i.e. low pH) of the LPD solution, ZnO NWs were etched out simultaneously. In this step, we could control the amount of ZnO remaining in the SnO₂ NTs by controlling the condition of LPD for the modulation of sensing characteristics. This method allows facile integration of high performance 1-D sensing nanomaterials on selective and suspended microscale spots, which is difficult to be achieved by other conventional methods. Furthermore, we demonstrated that this method can be applied to a complex-shaped microheaters such as a suspended structure that were not previously realized, resulting in an application to low power gas sensors.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Design and Fabrication of Microheaters.** In order to minimize the operating power of the gas sensor, the MEMS microheater was designed with optimized geometry. In order to minimize the amount of heat dissipation to the silicon substrate and the size of the heating area, a beam-shaped heater structure was selected. The width and length of the beam can be optimized through the heat transfer analysis and constraint of fabrication capability. The heat dissipation from the microheater is caused by conductive, convective, and radiative heat...
transfer modes. Considering the microscale size of the heating area, the operating temperature range (25-300 °C), and the magnitude of the various heat transfer coefficients, convective and radiative heat losses are negligibly small compared to the conductive heat loss (See the section “Design of Microheaters” in the Supporting Information for more detail). Therefore, only the conductive heat transfer to the substrate through the heater beam and air was considered, while the convective and radiative heat dissipation were neglected. As a consequence, the optimal geometry of beam to minimize the heat loss was 3 μm in width and 110 μm in length (See Figure S1 in the Supporting Information).

Figure 1a shows the structure of MEMS microheater including a structural layer, an insulation layer and metal electrodes. The microheater was fabricated using conventional MEMS processes. (1) First, a SiO₂ layer was deposited with a thickness of 1 μm by plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) process on the silicon wafer. (2) Then, polymethylglutarimide (PMGI) and photoresist (AZ5214, MicroChemicals, Germany) were spin-coated to lift-off the Pt heater, and the shape of the microheater was patterned with UV-photolithography. (3) Subsequently, Ti / Pt (thickness of 10 nm / 200 nm, respectively) was deposited by electron beam evaporation, and the PMGI layer and AZ5214 layer were removed with a developer (AZ300 MIF, AZ Electronic Materials, USA) and acetone. (4) An 800 nm thick SiO₂ layer was deposited by PECVD process for the electrical insulation between the heater and sensing electrodes. (5) Cr / Au (10 nm / 200 nm thickness each) sensing electrodes were patterned by the same method as that in step (3). (6) SiO₂ insulating layer covering the pad of the Pt heater was removed by photolithography and buffered oxide etchant (BOE) etching. (7) Before the Si bulk etching, an etching window was patterned by UV-photolithography (AZ9260 photoresist, AZ Electronic Materials, USA) and a reactive ion etching (RIE) to expose the Si substrate. (8) Samples were annealed in a nitrogen atmosphere at 350 °C for 1 hour to relax residual stresses of the PECVD SiO₂ layer. (9) Finally, Si bulk
etching was carried out using tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) wet etching to obtain suspended microheater beam.

Numerical simulations and resistive temperature detection (RTD) were conducted to verify the heating performance of the fabricated microheater. The temperature distribution of the Joule-heated region in liquid precursor and mass convection of precursor were estimated with Joule heating, heat conduction and convective flow models in COMSOL Multiphysics® to verify the area where the hydrothermal reaction occurs. Also, the relationship between temperature and heating power in atmosphere was measured via resistive temperature detection (RTD) method to estimate the operating power of the gas sensor (Experimental detail is explained in the Supporting Information).

Figure 1 Scheme of localized synthesis of ZnO NW and SnO2 NT on MEMS microheater: (a) Illustration of whole layers of the microheater; (b-c) localized hydrothermal synthesis of ZnO NWs on the Joule heated area; (d-e) LPD for substituting locally synthesized ZnO NWs to
SnO₂ NTs. Deposition of SnO₂ thin film and dissolution of ZnO NWs occur simultaneously.

Etching rate of ZnO is determined by the pH of LPD precursor. A small amount of 1 M NaOH was added to adjust the pH to 4 and 6. Each condition generates SnO₂ NTs and SnO₂-ZnO hybrid nanostructures.

**Synthesis of ZnO Nanowires and SnO₂ Nanotubes.** Overall processes to synthesize ZnO NWs and SnO₂ NTs are illustrated in Figure 1b-e. As seeds to grow ZnO NWs, ZnO nanoparticles were deposited by sputtering (100 W, 3 min) on fabricated MEMS microheaters. ZnO precursor was prepared by dissolving 25 mM of zinc nitrate hydrate, 25 mM of hexamethylenetetramine (HMTA), and 6 mM of polyethylenimine (PEI) in DI water (All chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich). A PDMS well was attached to the sensor chip and filled with a few μL of ZnO precursor solution to submerge the fabricated microheater within the precursor solution. The voltage was applied to the microheater using tungsten probes and a source meter. When the microheater was heated with a power higher than 45 mW (voltage of 1.5 V and current of 30 mA), the precursor solution was boiled and bubbles were formed around the microheater. Therefore, the power was maintained at 45 mW, which is supposed to induce a local temperature of 90-100 °C around the microheater. The synthesis was carried out for 15 minutes (total energy = 40.5 J). To avoid the damage of suspended microheater during drying process, ethanol with low surface tension (22.1 mN/m at 20 °C) was utilized in the final rinsing and drying step.

After washing and drying, synthesized ZnO NWs were substituted to SnO₂ NTs by filling the LPD precursor solution in the PDMS well attached to the sensor chip. LPD precursor was prepared by dissolving 3.75 mM SnF₂, 15 mM of HF, 7.5 mM of H₂O₂, and 37.5 mM of H₃BO₃ in DI water. Initial pH of LPD precursor solution was ~ 2. In order to control the amount of residual ZnO that exist on the inner surface of the SnO₂ NT, pH of the LPD precursor
solution was modulated by adding 1M NaOH solution (controlled pH = 4 and 6). The condition (pH = 4) for relatively high etching rate of ZnO was expected to form SnO$_2$ NTs, while the condition (pH = 6) for slower etching rate of ZnO was expected to form a hybrid nanostructure (i.e. SnO$_2$ NTs + ZnO residue). Processing time was fixed as 15 min for both pH conditions. After completion of the whole processes, samples were rinsed and dried with ethanol as before. The detailed mechanism of LPD is explained in the section “Mechanism of Liquid Phase Deposition of SnO$_2$” in the Supporting Information.

**Measurement of Gas Concentration.** We selected H$_2$S gas as a target gas that is generated in rotten foods, natural gas and oil refinery. High concentration H$_2$S gas causes serious health problems and its 8 hour permissible exposure limit (PEL) is 10 ppm. The H$_2$S gas sensing performance of the fabricated sensors was demonstrated by measuring their sensitivity to H$_2$S gas under various operating powers. (3, 4, 5 and 6 mW). 1 to 20 ppm of H$_2$S gas was supplied into the chamber by balancing the flow rates of air and H$_2$S gas, and the total flow rate was 500 sccm. An electrical power for the microheater was provided using a DC power supply (E3642A, Agilent, USA) and the resistances of three sensing materials (ZnO NW, SnO$_2$ NT, and ZnO-SnO$_2$ hybrid nanostructure) were simultaneously measured by a source meter (SMU 2400, Keithley, USA).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 2a is an optical image of the fabricated sensor chip. The entire chip has a size of 1 × 1 cm. Figure 2b-c show SEM images of 2 × 2 array of microheaters on a single sensor chip and a suspended structure of a microheater. In the center of the sensor chip, a 600 μm-sized trench is located for an easy penetration of aqueous ZnO precursor beneath the microheater.

Figure 2d shows the three dimensional model including whole layers of a microheater and surrounding media (air or precursor solution) for numerical simulation of heat and mass
transfer. As shown in Figure 2e, the maximum temperature reached about 95 °C, and the
temperature gradually decreased toward the outside of the beam. Also, it is expected that
molecules and ions used for the synthesis of ZnO NWs will be continuously supplied to the
microheater by the free convection of the precursor solution by the local heating of the
microheater.

We measured the heating power of microheater in atmospheric air condition using RTD
method. The graph in Figure 2f shows the relationship between the maximum temperature on
the microheater and the heating power. By carrying out several compensation explained in the
Supporting Information (“Temperature – power relationship” section), the following relation
was obtained.

\[ \text{MaxTemperature}(°C) = 40.9 \times \text{Power}(mW) + 15.2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The power required to reach 300 °C from the equation is about 6.9 mW. Also, RTD data is
close to the data derived from the numerical simulation (RMS error = 14.9 °C in 1-6 mW range).

Figure 2 (a) Photo of fabricated sensor chip; (b-c) SEM images of fabricated microheaters: 2
× 2 arrays of the microheater on a single chip (b) and a suspended and beam-shaped microheater
(c); (d) Geometries and materials of the microheater for numerical simulation; (e) Results of the numerical simulation for temperature distribution around the microheater in aqueous ZnO precursor at top view (top) and side view (bottom). Free convection of aqueous precursor was generated due to local heating; (f) Estimated relationship between maximum temperature and heating power of microheater in the room temperature air environment through experimental data (resistive temperature detection) and numerically calculated data.

As shown in Figure 3a-b, ZnO NWs were locally synthesized on the beam-shaped suspended microheater. Similar to the temperature distribution along the microheater (Figure 1e), ZnO NWs were the longest at the center of the beam, and gradually became shorter toward the anchor of the beam. The average (standard deviation) of diameters and lengths of ZnO NWs synthesized near the center of the beam were 67.7 nm (±27 nm) and 1.6 μm (±0.4 μm), respectively (Figure 3c). Here, ZnO NWs form a complex network through numerous junctions between NWs. Figure 3d shows ZnO NWs before LPD and Figure 3e-f show SnO2 NTs after 15 minutes of LPD at pH = 4. Core ZnO NWs were etched out due to acidic condition of the LPD precursor. Therefore, translucent, porous and granular tube-like structures were well formed, and can be observed in the TEM image of a SnO2 NT synthesized at pH = 4 (Figure 3f). Figure 3g shows the x-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of synthesized ZnO NWs and SnO2 NTs. After LPD, the diffraction peaks from ZnO remarkably decreased while those of rutile SnO2 were clearly observed. This result also indicates that the surface of the ZnO NWs was well coated with crystallized SnO2 and the ZnO was effectively removed.
Figure 3 SEM images of (a) a microheater before synthesizing ZnO NWs and (b, c) after synthesizing ZnO NWs by localized hydrothermal reaction; SEM images of locally synthesized (d) ZnO NWs before LPD and (e) SnO$_2$ NTs after LPD (pH = 4) for 15min; (f) A TEM image of SnO$_2$ NTs synthesized by LPD at pH = 4; (g) XRD patterns of ZnO NWs and SnO$_2$ NTs synthesized at pH 4.

Figure 4 shows the SEM-Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis of locally synthesized ZnO NWs and chemically converted SnO$_2$ NTs at different pH conditions on a microheater. Figure panels 4a-d show the presence of Zn and Sn in the ZnO NWs through EDS element mapping. Zn (red dots) is much denser than the Sn (yellow dots), which is represented quantitatively in Table 1 (Atomic ratio: Zn = 25.23% and Sn = 0.08%, Here, the presence of Sn is ignorable due to measurement noise). Figure panels 4e-h show the presence of Zn and Sn in SnO$_2$ NTs synthesized at pH = 4. As compared with ZnO NWs, Zn (red dots) is almost negligible, while Sn (yellow dots) is dramatically increased (Atomic ratio: Zn = 0.1% and Sn = 9.66%, see Table 1. Here, the presence of Zn is ignorable due to measurement noise). This is because the ZnO was quickly etched while SnO$_2$ layer was formed on the surface of ZnO.
NW template (15 min) at pH=4. On the other hand, Figure panels 4i-l show similar presence of both Zn and Sn on the hybrid nanostructure synthesized at pH = 6. As expected, the amount of residual Zn (Atomic ratio: Zn = 7.53% and Sn = 6.24%) was larger in this structure than that of the SnO₂ NTs synthesized at pH = 4. As a conclusion, it is possible to control the amount of ZnO remaining in the NTs by controlling the etching rate of ZnO at different pH of the LPD solution. TEM images of ZnO NWs, SnO₂ NTs and SnO₂-ZnO hybrid nanostructure are provided in Figure S4 in the Supporting Information.

**Figure 4** SEM-EDS analysis of locally synthesized nanostructures on MEMS microheater: (a) scanning area, (b-c) elemental mapping for Zn and Sn, (d) EDS spectra of ZnO NWs. (e-h), (i-l) Results in the case of SnO₂ NTs (LPD at pH=4 and pH=6, respectively) in the same order.

**Table 1** Element composition of ZnO NWs, SnO₂ NTs, and SnO₂-ZnO hybrid nanostructures obtained by EDS analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Pristine ZnO nanowires</th>
<th>After LPD (15min, pH=4)</th>
<th>After LPD (15min, pH=6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The adsorption state of oxygen molecules on the surface of the metal oxide depends on the temperature. The physisorption of $O_2^-$ and $O^-$ species with high adsorption-desorption rates dominates in the low temperature range, while chemisorption of $O_2^-$ with the low adsorption-desorption rate dominates in the high temperature range. In the physisorption region, the amount of adsorbed oxygen molecules is large, resulting in the high sensitivity. However, the adsorption of $OH^-$ dissociated from the water molecule is also active, and thus the sensor signal is greatly influenced by the humidity. On the other hand, in the chemisorption region, the $OH^-$ group is desorbed and the influence of moisture is reduced while the amount of $O^-$ adsorbed on the surface is reduced, resulting in the low sensitivity. Therefore, it is desirable to select the operating temperature in the transition region located between these two adsorption regimes.

In order to select the proper operating power of the microheater based on this theoretical background, the change of the sensing resistance according to the heating power ($\propto$ heating temperature) was measured. Semiconductor materials generally exhibit decreasing electrical resistance at higher temperature by the generation of the electron-hole pairs induced by the thermal excitation. In the case of the metal oxide, as the temperature increases, a fluctuation of electrical resistance occurs in the declining tendency due to the changes of surface coverage of oxygen. Figure panels 6a-b show the resistance change of ZnO NWs and SnO$_2$ NTs by...
varying the heating power of microheater. Measurements were carried out in dry air (< 5 %RH) after the resistance of heated sensing material was sufficiently stabilized. In the case of ZnO NWs, the resistance decreases until 3 mW (~138 °C), slightly rises in 3-3.5 mW (~138-158 °C), and decreases again above 4 mW (~179 °C). It indicates that the physisorption is dominant in the low power range (< 3 mW, 138 °C), while the chemisorption is dominant in the high power range (> 4 mW, 179 °C). Since the operating power of the SMO gas sensor is determined in the transition region between physisorption and chemisorption as described above, the operating power of the ZnO NW can be chosen in the range between 3 and 4 mW. Similarly, Figure 5b shows the resistance change of SnO2 NTs at different heating powers of microheater. The resistance decreases until 4.5 mW (~199 °C), stagnates at 5 - 6 mW (~220-261 °C), decreases again above 6.5 mW (~281 °C). Thus, the operating power of SnO2 NTs can be determined in the range of 4.5 - 6 mW (~199-260 °C). In general, it has been reported that the transition of O<sup>-</sup> / O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to O<sup>2-</sup> on the surface of SnO<sub>2</sub> takes place in the range of 190 - 300 °C <sup>18</sup>, which is similar to the temperature range determined from the experimental results. Therefore, the gas sensing tests for ZnO NW and SnO2 NT sensors were carried out in the range of 3 - 6 mW.
Figure 5 Relationship of sensor resistance and heating power of (a) ZnO NWs and (b) SnO$_2$ NTs. Dashed lines represent physisorption and chemisorption regime; H$_2$S sensing results of fabricated sensor devices: (c-e) Dynamic responses of bare ZnO NWs, SnO$_2$ NTs (synthesized at pH = 4) and SnO$_2$ NTs-ZnO hybrid nanostructures (synthesized at pH = 6), respectively, for
different heating power; (f-h) 80% response time and recovery time for each material and gas concentration (1, 5, 10 and 20 ppm).

Sensitivity was defined as $R_a / R_g$ ($R_a$ = sensor resistance in ambient air, $R_g$ = sensor resistance exposed to H$_2$S gas). Since ZnO NWs and SnO$_2$ NTs are both n-type semiconductors, their resistances decrease when exposed to H$_2$S (reducing gas). Figure 5c shows the dynamic response of the ZnO NW sensor locally synthesized on a microheater. The highest sensitivity was obtained when the ZnO NW was heated at 6 mW ($R_a / R_g = 2.06$ at 1 ppm; $R_a / R_g = 2.98$ at 5 ppm; $R_a / R_g = 3.26$; and $R_a / R_g = 3.60$ at 20 ppm). Figure 5d shows the 80% response and recovery times of ZnO NWs. The response and recovery times were the shortest at 5mW ($t_{rec} = 205$ sec / $t_{res} = 93$ sec at 1 ppm; $t_{rec} = 154$ sec / $t_{res} = 64$ sec at 5 ppm; $t_{rec} = 134$ sec / $t_{res} = 48$ sec at 10 ppm; and $t_{rec} = 129$ sec / $t_{res} = 259$ sec at 20 ppm). From these results, ZnO NW sensor shows slightly long response and recovery times. Figure 5e shows the dynamic response of a SnO$_2$ NT sensor synthesized at pH=4 on a microheater. SnO$_2$ NTs shows the highest sensitivity at 5 mW of heating power ($R_a / R_g = 6.16$ at 1 ppm; $R_a / R_g = 12.12$ at 5 ppm; $R_a / R_g = 16.1$ at 10 ppm; and $R_a / R_g = 21.07$ at 20 ppm). The sensitivity of SnO$_2$ NTs was 1.3 times (5 ppm, 3 mW) to 7.4 times (20 ppm, 5 mW) higher than that of ZnO NWs with the same power at all gas concentration ranges. Figure 5f summarizes the 80% response and recovery times of SnO$_2$ NTs. In all power ranges except 3 mW, SnO$_2$ NTs showed very short response time (< 30 seconds). The recovery time was in the range of 79 - 465 seconds, which showed faster recovery than ZnO NWs only except for low concentration (1 and 5 ppm). Figure 5g shows the dynamic response of a SnO$_2$-ZnO hybrid nanostructure synthesized at pH = 6 on the microheater. The highest sensitivity was obtained at 6 mW ($R_a / R_g = 6.07$ at 1 ppm; $R_a / R_g = 15.0$ at 5 ppm; $R_a / R_g = 27.69$ at 10 ppm; and $R_a / R_g = 35.31$ at 20 ppm). The sensitivity of SnO$_2$-ZnO hybrid nanomaterials was higher than that of the SnO$_2$ NTs in all concentration ranges.
ranges. However, as shown in Figure 5h, the 80% response time (36 - 55 sec) of SnO2-ZnO hybrid nanostructure heated at a power over 4 mW was longer than that of SnO2 NTs. The reason for the improved sensing performance of SnO2 NTs and SnO2-ZnO hybrid nanostructures can be explained by the following two principles. The first is the effect of increased surface area. The NTs formed via the LPD process is expected to be air-permeable due to the porous and granular shell layer. Therefore, gas molecules can be in contact with the inner surface of the NTs, and thus the surface area-volume ratio can be remarkably increased compared to that of the NWs. Second is the effect of heterojunction. Numerous n-n junctions of SnO2 and ZnO are formed due to the remaining ZnO on the inner surface of the SnO2 NTs. The difference of work function between these two materials ($\Phi_{\text{SnO2}} = 4.9$ eV and $\Phi_{\text{ZnO}} = 5.2$ eV) forms an additional carrier depletion layer. Therefore, it may cause a larger resistance change by reducing gas such as H2S. Such heterojunction effect improving the gas sensing performance has been experimentally demonstrated by various previous studies.20-28 It is expected that the optimum pH condition of the LPD solution to maximize the sensing performances (i.e. the sensitivity and the response time) can be found through further investigation.

Repeatability and sample-to-sample variation were verified to confirm the reliability of fabricated sensor device. Repeatability tests were carried out by using two sensors with SnO2 NTs and SnO2-ZnO hybrid nanostructures, respectively, with the same heating power (5 mW). The concentration of H2S gas was increased from 1 ppm to 20 ppm. In order to investigate the existence of irreversible degradation of sensitivity after exposure to highly concentrated gas, the concentration of gas was decreased in a reverse sequence (20 ppm to 1 ppm). Figure 6a shows results of the repeatability tests of SnO2 NT sensor. The errors (= standard deviation / mean X 100 (%)) were 8.9 % at 1 ppm, 4.2 % at 5 ppm, 1 % at 10 ppm and 0.02 % at 20 ppm. Figure 6b shows results of the repeatability test of the SnO2-ZnO hybrid nanostructure based
sensor. Likewise, errors were 7.4 % at 1 ppm, 7.5 % at 5 ppm, 2.6 % at 10 ppm and 0.09 % at 20 ppm. Therefore, it is proved that both materials have excellent stability when repeatedly exposed to the gas, and the sensor signal stably appears without irreversible change even after exposure to high concentrations. In addition, an excellent mechanical reliability of the microheater was also confirmed by long-term operation for one week as shown in Figure S5 in the Supporting Information. Here, the electrical resistance of microheater maintained almost constant with a standard deviation of 0.196 % at a heating power of 5 mW.

Next, we examined the sensitivity variation between the sensors of the same type. Four different sensors from the same LPD batch were tested twice for each at 5 mW of operating power, so that totally 8 tested results were used. The error rate was defined as \( \text{standard deviation} / \text{mean} \times 100 \text{ (%)} \). Figure 6c-d shows variation of the sensitivity of SnO\(_2\) NTs and SnO\(_2\)-ZnO hybrid nanostructures. In both cases, errors were within 27 % and 21 %, respectively. These errors may have come from the accumulation of the processing deviation from the fabrication of the microheater to the final LPD process, and the random network formed by a number of one-dimensional nanostructures. It has been reported that the sensitivity-concentration relationship of SMO gas sensors can be fitted to a power function (\( S = 1 + aP_{\text{gas}}^b \), \( S = \text{Sensitivity (} R_a / R_b \text{),} P_{\text{gas}} = \text{partial pressure of gas,} a, b = \text{coefficient} \)). The sensitivity–concentration relationship for the SnO\(_2\) NT and SnO\(_2\)-ZnO hybrid nanostructures was calculated as \( S = 1 + 2602P_{\text{gas}}^{0.491} \) and \( S = 1 + 72930P_{\text{gas}}^{0.729} \), respectively. Previous studies have shown that the coefficient \( b \) for SnO\(_2\) is close to 0.5 for the reducing gas, and the result from this study (\( b = 0.491 \)) is also well matched. With this obtained sensitivity-concentration relationship, when the sensor is exposed to the arbitrary H\(_2\)S gas, the concentration of gas can be estimated by inverse calculation from the sensor signal. It is well known that SMO gas sensors are not highly selective for a particular target gas. Here, the gas selectivity of our sensor was tested with 1 ppm of nitrogen dioxide (NO\(_2\)), hydrogen sulfide (H\(_2\)S), carbon monoxide
(CO), toluene (C₆H₅-CH₃) and ammonia (NH₃) gases. As shown in Figure S6 in the Supporting Information, hydrogen sulfide showed the greatest sensitivity (Rₐ/Rₙ = 3.4) among the reducing gases (i.e. hydrogen sulphide (H₂S), carbon monoxide (CO), toluene (C₆H₅-CH₃) and ammonia (NH₃)). Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), which is an oxidizing gas, showed reverse sensitivity (Rₐ/Rₙ < 1) indicating the resistance increase. It will be possible to further increase the selectivity by coating the surface of sensing materials with catalysts (e.g. Pd or Pt), which can selectively react with specific gases.¹¹, ²⁹-³²
Figure 6 Repeatability test for H$_2$S sensing of (a) SnO$_2$ NTs and (b) SnO$_2$ NTs-ZnO hybrid nanostructures; Sample-to-sample variation and calibration graph (dashed line) of (c) SnO$_2$ NTs and (d) SnO$_2$-ZnO hybrid nanostructures with respect to the H$_2$S concentrations.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have suggested a facile fabrication method to effectively combine MEMS heating platforms and 1-D nanomaterials for high performance SMO gas sensors. This method is based on sequential liquid-phase process consisting of localized hydrothermal synthesis and LPD. By using this sequential process, ZnO NWs could be locally synthesized in microscale area on freestanding MEMS device and then substituted to porous SnO$_2$ NTs through LPD. By controlling the LPD condition (i.e. pH), the amount of ZnO remaining in the SnO$_2$ tube could be modulated. As a result, we could synthesize SnO$_2$-ZnO hybrid nanostructures as well as SnO$_2$ NTs. Synthesized SnO$_2$ NTs showed excellent sensitivity, response speed, and stability to H$_2$S gas. Especially, SnO$_2$-ZnO hybrid nanostructures showed further enhancement of sensitivity due to a heterojunction effect. By overcoming the limitations of downscaling of conventional nanomaterial integration methods, one of the lowest power SMO gas sensor with excellent performance could be achieved. The proposed method, based on a low-temperature liquid phase process requiring only a small amount of precursor solution, is environmentally friendly and low-cost compared to the conventional vapor synthesis method. In addition, it will be very useful for the fabrication of various oxide-based electronic devices. In the future, a low-power electronic nose system will be realized by integrating one-dimensional nanomaterial array (e.g. SnO$_2$, CuO, ZnO, and TiO$_2$) into a single chip through sequential liquid-phase process for multiplexed detection of various gas species.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (sec)</th>
<th>Sensitivity ($R_a/R_g$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1ppm</td>
<td>4.29 4.28 3.90 3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5ppm</td>
<td>10.80 10.70 9.48 9.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10ppm</td>
<td>15.98 15.79 15.50 14.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20ppm</td>
<td>25.05 25.00 15.50 14.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50ppm</td>
<td>1ppm 5ppm 10ppm 20ppm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>