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Abstract:
We develop a multiscale, physically-based reaction-diffusion kinetics model for non-steady-state transport of simple gases through a rubbery polymer. The rubbery polymer case applies to membrane applications where high permeability is desired or has developed due exposure to plasticizers such as CO2. To construct a model that has no adjustable parameters, we utilize experimental data from the literature as well as new measurements of non-steady-state permeation. We have also performed molecular dynamics simulations of collisions of CO2 with the surface of PDMS to obtain an estimate of the gas-polymer sticking probability. The model successfully reproduces time-dependent experimental data for two distinct systems: (1) O2 quenching of a phosphorescent dye embedded in poly(n-butyl(amino) thionylphosphazene), and (2) O2, N2, CH4 and CO2 transport through poly(dimethyl siloxane). The modeling study provides new insights to microscopic aspects of permeant transport through rubbery polymers and is used to predict selectivity targets for two gas separation applications.
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I. Introduction

Permeant transport through a polymer membrane is driven by differences in pressure, concentration, temperature, and, if it is a polyelectrolyte, the electrical potential across it.\(^1\) When the concentration of a permeant is constant on the upstream and downstream sides of the membrane, steady-state transport is described by the solution-diffusion model, and is defined in terms of the permeability, \(P_m\). The permeability is the product of the Henry’s Law solubility, \(S\), and diffusivity, \(D\), i.e. \(P_m = S \times D\). Polymeric membrane materials fall into two basic classes, highly permeable rubbers with low glass transition temperatures (Tg) and weakly permeable glasses with high Tg. The solution-diffusion model applies to both. The physical processes that take place in the polymer bulk do not depend on whether the permeant was initially present as a component of a liquid or a gas phase. The details of the uptake process, on the other hand, are likely to depend on phase. The solution-diffusion model assumes that no matter what the source of the permeant, its uptake at the polymer surface is much faster than diffusion through its bulk,\(^3\) and therefore is not rate limiting. Molecular dynamics studies and kinetics models using parameterized schemes have provided important insights to the detailed nature of uptake and permeation through the membrane bulk via tortuous paths, for ion-conducting, phase-separated perfluorosulfonic acid polymers.\(^4-5\) This level of physical detail, however, is not available for most membrane systems.

Steady-state permeation is relevant to many continuous applications using polymeric membranes such as purification and electrolyzer systems, but not to those in which permeant concentrations and other operating conditions such as temperature vary significantly with time. Examples are gas sensor and intermittent gas separations systems, electrolyzers using electricity from renewable sources, or photoelectrochemical systems that use sunlight to convert components of air and water into fuels. The membrane composition and structure required for each of these applications varies and the physical details governing their time-dependent performance cannot be assumed to be simply captured as they are in the solution-diffusion model. Accordingly, in this study we focus on one extreme – inert gases permeating a rubbery polymer – to begin to develop a model description of behaviors far from steady state. The basic model is constructed in a manner that enables it to be extended to more complex situations such as mixed-matrix membranes, metal form separators, permeant-induced ageing, permeation of mixtures whose transport properties through the membrane are not independent of each other, and permeation under variable temperature conditions. The ability to predictively model such situations will allow membrane architectures well-suited for time-varying applications to be developed.

The rubbery, non-interacting regime applies to gas sensors and certain gas separators. We consider time-dependent permeation through two types of rubbery systems: (1) reversible permeation of gases into a gas-sensor system, and (2) pre-steady-state transport through a gas separator. Time-dependent data for a sensor system have been reported in the literature.\(^6-8\) For the present work, we examine a comprehensive data set describing quenching of phosphorescence of a dye in a series of rubbery polymers by O\(_2\) and have used them to develop and validate a basic model framework. Time-dependent gas separator data obtained under well-controlled conditions are scarce, so we have measured non-steady-state permeation of gases over a range of pressures through a rubbery polymer, polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) for this work. The gases - O\(_2\), N\(_2\), CO\(_2\), and CH\(_4\) - are selected to span a range of gas solubilities and nature of
interaction with the polymer chains. We have examined aspects of uptake by using molecular dynamics simulations to estimate the fraction of CO$_2$-PDMS collisions that result in adsorption or absorption. A multiscale, reaction-diffusion model framework has been constructed that successfully reproduces both sensor and separator data with no adjustable parameters, and reveals several aspects of the permeation process that are kinetically significant. Because it is predictive, the model is useful to examine functional systems. We describe simulation results for use of rubbery membranes to separate gas mixtures, and product crossover between cathode and anode chambers during a diurnal cycle of a solar-driven photoelectrochemical CO$_2$ reduction system. The latter case is applicable to a system in which the membrane has become rubbery due to prolonged exposure to CO$_2$.

II. Methods and Materials

Three separate methodologies have been combined in the present study: stochastic reaction-diffusion simulations, molecular dynamics simulations, and gas permeation measurements. The materials set considered in this work is shown in Figure 1.

A. Reaction-diffusion simulations

The goal of this work is to develop a predictive model whose simulation outputs can be compared directly to experimental data. This requires that all simulation inputs (system geometry, rate constants and concentrations of all reactants and products) be measured.
Figure 1. Chemical structures of the phosphorescent dye (a) platinum octa-ethyl porphyrin (PtOEP), and the polymers (b) poly(dimethyl siloxane) (PDMS) and (c) poly[n-butyl(aminothionylphosphazene) (C4PTP) considered in this work.

experimentally, calculated by molecular dynamics simulations, or derived from established theory. The simulation reaction-diffusion code used in this study incorporates stochastic methods, a type of kinetic Monte Carlo that produces an accurate timebase. It provides a rigorously accurate solution to the master equation for Markov systems, and is a useful alternative to coupled differential equation integrators for complex materials systems. It is particularly well-suited for systems that require detail at both nanoscale and macroscale dimensions, and involve large dynamic ranges in rates. The open access code package is Kineticscope. Details on its computational methodology and applications to various types of condensed organic phase reaction-diffusion systems are described in previous publications. The basic framework used in this work is a 1-dimensional reaction-diffusion system comprising a stack of micro-scale compartments to represent the polymer bulk, and source and receiver compartments separated by 1-nm-thick interfacial regions from the membrane. The construction of the reaction-diffusion model is described in Section III.

B. Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Typically, gas uptake and desorption processes at the surface of a polymer membrane are considered to be instantaneous, with bulk transport being the controlling factor in permeation rate. However, to build a predictive model, it is necessary to use physically based rate constants for all processes. Gas-rubbery polymer collision dynamics are not well studied, so we have selected one of the gases used in this work, CO₂, for investigation of the uptake process using molecular dynamics (MD) simulations and applied the results to other gases studied in this work.

The initial PDMS structure is generated using standard procedures. First, a low-density (ρ = 0.0245 kg/m³), structure is created with 25 chains of PDMS of 100-monomer length. The system contains 25,053 atoms in total. To ensure that there are no overlapping atoms in the structure, 100 energy minimization steps and 10 ps of dynamics in the NVT ensemble at 10 K are performed. The density of the structure is increased by running 500 ps of dynamics in the NPT ensemble using the algorithm of Martyna, Tobias, and Klein (MTK). To ensure entanglement of the polymers, 2000 ps of dynamics is performed in the NVT ensemble with a Nosé-Hoover thermostat and with long range interactions reduced to 20% of their initial value, in accordance with the Scaled Effective Solvent (SES) procedure. Finally, to prevent high-energy structures, energy minimization is performed for 300 ps and the lattice parameters of the structure are again relaxed with 200 ps of NPT dynamics.

This procedure results in a roughly 70-Å thick slab of PDMS created with dimensions of 6.79 x 6.79 x 6.79 nm³. To create a PDMS surface, the length of the cell is increased by 200 Å in the x-direction to generate a region of empty space. All polymer chains are kept intact. The surface is then equilibrated for 3000 ps in the NVT ensemble using the Berendsen thermostat at 300 K. This procedure results in a bulk density of ≈0.985 kg/m³, which is above the experimental reference value of 0.970 kg/m³, but below the experimental sample densities of 1.06 to 1.08 kg/m³ obtained in this study (see Section II.C.). The density near the surface is reduced to ≈0.94 kg/m³ because of the surface roughness. The instantaneous surface is designated using the
method of Willard and Chandler,\(^2\) which is shown in Figure 2 and described more fully in the SI.
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Figure 2. The structure of poly(dimethyl siloxane) (PDMS) in the molecular dynamics simulations. Hydrogen atoms are shown in white, carbon in light blue, oxygen in red and silicon in yellow. The instantaneous interface is shown in blue. The CO\(_2\) molecule is sent towards the surface of the PDMS polymer structure for an adsorption simulation.

CO\(_2\) absorption, adsorption, and desorption events are tracked using a procedure based on the work of Julin et al.\(^{21-22}\) A CO\(_2\) molecule is introduced at a distance of approximately 15 Å from the surface and assigned a velocity from the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution at 300 K, with the constraint that the x-component of the velocity vector is within a 45-degree cone pointed toward the surface. After 100 ps of simulation time, the outcome (adsorption, absorption, desorption) is recorded based on the position of the CO\(_2\) molecule relative to the surface, defined as points within 4 Å, i.e. twice the van der Waals radius, of the instantaneous surface. Several other distances are used as the adsorption cutoff to test the sensitivity of the sticking coefficient to this parameter. The adsorption simulations are performed in the NVE ensemble.

Simulations are performed using the Desmond MD simulation package and the OPLS-2005 force field. A time-step of 1 fs is used for short-range interactions and a 3 fs time-step is used for long-ranged interactions. Long-ranged electrostatics are computed using the Ewald summation. A short-ranged Coulomb cutoff of 9 Å is used. Center of mass motion is removed at each time step in the adsorption simulations.

C. Experimental permeation measurements

Complete time-dependent permeation curves for several gases through PDMS were obtained in this work as described in this section. To our knowledge such data have not been previously reported, but they are needed for accurate model construction and validation. Additional details are presented in the SI.

Sample Preparation. PDMS samples are prepared using standard procedures. The PDMS base and a proprietary crosslinker (Sylgard 184, Dow Corning Corp., Auburn, MI) are mixed in a 10:1 ratio by weight. The PDMS solution is degassed to remove air bubbles and cast onto silicon wafers to the desired thickness \(\text{via}\) the spin-coating method. The polymer films are stored in deionized water until use. Prior to measurement, each sample is thoroughly dried and degassed.
The thickness of the dry films is in the range of 163 to 165 μm, as measured by a micrometer (Mitutoyo, Aurora, IL). A helium gas displacement pycnometer (Accupyc II 1340 from Micromeritics Instrument Corp., Norcross, GA) is used to measure the density, which ranges from 1.06 to 1.08 kg/m³. The glass transition temperature is 149 ± 0.3 K, measured using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC800 Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA).

**Gas Permeation.** A custom-built system is used for constant-volume gas-permeation experiments. A schematic is available in Reference MT-REF. Prior to the permeation experiment, gas is evacuated from the entire apparatus, a check for leaks is performed, and the measured permeabilities are corrected for this leak. Upstream pressures of 119 to 835 kPa are tested. The upstream pressure is maintained at at least two orders of magnitude greater than the downstream pressure during the measurements so that the pressure difference across membrane is effectively constant. The upstream pressure is monitored using a Honeywell STJE (Honeywell, Morris Plains, NJ) pressure transducer, which has a range of 200 to 7000 kPa and an accuracy of ±100 kPa. The downstream pressure is monitored using a Baratron MKS (MKS Instruments, San Jose, CA) pressure transducer, which has a range of 100 to 1000 Pa and an accuracy of ±7 Pa. The temperature of the system is maintained at 308 K in a water bath using an Alpha immersion thermostat (Lauda, Delran, NJ). All gases, N₂, O₂, CH₄, and CO₂, (Praxair, Danbury, CT) are at least 99.9% pure and are used as received.

After the gas permeation process has reached steady state, the gas permeability of the membrane, $P_m$, is calculated from the gas flux, $J$, through membrane of thickness, $l$, due to a difference in partial pressure, $\Delta p$, across the membrane:

$$P_m = \frac{J l}{p_{us} - p_{ds}} = \frac{V_{ds} l}{\Delta p A R T} \left[ \left( \frac{dp_{ds}}{dt} \right)_{ss} - \left( \frac{dp_{ds}}{dt} \right)_{leak} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $V_{ds}$ is the downstream collection volume, $A$ is the membrane area, $R$ is the universal gas constant, $T$ is the temperature, $p_{ds}$ refers to the downstream pressure, $p_{us}$ refers to the upstream pressure, and $(dp_{ds}/dt)_{ss}$ is the steady-state pressure rise, which is corrected for the leak rate $(dp_{ds}/dt)_{leak}$. At steady state, $P_m = D x S$.

**III. Development of a kinetic model for permeation of a rubbery polymer**

Detailed reaction-diffusion models for non-steady state transport in polymer membranes have not been reported previously, and development of a predictive description requires multiple independent types of time-dependent experimental measurements to validate it. There are few of these in the literature for any polymer type, however a particularly useful set for rubbery polymers has been reported by Jayarajah and coworkers. In this section we describe how the model for their measurements, quenching of a phosphorescent dye in a rubbery polymer by oxygen for a sensor application, has been developed and compared to experimental observations. The polymer used is poly(n-butyl (amino) thionyl phosphazene) (C4PTP) This polymer has a low Tg of 257 K and was studied at a thickness of 163 μm. The dye platinum octa-ethyl porphyrin (PtOEP) is embedded within the polymer, and quenching of its laser-excited phosphorescence acts as an indicator of the internal concentration of O₂ gas. The structures of the C4PTP monomer and of PtOEP are shown in Figure 1.

**A. System Geometry**

As shown in Figure 3, the membrane is represented as a set of 100 homogeneous compartments, each 1.63 μm thick, coupled by diffusion paths. An interfacial compartment of 1...
nm thickness is provided at the gas-polymer interface to enable explicit description of adsorption, desorption and transfer of gases to and from the polymer bulk. The other side of the membrane is in contact with a glass substrate and is not permeable. The area of the membrane is taken to be the footprint of the laser beam used for dye excitation, 2 mm by 1 mm.

Figure 3. Overview of multi-scale model for a sorption simulation. The membrane is divided into 100 compartments, plus one thin interfacial compartment.

B. Gas-polymer interactions

The experimental diffusion coefficient is used for gas transport throughout the polymer, including the surface layers. The maximum concentration of a gas in the membrane is determined by its experimental Henry’s Law solubility.

Adsorption and desorption are represented as direct processes involving a gas molecule and a surface site, a description used in other studies.\(^{15}\) Absorption is broken into two steps: sticking of the gas to the membrane surface and diffusion from the surface layer into the bulk. Sticking is represented as a reaction between a gas molecule and an empty surface site,

\[
gas + \text{site} \xrightarrow{k_{ads}} \text{gas} - \text{surface}
\]

where the adsorption rate constant, \(k_{ads}\), is the collision frequency of the gas with the surface, \(k_{coll}\), at the appropriate partial pressure, times the sticking probability, \(\mu\),

\[
k_{ads} = \mu k_{coll} = \mu (ZA) = \mu \left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{2 \pi m_w k_B T}}\right) A
\]

where \(Z\) is the collision frequency per unit area, \(A\) is the experimental surface area, \(p\) is the upstream partial pressure of the gas, \(m\) is the molecular mass of the gas, \(k_B\) is Boltzmann’s constant, and \(T\) is the temperature.\(^{25}\) The sticking coefficient is initially assumed to be 0.1; the sensitivity of the simulation to this value has been investigated and will be discussed later in this section. We use the generic term “site” because we assume that the gas molecules can physisorb to an atom type at the surface. The concentration of surface sites is assumed to be that of surface atoms in a liquid, \(10^{14}\) atoms/cm\(^2\).\(^{26}\) Since the surface is represented as a volume, the sites are distributed through a 1 nm thickness, giving a concentration of 1.66 moles/Liter. Once adsorbed,
the gas molecule can be absorbed by diffusing from the interface layer to the adjacent bulk polymer compartment. Desorption from the membrane is represented as detachment from a surface site. Because the polymer and gas molecules are weakly interacting, we assume that there are only van der Waals bonds between the gas and the polymer; thus desorption rate constant, \( k_{des} = 1.4 \times 10^{11} \text{ s}^{-1} \), is based on the breaking of a single van der Waals bond in the gas phase.\(^{27-28}\) The sensitivity of the simulation results to all these assumptions is discussed in the SI.

C. Kinetics of dye quenching

The dye is assumed to be uniformly distributed throughout the polymer bulk and its excitation/deexcitation reactions are:

\[
\begin{align*}
^3\text{PtOEP} & \xrightarrow{k_{nr}} \text{PtOEP} \quad \text{(II)} \\
^3\text{PtOEP} & \xrightarrow{k_r} \text{PtOEP} + \text{photon} \quad \text{(III)} \\
2^3\text{PtOEP} & \xrightarrow{k_{TTA}} ^3\text{PtOEP} + \text{PtOEP} \quad \text{(IV)} \\
^3\text{PtOEP} + O_2(p) & \xrightarrow{k_q} \text{PtOEP} + O_2(p) \quad \text{(V)} \\
\text{PtOEP} & \xrightarrow{k_{ex}} ^3\text{PtOEP} \quad \text{(VI)}
\end{align*}
\]

where PtOEP is in the ground state, \(^3\text{PtOEP}\) is in the first excited triplet state, and \(O_2(p)\) is the oxygen gas which has been absorbed into the polymer. These steps are the non-radiative decay, radiative decay, triplet-triplet annihilation, quenching, and excitation steps, respectively. In this section we describe how the rate constants have been determined for these steps.

The photophysics were not fully described in the experimental studies,\(^7\) so they have been determined using other work on PtOEP in polymer environments.\(^{29-30}\) From the ground state, PtOEP absorbs at 380 nm and 547 nm to enter the first excited state;\(^{30}\) greater than 99.9994% of PtOEP molecules excited to the first singlet state undergo intersystem crossing to the first excited triplet state \(^3\text{PtOEP}.\) This allows fluorescence from the singlet state to be neglected. PtOEP emits at 647 nm from the triplet state when well-dispersed in solution or embedded in a clear polymer.\(^{29-30}\) For excited-state dye at high concentration or in solution with low viscosity, triplet-triplet annihilation may occur. Triplet-triplet annihilation (TTA) is the process in which one triplet state PtOEP molecules quenches another and is itself excited back to the first excited singlet state. Because each excited singlet quickly relaxes to the first excited triplet we do not explicitly track the first excited singlet state in Reaction (V).\(^{29}\)

Two types of experiments are examined to construct and validate a kinetic model for PtOEP photoprocesses. One determines the dye’s phosphorescence lifetime using pulsed laser excitation,\(^{29-30}\) the other tracks the intensity of phosphorescence from continuous laser excitation of PtOEP as a function of time, \(I(t)\), during absorption and desorption of gases.\(^7\)

1. Dye lifetime by pulsed laser excitation.

Phosphorescence decay. Simulations of phosphorescence decay in the absence of \(O_2\) were performed first in order to establish the dye kinetics. The reported values for the quantum yield and rate constants for Reactions II-V are listed in Table 1. For these simulations, we can omit
Reaction VI and start with a population of excited dye molecules. As shown in Figure 4, and explained in the SI, simulations using these values and the reaction scheme (steps II-V) are in good agreement with experiment only if the concentration of initially excited state dye molecules, \([^3\text{PtOEP}]_{\text{init}}\), is lower than expected based on the amount of dye added to the polymer. \([^3\text{PtOEP}]_{\text{init}}\) is calculated using

\[
[^3\text{PtOEP}]_{\text{init}} = \frac{[\text{PtOEP}]a\omega_L}{h\nu_L}
\]  

(3)

where \([\text{PtOEP}]\) is the total concentration of dye molecules, \(a\) is the absorption cross section of the dye at the exciting wavelength, \(\omega_L\) is the energy density of the laser beam, \(h\) is Planck’s constant, and \(\nu_L\) is the exciting frequency. For the experiments of Bansal et al. \((a = 4.70 \times 10^{-21} \text{ m}^2; \omega_L = 17.0 \text{ J/m}^2; \nu_L = 8.64 \times 10^{14} \text{ s}^{-1}; [\text{PtOEP}] = 0.0731 \text{ M})\), this calculation predicts that 3% of the total number of dye molecules will be excited during the laser pulse. However, simulations revealed that this value leads to a decay rate that is too fast. Simulations and experiment could be brought into agreement using a value of 0.8% of the total dye for \([^3\text{PtOEP}]_{\text{init}}\). Data for lifetimes in the presence of air are also reported. The introduction of oxygen in air opens a channel for quenching which competes with phosphorescence. Simulations using the reported quenching rate constant (see Table 1) show that in this case a value of 0.8% also applies. The reason for this difference is not clear, but may reflect dye aggregation since clusters of PtOEP emit at 790 nm, which would not be detected in the experiments. Sensitivity studies for \([^3\text{PtOEP}]_{\text{init}}\) are described in the SI.

Figure 4. Simulated and experimental phosphorescence decay in air. The experimental data points from Ref. 29 are marked with black x’s, and the gray region indicates the local standard deviation (over 11 data points). The dashed line indicates the lower detection limit of the instrument. The blue markers are for the simulation data using the reported value of \([^3\text{PtOEP}]_{\text{init}} = 9.96 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M})\. The red markers are for a simulation using a reduced value of \([^3\text{PtOEP}]_{\text{init}} = 5.85 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}\).

Quenching. Quenching in a polymer is expected to be diffusion controlled and so should be different for C4PTP in which O\(_2\) has a higher diffusivity than in PS. The quenching rate constants for PtOEP by O\(_2\) were not reported in Jayarajah’s study although pulsed laser
excitation was used to measure a lifetime for PtOEP in both a de-oxygenated sample and at an external partial pressure of oxygen \( p_{O_2} = 21 \) kPa, the same partial pressure at which the sorption experiments are done. Combining the lifetime measured for de-oxygenated C4PTP, \( \tau_0 \), with the quantum yield in PS, \( \phi_P \) (assumed to be the same for C4PTP), allows us to calculate \( k_r \) as

\[
k_r = \frac{\phi_P}{\tau_0} \quad (4)
\]

and \( k_{nr} \) as

\[
k_{nr} = \frac{1 - \phi_P}{\tau_0} \quad (5)
\]

The quenching rate constant, \( k_q \), is calculated from the lifetime measured at \( p_{O_2} = 21 \) kPa, by assuming that TTA is negligible. Then,

\[
k_q = \frac{1}{\tau} - k_r - k_{nr} \quad [O_2(p)]
\]

where \([O_2(p)]\) is the concentration of oxygen gas within the polymer. The value for \( k_q \) calculated as a diffusion-controlled reaction using the Smoluchowski equation is of the same order of magnitude. However, this equation contains a parameter that could not be completely constrained by theory, so this method was not used. A summary of the rate constants and other physical characteristics used in the simulation of the dye-polymer system are presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>C4PTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( D(O_2) )</td>
<td>( m^2/s )</td>
<td>( 1 \times 10^{14} ) (a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S(O_2) )</td>
<td>( M/Pa )</td>
<td>( 8.26 \times 10^{-8} ) (a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([O_2]_{\text{max}})</td>
<td>( M )</td>
<td>( 1.73 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{PtOEP}])</td>
<td>( M )</td>
<td>( 0.0731 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([3\text{PtOEP}]_{\text{init}})</td>
<td>( M )</td>
<td>( 5.85 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi_P )</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 0.54 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau_0 )</td>
<td>( \mu s )</td>
<td>( 60 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_r )</td>
<td>( s^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 8.33 \times 10^3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{nr} )</td>
<td>( s^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 8.30 \times 10^2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The membrane.

where

and

are established by the lifetime measurements in the previous section except for the dye excitation rate constant \( k_{\text{ex}} \) (Reaction VI). The absorbed power density of the continuous wave laser used in the experiments was not reported by Jayarajah et al.\(^6\) Since \( k_r, k_{nr}, k_q, \) and \( k_{\text{TT}} \) in the dye kinetics scheme are constrained, we can use simulations of \( I_0 \) and \( I_{eq} \) to estimate \( k_{\text{ex}} \). Steady-state values for \( B + 1 \) are plotted as a function of assumed \( k_{\text{ex}} \) in Figure S3. The phosphorescence intensity ratio is quite insensitive to \( k_{\text{ex}} \) over a range of 1 and 100 \( s^{-1} \), so the median of \( k_{\text{ex}} = 50 \ s^{-1} \) is used. In this range of \( k_{\text{ex}} \), the simulated \( B + 1 = 66 \) close to the experimental range of \( B + 1 = 75 \pm 6 \). The difference may be due to experimental parameters leading to a slightly higher concentration of \( O_2 \) being present within the polymer than expected, or a lower photon detection efficiency.

The intensity over time, \( I(t) \), was used by Jayarajah et al. to determine the solubility coefficient, \( S \), and the diffusion coefficient, \( D \), for \( O_2 \) in C4PTP using a two-parameter fit to the equation,

\[
I(t) = \frac{I_0}{l} \int_0^l \frac{1}{1 + B \xi(x,t)} \, dx
\]

(7)

where

\[
B = \frac{I_0}{I_{eq}} - 1 = 4 \pi N_{\text{Av}} \tau_0 R_{\text{AB}} (D_{O_2} S_{O_2}) p_{O_2}
\]

(8)

and

\[
\xi(x,t) = \frac{Q(x,t)}{Q_{eq}}
\]

(9)

where \( l \) is the membrane thickness, \( N_{\text{Av}} \) is Avogadro’s number, and \( \tau_0 \) is the lifetime of PtOEP in the polymer environment in the absence of oxygen. \( \xi(x,t) \) is the relative concentration of \( O_2 \) within the membrane at position \( x \) at time \( t \), whereas \( Q(x,t) \) is the absolute concentration of \( O_2 \) within the membrane at position \( x \) at time \( t \), and \( Q_{eq} \) is the equilibrium concentration of \( O_2 \) within the membrane.\(^6\)\(^7\)\(^3\) The equation above assumes that the dye is both distributed and excited homogeneously throughout the membrane (i.e. the sample is optically thin and Beer’s law does
The continuous excitation experiments were performed in two modes: (i) sudden replacement of N₂ with air, leading to sorption and a decay in phosphorescence intensity, and (ii) sudden replacement of O₂ by N₂, leading to desorption and an increase in phosphorescence intensity. The experimental report describes the pressure change at the beginning of an experiment as taking place over several seconds. Because the pressure vs time data are not available to use as input to the simulations, the increase or decrease in oxygen partial pressure is assumed to be instantaneous. A summary of the rate constants and other physical characteristics using for simulations of the polymer-dye systems are presented in Table 1 or are described in Sections III.A and III.B.

IV. Simulations of O₂ sorption and phosphorescent dye quenching in a sensor system

Simulations of emitted light intensity as O₂ sorbs into or desorbs from a polymer are used to calculate $I(t)$ for comparison to experimental data. The absorption and desorption simulation results are compared with the experimental data in two ways: (i) the total amount of O₂ predicted to be absorbed in the polymer in the simulation is used to calculate the expected $I(t)$ using the reported intensity ratio, $\frac{B + 1}{B}$, and equations 7-9, and (ii) full simulation of the dye kinetics and the photons produced per unit time are directly compared to the experimental intensity over time. The use of two methods serves as a check on the internal consistency of the experimental data and on the validity of the reaction mechanism.

The simulations predict the amount of oxygen gas within the membrane at all times. We compare the simulated O₂ amount to experiment, which does not measure O₂ in the film directly, by calculating $N$, the expected amount of O₂ in the membrane, from the experimental $I(t)$ curve. We assume $dx = l$ in Equation 7, i.e. that the film is entirely uniform. The maximum amount of O₂ in the membrane, $N_{max}$, is found at equilibrium. $N_{max} = Q_{eq} * V$, where $V = dy * dz * l$ and the equilibrium concentration of O₂ is $Q_{eq} = S * p_{O2}$. Then, rearranging Eq 7-9 gives

$$N = N_{max} \frac{1}{B} \left[ \frac{B + 1}{I(t)} - 1 \right]$$

Simulation results for sorption and desorption performed using the reaction scheme developed in the previous section are compared to experiment in in Figure 5. The shape of the calculated curves is in good agreement with observations, but there are several quantitative differences. The initial rise in the simulated amount of O₂ in the polymer shown in Figure 5b is faster than that calculated from the measured $I(t)$ using Equation 10. In the simulation, the amount of O₂ in the membrane compartments near the gas-polymer interface increases rapidly, and they become saturated with O₂. The rate of O₂ absorption then slows, limited by O₂ diffusion to the other side of the membrane. The reason for the difference between simulated and experimental amount of O₂ is unclear. One possibility is that the assumption of $dx = l$ in the
calculation of the amount of O$_2$ from $I(t)$ is not valid, which is supported by the fact that simulated and measured $I(t)$ curves are in much closer agreement (Figure 5a).
Figure 5. Results of simulation of C4PTP. (a) \( I(t) \) is calculated from the oxygen concentration with Eqn. 7 - 9 (blue) and from simulation of the dye photophysics (red) as \( \text{O}_2 \) sorbs into the polymer. (b) The amount of \( \text{O}_2(p) \) in the polymer increases during sorption. (c) The concentration of \( \text{O}_2(p) \) through the polymer thickness over time during sorption. (d) The concentration of \( \text{PtOEP}^3 \) through the polymer thickness over time during sorption. (e) \( I(t) \) is calculated from the oxygen concentration with Eqn. 7 - 9 (blue) and from simulation of the dye photophysics (red) as \( \text{O}_2 \) desorbs from the polymer. (f) The amount of \( \text{O}_2(p) \) in the polymer decreases during desorption. (g) The concentration of \( \text{O}_2(p) \) through the polymer thickness over time during desorption. (h) The concentration of \( \text{PtOEP}^3 \) through the polymer thickness over time during desorption.

Another possibility is the presence of inhomogeneities either in the polymer, in the dye distribution, or in emission, that would lead to the same total phosphorescence intensity but no simple relationship between light emission and total \( \text{O}_2 \) in the film. This possibility was tested in two ways. First, a simulation in which dye is not present in the top quarter of the membrane gives a similar \( I(t) \) curve to experiment, showing that emission intensity is insensitive to spatial distribution of the dye and therefore to details of the \( \text{O}_2 \) kinetics. Second, altering the pressure profile of \( \text{O}_2 \) so that it does not instantaneously rise to \( p_{\text{O}_2} = 21 \text{ kPa} \), but rather increases over several seconds, which is more similar to the experimental procedure, does not change the shape of the curve. These considerations suggest that Equations 7-9 are qualitatively valid for estimating the full time dependence of \( \text{O}_2 \) absorption, but may not be quantitative in all cases. Comparison of Figure 5a and Figure 5b also shows that while phosphorescence decays rapidly, the \( \text{O}_2 \) concentration in the membrane does not reach saturation until much later. In the sorption simulations, \( \text{O}_2 \) starts to reach the membrane compartments furthest from the interface after 2.0 sec. The concentration of \( \text{PtOEP}^3 \) is very small, and is fully quenched 8.1 sec after the introduction of \( \text{O}_2 \) to the polymer. However, the concentration of \( \text{O}_2 \) continues to rise for another 140 sec. Because the concentration of excited state dye is so low, there is not a one-to-one correspondence between the intensity and the \( \text{O}_2 \) concentration in the sensor film outside the range of 1 to 50 \( \mu \text{M} \) of \( \text{O}_2 \). The distribution of \( \text{O}_2 \) through the membrane over time is shown in Figure 5c. This can be contrasted with the concentration of \( \text{PtOEP}^3 \) through the membrane over time is shown in Figure 5d.

The shape of the \( I(t) \) desorption curve for C4PTP is also captured well by the simulation (Figure 5e). There is an initial small but rapid increase in \( I(t) \) because the \( \text{O}_2 \) in the top
membrane compartments desorb in the first few seconds. From then until 50 sec, there is slower rise in intensity as O$_2$ diffuses from the lower compartments and desorbs, but the overall O$_2$ concentration within the membrane is still high enough to quench most of the dye. A more rapid increase in intensity ensues after 50 sec, once the O$_2$ concentration is no longer in excess of that needed to quench $^3$PtOEP. Finally, the maximum intensity is reached around 200 sec, once all the O$_2$ is removed from the polymer. Note that this description is observed in the simulation, shown in Figures 5g and 5h, not just inferred. The predicted O$_2$ content shown in Figure 5f is higher than that extracted from the $I(t)$ measurements. This is likely to have the same origin as discussed above for the sorption case, Figure 5b.

Sensitivities of the simulation results to uncertainties have been thoroughly evaluated. Those for $D$ and $S$ are discussed in the SI and shown in Figure S4. Those of the assumed properties of the gas-polymer –interface are presented in Figures S5 and S6 and summarized here. Saturation of surface sites by O$_2$, assuming a sticking probability of 0.1, occurs within $10^{-21}$ seconds at 21 kPa. Reduction of the sticking coefficient to $10^{-17}$ has no effect on the O$_2$ profile, nor does increasing the sticking coefficient to 1. The concentration of adsorption or desorption sites can also be varied by 1 to 2 orders of magnitude with no effect. Alteration of the surface diffusion coefficient does not change the sorption results. Reduction, though not increase, of the surface diffusion coefficient does alter the desorption. Finally, the desorption rate constant can also be reduced or increased by several orders of magnitude without altering the oxygen profiles. Because the simulation results are largely insensitive to surface kinetics at the rubbery polymer-inert gas interface, this type of simulation is insufficient to learn about them.

V. Permeation of gases through a membrane separator, experiment and simulations

Having established a suitable reaction-diffusion framework as described in the preceding sections, we use it to examine the fully time-dependent permeation data obtained in this work, capturing not only steady state permeation, but also downstream pressure rise for steady-state and pre-steady-state regimes. In this section, we present the permeation measurements, and simulations of them. In view of the insensitivity of sorption of gas by rubbery polymer sorption to assumptions of gas sticking, we report molecular dynamics calculations to provide a description of this process for one system, thus avoiding an arbitrary guess in the model.

A. Measurements of time-dependent permeation through PDMS

In this study, the permeation of the gases N$_2$, O$_2$, CO$_2$, and CH$_4$ through PDMS is investigated at 308 K for various pressure gradients. The pressure vs time data are presented in the SI. The permeability is calculated from the rate of pressure increase downstream from the membrane when steady-state is reached, and differences due to the experimental setup are accounted for in the calculation of permeation via Equation 2. The permeability values as a function of pressure gradient are given in Figure 6. Each data point represents an average value of three or more different samples and measurements. The leak rate for each measurement is kept under 0.05% of the permeate flux. Propagated measurement error ranges from 1-4%. The error bars show the sample-to-sample variability, which is 10-20%. As can be seen in Figure 6, permeability shows no dependence on pressure gradient, indicating that the sorption isotherm is also linear. Permeation values for PDMS can vary with change in base to cross-linker ratio, casting method, and annealing temperature. Our measured values are consistent within experimental error and variability of literature values.$^{33-35}$ Higher pressure gradients (600 – 850
kPa) were also investigated, but the variation was not as well characterized, and so this data is omitted from Figure 6.

Figure 6. Comparison of experimental permeability to literature values. The literature values from Ref. 33 are shown with their standard deviation as the shaded regions.

B. Calculation of sticking probability of CO$_2$ on PDMS

Because the sticking of a gas molecule to the rubbery polymer surface was found to be not kinetically limiting, molecular dynamics is used to investigate the adsorption and sticking process for one gas, CO$_2$, and assumed to be applicable to the other gases investigated. The results from 250 simulations of CO$_2$ impacts onto a PDMS surface are shown in Figure 7 and summarized in Table II. Some care must be taken in the interpretation of these results: the distinction between an adsorbed and absorbed molecule is arbitrary, especially for atoms just below the interface, and the fate of molecules adsorbed on the surface is not clear from the finite simulation time. Thus, the fraction of absorbed molecules, which is 30%, can be taken as a lower bound of the sticking coefficient, and the fraction of absorbed plus adsorbed molecules, which is 50%, can be taken as an upper bound. Since few data are available on gases sticking to PDMS or other rubbers, we use the MD data for CO$_2$ as a first reasonable guess at the sticking coefficient for any weakly interacting gas onto a rubber. The minimum sticking coefficient of 30% is used in the stochastic simulations for all gas molecules.
Figure 7. Results of molecular dynamics simulations for CO2 sticking to PDMS. Absorbed molecules are plotted in red, adsorbed molecules in green, and scattered and desorbed molecules in blue. (a) Histogram showing the distribution of outcomes from all 250 simulations. Note that the far left blue bar represents desorption in 115 simulations. (b) Distance from the surface as a function of time (for 100 randomly selected trajectories).

Table 2. Results of Molecular Dynamics study of sticking of CO2 to a PDMS surface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Absorb</th>
<th>Adsorb</th>
<th>Desorb</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Simulations of time-dependent permeation

The model framework constructed for phosphorescence quenching (Figure 3) is modified for PDMS permeation by removing the dye quenching kinetics and replacing the glass support with a gas collector volume. A 1 nm thick interfacial region is provided between the polymer and the gas collector, and the desorption steps are moved from the upstream interface to the downstream one. The size of the collection compartment is set equal to the experimental collection volume of 41.3 cm³. The multi-scale simulation setup is shown in Figure 8. Instrumental characteristics such as volumes and the measured pressure rise in the upstream chamber when gas is initially introduced are included in the model.
Figure 8. Overview of multi-scale model for a permeation simulation. The membrane is divided into 100 compartments, plus two thin interfacial compartments. An additional compartment collects gas after its permeation.

The solubility of each gas is assumed to be the literature value. The permeability measured in each experiment is divided by the literature solubility to calculate the diffusivity. We use the literature value for solubility because solubility varies only over an order of magnitude for a given polymer, whereas the diffusivity within the polymer may vary over six orders of magnitude for different permeants. Values for S and D used in this work are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Input values for multi-scale simulations for comparison to experiment. The following are constant across all simulation of experimental permeation data: the surface site concentration is 1.66 M; \( V_{ds} = 4.13 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \); \( k_{des} = 1.4 \times 10^{11} \text{ s}^{-1} \); diffusion of gases in the gas phase of 2.0 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}. The thickness is divided into 100 compartments. The adsorption rate constant is calculated from Eqn. 2 with \( \mu = 0.30 \). Sample L4S2 has a thickness of 163 \text{ µm} and an area of 0.203 cm². Sample L5S1 has a thickness of 165 \text{ µm} and an area of 0.187 cm².

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gas</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>sample ID</th>
<th>( p_{us} )</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>( k_{act} )</th>
<th>( k_{leak} )</th>
<th>initial ( [\text{gas}]_{ds} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>( 5.68 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>L4S2</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>( 2.34 \times 10^{-9} )</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>( 5.44 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>( 1.04 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>206</td>
<td>( 2.34 \times 10^{-9} )</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>( 5.17 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>( 1.04 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>311</td>
<td>( 2.39 \times 10^{-9} )</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>( 4.72 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>( 1.04 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>( 3.96 \times 10^{-8} )</td>
<td>L4S2</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>( 3.27 \times 10^{-9} )</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>( 1 \times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>( 2.08 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>206</td>
<td>( 3.26 \times 10^{-9} )</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>( 1 \times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>( 1.56 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>416</td>
<td>( 3.26 \times 10^{-9} )</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>( 1 \times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>( 1.56 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experimentally, the upstream permeant gas pressure increases over several seconds after opening the gas valve, and is tracked. The pressure vs time curve is used as an input to the simulation, and the beginning of its rise is used as the zero for the simulation time base. The internal concentration of gas in a polymer is proportional to the external pressure, \( p_{us} \),

\[
[gas_{(p)}] = S p_{us}
\]  

where the proportionality constant, \( S \), is the gas’s Henry’s law solubility and is constant over the pressure range in this study. We treat this by increasing the maximum possible concentration of the gas in the membrane in concert with the increase in external pressure, assuming instantaneous equilibration between the two. Such a dynamic treatment is necessary for agreement between simulation and experiment before steady state is reached, especially for the more permeable gases or where the upstream pressure rise takes place over a long time. More details on implementation of this part of the model are presented in the SI.

Figures 9 and 10 show the permeation curves from experiment and the corresponding simulations for \( \text{N}_2 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \), respectively, through PDMS for upstream pressures from 100 to 800 kPa. The figures for these gases are highlighted here because they represent the extremes in permeability among the gases tested; additional data on \( \text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) is available in the SI. The agreement at all times, gas types, and pressures is within experimental error, confirming that the simulation framework and the model represent the full permeation process over a wide range of conditions, Henry’s law solubilities, and permeabilities.
Figure 9. Comparison of simulation and experiment permeation curves for N\textsubscript{2}. The simulation results are shown in red. (a) All pressures. The shaded regions represent an experimental sample-to-sample standard deviation of 10%. The curves are labeled with the upstream pressure in kPa. (b) Pre-steady state for \( p_{us} = 122 \) kPa (c) Pre-steady state for \( p_{us} = 820 \) kPa. The shaded region represents the accuracy of the downstream pressure transducer. The lower limit of detection of the downstream pressure detector is 0.13 Pa, resulting in jumps in the downstream pressure in the figures showing pre-steady state. The experimental upstream pressure rise is shown in purple.

Figure 10. Comparison of simulation and experiment permeation curves for N\textsubscript{2}. The simulation results are shown in red. (a) All pressures. The shaded regions represent an experimental sample-to-sample standard deviation of 10%. The curves are labeled with the upstream pressure in kPa. (b) Pre-steady state for \( p_{us} = 206 \) kPa. The shaded region represents the accuracy of the downstream pressure transducer. The lower limit of detection of the downstream pressure detector is 0.13 Pa, resulting in jumps in the downstream pressure in the figures showing pre-steady state. The experimental upstream pressure rise is shown in purple.
VI. Predictive simulations for two rubbery membrane separations systems

The reaction-diffusion framework developed in this work is used to develop two models that involve rubbery polymers: phosphorescence quenching, and permeation through a membrane. The models have no adjustable parameters and are physically realistic, and therefore predictive. Having been validated, we use the framework for PDMS to examine two other types of systems at an exploratory level: CO\textsubscript{2} separations from air, and solar CO\textsubscript{2} photoelectrochemical reduction systems. In this section we discuss the relevant physical properties of the membrane system captured by the model, then describe the simulation results.

A. Core physical characteristics of the PDMS permeation model

Both experimental and simulation results for permeation of simple gases through PDMS presented in this work are consistent with previous studies, and add two new insights to dynamical aspects of the system. First, we find that inclusion of instantaneous increases in the maximum possible concentration as the permeant pressure increases on the upstream side is required for good agreement between the experimental and calculated pressure rise for all gases studied. While the computational treatment for single permeants is straightforward in this case, it is likely to be more complex when time-varying mixtures are involved. Second, we find that the bulk PDMS diffusion coefficient is sufficient to describe gas motion at all locations within the polymer. This indicates that tortuosity, polymer chain fluctuations, and other detailed, molecular-level characteristics are well-captured by the Fickian diffusion description, and do not need to be included explicitly.

Because the permeation process in the rubbery system was found to be insensitive to gas-polymer interfacial kinetics, MD simulations are used to obtain information about this process for one case, CO\textsubscript{2} absorption into PDMS, and show that the gas sticking probability is in the range of 30\% to 50\%. The most similar system which has been studied experimentally is the scattering of the O\textsubscript{2} gas from the surface of the hydrocarbons squalane and dodecane.\textsuperscript{37} At incident energies of 8 kJ/mol, twice the average kinetic energy for gas molecules in this study, the oxygen molecules fully transfer their excess energy to the hydrocarbon surface,\textsuperscript{37} indicating a sticking probability near 100\%. A MD study of Ar colliding with hydrocarbon self-assembled monolayers\textsuperscript{38} show that the hydrocarbon chains are able to redistribute the energy of the incoming molecule on the same timescale as the impact of the atom with the surface by recruiting a large number of low-frequency vibrational modes.\textsuperscript{38} PDMS similarly contains a large number of low-frequency interactions, and so a similar energy transfer mechanism may apply. Thus, we conclude that a sticking probability of 30\% to 50\% is reasonable for a light, inert gas molecule at ambient temperature colliding with a flexible polymer surface, though further study on this type of system would be useful.

The MD simulations did not show that CO\textsubscript{2} has a strongly preferred adsorption site, i.e. atom type, on the PDMS surface. This is expected for gas-polymer combinations with weak interactions and supports our assumption that every surface atom is an available binding site in the reaction-diffusion simulations. If strong hydrogen bonding were possible, the surface area available for adsorption would be reduced.\textsuperscript{39-40} On the other hand, if roughness were significant the surface sites available would be greater than assumed. The MD simulations show that the ratio of the instantaneous surface area to the nominal surface area gives a roughness of 1.1,
indicating that the actual surface area is only 10% greater than the ideally smooth surface assumed in the reaction-diffusion simulations. The absorption mechanism observed in the MD simulations involves CO$_2$ interacting with a gap between the polymer chains during a gas-surface collision or while transiently physisorbed, and passing directly into the polymer bulk.

We expect that in general, rubbery systems with these characteristics would be described satisfactorily using the model developed in this work at least at a qualitative level.

B. Simulations of CO$_2$ separation from air

There is currently much interest in use of permeable membranes for CO$_2$ capture from ambient air$^{41-42}$ and we use the PDMS permeation model described above to examine how a membrane with its properties would function. Experimentally, gases have been shown to permeate independently in rubbers.$^{43-44}$ Simulation of permeation of a mixture of N$_2$ and O$_2$ agrees with experiment (see SI Fig. 10).$^{44}$ We then apply this model to simulate permeation of mixtures containing several components of dry air: N$_2$, O$_2$, Ar, and CO$_2$. In Figure 9a, it is shown that the selectivity for CO$_2$ in a mixture of gases, all at the same partial pressure, is near to its ideal selectivity ($\approx$10x that of N$_2$ and $\approx$5x that of O$_2$). However, the concentration of CO$_2$ in air is low, p(CO$_2$) = 0.041 kPa. If the concentrations of gases are set to their concentrations in air (80 kPa for N$_2$, 21 kPa for O$_2$, and 0.95 kPa for Ar), the permeation of CO$_2$ nearly ceases, due to its extremely low partial pressure, as shown in Figure 9b. The simulations enable a target permeability for CO$_2$ to be estimated for a membrane that would selectively increase the CO$_2$ concentration in air. Assuming a CO$_2$ permeability value 100 times higher than for PDMS, enrichment to 24% can be achieved. This hypothetical situation is not achievable with a purely polymeric membrane because selectivity and permeability for gases of similar characteristics cannot be tuned independently.$^{45}$ The model framework presented here can be extended to evaluate hypothetical membrane compositions and architectures that could potentially achieve these characteristics and reveal new membrane designs for experimental study.

![Figure 9. Permeation for several mixtures of gases.](image)
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Figure 9. Permeation for several mixtures of gases. The permeation of a mixture of gases depends on the upstream composition and the permeability within the polymer, here PDMS. For a mixture in which all components are present in the concentrations (a), the permeation is dominated by the most permeable gas. However, if the most permeable gas is present in only small amounts, then species with lower permeability but higher concentration can dominate. For a mixture with the same composition as air (b), N$_2$ is able to permeate most effectively because it is present in the highest concentration. Even if the permeability of CO$_2$ is increased by 100 times (and holding the other permeabilities constant) (c), the downstream mixture is still only 24% CO$_2$. 

MES-REF FH-REFS
C. Simulations of solar CO$_2$ reduction product crossover between electrode chambers during a diurnal cycle

Solar fuels generators utilize membranes to separate the cathode and anode regions, and enable ion transfer between catholyte and anolyte during operation. For an example of the device architecture, see Reference 45. Reduced products are formed on the cathode side, and oxygen is formed on the anode side. The basic architecture of the commercial membranes used for solar hydrogen generators is a phase-separated polyelectrolyte with glassy regions that are impermeable to H$_2$ and O$_2$, typically dissolved in electrolyte or present as gas bubbles, and hydrated channels with good ionic conductivity. FH-REF A recent modeling study has shown that the H$_2$ and O$_2$ product crossover-blocking property of a membrane for a photoelectrochemical hydrogen generation system is crucial for efficient operation, and can be achieved by reducing conductivity since the crossover path is typically through the hydrated ionic conduction channels. FH-REF

Solar photoelectrochemical devices that convert CO$_2$ into reduced hydrocarbon and oxygenate products present a much more complicated situation. They usually generate mixtures on the cathode side, where each product may influence the others’ transport processes through the membrane, and O$_2$ on the anode side, which can diffuse in the opposing direction. FH-REF In a solar CO$_2$ reduction device, the rate of product formation and the chemical composition of the products are voltage-dependent. The cell voltage is determined by the amount of sunlight incident on the light absorber in the device, varying with time of day, season, and weather. FH-REFS It cannot be assumed that steady-state membrane operation is ever achieved; however multiphysics models generally do so because of the lack of information about their non-steady-state transport. FH-REFS The glassy matrix blocks significant permeant crossover, however exposure of glassy polymers to CO$_2$ can cause them to plasticize, resulting in development of a rubbery character over time with increased permeability, and product crossover between the electrodes chambers. FH-REFS This will potentially reduce efficiency and selectivity of these systems. Modeling studies examining optimum membrane characteristics for CO$_2$ reduction systems have not been reported to our knowledge.

The model developed in the present work for PDMS permeated by CH$_4$, a typical CO$_2$ reduction product, allows aspects of varying production rate and cross-over to be examined. Assumption of PDMS-like properties is a worst-case scenario, representing a membrane that has been extensively plasticized. The primary modification of the kinetic processes in the model is to change it from a gas-membrane system to an aqueous electrolyte-membrane system. Under such conditions, the interfacial interactions change, but transport within the membrane is unaltered. The surface adsorption rate constant $k_{ads}$ (see Reaction 1) is calculated from the Smoluchowski equation for diffusion-controlled reactions, rather than as a scattering process as in the gas-polymer case. Using the diffusion coefficient of methane in water of $2.35 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^2$/s (at 308 K) and an interaction distance of 4 Å, which is twice the van der Waals radius, then $k_{ads} = 7 \times 10^9$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. The diffusion coefficient of CH$_4$ molecules between electrolyte and interface compartments is set to 1 m$^2$/s to represent a rapidly stirred electrolyte. Once the permeant has traversed the membrane, it is removed from the downstream electrolyte.
At a typical current density for a solar photoelectrochemical device of 0.010 Amp/cm², if only methane is produced, its production rate is $13 \times 10^{-9}$ mol/cm²/s, where the geometric area of the cathode is used. For the simulation, an area of 1 cm² is used for both cathode and membrane; an electrolyte region of 0.1 cm thickness is used. For this surface area and electrolyte volume, the CH₄ production rate per area converts to a volumetric production rate of $1.30 \times 10^{-4}$ M/s. In the simulation, this is the maximum rate of CH₄ production, which is proportional to the amount of sunlight, described as a simple triangle wave lasting a total of 8 hours and shown in Figure 10a. An extended period of darkness follows, in order to measure the amount of time needed for all the CH₄ produced to cross over the membrane. The methane production reaction is implemented as the pseudo-0th order reaction

$$\text{cathode} \xrightarrow{k_{prod}} \text{cathode + CH}_4$$

(VII)

where the rate of reaction is the rate coefficient $k_{prod}$ multiplied by the amount of sunlight. This method is used because the exact concentrations of species and rate coefficients at the cathode surface are unknown. Once produced, methane may become hydrated,

$$\text{CH}_4 + \text{aq - site} \xrightarrow{k_{hyd}} \text{CH}_4(\text{aq})$$

(VIII)

or bubble out of solution as a gas

$$\text{CH}_4 \xrightarrow{k_{bub}} \text{CH}_4(\text{g})$$

(IX)

where the rate constants for hydration, $k_{hyd} = 10^{50}$ M/s, and bubbling out, $k_{bub} = 10^{25}$ M/s, are for nearly instantaneous processes. Reaction VIII is necessary to restrain the CH₄ concentration to its solubility limit in water of 0.001 M (for 308 K). It is assumed that the electrolyte is rapidly stirred such that boundary layer and mass transport limitations are negligible.

The transport properties of CH₄ in PDMS and two hypothetical polymers (Hyp1 and Hyp2) are listed in Table 5. Both hypothetical polymers have a permeability three orders of magnitude lower than PDMS. For Hyp1, all reduction in permeability comes from a reduction in the diffusivity; for Hyp2, all reduction in permeability comes from a reduction in the solubility.

As a point of reference, the values of D and S for Hyp1 are similar to those for polystyrene.

Table 5. Properties of the membranes used in the diurnal cycle simulations. The units for solubility are moles/Liter inside of the polymer per moles/Liter in the external electrolyte. The maximum concentration of methane in the electrolyte is 0.001 moles/Liter. Values for PDMS are from Reference 33. The values for PS are taken from Reference 49.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M/M</td>
<td>m²/s</td>
<td>m²/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDMS</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>$2.20 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$1.03 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS (Hyp1)</td>
<td>0.516 (0.467)</td>
<td>$6.83 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>$(2.20 \times 10^{-12})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyp2</td>
<td>$4.67 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.20 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$1.03 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The amount of CH$_4$ produced over time is shown in Figure 10b and is the same for all three simulations. The rate of CH$_4$ production increases over the first four hours, reaches its maximum at the peak of available sunlight, then declines over the next four hours, resulting in a total production of 1.87 × 10$^{-4}$ moles of CH$_4$. Because the solubility limit for gases in water is low, a constant aqueous concentration of CH$_4$ is rapidly established, as shown for PDMS, Hyp1, and Hyp2 in Figure 11a, 11d, and 11g, respectively. Additional CH$_4$ produced bubbles out as a gaseous product, as shown for PDMS, Hyp1, and Hyp2 in Figure 11b, 11e, and 11h, respectively. For a theoretical completely blocking membrane, the maximum aqueous concentration is reached in 7.8 minutes. Hyp1 and Hyp2 come close to this, with aqueous phase saturation occurring within 10 minutes. The concentration profile of CH$_4$ within the hypothetical membranes also reaches steady state within 10 minutes. In PDMS, these processes take 20 minutes. The delay in reaching steady state, compared to the hypothetical membranes, is due to the high permeability of PDMS. As CH$_4$ is entering the aqueous phase near the cathode, it is also being removed by transport across the membrane, thus delaying the build-up to its maximum concentration. However, this build-up is only a small fraction of the device’s operating time. This is an interesting situation in that, though the solar-fuels device is operating under continually changing conditions, the membrane is essentially experiencing steady-state conditions with respect to this permeant, during daylight hours.
Once CH$_4$ production ceases, it takes only 3 minutes for the remaining CH$_4$ to traverse the PDMS membrane. This means that for a very permeable membrane, any CH$_4$ that enters the aqueous phase will cross over the membrane. In contrast, the depletion of CH$_4$ from the catholyte and emptying of the remaining CH$_4$ within the membrane is estimated to take over 40 hours for the less permeable membranes. In this case, the enhanced blocking capabilities of these membranes are due not only to lower steady-state permeability but also retention of product within the membrane during dark hours.

The membrane is a key contributor to the ability to recover products from solar fuels devices. The maximum possible amount of product that can be recovered is equal to the total amount of gas produced, shown for PDMS, Hyp1, and Hyp2 in Figure 11b, 11e, and 11h, respectively. Conversely, the total amount of methane cross-over for PDMS, Hyp1, and Hyp2 are shown in Figure 11c, 11f, and 11i, respectively. For PDMS, $\approx 25\%$ of CH$_4$ crosses the membrane. Because CH$_4$ is highly permeable in PDMS, $25\%$ represents an approximate upper bound on gaseous product loss, though products with higher solubility in water may experience even greater losses. By reducing the permeability by three orders of magnitude, recovery can be increased to 99.94%. It does not matter whether this decrease in permeability comes for changes in diffusivity or solubility. Even with low permeability, it is unlikely that product cross-over can be prevented completely. Management of cross-over will remain an important consideration in design of solar-fuels devices, since some CO$_2$ reduction products, such as CO, are known to poison catalysts MES-REF and may have other unknown detrimental effects within the anolyte.
VII. Conclusions

In this work we describe a multiscale modeling study of permeation of polymer membranes by simple solutes, with the goal of developing a full description of steady-state and non-steady-state processes. We have chosen to focus on permeation of rubbery polymers by inert gases as the simplest case since the polymer transport properties are expected to be constant over time. We have confirmed that the permeation process is insensitive to permeant adsorption and absorption kinetics in these systems, consistent with the assumption made in the solution-diffusion model for membrane permeation. Two quantitatively validated models are reported built on a common reaction-diffusion framework: quenching of PtOEP phosphorescent dye in C4PTP, an oxygen sensor system, and permeation of O\textsubscript{2}, N\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{4} and CO\textsubscript{2} through a PDMS separator. The framework is extended to simulate two additional hypothetical systems, separation of CO\textsubscript{2} from air using a PDMS-like membrane, and crossover of the CO\textsubscript{2} reduction product, CH\textsubscript{4}, in a solar fuels system whose membrane separator is rubbery or glassy.

Because the goal is development of a quantitatively predictive model with no adjustable parameters, the model construction process is dependent on time-dependent permeation data as well as experimental and theoretical information on specific materials properties and processes. Such data are not commonly available in the literature so experimental and MD computational studies have been performed and are reported in this paper. The results show that a simple reaction-diffusion framework simulated using stochastic methods is adequate to capture the details of interfacial processes as well as bulk processes, covering a broad range of length and timescales. Measured quantities such as solubilities and diffusion coefficients contain enough information about solute-polymer interactions to predict experimental observables such as pressure increases and phosphorescence quenching without explicit inclusion of free volume dynamics and tortuosity, although these elements can be built into the model with straightforward modifications.

Several new insights to polymer-permeant systems have been obtained through the simulations.

(i) Time-dependent phosphorescence quenching is related in a complicated way to the amount of O\textsubscript{2} sorbed into a polymer due to the rate mismatch between dye-O\textsubscript{2} interactions and O\textsubscript{2} transport. This suggests that such sensors are best used in conjunction with models such as that presented here to ensure complete data interpretation.

(ii) The pre-steady state portion of a gas permeation curve contains a great deal of information about the nature of the gas-polymer interaction. In particular, we find it necessary to include a dynamically increasing maximum concentration within the polymer as the upstream permeant pressure increases, in accordance with Henry’s law solubility, to successfully reproduce the experimental data. This raises interesting questions about what is occurring dynamically inside the polymer, especially how interfacial processes affect the polymer bulk, and how fast this response is.
(iii) The behavior of low-permeability membranes during both steady state and non-steady state contribute to their blocking effects. It is likely that successful membrane and separation systems design for complex situations such as solar fuels generators and CO₂ capture will require integration of models such as those outlined here with experiment.

Table 6. All the symbols, their meanings and units, used in this study. The mark – indicates that the quantity is unitless.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>mass density</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pₘ</td>
<td>permeability of the membrane</td>
<td>(mol m)/(m² s Pa) for gas phase, m²/s for liquid phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>flux through membrane</td>
<td>mol/(m² s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>thickness of the membrane</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>area</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>universal gas constant</td>
<td>J/(mol K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>temperature</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>pressure</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>volume</td>
<td>m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>diffusivity</td>
<td>m²/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>solubility</td>
<td>mol/(L Pa) for gas phase, (mol/L)/(mol/L) for liquid phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>rate constant</td>
<td>M⁻ᵃ s⁻¹ *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ</td>
<td>sticking probability</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>collision frequency</td>
<td>s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kₜ</td>
<td>Boltzmann constant</td>
<td>J/K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>π</td>
<td>pi</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mₘ</td>
<td>molecular mass</td>
<td>kg/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>number of molecules</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>intensity of light emission</td>
<td>-- **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σₐ</td>
<td>absorption cross section</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ωₘ</td>
<td>energy density</td>
<td>J/m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Planck constant $\hbar$ & J s & \\
frequency of exciting light $\nu_L$ & m & \\
phosphorescent quantum yield $\Phi_P$ & -- & \\
lifetime $\tau$ & s & \\
concentration of $X$ $[X]$ & mol/L & \\
ratio of intensities $B + 1$ & -- & \\
relative concentration $\xi$ & -- & \\
absolute concentration $Q$ & mol/L & \\
position $x$ & m & \\
encounter distance $R_{AB}$ & m & \\
Avogadro’s number $N_{Av}$ & molecules/mole & \\

* with $a$ being the reaction order

** All reported intensities are normalized and so unitless.

### Supplementary Information

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at [link](http://example.com). Data tables for all figures and additional experimental data can be found in the spreadsheet at [link](http://example.com).
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