HARD X-RAY EMISSION FROM THE M87 AGN DETECTED WITH NUSTAR
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ABSTRACT

M87 hosts a 3–6 billion solar mass black hole with a remarkable relativistic jet that has been regularly monitored in radio to TeV bands. However, hard X-ray emission \(\gtrsim\)10 keV expected to primarily come from the jet or the accretion flow had never been detected from its unresolved X-ray core. We report \textit{NuSTAR} detection up to 40 keV from the the central regions of M87. Together with simultaneous \textit{Chandra} observations, we have constrained the dominant hard X-ray emission to be from its unresolved X-ray core, presumably in its quiescent state. The core spectrum is well fitted by a power-law with photon index \(\Gamma \approx 2.11^{+0.15}_{-0.11}\). The measured flux density at 40 keV is consistent with a jet origin, although emission from the advection-dominated accretion flow cannot be completely ruled out. The detected hard X-ray emission is significantly lower than that predicted by synchrotron self-Compton models introduced to explain emission above a GeV.

\textit{Subject headings:} accretion, accretion disks — black hole physics — galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, cD — galaxies: individual (M87) — galaxies: nuclei — X-rays: galaxies

\section{INTRODUCTION}

One of the best-studied active galactic nuclei (AGNs) is located at the center of the nearby radio galaxy M87. The giant elliptical galaxy hosts a \(\sim\)3–6 billion solar mass supermassive black hole (SMBH; Macchetto et al. 1997, Gebhardt & Thomas 2009), and contains the first extragalactic astrophysical jet discovered (Curtis 1918). Its proximity (\(D=16\) Mpc, \(1''=78\) kpc; Tony et al. 2001) allows us to resolve the arcsecond-scale relativistic jet near the nucleus region with radio (\(\sim 40\) micro-arcsecond: 230 GHz high frequency VLBI; Doeleman et al. 2012), optical (0.1 arcsecond: \textit{HST}), and X-ray (sub-arcsecond: \textit{Chandra}) observations. It is also a very high energy (VHE; \(>100\) GeV) source detected with \textit{Fermi}, H.E.S.S., VERITAS, and MAGIC \(\gamma\)-ray telescopes (see, Abramowski et al. 2012, and references therein), and one of the few radio galaxies with TeV \(\gamma\)-rays detected but not strongly beamed. This provides a different scientific angle to study high-energy particle acceleration mechanisms in AGNs with misaligned jets.

Multi-wavelength observations reveal the M87 central region into different components at different angular scales: a core where the SMBH is located, a jet, and multiple knots along the jet direction (e.g., Junor et al. 1999, Biretta et al. 1999, Kovalev et al. 2004, Harris et al. 2009). The most obvious features on \textit{Chandra} X-ray images are the core and the bright knots (hereafter, core means the central source unresolved by \textit{Chandra} at sub-arcsecond scale, following the definition in Figure 1 of Harris et al. 2006; see also the right panel of Figure 1 below). Outbursts at different wavelengths have been seen from both the core and knots (Harris et al. 2009, Abramowski et al. 2012). The locations of the highest energy burst (GeV–TeV) are not very clear. For example, it had been suggested that the HST-1 knot outburst is responsible for the TeV outburst seen in 2005 (Aharonian et al. 2006). However, later observations, particularly with \textit{Chandra}, support that the TeV emission comes from the unresolved \textit{Chandra} core (Abramowski et al. 2012). The exact origin of the different energy emission is still under debate. Studying the full spectral energy distribution (SED) at different states (quiescence or flare) of the core and knots will hopefully be able to distinguish different models (e.g., Nemmen et al. 2014, de Jong et al. 2013, Prieto et al. 2016).

X-rays penetrate the dust-free M87 nucleus (e.g., Perlman et al. 2001) directly from the innermost accretion regions of the flow, allowing us to study physics down to the event horizon scale. Being a low-luminosity AGN (LLAGN) with an Eddington ratio of \(3.6 \times 10^{-6}\) (Prieto et al. 2016), the SMBH is believed to be accreting as an advection-dominated accretion flow (ADAF, see, e.g., Yuan & Narayan 2014, for a review). The X-ray emission from the M87 core is believed to come from either the ADAF or the (unresolved) jet, and therefore, studying the X-ray emission will provide constraints on these models (Nemmen et al. 2014). Although X-ray emission \(\lesssim 10\) keV has been studied in detail, hard X-rays above that had never been detected from the core. In this Letter, we report detection of hard X-ray emission from the core up to 40 keV with simultaneous \textit{NuSTAR} and \textit{Chandra} observations. Errors are given at the 90\% confidence level unless otherwise specified.

\section{X-RAY OBSERVATIONS}

M87 was observed with \textit{NuSTAR} on 2017 February 15, April 11, and April 14 for 50, 24, and 22 ks, respectively.
Smoothed 3–7 keV (left) and 20–40 keV (middle) NuSTAR images of M87. The circle at the center of the image indicates the 30′′ radius spectral extraction region. The circle in the lower left is the background spectral extraction region with the same radius of 30′′. Smoothed 0.3–6 keV Chandra image is shown in the right panel. The four white crosses from the left to the right are the locations of the core, the HST-1 knot, knot D, and knot A, respectively. The three black solid circles indicate the 3σ position errors of the emission peaks measured with NuSTAR in different energy bands. The white circle indicates the Chandra position determined using a smoothed image in the 3–7 keV band (see text).

Fig. 1.— Smoothed 3–7 keV (left) and 20–40 keV (middle) NuSTAR images of M87. The circle at the center of the image indicates the 30′′ radius spectral extraction region. The circle in the lower left is the background spectral extraction region with the same radius of 30′′. Smoothed 0.3–6 keV Chandra image is shown in the right panel. The four white crosses from the left to the right are the locations of the core, the HST-1 knot, knot D, and knot A, respectively. The three black solid circles indicate the 3σ position errors of the emission peaks measured with NuSTAR in different energy bands. The white circle indicates the Chandra position determined using a smoothed image in the 3–7 keV band (see text).

(i.e., core/knots/jet emission contaminated by ICM). Deeper NuSTAR observations can potentially distinguish any structure to better than a few arcsec.

The location of the NuSTAR soft peak can be compared with that measured with Chandra. We have smoothed a Chandra 3–7 keV image with a 2D Gaussian to simulate the FWHM of the NuSTAR PSF (18″). The confidence region of the smoothed Chandra image is located near knot D. It is only slightly offset by about 1″ from the NuSTAR soft peak, which is much smaller than the 8″ absolute astrometric uncertainty for NuSTAR.

We extracted NuSTAR spectra from the nuclear region of M87 for all the three observations and created the corresponding response files for the point source. The extraction region is circular with a 30′′ radius, which is close to the 29″ half-power radius, centered at the NuSTAR 3–7 keV peak (Figure 1). The background circular region with the same radius was chosen to be far enough from the center and also located on the same detector chip as the source. The latter criterion is important to minimize instrumental background variations from chip to chip (Wik et al. 2014). Since the individual spectra are consistent with each other, we combined all the NuSTAR spectral and response files using the FT00L addspec.

The unresolved NuSTAR X-ray emission is mainly contributed by the X-ray core, the jet and knots along the jet, the diffuse ICM, and unresolved low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs). With simultaneous observations and archival Chandra data, these individual components can be well constrained. We first detected point sources using the Chandra data following the procedure described in Wong et al. (2014). To detect fainter sources, we also included two deeper Chandra observations taken in 2016 (ObsIDs: 18838 and 18856 for 56 and 25 ks, respectively). We extracted Chandra spectra from the six observations with detected point sources inside the NuSTAR spectral extraction region that are unrelated to the core, jet, or knots. Each spectral region is circular with a radius of 1″. A corresponding local annular background with inner and outer radii of 1″ and 2″, respectively, is centered on each source. The combined spectrum is used to constrain the LMXB component.
To constrain the jet component, we extracted *Chandra* spectra using a 19′′×3′′ rectangular region enclosing all the jet and knots (but not the unresolved *Chandra* core or HST-1). A local background with two similar rectangular regions adjacent to the source region is used. For each of the core and the HST-1 knot, we extracted *Chandra* spectra with circular regions of 0′.4 radius centered on them and created the corresponding response files for the point sources. For the core, a pie shaped local background with inner and outer radii of 2′′ and 4′′, respectively, centered on the core and away from HST-1 is used. For HST-1, a circular region of 0′.4 radius opposite from the core is chosen as its local background. For the core, jet, and HST-1 spectra, only the simultaneous observations taken in 2017 were used. The core and HST-1 were in their low states during the 2017 observations and their total pile-up fraction is not significant (3–7%)\(^8\).

The remaining component is mostly the steady ICM emission. Since the *NuSTAR* background spectrum also contains ICM emission (and a negligible contribution from LMXBs), we extracted *Chandra* ICM spectra from the same *NuSTAR* source and background regions to take into account this component. The above mentioned *Chandra* observations were taken in the 1/8-subarray mode that do not cover the *NuSTAR* background region. Therefore, we selected earlier *Chandra* archival data (ObsIDs: 352, 2707, 3717, and 8517) that cover both the *NuSTAR* source and background regions. The total cleaned exposure time is ∼110 ks, similar to that of the six *Chandra* observations used to extract the LMXB spectra. We removed all the point sources detected using the same method for the *Chandra* ICM source and background spectra.

### 3. OVERALL HARD X-RAY EMISSION FROM AGN ACTIVITIES

\(^8\) The pile-up effect on spectral slope, determined by fitting a pileup model, is always \(\lesssim\) the statistical uncertainty.

![Fig. 2.— *NuSTAR* spectrum (black) within a circular region of 30′′ used. For HST-1, a circular region of 0.4′′ centered on the core and away from HST-mode that do not cover the *NuSTAR* data. The curve dashed line is the hotter ICM component. For clarity, the cooler ICM and LMXB components and the residual panel are not shown.

To constrain the overall hard X-ray emission from the AGN activities, which includes the unresolved X-ray core, knots, and the jet, we fitted the *NuSTAR* spectrum jointly with the *Chandra* ICM and LMXB spectra. All the spectra were grouped with a minimum of one count per bin and were fitted using the C-statistic in the the X-ray Spectral Fitting Package (XSPEC)\(^9\). Errors of spectral parameters were determined by assuming \(\Delta C \approx 2.706\) (90\% confidence) for one parameter of interest. The *Chandra* ICM spectrum in the 0.7–7.0 keV range was well fitted with an absorbed two-temperature thermal model (PHABS*(APEC*APEC); see also Russell et al. (2013). We fixed the absorption at the Galactic value of \(N_H = 1.94 \times 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (Kalberla et al. 2005), metallicity at solar value (Russell et al. 2013), and redshift at \(z = 0.004283\) (Cappellari et al. 2011). The two temperatures and the two normalizations were free parameters. We have also taken into account some residual ICM line emissions below 3 keV by adding five GAUSTAN models with zero line width, although ignoring these will not have any impact on our results.

The *Chandra* LMXB spectrum between ∼2 and 7 keV was fitted using a template given by Revnivtsev et al. (2014), which is based on the broadband 3–100 keV spectrum of M31 dominated by LMXBs and is consistent with the Galactic bulge emission (Krivonos et al. 2007).\(^10\) We fixed the shape of the adopted spectrum but varied its overall normalization. Since the LMXB component in the *NuSTAR* spectrum is subdominant (see Figure 2 below), increasing the normalization by a factor of two or using different LMXB models (e.g., a single power-law model or even a broken power-law template used by Wik et al. (2014)) to actually fit the harder high-mass binary dominated sources) gives essentially the same results.

The 3–40 keV *NuSTAR* spectrum was joint-fitted with the *Chandra* ICM and LMXB spectra by including these

\(^9\) [http://heasarc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/](http://heasarc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/)

\(^10\) Note that the first power-law index in the equation given by Revnivtsev et al. (2014) should be −0.5.
two component models as well as a single power-law model to represent the combined emission from the core, knots, and the jet. The same Galactic absorption model was also used for all the components. We tied all the parameters for the ICM and LMXB models, except for the ICM component, the ratio of the two APEC normalizations are tied to that of the Chandra model but not the overall normalizations to allow for the cross calibration uncertainty of NuSTAR and Chandra and the difference in response due to the much larger PSF of NuSTAR. The difference in best-fit normalizations turns out to be small, with less than a 1% difference when constraining the overall hard X-ray emission from the AGN activities (this section) and about 6% when decomposing the core emission from the knots/jet (Section 4).

The best-fit spectra with all the model components are shown in Figure 2. The best-fit power-law index of the overall AGN related emission is $\Gamma = 2.12^{+0.12}_{-0.13}$ and the associated 20–40 keV flux, which completely dominates the spectrum, is $7.7^{+1.0}_{-1.0} \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The spectrum is well characterized by a single power-law with no evidence of cut-off in energy below $\sim$100 keV ($3\sigma$).

The 20–40 keV flux measured is an order of magnitude lower than that determined by the Suzaku observation taken in 2006. It is believed that the X-ray emission above 20 keV detected near the end of 2006 was due to the HST-1 flare of the M87 core (de Jong et al. 2013; Prieto et al. 2016). The Chandra observations in 2017 show that the soft emission ($\lesssim$10 keV) from HST-1 has become fainter by a factor of $\sim$10 since November 2006. By simple scaling, the contribution from HST-1 in 20–40 keV should be a factor of a few lower than that from the core. This is indeed the case where HST-1 is a factor of six fainter than the core in 2017 measured in this band (Section 4). Therefore, for the first time, we have detected hard X-ray emission above $\sim$10 keV dominated by the core, which also gives the upper limit of its quiescent emission in hard X-rays.

4. DECOMPOSING THE HARD X-RAY EMISSION OF THE CORE, HST-1, AND JET

We can further constrain the individual components of the core, HST-1, and the rest of the knots and jet by joint fitting the corresponding Chandra spectra. We model each of these components as a single power-law. The power-law indices of these components are tied for the NuSTAR and Chandra spectra. HST-1 and jet emission is subdominant, and therefore their normalizations are tied for the NuSTAR and Chandra spectra. The normalizations of the core component for NuSTAR and Chandra are not tied to allow for calibration uncertainty.

The best-fit spectra with all the model components are shown in Figure 3. The best-fit power-law index of the core is $\Gamma = 2.11^{+0.13}_{-0.11}$ and the associated 20–40 keV flux measured with NuSTAR is $4.8^{+0.9}_{-1.0} \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which is about 60% of the overall AGN emission. The normalization measured with Chandra is about 10% lower and smaller than the statistical uncertainty of about 20%. Such consistency suggests that the assumed power-law models for different components are reasonable. The 20–40 keV flux of HST-1 and the jet are about 16% and 37% of the core, respectively. The best-fit parameters for the three components and the overall AGN emission are listed in Table 1.

5. DISCUSSION

We have detected hard X-ray emission from the M87 core, which can be readily compared with model predictions. We focus on a few recent models with hard X-ray predictions based on the full SED constrained within $1''$ from the core (Prieto et al. 2016).

Figure 4 shows the SED of the M87 core, together with the ADAF-dominated and jet-dominated models of Nemmen et al. (2014). Both models were renormalized to match the X-ray data. In the past, it was difficult to distinguish these two models since both of them fit well the SED and predict similar slopes in soft X-rays below 10 keV (see, e.g., Nemmen et al. 2014). With NuSTAR data extending to 40 keV, it is quite clear that the X-ray slope predicted by the ADAF-dominated model is too steep, while the X-ray slope and also the global SED are more consistent with the jet-dominated model. Note that the featureless emission of a jet probably cannot explain the entire SED, particularly the IR to UV bump seen in the data. A global fitting by varying parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>$K^b$</th>
<th>$f_{20-40\text{keV}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core+HST-1+Jet</td>
<td>2.12$^{+0.12}_{-0.13}$</td>
<td>102$^{+38}_{-29}$</td>
<td>7.7$^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core</td>
<td>2.11$^{+0.11}_{-0.13}$</td>
<td>65$^{+13}_{-15}$</td>
<td>4.8$^{+0.9}_{-1.0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HST-1</td>
<td>[1.94]</td>
<td>5.5$^{+0.9}_{-1.0}$</td>
<td>0.2$^{+0.1}_{-0.3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet</td>
<td>2.36$^{+0.17}_{-0.12}$</td>
<td>52$^{+10}_{-7}$</td>
<td>1.8$^{+0.9}_{-1.0}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The C-statistic in fitting the overall emission is $C = 1473$ for 1269 degrees of freedom, while $C = 2991$ when decomposing the different components for 1960 degrees of freedom.

$^b$ Normalization in units of $10^{-5}$ photons keV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 1 keV.

$^c$ Flux in units of $10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.
of both the ADAF and jet components instead of simply renormalizing the models is needed to address the problem correctly.

Recently, with Faraday rotation measure observations, it has become clearer that the X-ray emission is more jet dominated (Feng et al. 2016; Li et al. 2016). Our joint NuSTAR and Chandra observations provide additional insights to these models. For example, our best estimated SED at 40 keV (∼10^{19} \text{Hz}) is $\nu F_\nu = 6.6^{+1.3}_{-1.0} \times 10^{-13} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, which is consistent with the jet model predicted by Prieto et al. (2016). However, by inspecting the X-ray slope in their Figure 3, the predicted photon index is $\Gamma \lesssim 2$, which is marginally flatter than our measured index of $2.11^{+0.15}_{-0.11}$. Comparing with model predictions by Li et al. (2016), our result is marginally consistent with the jet-dominated model ($\approx 5 \times 10^{-13} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) and slightly higher than the ADAF-dominated model ($\approx 3 \times 10^{-12} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$). The measured photon index is also slightly more consistent with the jet-dominated model ($\approx 2.1$) than the ADAF-dominated model ($\approx 2.2$). Note that the reason for not being able to completely rule out one model in favor of another (jet-dominated vs ADAF-dominated) is related to the theoretical uncertainties and freedoms allowed in the modeling.

In order to explain $\gamma$-ray and TeV emission from M87, the SED has been modeled using the synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) model that fits the softer X-ray ($\lesssim 10$ keV) and above $\gamma$-ray emission fairly well during the quiescent state of the core (Figure 3 in de Jong et al. 2015, also, Figure 4 in Abdo et al. 2009). However, they over-predict the hard X-ray ($\sim 40$ keV) emission measured with NuSTAR by a factor of about three. Their predicted power-law index ($\sim 1.6$) near 40 keV is also significantly flatter than the measured value. NuSTAR is probing the transition from synchrotron dominant to (self) inverse Compton dominant emission around 10 keV and is providing the key information to these VHE processes. Currently, the uncertainties are limited by the statistics of our data. A deep NuSTAR observation extending detection beyond 40 keV and simultaneous high angular resolution multi-wavelength observations will provide further constraints on accretion models and VHE emission mechanisms.
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