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1. Introduction

Ever since their discovery nearly 50 years ago, geomagnetic superchrons have been a puzzle for the geophysical community. Although there have only been a few during Phanerozoic time, Driscoll and Evans (2016) recently proposed multiple superchrons during the Proterozoic. Biggin et al. (2012) suggested that superchrons are the result of low heat flow at the Core Mantle Boundary (CMB), which causes low dynamo activity, and that they are generally separated by 180-190 Myr. According to Courtillot and Olson (2007), one potential process for ending a superchron is via the generation of a superplume at the bottom of the mantle, followed by the eruption of a large igneous province (LIP) 10-20 Myr later. However, this theory is unable to explain the Middle Cretaceous LIP activity and recent modelling favors a longer plume rise-time of 20-50 Myr (Biggin et al., 2012).

The two well-studied superchrons are the Cretaceous Normal Polarity Superchron (CNPS) (Helsley and Steiner, 1969) and the Kiaman Reversed Polarity Superchron (KRPS) (Irving and Parry, 1963; Kirschvink et al., 2015; McMahon and Strangway, 1968), both of which were followed a few million years later by LIPs. A third Phanerozoic superchron, during the Ordovician, was proposed as a consequence of the polarity bias study conducted in Algeo (1996). Gallet and Pavlov (1996) sampled the Moyero River section in northwestern Siberia and found further magnetostratigraphic evidence for a superchron, with a possible link to the end-Ordovician mass extinction event. They demonstrated a long period of reversed polarity from the Lower Ordovician through to the Middle Ordovician, covering the entire 15 Myr Arenig Siberian stage. Pavlov and Gallet (1998) confirmed the absence of reversals during the Llanvirn. The length has subsequently been revised upward to ~20 Myr (Pavlov and Gallet, 2005; Pavlov et al., 2012). Of the Phanerozoic superchrons, the Moyero Reversed Polarity Superchron (MRPS) is the least-well understood and demands further study.
The type locality of the MRPS is the Moyero River region in Siberia, where epicontinental sedimentary rocks of the early Paleozoic occur. With regards to the Ordovician stratigraphy in that area, sedimentary gaps cannot be excluded and the Ordovician/Silurian boundary is in hiatus as well (Gallet and Pavlov, 1996). The precise duration of this superchron has not yet been calibrated by conodont biostratigraphy that, with graptolites, form the bases of intercontinental correlation for Ordovician time.

Paleomagnetics studies of Fennoscandian Ordovician limestone have been of interest for nearly 40 years. Claesson (1978) first found a stable bulk magnetization (pole position: Lat = 30°N, Long = 46°E, α95 = 2.2°) in Swedish limestone, with evidence of secondary components complications. Khramov and Iosifidi (2009) found a similar pole position (Lat = 18°N, Long = 55°E, dp/dm = 5°/7°), in exposed lower Ordovician limestones along the Narva River, which flows into the Baltic Sea.

Several studies in the last decade in Estonia in the Lower and Middle Ordovician have consistently found reverse polarity components and poles (e.g. Preeden et al. (2008), Plado et al. (2010), and Plado et al. (2016b)). Plado et al. (2010) studied Lower to Middle Ordovician strata in Estonia and found a reverse polarity primary component (pole position: Lat = 11.4°N, Long = 39.1°E, α95 = 6.7°), which confirmed the Baltic plate’s southern hemisphere location during the proposed time of the MRPS. These studies only show evidence of normal polarity in a high-temperature secondary component, of apparent younger age. Further study in this area is necessary to determine if a normal polarity synsedimentary magnetozone was recorded in the local stratigraphy. Our goal is to find this magnetozone and determine if the normal period that ended the MRPS exists in Estonian stratigraphy, which contains numerous conodont and graptolite zones for global correlation (Fig. 1) We further aim to determine if the normal period is short, as reported in Pavlov and Gallet (1998), or of comparable length, as reported in Algeo (1996). Previous studies suggest that a normal polarity magnetozone
should be present near the border between the *Pygodus anserinus* and *Pygodus serra* Zones and a reverse magnetozone in all the others.

**Figure 1.** Geologic timescale with stages local to northern Estonia (after Meidla et al., 2014), showing the location of exposed sediment and the MRPS against the graptolite biostratigraphy in Siberia and Estonia. Ages given by Gradstein and Ogg (2012).

The Ordovician strata exposed around the Gulf of Finland are minimally tectonized, which makes the area easier to sample and simpler to study the full stratigraphy of Middle
Orдовика время и лучше разрешить известные временные границы суперхрон (Plado et al., 2010; Smethurst et al., 1998). В терминах палеомагнетизма, однако, место неидеальное. ПредVIOUS исследования этих пород показали, что они обычно имели очень низкие магнитные моменты и содержали несколько магнитных минералов (магнезит, магнетит и гематит), что сделало анализ демагнитизации более сложным (Mertanen, 2006; Preeden et al., 2009). Однако, новые технологические разработки позволили измерить чувствительность приближенно к шуму СКУД магнитометра системы (Kirschvink et al., 2015). Когда в сочетании с правильным обращением с образцами, чтобы уменьшить магнитный шум при бурении и обработке в лаборатории, мы можем измерить палеомагнетизм от образцов слишком слабых для точного предыдущих измерений, и приобрести обширную информацию о истории магнитного поля на протяжении всей истории Земли. Конец МРПС согласно Gallet и Pavlov (1996), это краткий нормальный период, который потребовал выборки интервалов 2-5 см для его обнаружения.

2. Geological setting

2.1 Tectono-sedimentary background

Эстония расположена в центральной части палеоконтинента Балтика, который включает большую часть Северной Европы. Лежащие вулкано-осадочные и карбонатные осадочные породы, относящиеся к кембрийско-девонскому временному интервалу, покрывают архейско-протерозойский кристаллический подстилающий слой балтийского платформы. Эти карбонатные и тонкослойные осадочные породы накапливались в северной части Палео-Балтийского бассейна, который от Норвегии до Уральских гор в Восточной и от финского низменности до Транс-Европейской (Торнквест) Сутурной Зоны в Южной частях. В последних исследованиях на детритные цирконовые зерна из местных песчаников уточнили, что основной район поступления вулкано-осадочных осадочных пород находится в Восточной и Южной частях (Isozaki et al., 2014; Poldvere et al., 2014). К концу ордовика, палеоконтинент Балтика объединялся с Авалоном и сливался с Канадой в середине силурий. Кollision
of Baltica and Laurentia led to the Caledonian orogeny in the western periphery of Paleo-Baltic basin, but only had a negligible tectonic influence on the Estonian area.

Both the Cambrian and Devonian siliciclastic rocks as well as Ordovician–Silurian carbonate rocks are unmetamorphosed and undeformed, as they have never been deeply buried or tectonized. The Ordovician succession of mostly carbonate rocks outcrops only in northern Estonia with particular exposures along highly-weathered sheer seacoast cliffs (known as the Baltic Klint) as well as in several inland quarries, containing significantly less weathered rocks. The bedrock dips only 8’–15’ (0.13°–0.25°) to the South throughout Estonia, excluding small-scale local deformations (Preeden et al., 2008). The horizontal bedding precludes the use of the usual paleomagnetic tilt test to deduce the timing of magnetic overprints (Enkin, 2003).

2.2 Middle Ordovician stages studied

Estonia’s Ordovician sequence is mostly complete and has a thickness ranging from 70 to 180 m (Meidla et al., 2014). The Middle Ordovician is composed of several sedimentary units described as local stages: Volkov, Kunda, Aseri, Lasnamägi, and Uhaku in ascending order (Bauert et al., 2014; Plado et al., 2010; Smethurst et al., 1998). In northwestern Estonia, the Kunda stage records a meteoritic shower event on the Island of Osmussaar (Alwmark et al., 2010).

The base of the MRPS is rather loosely constrained to start during Tremodocian time (Lower Ordovician) (Fig. 5 in Pavlov and Gallet, 2005). The superchron continued to the late Darriwilian, terminating near the Hustedograptus teretiusculus graptolite Zone, during the Middle Llandeilo (Pavlov and Gallet, 1998). According to Hounslow (2016), the end of the superchron appeared to have been followed by an initial fast restart of reversal rates. A stratigraphic framework coupled with magnetic susceptibilities was put together by Plado et
al. (2016a), through surveys of the Pakri Peninsula (Middle Ordovician: upper Dapingian-upper Darriwilian). Limestone is the predominant lithology from the localities investigated, but it varies in composition depending on the stage. According to Meidla et al. (2014), the Ordovician limestones formed from cold-water carbonates, which were deposited in a shallow marine basin. Initially, the basins were rather sediment-starved, but the sedimentation rates increase upwards through the Ordovician succession.

The Volkhov stage is the oldest studied unit and straddles the Dapingian-Darriwilian boundary (Plado et al., 2010). This stage consists of glauconitic limestone, which has undergone partial to full dolomitization (Hints et al., 2012). The Kunda stage in northwestern Estonia consists of sandy limestone with kerogene and dolostone inclusions (Hints, 2014; Hints et al., 2012). The Aseri stage in northern Estonia consists primarily of biomicritic limestone, containing fine grain particles with abundant ferriferous ooids (Bauert et al., 2014; Hints, 2014). The overlying Lasnamägi Stage is characterized by slightly argillaceous biomicritic limestones which are occasionally dolomitized. Uhaku is the youngest stage studied, and its lower part in the study area is composed of very fine-grained, clay-poor limestone while moderately to highly argillaceous limestone prevails in the upper part (Bauert et al., 2014; Plado et al., 2010).

2.3 Biostratigraphy

Conodonts are the best biostratigraphic markers for the Middle Ordovician of the Baltic Platform (Fig. 1), though the limestone in the area of the Gulf of Finland is rich in trilobites, cephalopods, and other fossils as well (Smethurst et al., 1998). According to Hints et al. (2012), the conodonts are well-preserved, giving a temporal resolution of 0.1 Myr, and the low Conodont Alteration Index (CAI ~1) (Epstein et al., 1977) implies burial temperatures were significantly less than 100°C. The low CAI suggests that Lower Paleozoic
rocks in Estonia were never deeply buried during Phanerozoic time. Limestone sedimentation rates, calibrated via the conodont and chitinozoan biostratigraphy, increase upwards and imply an increasing rate of carbonate production (Meidla et al., 2014). Our sampling runs from the *Baltoniodus triangularis* Zone up into the *Pygodus anserinus* Zone.

3. Sites and samples

We studied 4 sites: the Väo limestone quarry in the eastern suburb of Tallinn, the Kunda-Aru limestone quarry near the town of Kunda in northern Estonia, cliffs near the Saka settlement in NE Estonia, and a similar succession of Ordovician rocks at the Uuga cliff on the Pakri Cape in NW Estonia (Fig. 2).

From these sites, 437 cylindrical cores (2.5 cm diameter) were drilled using portable gasoline-powered, non-magnetic, diamond-tipped drills, as detailed in Table 1. Samples were oriented using standard magnetic and solar compass techniques. Most days on the Baltic Sea were cloudy, so the majority of samples lacked solar compass measurements.

### Table 1.
Sample collection by site and local stage. The superchron starts below the Volkhov stage and terminates in the Uhaku stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Volkhov Samples (coverage in cm)</th>
<th>Kunda Samples (coverage in cm)</th>
<th>Aseri Samples (coverage in cm)</th>
<th>Lasnamägi Samples (coverage in cm)</th>
<th>Uhaku Samples (coverage in cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Väo quarry</td>
<td>15 (70)</td>
<td>11 (47)</td>
<td>102 (371)</td>
<td>89 (1085)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uuga cliff</td>
<td>27 (133)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>43 (250)</td>
<td>31 (300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunda-Aru quarry</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>15 (83)</td>
<td>16 (101)</td>
<td>28 (250)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saka cliff</td>
<td>37 (236)</td>
<td>15 (116)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. A generalized geological map of Estonia, showing the Väo and Kunda-Aru quarries, and the Saka and Uuga sites and their stratigraphy adapted from Meidla (2014). The superchron begins below our sampling. We most extensively covered the Lasnamägi and the Uhaku, where the end of the superchron is expected.
4. Materials and methods

For this study, we followed the procedures outlined in Kirschvink et al. (2015) and Kirschvink et al. (2008) with special attention to minimizing magnetic noise caused by stray ferromagnetic materials introduced during laboratory handling. The low volume-normalized magnetic moments reported by Plado et al. (2010) and Preeden (2009) and the small number of samples expected to display a characteristic component gained during brief normal period (Pavlov and Gallet, 1998) mandated additional care.

4.1 Sample preparation

Each core was cut into 1-cm height, 2.54 cm diameter cylindrical specimens using a three-bladed, non-magnetic diamond-impregnated rock cutting saw. Each specimen was labeled using non-magnetic, thermal-resistant ink for use in the demagnetization experiments. We washed the first useable specimen from each core in a 12 N HCl solution by submerging them for 1 second using plastic tongs and immediately rinsed them with deionized water. The acid reacted with the surface carbonates, removing metallic contaminants from the drilling and sample preparation procedures, without causing any noticeable change in the dull gray color. The specimens were then taken inside magnetically shielded (< 200 nT) rooms and kept inside for the remainder of the study. We blew all surfaces clear of dust and debris after every 3-4 demagnetization steps to mitigate any ferromagnetic aerosol contamination. We used disposable, dust-free nitrile gloves to handle the specimens during the demagnetization and measurement process.

4.2 Paleomagnetism

All remanence measurements were conducted on two superconducting rock magnetometers housed in magnetically shielded rooms at Caltech. Both magnetometers have
background instrument sensitivity of approximately $4 \times 10^{-13}$ Am$^2$ when run in a “sham mode” without the sample holder. We used 19 mm diameter (1 mm thickness) quartz tubes that were soaked in a 12N HCl acid bath for 3 days before use. During use, we subjected the empty holders to maximum strength alternating-field (AF) demagnetization after every 9$^{th}$ specimen. The magnetic moment increased to an average of approximately $2.2 \times 10^{-12}$ Am$^2$ with the holder installed, with a minimum approaching the machine’s resolution.

We employed a hybrid demagnetization strategy which has proven effective in the separation of magnetic components on drab-colored, weakly magnetized specimens in previous studies (e.g. Ward et al. (1997)). We measured the natural remanent magnetization (NRM) for each specimen. We then cooled the specimens in liquid nitrogen baths for at least 30 minutes to remove viscous components potentially carried by multi-domain (MD) magnetite grains by cycling them through the Verway transition (~120 K). We then subjected the specimens to alternating-field (AF) demagnetization at field strengths of 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5 mT to remove any soft components caused by the transportation or preparation of the specimens. We thermally demagnetized the specimens in an inert (N$_2$) atmosphere, magnetically-shielded oven (< 25 nT net field) in incremental temperature steps (5–30°C) from 80°C up to a maximum of 575°C. We continued the temperature steps on each specimen until its orthogonal projection diagram displayed unstable behavior in the data. We ran 408 specimens until their data became erratic, which occurred at temperatures ranging from 200°C to 575°C. We then determined principle magnetic components using the methods outlined in Kirschvink (1980) and allowed for Maximum Angular Deviations (MADs) of 15°. Similarly to Kirschvink et al. (2015), many specimens displayed a presumed characteristic component in the 300-500°C range, but their data became too unstable before reaching the origin or forming a stable endpoint cluster. We used least-squares great circle
fits anchored at the origin to extract the missing data, following the method of McFadden and McElhinny (1988).

4.3 Rock magnetism

After the initial paleomagnetic analysis, we selected 23 (10% of those showing at least one stable component) specimens as representative specimens for the rock magnetism study. The specimens were selected taking into account the sampling site, whether the specimen lost magnetic stability at a lower (≤300°C) or at a higher (≥300°C) temperature, and their local stage. Due to the low magnetic moment of the specimens, rather than using the front chip, the weathered surface, for the rockmagnetics survey, the .2 specimen in the core was used for the experiments to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. We subjected them to the same rockmagnetic experiments outlined in Kirschvink et al. (2008), including progressive AF demagnetization up to a peak field of 80 mT, followed by the anhysteric remanent magnetization (ARM) Lowrie-Fuller test for single-domain behavior (Johnson et al., 1975). The ARM was acquired progressively using peak alternating fields of 100 mT, with the DC bias ranging from 0-1 mT. The maximum ARM was then demagnetized using progressive AF. An IRM pulse in a peak field of 100 mT was then applied to the specimens, which was then followed by progressive AF demagnetization (Johnson et al., 1975). Progressive IRM acquisition experiments were then applied up to 350 mT, which were followed by progressive AF demagnetization.

5. Results

5.1 Rock magnetics
Figure 3. Characteristic Lowrie-Fuller curves representative of all studied sections. All specimens have an ARM curve above the IRMz curve, which is characteristic of predominately single-domain grain mineralogy. The higher stratigraphic sections (A) are the Lasnamagi and Uhaku stages. The lower stratigraphic sections (B) are the Volkho, Kunda, and Aseri stages.
**Figure 4.** ARM curves selected as representative for stratigraphy. The upper reference curve is for the highly non-interacting magnetotactic bacteria and the lower reference line is for the highly interacting chiton tooth references samples after Kobayashi et al. (2006). The data indicate increasing interactivity with decreasing age, except for the Aseri samples, which show bimodal behavior, unrelated to sampling site.

![Figure 4](image1.png)

**Figure 5.** Fuller test curves representative of the local sections. The NRM curves are over 2 orders of magnitude below the IRM and almost 2 orders of magnitude below the ARM curves. These data are characteristic of a deposition remanent magnetization. The higher stratigraphic sections (A) are the Lasnamagi and Uhaku stages. The lower stratigraphic sections (B) are the Volkhov, Kunda, and Aseri stages.

![Figure 5](image2.png)
Figure 6. IRM acquisition curves representative of the local sections. The curves have destructive field values ranging from 30-110 mT. Non-flattening curves are characteristic of the presence of a high coercivity mineral, like hematite. The higher stratigraphic sections (A) are the Lasnamägi and Uhaku stages. The lower stratigraphic sections (B) are the Volkhov, Kunda, and Aseri stages.
Figure 7. Curves showing dIRM/dB spectra (the derivative of Figure 6) selected to represent the various specimens. These curves show more variation than other data found in the rockmagnetic study but are well-correlated with stratigraphic section. Most samples show a peak generally characteristic of magnetite and a second characteristic of a high susceptibility mineral, like hematite. The latter peak is absent in the Uhaku samples. The higher stratigraphic sections (A) are the Lasnamagi and Uhaku stages. The lower stratigraphic sections (B) are the Volkhov, Kunda, and Aseri stages.

The ARM version of the Lowrie-Fuller test (Johnson et al., 1975) (Fig. 3) indicates primarily single domain (SD) magnetic particles. For every specimen, the progressive AF demagnetization of the ARM curve remains above that of the IRM, which indicates predominately SD behavior. The spacing of the curves indicates the effects of interparticle interaction, but the apparent variation has no clear pattern.

The interparticle interaction characteristics were determined by ARM acquisition in
the coercivity band < 100 mT and show a mixture of interacting and non-interacting particles (Fig. 4). All the data plot between the chiton tooth (highly interacting) and magnetotactic bacteria (highly non-interacting) reference curves (Kobayashi et al., 2006). The curves generally approach the chiton tooth reference going up in the stratigraphic section, which indicates a decreasing proportion of interacting particles.

Fuller et al. (1988)’s test of NRM origin (Fig. 5) compares the intensity of the NRM remaining during AF demagnetization with that of the IRM. The NRM values are more than 2 orders of magnitude less than the corresponding IRM levels and almost two orders of magnitude lower than the ARM levels. These results support a depositional or post-depositional remanent magnetization (DRM or pDRM) NRM signal, instead of a chemical or thermal remanent magnetization (assuming a paleofield within an order of magnitude of the current field).

Cisowski (1981)’s IRM/ARM coercivity spectral analysis (Fig. 6) shows the most variability of the rockmagnetic data. Most samples have medium destructive field values between 30 and 60 mT, but 7 have field values between 60 and 110 mT. However, half of the samples have not reached saturation at peak pulse fields of up to 300 mT, which indicates the presence of a high-coercivity antiferromagnetic phase, potentially hematite or goethite. Samples from the Lasnamägi and Uhaku stages show more clear saturation. Figure 7 shows the derivate of the IRM acquisition curves in Figure 6. They show either one or two peaks for the samples: one around 180 mT and a second above 250 mT. The former is characteristic of magnetite, but the broad range of peak values indicates the potential for an additional moderate coercivity mineral, potentially maghemite or pyrrhotite. The latter is characteristic of hematite (Peters and Dekkers, 2003). The Lasnamägi specimens have small peaks above 250 mT, but the Uhaku specimens have no high coercivity peak, indicating low quantities of antiferromagnetic materials in these specimens.
The rockmagnetic data indicate moderately-interacting single domain grains with a DRM or pDRM dominate the specimens’ mineralogy. The primary magnetic mineral indicated is magnetite, presumably of a biogenic origin, but many specimens show minimal saturation at high coercivities in Figure 6, consistent with the additional presence of hematite.

5.2 Paleomagnetics

The average NRM of the specimens was found to be very low (Table 2). After cycling in liquid nitrogen, 3-11% of the NRM intensity was lost, implying the presence of small amounts of MD magnetite undergoing a Verway transition. The quantity of MD grains in the specimens appears to be quite low, and no clear direction was obtained from the liquid nitrogen steps.

Three components were identified from orthogonal projections (examples in Fig. 8): a low-temperature component, a medium-temperature component, and a high-temperature component inferred from great circle fits. The low-temperature and medium-temperature components existed in 2 forms: convergent and non-convergent. The convergent low-temperature component has the designation $P_W$. Orthogonal plots displaying $P_W$ displayed no other component. The non-convergent low-temperature component has the designation $O_L$. Only specimens that also had a medium-temperature component displayed $O_L$. The medium-temperature components (both forms) have the designation $P_R$ or $P_N$, depending on polarity. The non-convergent medium-temperature components implied the potential for another, high-temperature component. Great circle fits were then used to infer the direction of the high-temperature component, $O_H$.

The weak NRM values meant that the specimens frequently had maximum unblocking temperatures significantly below that of the main carrier, magnetite ($585^\circ C$). The survivorship curves in Figure 9 show that $O_L$ generally unblocked at temperatures below
200°C and completely by 300°C. The P components had a much broader range of unblocking temperatures: 200-570°C, with 146 specimens completely unblocked by 300°C. These low-temperature specimens generally had NRM values of \(2 - 4 \times 10^{-8} \text{ Am}^2/\text{kg}\) and lacked a stable, convergent direction as a set. The largest drop was in the 300-400°C range, with 39% of specimens unblocking completely. Few samples remained measurable above 500°C. Table 3 shows that specimens from the quarries generally had higher maximum unblocking temperatures, which is consistent with these samples having less weathering and stronger SD magnetization (Table 2: After LN2). No unblocking spectrum exists for \(O_H\) because no specimen had sufficient NRM remaining to characterize the component directly. Based on unblocking temperatures and convergence, 5 components were inferred from the data. Their directions are given in Table 4 and shown in Figure 10.

The components \(O_L, P_R,\) and \(P_W\) all fall along a great circle with the modern field direction (within their \(\alpha_{95}\) ellipses). Their alignment implies that the components’ direction vectors are related linearly. \(O_H\) and \(P_N\) appear to be distinct from the others.

The component with the lowest unblocking temperature (up to 260°C) was \(P_W\). It only appeared in specimens from the Pakri and Saka cliffs, which also have the most weathering. Its unblocking temperature and direction are similar to the non-convergent component, \(O_L\) (maximum unblocking temperature of 300°C), which is found in all 5 stages and 4 sites. The direction of these vectors is shown in Figure 11. The number of specimens displaying \(P_W\) is too small to use McFadden and McElhinny (1990)’s mean direction test, but the angle between the \(O_L\) and \(P_W\) vectors is 2.8°, which is less than half of the \(\alpha_{95}\) for \(P_W\). The difference does not appear to be sufficient to consider the difference in these directions statistically significant. The flat-lying strata preclude the use of a tilt test to determine the components’ ages. Samples with maximum unblocking temperatures below 260°C were excluded from further analysis to avoid any modern field contamination in the data.
The next components resolved are the medium unblocking temperature components, $P_R$ and $P_N$, for 205 samples. These components were determined using line fits on specimens with unblocking temperatures above 240°C with both convergent and non-convergent endpoints. The components generally became unstable when less than 80% of the specimen’s NRM remained. All specimens from the Kunda quarry had convergent data, but many specimens from other sites did not. All samples displaying $P_N$ had convergent data. Figure 12 shows the $P_R$ above ($P_{R,L\rightarrow U}$) and below ($P_{R,UU}$) the short normal magnetozone and $P_N$ direction data. Table 3 also includes the $P_R$ direction extracted for the Kunda and Aseri stages, $P_{R,K+A}$, and for the Volkhov stage, the $P_V$. $P_V$ is the oldest and has a statistically distinct pole (with a $\chi^2$ p-value of 0.039 compared to $P_{R,K+A}$, the second oldest stage-level direction). No other stage-level component is statistically distinct from the others.

The null hypothesis that the $P_R$ directions do not vary by site cannot be rejected at the 95% confidence level according to McFadden and McElhinny (1990)’s mean direction test. The differences between the $P_R$ directions by stage are relevant at the 95% confidence level, but the apparent movement of the pole has no clear direction.

The angle between the $P_R$ and the $P_N$ vectors is 162°, 18° off of the expected anti-pole. The large $\alpha_{0.95}$ value for $P_N$ ($\alpha_{0.95} = 25.5°$) means that the anti-pole of $P_R$ falls within the 95% confidence interval and is thus insufficient to reject the null hypothesis that they are anti-polar. The short normal magnetozone occurs 66-110 cm above the Lasnamägi/Uhaku boundary from the Uuga cliffs and 30-39 cm above the Lasnamägi/Uhaku boundary from the Väo quarry. Their direction data are plotted in Figure 13 as a function of stratigraphic position. We observe three magnetic polarity intervals: 2 reversed intervals, interrupted by a short normal polarity interval. The magnetostratigraphy plots indicate that the observed scatter does not appear to be the result of the pole’s location changing during the superchron.
The final component inferred is the high-temperature component: $O_H$, which is shown in Figure 14. No unblocking temperature was determined for this component because the samples lacked sufficient NRM to characterize the component directly. This component was extracted using great circle fits on samples that had a non-convergent medium-temperature component. Running the category B reversals test (McFadden and McElhinny, 1990) of the $O_H$ direction against the $P$ direction gives a $\chi^2$ p-value of $5.9 \times 10^{-7}$, which means the null hypothesis that the directions are antiparallel can be rejected. The direction of $O_H$ is distinct from that of $P_R$ and its anti-pole, which means that $O_H$ is not a false positive from the great circle fits. The direction of $O_H$ falls within the $\alpha_{95}$ uncertainty cone of $P_N$, but only exists in samples that display a non-convergent medium-temperature component. The direction of $O_H$ is also distinct ($\chi^2$ p-value $< 10^{-6}$ in a mean direction test) from the modern field.

Table 2.
Average magnetization values for specimens by site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>NRM ($Am^2_{kg}$)</th>
<th>After LN$<em>2$ ($Am^2</em>{kg}$)</th>
<th>At last stable step ($Am^2_{kg}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Väo (quarry)</td>
<td>$5.62 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$5.10 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$7.38 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunda (quarry)</td>
<td>$2.89 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$2.72 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1.38 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saka (seaside)</td>
<td>$7.30 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$7.09 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$6.17 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakri (seaside)</td>
<td>$5.42 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$4.81 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$1.26 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 8. Examples of progressive demagnetization orthographic projections, showing the different components extracted. A) Specimen from the Saka cliffs, Volkov stage (170 cm from base) showing the \( P_W \) singular low unblocking temperature (200°C) component that terminates at the origin. B) Specimen from the Kunda-Aru quarry, Aseri stage (75 cm from base), showing the \( O_L \) and \( P_R \) components, with one of the highest unblocking temperatures observed: 550°C. C) Specimen from the Väo quarry, Uhaku stage (655 cm from base), displaying \( O_L \) and \( P_R \) but not terminating at the origin. D) Specimen from the Pakri cliff, Uhaku stage (66 cm from base), displaying \( O_L \) and \( P_N \).
Figure 9. Survivorship curves for $O_L$ and $P$ components. The cumulative unblocking spectra recorded for the $O_L$ and $P$ components in each specimen, as a function of temperature. Despite the apparent prevalence of magnetite in the samples, 85% of samples unblocked below 400°C.

Table 3.
Maximum unblocking temperatures by sampling site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>100 – 240°C</th>
<th>245 – 300°C</th>
<th>305 – 400°C</th>
<th>405 – 500°C</th>
<th>500 – 570°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Väo quarry</td>
<td>24 (12%)</td>
<td>58 (29%)</td>
<td>89 (44%)</td>
<td>28 (14%)</td>
<td>3 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uuga cliff</td>
<td>16 (16%)</td>
<td>37 (37%)</td>
<td>37 (37%)</td>
<td>6 (6%)</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunda-Aru quarry</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td>14 (24%)</td>
<td>23 (40%)</td>
<td>6 (10%)</td>
<td>12 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saka cliff</td>
<td>18 (38%)</td>
<td>17 (35%)</td>
<td>7 (15%)</td>
<td>5 (10%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.
Paleomagnetic results from the Estonian Middle Ordovician sampling reported in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component'</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Declination (°)</th>
<th>Inclination (°)</th>
<th>$\kappa$</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>$\alpha_{95}$</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_{R,ave}$</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>168.6</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{R,V\rightarrow LU}$</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>169.8</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{R,LU}$</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>167.0</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{R,K+A}$</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>159.6</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_N$</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>159.2</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_u$</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_H$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>312.1</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_L$</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_H$</td>
<td>70 (140 arcs)</td>
<td>331.8</td>
<td>-71.9</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

i. $P_{R,V\rightarrow LU}$ and $P_{R,LU}$ are the medium-temperature components from the Volkhov to the lower Uhaku (during the superchron) and during the Upper Uhaku (after the short normal period) in the stratigraphy, respectively. $P_{R,ave}$ is the average of the two. $P_{R,K+A}$ is during the Kunda and Aseri stages. $P_N$ is during the short normal period. $P_u$ is the low unblocking temperature convergent component present in highly weathered specimens. $O_L$ and $O_H$ are the low- and high-temperature overprints, respectively, showing normal polarity.

ii. $\kappa$ = Precision parameter (Fisher, 1953).

iii. $\alpha_{95}$ = 95% confidence cone.
Figure 10. Equal angle projection showing the modern field and all measured directions with $\alpha_{95}$ error ellipses. The characteristic components, $P_N$, $P_R$ (average, lower stratigraphically, and higher stratigraphically), and $P_H$ are displayed along with the overprints, $O_H$ and $O_L$, as well as the modern field. $P_R$, $P_W$, $O_L$, and the modern field direction all plot along the same circle and are likely related.
Figure 11. The direction of low unblocking temperature components. Left: the convergent component $P_W$ observed in Pakri and Saka cliff specimens. Right: the non-convergent component $O_L$ observed in specimens from every site. $P_W$ unblocks by 260°C and $O_L$ unblocks by 300°C. The directions appear similar and lie between the modern field direction and the presumed paleodirection. Brown symbols indicate lower hemisphere directions and circles indicate the $a_{95}$ error ellipse.

Figure 12. Equal area plot of the direction of presumed characteristic components: a) $P_{R,LU}$, b) $P_{R,UU}$, c) $P_N$. The $P_R$ directions are consistent with formation in the lower hemisphere in a reversed magnetic field magnetozone, both above and below $P_N$ in the stratigraphy. The $P_N$ direction is sparse, with only 8 samples because the normal polarity magnetozone was short lived. Brown symbols correspond to lower hemisphere directions and purple symbols to upper hemisphere directions.
Figure 13. Magnetostratigraphy by site, based on $P_R$ and $P_N$. The 4 sites (A- Vão quarry, B- Uuga cliff, C- Kunda quarry, and D- Saka cliff) cover overlapping regions from the bottom of the Volkhov to the top of the Uhaku. The magnetostratigraphic data indicates the presence of the superchron in each site, which also ranges from the Volkhov to the lower Uhaku in total. The short normal period appears in both data sets that run through the Uhaku. The weak magnetization of many specimens causes a significant noise in on the specimen-level.
Figure 14. Great circle fits give the mean direction for the $O_H$ component direction, showing the arcs used for the fits and best point on arc selections. The $O_H$ component exists in samples that lacked a stable end point when they became unblocked. Pink symbols correspond to upper hemisphere directions.

6. Discussion

6.1 Reliability

We successfully decoded 3 components, 2 apparent overprints and 1 presumed characteristic component, and were able to link each to one of the multiple magnetic carriers from our rock magnetic results. The small demagnetization from the liquid nitrogen steps did not reveal a consistent direction, so the MD grains present likely the result of cosmogenic or volcanic dust and the meteorite bombardment observed in the stratigraphic column.

The three components determined at least partially from convergent data, collectively the $P$ directions, are the main components of interest. $P_W$ appeared only in highly weathered specimens with a low maximum unblocking temperature and was not distinct from the non-convergent, low-temperature $O_L$ component, so its data were rejected. It is important to note
that even though weak specimens generally have lower unblocking temperatures, blocking temperatures that are too low are potentially too unreliable. In our case, that happened with specimens whose unblocking temperatures were less than half of magnetite, the main magnetic carrier.

We successfully found a magnetozone consistent with formation in the Southern hemisphere in a normal magnetic field in the Uhaku stage (Fig. 15). The normal polarity magnetozone only lasted a short time (consistent with Gallet and Pavlov (1996) and (Pavlov and Gallet, 2005)), so we only uncovered 8 specimens displaying the component, $P_N$. Though $P_N$ is not well resolved, based on the conodont data in Hints et al. (2012) for the Uuga cliffs near Pakri cape, $P_N$ occurs concurrently with the Yangzteplacognathus protoramosus condont Subzone. The subzone is located near the top of Pygodus serra Zone in Figure 1, which also corresponds to the G. teretiusculus graptolite Zone (Rasmussen and Stouge, 1989).

Following Vandervoo (1990)’s reliability criteria, we find that $P_N$ passes 5/7 and $P_{R,pre}$ passes 6/7. Field tests were not possible to constrain either pole’s age, and $P_N$ lacks sufficient samples, a reliable $\kappa$, and $\alpha_{95}$. The most likely carrier of $P$ is magnetite, but its large variability in maximum unblocking temperature is likely due to the weak NRM values and partial weathering into maghemite. We interpret $P_R$ as syn-sedimentary.

6.2 Agreement with recent work in the area

Our net $P_R$ direction agrees with Plado et al. (2010)’s $P_{DD}$ direction and is consistent with a Southern hemisphere formation in a reversed magnetic field. All statistical comparisons were made using the appropriate reversals test from McFadden and McElhinny (1990). Our $P_{R,K^+A}$ direction for the Kunda and Aseri stages agrees with that found in Plado et al. (2016b) for a stratigraphically similar sampling. The statistically significant difference
between this direction and the $P_{R,V \rightarrow LU}$ direction is understandable because apparent polar wander over the duration of the superchron is to be expected, as the Baltica plate was moving (Fig. 6 in Plado et al. (2010)).

The $O_L$ direction is likely the result of weathering of magnetite into maghemite. Plado et al. (2010) noted the presence of a low-coercivity mineral like maghemite affecting the thermal treatment, but did not extract a useful remanence direction. $O_L$ is likely this component. It disappears by 300°C, is a vector sum of the modern field direction and the paleofield direction, and is in a similar direction to the convergent $P_W$ direction, all consistent with magnetite weathering into maghemite.

The high-temperature component determined from great circle fits, $O_H$, appears to be carried by hematite, but only minimal amounts of hematite were found in samples from the Uhaku stage. $P_N$ was found only in convergent samples, which agrees with the decreased amount of hematite in the Uhaku stage. Its direction is located within the $\alpha_{05}$ circle for $P_N$, but $P_N$ only exists as a convergent component, unlike $O_H$. $O_H$ appears to have a different direction from other directions (with varying ages) in Estonia (e.g. Plado et al. (2010), Plado et al. (2016b), and Preeden et al. (2008)). However, great circle fits can only infer component directions as being somewhere on the arc, so their ability to detect different polarities within the same component, as is the case with a previous observed high-temperature overprint in this area, is limited without lines to provide anchoring. Figure 16 shows that $O_H$ lies on a great circle arc that connects Plado et al. (2010)’s high-temperature $S_N$ and $S_R$ overprints, within their respective $\alpha_{05}$ values. This means that $O_H$ is likely a linear combination of their $S_N$ and $S_R$, but they were unable to be parsed because $O_H$ lacked any convergent data.

6.3 Ordovician polarity timescale
Our samples start in the *Didymograptus hirundo* Graptolite Zone, which covers the local Volkhov stage (Fig. 1) and corresponds to the upper British Arenig, slightly above the midpoint of the superchron according to Pavlov and Gallet (2005), in the upper part of the Siberian Kimaian stage. Our data agree with Torsvik et al. (1995)’s upper Arenig data but do not show a normal magnetozone in the Llanvrin (in the local Lasnamägi in Figure 15). Instead, our data mirrors Pavlov et al. (2012)’s continuous reverse polarity until the Llandeilo. The stratigraphic position of $P_N$ is comparable to their brief normal magnetozone, followed by another long period of reverse polarity.

Trench et al. (1991), Idnurm et al. (1996), and Opdyke and Channell (1996) proposed composite timescales during the Ordovician that show several reversals during the Darriwillian (Fig. 17). However, we only found a single normal magnetozone, at the upper part of the British Llandeilo. Pavlov et al. (2012) noted that some of the discrepancy between Opdyke and Channell (1996) and the others can be explained by a different biostratigraphic definition for the Cambrian/Ordovician boundary. The small number of samples that we found displaying a normal polarity, synsedimentary component does not support the proposal of a dual-polarity superchron during the Ordovician (Algeo, 1996). Our results agree more closely with Pavlov and Gallet (2005)’s proposed polarity time scale during the Middle Ordovician for the upper half of the superchron.
Figure 15. Location of the MRPS in the local, sampled stratigraphy, scaled by stratigraphy. Our samples run from the base of the Volkov through the end of the superchron into the Uhaku stage. The short normal period is present in two parallel sections and appears to be stratigraphically consistent. The local depositional rate increases with up the stratigraphic column.
**Figure 16.** Equal angle projection showing this study’s $O_H$ direction compared with Plado et al. (2010)’s $S$ directions. The $O_H$, $S_N$, and $S_R$ directions all plot along the same circle, so $O_H$ is likely a combination of $S_N$ and $S_R$ vectors that were unable to be parsed due to a lack of convergent component data.
Figure 17. Comparison of Ordovician polarity time scales in order of proposition. A) Adapted from Pavlov and Gallet (2005), with the notes that the timescale discrepancy can be at least somewhat explained by a potentially different biostratigraphic definition for the lower boundary of the Ordovician. B) Our work in Estonia, which agrees with Pavlov and Gallet (2005), put to scale with previous work.

7. Conclusions

The Ordovician specimens from Estonia reported in this study represent the local stratigraphy from the Volkhov to the Uhaku, spanning most of the Middle Ordovician. The specimens taken had exceptionally low NRM values of $5 - 13 \times 10^{-8} \text{Am}^2/\text{kg}$ and low maximum unblocking temperatures, with 84% unblocking by 400°C. Despite their low magnetizations, useful paleomagnetic data was able to be extracted. The specimens contain primarily single domain, moderately-interacting grains of magnetite, along with smaller amounts of secondary magnetic minerals, hematite and maghemite. We successfully extracted a characteristic direction, which contains a reversal that appears to be stratigraphically consistent across 2 sites and equivalent to previously observed normal
magnetozones that end the MRPS. Many specimens had a low-temperature overprint caused by partial weathering of magnetite into maghe5mite. For highly weathered specimens with weak NRM5s like these, additional care must be taken to ensure a convergent component data is still statistically valid. An additional set of specimens had non-convergent medium unblocking temperature components. We used great circle fits to extract a direction for this overprint, which appears to be a linear combination of previously reported overprints. Low maximum unblocking temperatures prevented this component from being well enough resolved to be divided into two polarities. As a result of these data, there now exists a new area, rich in conodonts, that contains both a large portion of and the termination of the MRPS. Dense sampling of the Uhaku local stage will allow precise calibration of the superchron’s end.
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