Chiral plaquette polaron theory of cuprate superconductivity
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Ab initio density functional calculations on explicitly doped La$_2$−$x$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ find that doping creates localized holes in out-of-plane orbitals. A model for cuprate superconductivity is developed based on the assumption that doping leads to the formation of holes on a four-site Cu plaquette composed of the out-of-plane $A_1$ orbitals apical O $p_z$, planar Cu $d_{x^2−y^2}$, and planar O $p_{σ}$ orbitals. This is in contrast to the assumption of hole doping into planar Cu $d_{x^2−y^2}$ and O $p_{σ}$ orbitals as in the $t$-$J$ model. Allowing these holes to interact with the $d^0$ spin background leads to chiral polarons with either a clockwise or anticlockwise charge current. When the polaron plaquettes percolate through the crystal at $x = 0.05$ for La$_2$−$x$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$, a Cu $d_{x^2−y^2}$ and planar O $p_{σ}$ band is formed. The computed percolation doping of $x = 0.05$ equals the observed transition to the “metallic” and superconducting phase for La$_2$−$x$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$. Spin exchange Coulomb repulsion with chiral polarons leads to $d$-wave superconducting pairing. The equivalent of the Debye energy in phonon superconductivity is the maximum energy separation between a chiral polaron and its time-reversed partner. This energy separation is on the order of the antiferromagnetic spin coupling energy, $J_{dd} ≈ 0.1$ eV, suggesting a higher critical temperature. An additive skew-scattering contribution to the Hall effect is induced by chiral polarons and leads to a temperature dependent Hall effect that fits the measured values for La$_2$−$x$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$. The integrated imaginary susceptibility, observed by neutron spin scattering, satisfies $\alpha T/\pi$ scaling due to chirality and spin-flip scattering of polarons along with a uniform distribution of polaron energy splittings. The derived functional form is compatible with experiments. The static spin structure factor for chiral spin coupling of the polarons to the undoped antiferromagnetic Cu $d^0$ spins is computed for classical spins on large two-dimensional lattices and is found to be incommensurate with a separation distance from $(\pi/a, \pi/a)$ given by $\delta Q = (2\pi/a)x$, where $x$ is the doping. When the perturbed $x^2−y^2$ band energy in mean field is included, incommensurability along the Cu-O bond direction is favored. A resistivity $\sim T^{\eta+1}$ arises when the polaron energy separation density is of the form $\sim \Delta e$ due to Coulomb scattering of the $x^2−y^2$ band with polarons. A uniform density leads to linear resistivity. The coupling of the $x^2−y^2$ band to the undoped Cu $d^0$ spins leads to the angle-resolved photoemission pseudogap and its qualitative doping and temperature dependence. The chiral plaquette polaron leads to an explanation of the evolution of the bilayer splitting in Bi-2212.
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I. INTRODUCTION

It is generally assumed that the relevant orbitals for understanding high temperature cuprate superconductivity arise from holes on planar Cu $d_{x^2−y^2}$ and O $p_{σ}$ orbitals. The $t$-$J$ model and its generalization to the three-band Hubbard model are believed to be the correct Hamiltonians for understanding these materials. Extensive work since the original discovery has not led to a complete understanding of the properties of the cuprates despite the rich physics contained in such a simple Hamiltonian.

In this paper, we assume that doping creates polarons composed of apical O $p_z$ hybridized with Cu $d_{x^2−y^2}$ and planar O $p_{σ}$ that form localized chiral states in the vicinity of the dopant (Sr in La$_2$−$x$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$, for example). The polaron orbital is spread over the four-site Cu plaquette near the Sr and is stabilized in a chiral state due to its interaction with the antiferromagnetic $d^0$ spins on the undoped Cu sites. This is similar to prior work suggesting that chiral spin states arise from doping, except that, in our case, the polaron is formed from out-of-plane orbitals.

As the doping is increased, the chiral polarons eventually percolate through the crystal. We assume that a Cu $d_{x^2−y^2}$ and O $p_{σ}$ delocalized band is formed in the percolating swath. This leads to our Hamiltonian of a delocalized Cu $d_{x^2−y^2}$ band interacting with chiral plaquette polarons and localized $d^0$ antiferromagnetic spins on the undoped Cu sites.

For low dopings, momentum $\mathbf{k}$ is not a good quantum number because the $x^2−y^2/p_{σ}$ band is formed on the percolating swath. This leads to broadening observed in angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements. As the doping is increased, $\mathbf{k}$ becomes a better quantum number.

With increasing doping the four-site chiral polarons crowd together in the crystal, and several changes occur. First, the apical O and single Cu closest to Sr is doped with $p_z$ instead of the four Cu’s of the plaquette. Second, the reduction of undoped $d^0$ spins decreases the energy difference between a polaron state and its time-reversed partner. Third, the number of $x^2−y^2/p_{σ}$ band electrons increases.

In our model, the superconducting $d$-wave pairing is due to the Coulomb spin exchange interaction of the $x^2−y^2$ band with chiral polarons where the Debye energy in phonon superconductors is replaced by the maximum energy difference of a polaron with its time-reversed partner (the polaron with flipped chirality and spin). This leads to an overdoped phase where superconductivity is suppressed.

Calculations in this paper of the doping values of La$_2$−$x$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ and YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+\delta}$ for the percolation of polaron plaquettes and the formation of an $x^2−y^2$ band are $x = 0.05$ and $\delta = 0.36$. The percolation of single doped apical
O \rho_\parallel and Cu \pi^2 described above is \nu = 0.20. These numbers are close to known phase transitions in La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4 Refs. 10 and 11 (\nu = 0.05 for the spin glass to superconducting transition and \nu = 0.20 for the orthorhombic to tetragonal transition) and YBa_2Cu_3O_y+\delta (Ref. 12) (\delta = 0.35 for the antiferromagnetic to superconducting transition).

Chiral polarons couple to the Cu \delta^6 spins on the undoped sites and distort the antiferromagnetic order, leading to incommensurate magnetic neutron scattering peaks. The charge current of the polaron induces a chiral coupling of the form \pm J_d [S_d \cdot (S_d \times S_d \cdot g)] \psi_{\pm 1} \psi_{\pm 1}, where S_d is the polaron spin and the subscripts \pm 1 and \pm 2 represent Cu \pi^2-\pi^2 spins at adjacent sites. The sign of the interaction is determined by the chirality of the polaron. This term is in addition to an antiferromagnetic coupling between the polaron spin and a neighboring \sigma^6 spin, J_\sigma, and the \delta^6-\delta^6 spin coupling, J_\delta\delta.

We have performed energy minimizations on large lattices of classical spins doped with chiral plaquette polarons over a range of coupling parameters to compute the static spin structure factor. These calculations are similar to previous computations of the correlation length and incommensurability due to chiral plaquettes using the Grempel algorithm to search for a global minimum. A neutron incommensurability peak consistently appears on a circle in \pi space centered at (\pi/a, \pi/a) with a radius \nu = (2\pi/a)x. This result misses the kinetic energy perturbation of the \delta^6-\pi^2 band. Computing this contribution in mean field selects the incommensurate peaks along the Cu-O bond directions in accord with experiments.

If the energy difference between a chiral state and its time-reversed partner, where the spin and chirality are flipped, is uniformly distributed over an energy range larger than the temperature, then the dynamical magnetic response of the energy separation of these states may be approximately uniform.

There are four possible orbital state symmetries for a polaron delocalized over a four Cu plaquette. They are S, D_{xy}, and P_{x \pm iy}, where the last two states are chiral. x' and y' refer to axes along the diagonals. Coulomb scattering of Cu \pi^2-\pi^2 band electrons with polarons leads to a linear resistivity in the case of a uniform energy distribution of the energies of the four polaron states. This may be uniform for the same reasons discussed above for neutron scaling. Any nonuniformity of the energy distribution spectrum makes the resistivity nonlinear.

Spin exchange Coulomb scattering of an \pi^2-\pi^2 Cooper pair (k \uparrow, -k \downarrow) with a chiral polaron P_{x \pm iy} and spin s into the time-reversed intermediate state P_{x \mp iy} \pi^2 and spin \mp s leads to an anisotropic repulsion that is peaked for scattering of a Cooper pair with k near (\pm \pi, 0) to k' near (0, \pm \pi) and k near (0, \pm \pi) to k' near (\pm \pi, 0). There are two necessary conditions to obtain a \delta-\pi wave superconducting pairing. First, the time-reversal symmetry must be broken such that P_{x \pm iy} \mp iy and spin \pi \pm s. The maximum energy separation of these two polarons replaces the Debye energy in phonon superconductivity. Second, the polaron must be spread out over more than one site so that phase differences in the initial and final \pi^2-\pi^2 band states can interfere. A single site polaron would lead to an isotropic repulsion and no superconductivity.

In zero magnetic field, there is an equal number of polarons of each chirality. A magnetic field creates more polarons of one chirality than the other. An \pi^2-\pi^2 band electron scattering from a chiral polaron is skew scattered due to a second-order Coulomb repulsion with a polaron, where the polaron orbital changes in the intermediate state. This leads to an additive skew-scattering contribution to the Hall effect proportional to the difference of the number of “plus” and “minus” polarons. For high temperatures, the difference is \sim 1/T.

For the hole-doped cuprates, the polarons are holes. The Coulomb matrix element is negative, U < 0, since the change in the Hamiltonian amounts to the removal of a Coulomb coupling. Although we have not identified the nature of the polaron in the electron-doped system Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCuO_4, the same argument makes U > 0.

The skew-scattering contribution is derived and computed for reasonable values of the parameters. It is found that the sign change between the hole-doped and electron-doped cuprates appears due to the sign change of U. The magnitude of the skew-scattering term is shown to be large enough to account for the experimental data. The derived functional form for the temperature dependence is shown to fit the data on La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4. To our knowledge, the only explanation for this sign difference between the hole- and electron-doped cuprates arises from the additional (\pi, \pi) nesting of the Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCuO_4 Fermi surface.

The \delta^6 undoped spins interact with the \pi^2-\pi^2 band electrons. They induce a coupling of a state with momentum k to k \pm Q, where Q = (\pi, \pi) is the incommensurate peak momentum. This leads to an ARPES pseudogap. The strength of the \delta^6 antiferromagnetism decreases with increasing temperature, making the pseudogap close with temperature. At low doping, there are more undoped \delta^6 spins, and the coupling to the \pi^2-\pi^2 band electrons is larger than the coupling at higher doping. The pseudogap increases with decreasing doping, while \TC is reduced. The couplings leading to the pseudogap and superconductivity are different in our model.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, the experimental and theoretical arguments for the existence of \rho_\parallel holes with doping are examined. In particular, experiments considered to establish the validity of the t-J model and preclude any substantial off-plane character are addressed. Section III defines the chiral plaquette polarons. Section IV calculates the percolation phase transitions and compares them to the La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4 and YBa_2Cu_3O_y+\delta phase diagrams. Section V describes classical spin calculations of the neutron structure factor, including the effect of the \delta^6 and polaron spin incommensurability on the kinetic energy of the band \pi^2-\pi^2 electrons. Incommensurate peaks along the Cu-O bond direction are obtained. The polaron magnetic susceptibility is calculated, assuming a uniform probability distribution of polaron energy level separations, and is shown to satisfy \omega/T scaling. In Sec. VI, the Coulomb interactions of \pi^2-\pi^2 band states with chiral plaquette polarons is examined to determine the possible su-
perconducting pairing channels. The spin exchange interaction leads to an anisotropic repulsion of the form sufficient to create a $d$-wave gap with nodes. Section VII describes the resistivity and Hall effect due to Coulomb interactions with chiral polarons. If the distribution of energy separations of polaron states with different symmetries is uniform, then the resistivity is linear. A magnetic field produces a difference in “up” and “down” chiral polarons, leading to an additive skew-scattering contribution to the ordinary band Hall effect, with a temperature dependence consistent with measurements. The magnitude and temperature dependence of the skew scattering is calculated. Section VIII A describes our model of the ARPES pseudogap and its doping and temperature dependence. Section VIII B discusses the doping and temperature dependence of the bilayer splitting observed in ARPES on Bi-2212. Section IX discusses the NMR data of Takigawa et al.\textsuperscript{38} that is assumed to be strong evidence for a one-component theory because of the similar temperature dependencies of the Knight shifts of planar Cu and O in underdoped YBa$\textsubscript{2}$Cu$_3$O$_{6.63}$. We argue qualitatively that these results are compatible with our model. Section X presents our conclusions.

II. EXISTENCE OF $A_1$ HOLES

A. Ab initio calculations

Becke-3-Lee-Yang-Parr (B3LYP) is a three parameter hybrid density functional that includes 20\% exact Hartree-Fock exchange.\textsuperscript{38–43} Its success has extended beyond its original domain of parametrization to include the thermochemistry of compounds containing transition metals.\textsuperscript{44–47}

Several years ago,\textsuperscript{38} we performed ab initio periodic band structure computations using the spin unrestricted B3LYP functional on undoped La$_2$CuO$_4$ and explicitly doped\textsuperscript{9} La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$. For the undoped insulator, the antiferromagnetic insulator with the experimental band gap of 2.0 eV was obtained.\textsuperscript{49}

Prior to this calculation, the insulating state had been obtained by extending local spin density computations, which yielded zero gap or a metal to approximately incorporate the self-interaction correction not accounted for in this functional. Table I chronologically summarizes corrections to the initial local density approximation (LDA) results and their computed band gaps.

Our result showed that an off-the-shelf functional with an established track record\textsuperscript{44–47} for molecular systems could reproduce the results of more elaborate LDA corrections. In addition, we found the highest occupied states to have more out-of-plane orbital character (apical O $p_z$ and Cu $z^2$) than what LDA obtained. Svane\textsuperscript{53} also made this observation in his self-interaction corrected (SIC) computation.

In a second paper,\textsuperscript{9} we explicitly doped La$_2$CuO$_4$ with Sr to form supercells of La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ at special dopings of $x =0.125, 0.25, \text{and} 0.50$. We found that an additional hole was obtained for each Sr atom that localized in the vicinity of the dopant of apical O $p_z$, Cu $z^2$, and an $A_{1g}$ combination of planar O $p_o$ characters. The Cu sites split into undoped and doped sites. The undoped sites had a $d^9 x^2-y^2$ hole, and the doped sites were still predominantly $d^9$ with a mixture of $x^2-y^2$ and $z^2$ hole characters. There was a corresponding hole character on the neighboring O atoms in and out of the plane with the appropriate $B_{1g}$ and $A_{1g}$ symmetries. This led us to argue that out-of-plane hole orbitals are a generic characteristic of cuprates and must be considered in developing theories of these materials.

At the time, B3LYP had an established track record with molecular systems, but its use for crystal band structures was in its infancy. This is likely due to the difficulty of including exact Hartree-Fock exchange into periodic band structure codes.

Since the appearance of our doped Sr work, it has been found that B3LYP does remarkably well at obtaining the band gaps of insulators.\textsuperscript{58–61} Hybrid functionals appear to compensate the overestimation of the gap from Hartree-Fock with the underestimation arising from local density and gradient corrected functionals. Thus, we believe density functionals have established the existence of a nonplanar hole character in La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$. For La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$, there are five Cu sites in the vicinity of a Sr atom in two distinct Cu$_2$O$_2$ planes. The Sr is centered over four Cu in a square plaquette. The fifth Cu couples to the Sr through the neighboring apical O between them, as shown in Fig. 1. The hole state composed of apical O $p_z$, Cu $z^2$, and planar O $p_o$, as shown in Fig. 1, appeared with Sr doping.

The polaron state with the hole delocalized over two diagonally opposed Cu in the four Cu plaquette is higher in energy in our ab initio calculation by 0.57 eV for each Sr or 0.071 eV for each f.u of La$_{1.875}$Sr$_{0.125}$CuO$_4$. The value of 0.57 eV is an upper bound since our geometry optimizations only allowed the apical O sites to relax. The polaron localizes on two Cu sites due to spin exchange coupling with the $x^2-y^2$ hole and the antiferromagnetic spin ordering of the $x^2-y^2$ holes in our periodic supercells. In this paper, the hole state in Fig. 1 obtained from our ab initio calculations is not taken to be the correct polaron. Instead, we postulate that Sr doping leads to chiral polarons over the four plaquette Cu atoms shown in Fig. 2. This is discussed in Sec. III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Bandgap (eV)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSDA</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Yu et al. (Ref. 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSDA</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Mattheiss (Ref. 51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSDA</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Pickett (Ref. 52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC-LSDA</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Svane (Ref. 53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSDA+U</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Anisimov et al. (Ref. 54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC-LSDA</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Temmerman et al. (Ref. 55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSDA+U</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Czyzyk and Sawatzky (Ref. 56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>Su et al. (Ref. 57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UB3LYP</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Perry et al. (Ref. 48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Ginder et al. (Ref. 49)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The second assumption, that the polarons are chiral, is true for a localized polaron interacting with an infinite $d^0$ antiferromagnetic lattice in two dimensions by mapping the two-dimensional (2D) Heisenberg antiferromagnet to a continuum model and analyzing the effective Hamiltonian arising from a path integral formulation. These papers did not specifically consider an out-of-plane hole, but the analysis is applicable in our scenario. This is discussed further in Sec. III.

**B. Experiment**

Resonance circular dichroism photoemission investigating the spin of the occupied states near the Fermi level find a preponderance of singlet occupied states just below the Fermi level in CuO and Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+\delta}$ (2212). These results are considered strong evidence in favor of the correctness of the $t$-$J$ model. In particular, it is expected that out-of-plane $p_z$ bands, and $A_{1g}$ $p_x$ would lead to triplet occupied states near $E_F$ due to the exchange Coulomb coupling to the orthogonal $x^2-y^2$ orbital. Since the prima facie evidence is against our proposal, we review the measurement and its interpretation.

We show that our assumption of a delocalized $x^2-y^2$ band on the percolating out-of-plane polaron doped Cu sites leads to a null effect for resonance absorption on these sites. This arises because a delocalized $x^2-y^2$ band electron spin has no correlation to the polaron spin. Thus, the experiment measures the spin of the highest occupied states on the undoped Cu $d^0$ sites, where it is expected that the first holes would be created in $B_{1g}$ combinations of ligand planar O $p_x$ orbitals that form a singlet with the $x^2-y^2$ $d^0$ hole (the Zhang-Rice singlet).

The idea behind the dichroism experiment is to use circularly polarized incident soft x rays tuned to the Cu $L_3$ ($2p_{3/2}$) white line energy (~931.5 eV). The incident x rays induce the photoabsorption transition $2p^63d^9 + h\nu \rightarrow 2p^5d^{10}$ that Auger decays to an ARPES final state $2p^5d^{10} + e$. The spin-orbit energy separation of the core-hole $2p_{1/2}$ and $2p_{3/2}$ states is sufficiently large (~20 eV) to guarantee that the intermediate state is a $j=3/2$ core hole.

By monitoring the outgoing electron energy and spin along the incident photon direction for each photon helicity, $\sigma^+$ and $\sigma^-$, the total spin of the final Cu $d^0$ is obtained. In the Bi-2212 experiment the photon is incident normal to the CuO$_2$ planes. The analysis below is for a normally incident photon. For La$_2$CuO$_4$, the transition rates are slightly different for the CuO

![FIG. 1. La$_2$CuO$_4$ with one doped Sr atom. Ab initio calculations (Ref. 9) find an $A_1$ hole localized above the Sr with hole character on the apical O $p_z$, Cu $z^2$, and planar O $p_x$ orbitals. The $p_z$ character above the doped Cu is smaller than the $p_z$ below the Cu, leading to an $A_1$ state rather than an $A_{1g}$ one.](image1)

![FIG. 2. Orbital schematic of chiral polarons postulated in our model. We assume that these chiral hole states are the most stable due to interactions with the undoped $d^0$ Cu lattice spins.](image2)
Photon polarization operators may be written as the occupied electrons. The other is ejected and are omitted in the wave function. The $3d_{z^2}$ orbital is doubly occupied, and the single $x^2-y^2$ electron is in a spin state along a direction that may be different from the incident photon direction. It is represented as a linear combination of $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$ along the incident photon direction with $|A_1|^2+|A_2|^2=1$. By summing over all helicities and exiting electron spin directions, the photoemission becomes independent of the initial direction of the $x^2-y^2$ electron, as shown below.

Writing $\hat{x}$, $\hat{y}$, and $\hat{z}$ for the angular part of the Cartesian variables, $x/r$, $y/r$, and $z/r$, the relevant wave functions and photon polarization operators may be written as $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ = $C_2(3z^2-r^2)$, $d_{3z^2-r^2} = \sqrt{3}C_2(x^2-r^2)$, and $Y_{1\pm 1} = C_1(1/\sqrt{2}) \times (\hat{x} \pm \hat{y})$, where $C_1 = \sqrt{3/4\pi}$ and $C_2 = \sqrt{5/16\pi}$. The mod-squared matrix elements for resonance absorption of $2p^6;3d_{z^2} \uparrow;3d_{x^2-y^2};(A_1 \uparrow + A_1 \downarrow)$ to the intermediate $2p_{3/2}$ core-hole states are

$$[2p^6;3d_{z^2} \uparrow;3d_{x^2-y^2};(A_1 \uparrow + A_1 \downarrow)]$$

and

$$[2p^6;3d_{z^2} \uparrow;3d_{x^2-y^2};(A_1 \uparrow + A_1 \downarrow)]$$

where $\hbar \omega^+$ are positively and negatively circularly polarized photons.

The Auger scattering rates of the four $2p_{3/2}$ intermediate states, where one $x^2-y^2$ electron fills the $2p_{3/2}$ core hole and the other is ejected are

$$\frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} d^0 \rightarrow |2p^6|d_{z^2} \uparrow \rangle + e^\uparrow = |V|^2,$$

$$\frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{2} d^0 \rightarrow |2p^6|d_{z^2} \uparrow \rangle + e^\uparrow = |V|^2,$$

$$\frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{2} d^0 \rightarrow |2p^6|d_{z^2} \downarrow \rangle + e^\downarrow = |V|^2,$$

where $|V|^2$ is the Auger matrix element. The $z^2$ is doubly occupied, making the $d^0$ state a singlet. There are analogous matrix elements if the Auger process scatters the two $z^2$ electrons instead of $x^2-y^2$ and also if the final $d^0$ is composed of one electron in $z^2$ and one in $x^2-y^2$ in a singlet configuration.

The total scattering rate is given by the products through the various intermediate states. Using the convention $\sigma^+$, $\sigma^-$, $\sigma^\uparrow$, and $\sigma^\downarrow$ to represent a positively circularly polarized photon ejecting an electron with $\uparrow$ spin, etc., the scattering leaving a singlet $d^0$ final state is

$$\sigma^+ = \frac{2}{9}|A_1|^2|V|^2,$$

$$\sigma^- = \frac{2}{9}|A_1|^2|V|^2,$$

$$\sigma^\uparrow = \frac{2}{9}|A_1|^2|V|^2,$$

$$\sigma^\downarrow = \frac{2}{9}|A_1|^2|V|^2.$$
for pure triplet
dx tsistent with the
and triplet characters in the occupied states below
that would Hund’s rule triplet couple to the
model and in contradiction to
the undoped sites with singlet holes near the Fermi energy.

The second experiment we consider is polarized x-ray absorption on La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4 for x=0.04–0.30.36 A substantial O absorption with z-axis polarized x rays indicates that there are holes in apical O p_z. In addition, x-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) measurements observe a displacement of the apical O away from the Sr toward Cu, consistent with hole formation in O p_z. Since the p_z hole character is compatible with our out-of-plane polaron assumption, we focus on the Cu result.

The Cu absorption finds a few percent z^2 character on the Cu sites. Our ab initio calculations find the z^2 hole character to be approximately 85% of the x^2−y^2 hole character. It is too large compared with experiment. One could argue that the many-body response to the formation of a Cu 2p core hole is different for an undoped Cu, versus a doped Cu where the delocalized x^2−y^2 band may suppress the white line due to the orthogonality catastrophe or more strongly screen the core-hole potential. We are not convinced that this is the sole reason for the small amount of the z^2 character observed in the white line.

A possible explanation is that the chiral polaron, spread out over four Cu sites as in Fig. 2, has more p_x and p_y characters at the expense of z^2 from delocalization compared to the polaron centered around a single Cu site in Fig. 1. A recent neutron pair distribution analysis,66 is more compatible with a chiral plaquette polaron. In this case, extracting a very small signal from a bulk average of bond distances and then using the measured bond distances to infer orbital occupations is very model dependent.

III. CHIRAL POLARONS
The higher energy antibonding electronic states with apical p_x, z^2, and p_x over a four Cu doped plaquette are shown in Fig. 3. The P_x and P_y are degenerate. For simplicity, we have taken the two apical O p_z above and below each Cu and the Cu z^2 and 4s to be one A_1 orbital. Thus, there are a total of eight states. The figure does not show the lower energy three bonding states (E and B_2) since they are occupied.

Table II lists the energies of the eight polaron states for the case where the orbital energy of the “effective” A_1 composed of p_x and z^2 is taken to be equal to the p_x orbital energy, e_x=e_p=0. There is an effective hopping matrix element, t_{p_z} from p_z to p_x, t_{pp} is the diagonal p_x matrix element. It is expected that 0<<t_{pp}<t_{pp}.

The antiferromagnetic (AF) interaction of the polaron spin with the undoped Cu d^9 lattice renormalizes these cou-
Other sublattice. The additional energy of an S localiza-

Fifth state of the A_{2g} symmetry is composed entirely of p_{x} orbitals and is not a polaron state. This state becomes part of the delocalized x^{2} − y^{2} band at the unoccupied k state (π, π) and the occupied bonding x^{2} − y^{2}, p_{x} band. We assume that an interaction with the undoped d^{9} spin background, as shown in prior work (Ref. 4–7), makes the chiral combinations p_{x} ± i p_{y} the most unstable electronic states (most stable hole states). When these chiral states percolate through the crystal, we further assume the electronic states composed of x^{2} − y^{2} and p_{x} delocalize over these doped sites. There are a total of eight states. The lowest three have E (P_{x}, and P_{y}) and B_{2} (D_{xy}) symmetries and are bonding combinations of the states in the figure. They are always occupied. The energies of the states are listed in Table II.

Plaquettes, but we expect P_{x} and P_{y} to remain the most unstable electronic states.

The effect of the undoped d^{9} spin background is seen in mean field where the d^{9} AF spins surrounding a plaquette are frozen with an ↑ spin on one sublattice and a ↓ spin on the other sublattice. The additional energy of an S or D_{xy} polaron with spin σ due to AF coupling of σ with the d^{9} spins is zero since the average d^{9} spin seen by the polaron is zero. For P states, the polaron spin couples to one sublattice. σ can be aligned with the sublattice spin leading to a further destabilization of the P state.

P hole states were found in the exact diagonalization of Gooding 8 for a t-J model on a 4 × 4 lattice, with an additional hole allowed to delocalize on the interior 2 × 2 lattice.

### TABLE II. Polaron symmetries and energies from highest stable electronic states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Symmetry</th>
<th>Energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P_{x}, P_{y}</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>+√2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{xy}</td>
<td>B_{2}</td>
<td>−t_{pp} + √2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>A_{1}</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{x}, P_{y}</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>−√2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{xy}</td>
<td>B_{2}</td>
<td>−t_{pp} − √2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not polaron</td>
<td>A_{2} Coupled to $x^{2} − y^{2}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is in accordance with theoretical predictions. 4–7 Based on the energies in Table II, the mean-field description of the d^{9} spins, and exact results on a 4 × 4 lattice, 8 we assume that the polaron hole has a P symmetry.

For a single hole delocalized in a small region of an AF spin background, it has been shown 4–7 that the chiral states 1/2( P_{x} ± i P_{y} ) are the correct spontaneous symmetry breaking states for the hole rather than P_{x} and P_{y}, because the complex linear combinations are compatible with the long range twisting of the AF lattice spins into a stable configuration topologically distinct from the AF ground state. 67

In this paper we assume that doping introduces hole character in out-of-plane orbitals that can delocalize over a small number of sites in the vicinity of the dopant. The most favorable configuration for the polaron is taken to be the chiral state. If there was a single dopant in an infinite d^{9} crystal, then the chiral states, 1/2( P_{x} ± i P_{y} ), would be degenerate. These two states are time-reversed partners.

In a finitely doped system, the environment of each polaron is different and the two chiral states may have different energies. We assume that, in a doped cuprate, the chiral states are the correct polaron eigenstates, but the energies of the two states may be different. This leads to a model of the polarons where the splitting between the chiral states is about all the other states represented in Table II and Fig. 3 are distributed differently for each plaquette. The assumption of a completely uniform probability distribution of different polaron state energies throughout the crystal leads to neutron ω/Γ scaling, as shown in Sec. V A. A linear resistivity, derived in Sec. VII, arising from the Coulomb scattering of x^{2} − y^{2} band electrons with the polarons is also obtained with a uniform energy distribution.

This model of nondegenerate chiral polarons implies that the time-reversal symmetry is broken. At any instant, the number of up chiral polarons should equal the number of down chiral polarons, and, macroscopically, the cuprate is time-reversal invariant. There is recent experimental evidence for local time-reversal symmetry breaking in neutron scattering. 68

### IV. PERCOLATION

There are three basic assumptions of our model. First, doping leads to additional holes in out-of-plane orbitals that form chiral states, as shown in Figs. 2 and 3.

Second, when these polaron plaquettes percolate through the crystal, a band is formed with the x^{2} − y^{2} and p_{x} orbitals on the percolating swath. This metal band interacts with the x^{2} − y^{2} hole d^{9} spins on the undoped Cu sites and the plaquette polarons. The random distribution of impurities leads to a distribution of the energy separations of polaron states shown in Fig. 3.

Third, this energy distribution is uniform. The linear resistivity arises from this assumption, as shown in Sec. VII. Since the resistivity is nonlinear for certain dopings and temperature ranges, this assumption is not always valid.

The transitions from a spin glass to a superconductor in La_{2−x}Sr_{x}CuO_{4} at x = 0.05 (Ref. 10) and from an antiferromagnet to a superconductor at δ = 0.35 (Ref. 12) in
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percolation calculations.

In this section, the site percolation doping values are computed for \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_4 \) and \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x} \). Reasonable assumptions for the distribution of plaquettes are used to approximately simulate the repulsion of the dopants. The computed values are close to known phase transitions in these materials.

We also computed the percolation for two additional systems. The first is \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_4 \), where each Sr dopes exactly one Cu site, as shown in Fig. 1, and the second is a 2D square lattice with plaquette doping. The computed \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_4 \) 1-Cu percolation value of \( x \approx 0.20 \) is associated with the observed orthorhombic to tetragonal phase transition.\(^{10}\)

For the 2D square lattice with four Cu plaquette doping, percolation occurs at \( x \approx 0.15 \). We believe that the 2D percolation of the plaquettes should be associated with the transition from an insulator to a metal at \( x \approx 0.15 \) found by low-temperature resistivity measurements in large pulsed magnetic fields.\(^{69}\) This is further discussed in Sec. VII.

All percolation computations described here were performed using the linear scaling algorithm of Newman and Ziff.\(^{70}\) In all these calculations, we simplify the problem by using Cu sites only. For \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_4 \), we take each Cu to have four neighbors in the plane at vectors \((\pm a, 0, 0)\) and \((0, \pm b, 0)\) and eight neighbors out of the plane at \((\pm a/2, \pm b/2, \pm c/2)\), where \( a, b, \) and \( c \) are the cell dimensions. Thus, each Cu has a total of 12 neighbors in the site percolation calculations.

For all \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x} \) calculations, we take each planar Cu to be connected to a total of six Cu atoms. There are four

nearest neighbors in the same CuO2 plane, one neighboring Cu on the adjacent CuO2 across the intervening Y atom and one Cu on the neighboring chain. The Cu chain is connected to the two Cu atoms in the CuO2 planes above and below itself. We assume an O chain atom dopes three Cu atoms, two in CuO2 planes and the corresponding Cu chain as shown in the constraints of Fig. 5.

Table III lists the computed percolation values for \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_4 \), a 2D square lattice, and \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x} \) for various types of doping and doping constraints. These constraints were chosen to simulate the repulsion of the dopants and are approximations to the actual distribution of dopants in the cuprates.

The \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_4 \) and \( \text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x} \) calculations are on \( 200 \times 200 \times 200 \) lattices with 2500 different dopings. The square lattice size is \( 2000 \times 2000 \) with 5000 different dopings.

The first \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_4 \) is the critical doping percolation for percolation of doped Cu, where each Sr dopes the single Cu shown in Fig. 1 instead of the four Cu plaquette of Fig. 2. Although we assume that plaquettes are created at low dopings, once the doping is large enough, there is crowding of the plaquettes. Single Cu polarons are formed. This single Cu percolation calculation is an approximate measure of the doping for the transition from predominantly doped plaquettes to single site polarons. A phase transition at this crossover doping is expected. The computed percolation of \( x \approx 0.20 \) matches the orthorhombic to tetragonal transition\(^{10}\) doping.

From the table, the critical doping for three-dimensional (3D) plaquette percolation in \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_4 \) is \( x \approx 0.05 \) regardless of the applied doping constraints and matches the

![Fig. 4. Applied Sr doping constraints used for the \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_4 \) and 2D square lattice plaquette percolation calculations shown in Table III. For each four Cu plaquette in Figs. 2 and 3, two Sr atoms, one above and one below the plaquette (upper and lower Sr), can dope the Cu's. Each figure shows the disallowed configuration of Sr doping. It is assumed that all \( \pm 90^\circ \) and \( 180^\circ \) rotated configurations are equivalent to the figure and also disallowed. (a) Upper and lower Sr doping the same plaquette. (b) A Cu atom in a plaquette doped by two different Sr atoms. This figure includes the three cases of two upper Sr, two lower Sr, and one upper and one lower Sr. This is the no overlap constraint. (c) Adjacent plaquettes doped by two upper Sr or two lower Sr. (d) Adjacent plaquettes doped by one upper Sr and one lower Sr. (e) Nearest-neighbor upper Sr and lower Sr in different LaO planes.](https://link.to/image)
spin glass to superconductor transition. This is because the plaquette percolation values are approximately 1/4 of the single Cu percolation result of $x = 0.20$.

For YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+\delta}$ the more realistic doping constraints are the second and third cases where $\delta = 0.33$ and $\delta = 0.36$ since O chains should not have a preference of which Cu triple to dope. Experiment finds $\delta \approx 0.35$.

From these results, we conclude that the plaquette polaron model with percolation can obtain known insulator to metal phase transitions in La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ and YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+\delta}$.

V. NEUTRON SCALING AND INCOMMENSURABILITY

A. Scaling

Neutron spin scattering measures the imaginary part of the magnetic susceptibility, $\chi(q, \omega)$.

The integral of the imaginary part of the spin susceptibility $\int d^2q \chi''(q, \omega)$ over the Brillouin zone, where $\chi = \chi' + i\chi''$, has been found to be a function of $\omega/T$. The integral is the on-site magnetic spin susceptibility. The $\omega/T$ scaling is unusual because $\chi'' \sim \omega/J_{dd}$ for an antiferromagnet and $\chi'' \sim \omega/E_F$ for a band, where $J_{dd}$ is the $d^0$ AF spin coupling and $E_F$ is the $x^2-y^2$ band Fermi energy.

In this section, we show that the single polaron susceptibility is a function of $\omega/T$ when the energy difference between polaron chiral states with opposite spins and chiralities is uniformly distributed.

The $q$ dependence of the polaron susceptibility, $\chi''_p(q, \omega)$, is peaked at $q=0$ if spin-flip polaron scattering dominates at low energy. $\chi''_p(q, \omega)$ is peaked at $q = \pi/\tau$ if the polaron spin and chirality flips are at low energy. The latter scatters the polaron to its time-reversed state. The time-reversed chiral polars are the low energy excitations, as shown in Sec. V B. The $q$ dependence is broad because the polaron is localized over a four-site plaquette. Since the total susceptibility is dominated by $q$ near $(\pi, \pi)$, the polaron susceptibility is approximately momentum independent, $\chi''_p(q, \omega) \approx \chi''_p(\omega)$.

The imaginary part of the polaron susceptibility is found to be of the form $\chi''_p(\omega) \propto \text{tanh}(\beta\omega/2)$ and satisfies scaling. The coupling of the polaron spin and chiral orbital state to the undoped $d^0$ spins causes the total susceptibility to become incommensurate. This is shown in Sec. V B, where we compute the static spin structure factor for classical spins perturbed by chiral polars.

In this section, we show that coupling to the undoped $d^0$ spins leads to a dynamic susceptibility consistent with the measured form in Eq. (36).

Consider a polaron as in Fig. 6 with energy $\Delta$ separating the down spin state from the up spin and occupations $n_i$ and $n_i$ in thermal equilibrium. Since the polaron is always singly occupied, $n_1 + n_\uparrow = 1$, and $n_\uparrow = e^{\beta\Delta} n_\uparrow$. Solving for $n_\uparrow$ and $n_\uparrow$,

$$n_\uparrow = f(-\Delta), \quad n_\uparrow = f(\Delta),$$

where $f(e)$ is the Fermi-Dirac function,

$$f(e) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta e} + 1}. \quad (24)$$
the two spin states. The total absorption rate is
\[ \Gamma = H(\epsilon, \omega) \]

Bei consider an applied magnetic field \( B \) normal to the spin quantization axis. The alternating field induces transitions between the two states if \( \Delta = \omega \).

Let \( W = W_{\uparrow\downarrow} = W_{\downarrow\uparrow} \) be the induced transition rate between the two spin states. The total absorption rate is
\[ \langle P(\Delta) \rangle = \hbar \omega W(n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow). \] (25)

Using Eq. (23),
\[ \langle P(\Delta) \rangle = \hbar \omega W[f(-|\Delta|) - f(|\Delta|)]. \] (26)
\[ \langle P(\Delta) \rangle = \hbar \omega W \tanh(\beta|\Delta|/2). \] (27)

The absolute values of \( \Delta \) are used above because the absorption rate is independent of which spin state is lower in energy. The transition rate \( W \) is
\[ W(\Delta) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \mu^2 B^2 \delta(\omega - |\Delta|). \] (28)

Averaging \( W \) over all spin quantization directions multiplies Eq. (28) by 2/3,
\[ \langle W(\Delta) \rangle = \frac{4\pi}{3\hbar} \mu^2 B^2 \delta(\omega - |\Delta|). \] (29)

Let \( \rho(\Delta) \) to be the probability distribution of energy differences for spin and chirality flips. Summing over all polarons, the total absorption rate is
\[ \langle P(\omega) \rangle = \hbar \omega \int d\Delta \rho(\Delta) \tanh(\beta|\Delta|/2) \langle W(\Delta) \rangle. \] (30)
\[ \langle P(\omega) \rangle = \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \right) \omega \mu^2 B^2 [\rho(\omega) + \rho(-\omega)] \tanh\left( \frac{\beta \omega}{2} \right). \] (31)
\[ \langle P(\omega) \rangle = \left( \frac{8\pi}{3} \right) \omega \mu^2 B^2 \rho(\omega) \tanh\left( \frac{\beta \omega}{2} \right). \] (32)

where \( \rho(-\Delta) = \rho(\Delta) \) because there is an equal number of polarons with an up spin lower in energy than a down spin and polarons with down spins lower in energy than up spins.

The absorption rate can be written in terms of the imaginary part of the polaron susceptibility as
\[ \langle P(\omega) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \omega \chi''(\omega) B^2. \] (33)

leading to the imaginary susceptibility per polaron of
\[ \chi''(\omega) = \left( \frac{16\pi}{3} \right) \mu^2 \rho(\omega) \tanh\left( \frac{\beta \omega}{2} \right). \] (34)

The probability density of polaron energy separations \( \rho(\Delta) \) is taken to be uniform with \( f d\Delta \rho(\Delta) = 1 \) and is of the form
\[ \rho(\Delta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\Delta_{\text{max}}}, & -\Delta_{\text{max}} < \Delta < \Delta_{\text{max}} \\ 0, & |\Delta| > \Delta_{\text{max}} \end{cases} \] (35)

where \( \Delta_{\text{max}} \) is doping dependent, \( \Delta_{\text{max}} = \Delta_{\text{max}}(x) \).

Equations (34) and (35) show that the polaron susceptibility is a function of \( \omega/T \) and has the approximate form seen in experiments. The functional form of \( \chi''(\omega) \) increasing from \( \chi''(0) = 0 \) and saturating for \( \beta \omega \ll 1 \) arises from the thermal occupations of polaron states with energy splitting \( \omega \). When \( \beta \omega \ll 1 \), the two states have almost equal occupations and the absorbed energy is small from Eqs. (25) and (26). For \( \beta \omega \gg 1 \), the lower energy state is always occupied and the higher energy spin state is always unoccupied. In this case, the absorption saturates. Since the polaron spin density \( \rho(\Delta) \) is constant up to \( \Delta_{\text{max}} \), it is \( \beta \omega \) that determines the amount of absorption due to the difference of the two Fermi-Dirac occupation factors. Finally, if there are no spin-flip energies smaller than \( \Delta_{\text{min}} \), then \( \chi''(\omega) \) is zero for \( \omega < \Delta_{\text{min}} \). The measured susceptibility for \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_{4} \) at \( x = 0.04 \) is normalized and fitted by the expression
\[ \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \tan^{-1}[a_1(\beta \omega) + a_3(\beta \omega)^3], \] (36)

with \( a_1 = 0.43 \) and \( a_3 = 10.5 \). This curve rises to the saturating value of 1 faster than our expression in Eq. (34).

The contribution to the susceptibility from the undoped \( d^0 \) spins and the metallic \( x^2-y^2 \) band has not been included. The band contribution is on the order of \( \omega/E_f \), where \( E_f \) is the Fermi energy and can be neglected. The imaginary susceptibility from the undoped \( d^3 \) spins is on the order of \( \omega/E_f \), where \( E_f \) is several \( J_{dd} \) to \( E_f \) and can also be neglected. The real part of the \( d^3 \) susceptibility is approximately constant up to the energy \( \omega \sim E_f \). We may therefore take the \( d^3 \) susceptibility to be real and \( \omega \) independent for small \( \omega \). Thus, the \( \omega \) dependence of the total susceptibility arises from the polaron susceptibility in Eq. (34).

The \( q \) dependence of the susceptibility is incommensurate from the calculations of the next section and is of the form
\[ \chi(q) = \frac{\mu^2 \bar{\chi}_0(q/a)^2}{1 + (q - Q)^2 (q/a)^2}, \] (37)

where \( Q \) is the incommensurability peak vector and \( \bar{\chi} \) is the correlation length. From the computations in the next section, \( Q \) is shifted from \( \pi(a, a) \) along the Cu-O bond direction and agrees with experiment. \( q = a \sqrt{\bar{x}} \) is the mean separation between Sr.
polaron spin = effective coupling of a chiral polaron to the real and imaginary parts. Using the integral

$$\chi(q, \omega) = \frac{\mu B \tilde{\chi}_b(\xi/\omega)^2}{1 + (q - Q)^2/\omega^2 - \overline{\omega}_0 U^2 \tilde{\chi}_b(\omega)/\mu_B^2}$$

where we have used $(\xi/\omega)^2 = 1$ for the $\chi_b$ term.

Using the integral

$$\text{Im} \int \frac{d^2 q}{A + Bq^2 - iC} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(2\pi C) dq}{(A + Bq^2)^2 + C^2} = \left( \frac{\pi}{B} \right) \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{A}{C} \right) \right)$$

and defining $\tilde{\chi}_b(\omega) = \chi_b(\omega)/\mu_B^2$, the integrated imaginary susceptibility is

$$\int \chi'(q, \omega) dq = \pi \mu_B^2 \tilde{\chi}_b \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{\omega}{1 - \omega_0 U^2 \tilde{\chi}_b(\omega)} \right].$$

In the above expression, $\tilde{\chi}_b = \tilde{\chi}_b + i \tilde{\chi}'$ has been expanded into real and imaginary parts. Using $\chi'_b(-\omega) = \chi_b(\omega)$ and $\chi'_b(\omega) = -\chi_b(\omega)$, the equation can fit the experimental curve in Eq. (36).

**B. Incommensurability**

There is an antiferromagnetic coupling, $J_{pd}$, between the polaron spin $S_p$ and the neighboring $d^9$ spins. The polaron is delocalized over four Cu sites. The probability of the hole residing on a particular Cu is 1/4, leading to the estimate of $J_{pd} = (1/4) J_{dd}$, where $J_{dd}$ is the undoped $d^9$ AF coupling. The effective coupling of a chiral polaron to the $d^9$ spin background is known\textsuperscript{7,8,13} to induce a twist in the neighboring spins. This can be encapsulated in a topological charge term\textsuperscript{67} of the form $\mp J_{ch} [S_p \cdot (S_1 \times S_2)]$, where $S_p$ is the polaron spin and $S_{d1}$ and $S_{d2}$ are adjacent $d^9$ spins, as shown in Fig. 8.

The expectation value $\langle S_p \cdot (S_1 \times S_2) \rangle = 0$ for states invariant under a time reversal. Thus, the expectation value of the topological charge is zero for the real polaron states $P_{c'}$, $P_{c''}$.

**Fig. 7.** Diagrams to sum the random phase approximation for the dynamic susceptibility $\chi(q, \omega)$. The first term is the static susceptibility $\chi(q)$ in Eq. (37), and the shaded loop is the polaron susceptibility $\chi_b(\omega)$ with the imaginary part shown in Eq. (34). $U$ is the coupling energy.

**Fig. 8.** Coupling of chiral polarons to neighboring $d^9$ spins. $S_p$ is the polaron spin, and it couples to four $d^9$ pairs in the cyclic order shown by the arrows and Eq. (42). The chiral coupling for the $p_{c'}$, $-i P_{c''}$ polaron reverses the cyclic ordering of the spins, as seen in the figure and leads to the same expression as the $p_{c'}+i P_{c''}$ with $J_{ch} \rightarrow -J_{ch}$.
are independent of the precise values of all of the parameters. The only necessary feature to obtain incommensurability is that the chiral coupling $J_{ch}$ is sufficiently large to break the $(\pi, \pi)$ spin ordering from the antiferromagnetic spin coupling $J_{dd}$.

There is an antiferromagnetic spin-spin coupling between the polarons. $J_{pp}$ is the coupling between $S_{p1}$ and $S_{p2}$, and $J'_{pp}$ is the coupling between $S_{p1}$ and $S_{p3}$ shown in Fig. 9. An estimate of $J_{pp}$ and $J'_{pp}$ is obtained in a similar manner to $J_{pd}$. For $J_{pp}$, the polarons have two adjacent pair sites. An antiferromagnetic coupling occurs for every adjacent pair. This occurs with probability $(1/4)^2 = 1/16$. There are two pairs for $J_{pp}$ and one for $J'_{pp}$ leading to estimates $J_{pp} = (1/8)J_{dd}$ and $J'_{pp} = (1/16)J_{dd}$.

Figure 9 shows various chiral couplings when polarons are adjacent to each other. Using a similar analysis, we estimate the magnitude of the chirality couplings to be, $J_{ppp} = (1/4)J_{ch}$, $J_{pd} = (1/4)J_{ch}$, and $J'_{pd} = (1/4)J_{ch}$.

The total spin Hamiltonian for the $d^9$ spins and polarons is

$$H = H_{dd} + H_{pd} + H_{pp} + H^{tot}_{ch},$$  

(44)

where $H_{dd}$ is the antiferromagnetic $d^9$ spin-spin coupling with $J_{dd} = 0.1$ eV, $H_{pd}$ is the polaron-$d^9$ coupling and $H_{pp}$ is the polaron-polaron spin coupling. $H_{ch}^{tot}$ is the total chiral coupling.

The chiral coupling $H_{ch}^{tot}$ is invariant under a polaron time reversal that flips the chirality of a single polaron $P_{s'} \rightarrow i P_{s'},$ $P_{p'} \rightarrow i P_{p'},$ or $(J_{ch} \rightarrow -J_{ch})$ and the polaron spin, $S_{p} \rightarrow -S_{p}$. $H_{pd} \rightarrow -H_{pd}$ is not invariant under the time reversal of the polaron. When the chiral coupling is much larger than all spin-spin couplings, $J_{ch} \gg J_{dd} \gg J_{pd} > J_{pp} > J'_{pp}$, the ground state energy becomes independent of the polaron chiralities.

This is an important point because it means that the energy to simultaneously flip the chirality and spin of a polaron has an energy scale $J_{dd}$, while flipping either the chirality or the spin, but not both, has an energy scale $J_{ch}$.

Figure 10 shows the minimized spin ordering surrounding a polaron when the chiral coupling $J_{ch}$ dominates the polaron spin to $d^9$ coupling $J_{pd}$. Increasing $J_{ch}$ further does not change the spin ordering. This leads to an incommensurability that is weakly parameter dependent. All the neighboring $d^9$ spins in the figure are orthogonal to the polaron spin. The $H_{pd}$ antiferromagnetic energy is zero. The energy difference of the time-reversed polaron in the same background is also zero. The spin-spin couplings, $J_{pd}$, etc., lead to nonzero energy differences.

The static neutron spin structure factor is computed by minimizing the energy in Eq. (44) on a finite $2D$ lattice with classical spins $S_i$ of unit length, $S^2_i = 1$. Each undoped $d^9$ site has a spin, and every polaron has an orbital chirality, $p_x \pm i p_y$, and spin. All the terms for the classical Hamiltonian are described above along with the parameters used. The only constraint on the polarons is that they may not overlap, but are otherwise randomly placed in the lattice. At this point, the effect of the delocalized $x^2 - y^2$ band electrons is ignored.

One can imagine additional constraints on the placement of the polarons arising from polaron–polaron Coulomb repulsion. Also, calculations on 3D lattices with a small interlayer antiferromagnetic spin coupling can be done. The addition of polaron constraints and the third dimension does not change the computed incommensurability or its location in the Brillouin zone. These effects are ignored in this paper.

Finally, calculations with periodic and nonperiodic boundary conditions were performed to ensure that there is no long range twist in the spins that is frustrated by periodic boundary conditions. No major difference was found in the computed structure factors. This is likely due to the small energy difference between a polaron and its time-reversed partner.

Computations were done on $256 \times 256$ lattices with polaron dopings of $x = 0.075, 0.10,$ and $0.125$. A random configuration of polarons was chosen subject to the constraint that no polarons overlap. Starting spins and chiralities are randomly generated, and the initial energy is calculated. The
energy is minimized by performing local minimizations.\textsuperscript{73}

A spin is selected and the effective magnetic field on the site is computed. Since the Hamiltonian in Eq. (44) is linear in the spins, the energy arising from the chosen spin is minimized by aligning it with the magnetic field. If the spin is a polaron spin, then the effective magnetic field is computed for both orbital chiralities to determine the chirality and spin that minimize the energy. The chirality is flipped if a lower energy can be obtained. The program loops through all the spins, determines the new energy, and compares it to the previous energy to decide on convergence. Calculations were performed on 5000 different polaron configurations for each doping value.

The Grempel algorithm\textsuperscript{13,14} was used to obtain the global minimum. This algorithm is similar to raising the temperature to allow the energy to climb out of local minima and then to annealing. Unlike Gooding et al.,\textsuperscript{13} we found that the Grempel steps minimally lowered the energy and made no difference to the static neutron structure factor. It is likely that this is due to our linear chiral coupling in Eq. (42). A squared chiral coupling, used by Gooding et al., makes the minimization more difficult and computationally expensive. Thus, we were able to minimize larger lattices and more ensembles to obtain smaller error bars on the results. Our calculations constitute a different physical model than Gooding et al.,\textsuperscript{13} despite the similar computational methods used.

Finally, we found that including the polaron-polaron spin and chiral couplings shown in Fig. 9 does not alter the results. The dominant couplings in terms of the minimized spin structure are the $d^0$ spin coupling $J_\text{ch}$ and the chiral coupling $J_{ch}$. The results shown below exclude any chiral couplings involving more than one polaron.

Figures 11 and 12 show our results for the spin correlation at dopings $x=0.075$, 0.10, and 0.125 on a $256 \times 256$ lattice averaged over an ensemble of 5000 configurations for each doping. The structure factor is dimensionless and is normalized such that its integral over the Brillouin zone is 1, $N^2 \Sigma_{k} \bar{S}(k)=1$, where $N$ is the total number of cells. Figure 13 shows part of a minimized spin structure at $x=0.10$.

Due to the large number of error bars, the errors for the plotted values are small. For $x=0.075$, they are $\pm 0.12$, $\pm 0.15$, and $\pm 0.12$ for the diagonal peak, $(\pi, \pi)$, and the Cu-O bond peak, respectively. For $x=0.10$, the errors are $\pm 0.09$, $\pm 0.08$, and $\pm 0.07$. For $x=0.125$, the errors are $\pm 0.07$, $\pm 0.05$, and $\pm 0.05$. The error decreases sharply and becomes negligible on the scale of the figure as $k$ moves past the peaks and farther from $(\pi, \pi)$.

From Fig. 12, the diagonal incommensurate peak is shifted from $(\pi/\alpha, \pi/\alpha)$ by $(2\pi/\alpha)(x/\sqrt{2})(1,1)$ and is of length $(2\pi/\alpha)x$. The peak along the Cu-O bond direction is shifted in the range from $(2\pi/\alpha)(1/\sqrt{2},0)$ to $(2\pi/\alpha)(1,0)$. The Cu-O bond direction shift is experimentally observed for the metallic range $0.05 < x < 0.05$ (Refs. 10 and 17) in La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$, and the diagonal shift is seen in the spin-glass regime $0.02 < x < 0.05$.\textsuperscript{74}

Since the difference between $(2\pi/\alpha)(1/\sqrt{2},0)$ and $(2\pi/\alpha)(1,0)$ is small, it is difficult to resolve the precise peak doping value within our finite size computations. If the structure factor derives from broadened Lorenztians centered at the four diagonal points around $(\pi/\alpha, \pi/\alpha)$, then a peak in the Cu-O bond direction would be expected at $(2\pi/\alpha)(1/\sqrt{2},0)$ from the two closest peaks. The contributions from the remaining two peaks shift the peak closer to $(\pi/\alpha, \pi/\alpha)$ rather than away from it, as seen in Fig. 12. Thus, the best we can currently say with the calculations is that there is a ring of incommensurate peaks approximately a distance $k=(2\pi/\alpha)x$ from $(\pi/\alpha, \pi/\alpha)$. From the widths of the peaks, the correlation length is approximately the mean separation between the polarons, $a'/\langle x \rangle$.

We present a heuristic derivation for why the spin structure factor is incommensurate with a shift from $(\pi/\alpha, \pi/\alpha)$ of magnitude $(2\pi/\alpha)x$. Similar to a previous work,\textsuperscript{13} our calculations find that the minimum spin configuration consists of undoped patches of $d^0$ spins aligned antiferromagnetically with the polarons acting to rotate the direction of the antiferromagnetic alignment of adjacent patches. This is seen in Fig. 13.

Consider an area $A$. The number of polarons in this area is $N_p=Ax/a^2$. When the chiral coupling dominates, the effect of a single polaron on the neighboring $d^0$ spins is shown in Fig. 10. The polaron rotates each adjacent spin on opposite sides of the polaron by $\pi$ or $2\pi$ total. If this net $2\pi$ rotation is rigidly transmitted to an antiferromagnetic patch, then the polaron rotates a patch by an angle, $\delta \theta=2\pi/(1/x)=2\pi x$. Thus, the net rotation per spin is $N_p \delta \theta$. If the area $A$ is chosen such that the net rotation per spin is $2\pi$, or $N_p \delta \theta$.
the spin correlation peak from

the spin correlation peak from

FIG. 12. Static spin structure factor for $d^0$ spins at dopings $x$ =0.075, 0.10, and 0.125 in La$_2$-Sr$_{2}$CuO$_4$. Each figure plots $S(k)$ starting from $k=(0,0)$ to $(\pi/a,\pi/a)$ and then to $(0,\pi/a)$. The structure factor is incommensurate with a ring of radius $k=(2\pi/a)x$ around $(\pi/a,\pi/a)$. The vertical lines are drawn to highlight specific incommensurate vectors. If the structure factor was purely derived from the sum of four Lorentzians along the diagonals a distance $(2\pi/a)x$ from $(\pi/a,\pi/a)$, then the peak along the Cu-O bond direction would be slightly less than the shift shown at $\delta Q=(2\pi/\sqrt{2}a,0)$. The normalization is the same as in Fig. 11.

$=2\pi$, then $A=(a/x)^2$. A translation by $L=\sqrt{A}=a/x$ returns to an identical antiferromagnetic patch. This leads to a shift of the spin correlation peak from $(\pi/a,\pi/a)$ to $\delta Q=2\pi/L$ or $\delta Q=(2\pi/a)x$.

C. Kinetic energy of the $x^2-y^2$ band

The energy contribution from the delocalized $x^2-y^2$ band electrons has not been included in the minimization of Eq. (44). A complete minimization would also compute the change in the band energy to determine the direction to align a given spin during our sweep through the lattice spins. This effect is included in mean field below.

A $d^0$ spin ordering of momentum $q$ hybridizes band electrons of momentum $k$ and $k+q$ with a coupling energy $V$ on the order of $J_{dd} \sim 0.1$ eV. This mixing of $k$ and $k+q$ perturbs the band energies and the total ground state energy. We calculate the band energy change in La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ for a ring of $q$ vectors at the computed incommensurate length $q = (2\pi/a)x$. The $q$ vector producing the lowest band energy is the observed neutron incommensurability.

The idea that the band kinetic energy change in the $d^0$ spin background determines the final neutron incommensurability has been suggested by Sushkov et al. [75–77] for the $t$-$t'$-$t''$-$J$ model. In their model, the magnitude of the incommensurability arises from the doping $x$, the antiferromagnetic $d^0$ spin stiffness $\rho_s$, the hopping matrix element $t$, and the quasiparticle renormalization $Z$, where $q=(Zt/\rho_s)(2\pi/a)x$. Their self-consistent Born approximation calculation of the quasiparticle dispersion in a spin-wave theory background finds values for the parameters such that $Zt/\rho_s \approx 1$. The magnitude of the incommensurability is less dependent on the detailed parameters for our Hamiltonian in Eq. (44).

For a given spin incommensurability vector $q$ and $d^0$ to $x^2-y^2$ band coupling, $V \sim 0.1$ eV, the Green’s function satisfies

\[
G^{-1}(k,\omega) = G_0^{-1}(k,\omega) - V^2G_0(k+q,\omega) - V^2G_0(k-q,\omega).
\]

The vector $-q$ must be included with $q$ because the coupling Hamiltonian is Hermitian. Solving for $G(k,\omega)$,

\[
G(k,\omega) = G_0(k,\omega)[1 - V^2G_0(k,\omega)[G_0(k+q,\omega) + G_0(k-q,\omega)]]^{-1}.
\]

Expanding to order $V^2$,

\[
G(k,\omega) = G_0(k,\omega) + V^2G_0(k,\omega)[G_0(k+q,\omega) + G_0(k-q,\omega)]G_0(k,\omega) + O(V^4).
\]
n(k, e) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left[ -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \, G(k, \omega) \right], \quad (48)

n(k, e) = n_0(k, e) + V^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left[ -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \, G_0(k, \omega) [G_0(k + q, \omega) + G_0(k - q, \omega)] \right], \quad (49)

where \( n_0(k, e) \) is the unperturbed occupation. The total density of states per spin at energy \( e \) is

\[ N(e) = \sum_k \left[ -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \, G(k, e) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial e} \sum_k n(k, e). \quad (50)\]

A percolating band has a Green’s function

\[ G_0(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - e_k + i\Gamma_k}, \quad \Gamma_k > 0, \quad (51)\]

where \( \Gamma_k \) is the linewidth. Using Eqs. (48) and (51),

\[ n_0(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{\epsilon_f - e_k}{\Gamma_k}. \quad (52)\]

The perturbation shifts the Fermi level to \( \epsilon_f + \delta \epsilon_f \). The total number of electrons is conserved, leading to the equation for \( \delta \epsilon_f \),

\[ n_{tot} = \sum_k n(k, \epsilon_f + \delta \epsilon_f) = \sum_k n_0(k, \epsilon_f). \quad (53)\]

The total energy of the band electrons per spin is given by

\[ E_{tot}(q, V) = \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f + \delta \epsilon_f} d\omega \sum_k n(k, \omega). \quad (54)\]

In Appendix A, the expressions for \( \delta \epsilon_f \) and \( E_{tot}(q, V) \) to order \( V^2 \) are derived,

\[ \delta \epsilon_f N_0(\epsilon_f) + V^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega \sum_k [R(k, k + q, \omega) + R(k, k - q, \omega)] = 0, \quad (55)\]

\[ E_{tot}(q, V) = E_G + V^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega \omega \left[ \sum_k R(k, k + q, \omega) + R(k, k - q, \omega) \right], \quad (56)\]

where \( N_0(\epsilon_f) \) is the unperturbed density of states per spin, \( E_G \) is the unperturbed \( V=0 \) energy, and

\[ R(k, p, \omega) = \left( -\frac{1}{\pi} \right) \text{Im} \left[ \frac{1}{(\omega - e_k + i\Gamma_k)^2} (\omega - e_p + i\Gamma_p) \right]. \quad (57)\]

The integral \( \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} R(k, p, \omega) d\omega \) can be evaluated analytically, thereby allowing us to accurately compute the small energy change of the Fermi energy \( \delta \epsilon_f \) and \( E_{tot}(q, V) \). This is done in Appendix A.

The band energy is given by

\[ \epsilon_k = -2t_1(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) - 4t_{11} \cos k_x \cos k_y, \quad (58)\]

\[ -2t_2(\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y) + \epsilon_{x^2-y^2}. \]

We use the band structure parameters \( t_1=0.25 \text{ eV}, t_{11}=-0.025 \text{ eV}, \) and \( t_2=0.025 \text{ eV} \). \( t_1 \) is the nearest-neighbor hopping, \( t_{11} \) is the next-nearest-neighbor diagonal term, and \( t_2 \) is the hopping along the Cu-O bond direction from two lattices site away. For \( x=0.10, \epsilon_{x^2-y^2}=0.133 \text{ eV} \) leads to \( \epsilon_f=0 \).

We calculated the Fermi energy shift and energy for incommensurability along the diagonal and Cu-O bond direction of magnitude \( q=(2\pi/a)x \) at \( x=0.10 \) with \( V=0.1 \text{ eV} \). The electron linewidth \( \Gamma_k \) is chosen to be the sum of an \( s \)-wave and a \( d \)-wave term,

\[ \Gamma_k = \Gamma_s + \Gamma_d (\cos k_x - \cos k_y)^2, \quad (59)\]

where \( \Gamma_s=0.01 \text{ eV} \) and \( \Gamma_d=0.01 \text{ eV} \). The addition of a \( d \)-wave term to the linewidth arises from the \( \text{Coulomb scattering} \) with polarons discussed in Sec. VII A.

The energy changes and Fermi level shifts in eV are

\[ \delta E \left( \frac{2\pi x}{\sqrt{2a}}, \frac{2\pi y}{\sqrt{2a}} \right) = -0.014 56, \quad \delta \epsilon_f = 0.0046, \]

\[ \delta E \left( \frac{2\pi x}{a}, 0 \right) = -0.014 78, \quad \delta \epsilon_f = -0.0056. \quad (60)\]

The band energy is lower for incommensurability along the Cu-O bond direction.

The Cu-O bond direction incommensurability is lower in energy due to the additional umklapp scattering available for \( q \) on the Brillouin zone edge rather than the zone for diagonal \( q \).

We have shown that chiral coupling of polarons to \( d^0 \) spins leads to a ring of incommensurability centered at \( (\pi/a, \pi/a) \) of magnitude \( (2\pi/a)x \). The perturbation to the kinetic energy of the delocalized \( x^2-y^2 \) band electrons selects incommensurability along the Cu-O bond direction due to umklapp scattering on the Brillouin zone edge.

For \( 0.02 < x < 0.05, \text{ La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_4 \) is a spin glass. No \( x^2-y^2 \) band is formed because the plaquette polarons do not percolate. The \( x^2-y^2 \) states triplet couple to polaron spins. The spin interactions in the spin-glass phase are different from the Hamiltonian in Eq. (44).

The Cu \( x^2-y^2 \) cannot delocalize over an infinite polaron swath in our model. We do not know if the \( x^2-y^2 \) states remain localized on a single Cu or delocalize over the finite swath of the polaron. Any delocalization leads to an effective ferromagnetic coupling between neighboring polaron spins due to the triplet coupling with the \( x^2-y^2 \) spin. In addition, there is an asymmetry in the chiral coupling due to an orthorhombic crystal symmetry arising from the tilt of the CuO_6 octahedra. The one-dimensional incommensurability in the spin-glass phase of \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_4 (Ref. 74) is not explained in this paper.
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V. SUPERCONDUCTING PAIRING

Coulomb scattering of $x^2−y^2$ band electrons with chiral
plaquette polarons leads to an anisotropic Cooper pair repul-

ation. The maximum energy difference between a chiral polaron
and its time-reversed partner is analogous to the Debye
energy in Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer superconductors. As
discussed in Sec. V B, this energy difference can be nonzero
and on the order of $J_{dd}$. We simplify the polaron wave func-
tions by absorbing the $p_σ$ orbitals into the $A_{1g}$ orbitals on the
Cu sites for the pairing and transport calculations. This is
shown in Fig. 14.

The direct and exchange Coulomb terms coupling the $x^2−y^2$
band with a polaron are

$$H_U = U \sum_{\mathbf{R},\sigma,\sigma'} d^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}\sigma} z^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}\sigma} z_{\mathbf{R}\sigma'} d_{\mathbf{R}\sigma'},$$

$$H_K = -K \sum_{\mathbf{R},\sigma,\sigma'} d^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}\sigma} z^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}\sigma} z_{\mathbf{R}\sigma'} d_{\mathbf{R}\sigma'},$$

where $U>\sqrt{K}>0$. $d^\dagger_{\mathbf{R}}$ creates an $x^2−y^2$ electron at $\mathbf{R}$ and $z_{\mathbf{R}}^\dagger$
creates an $A_{1g}$ electron at $\mathbf{R}$.

The $x^2−y^2$ band state with momentum $\mathbf{k}$ is

$$d_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger = N^{−1/2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}} d_{\mathbf{R}\sigma}^\dagger,$$

where $N$ is the total number of Cu sites. A polaron state of spin $s$
is given by

$$z_{\mathbf{R}}^\dagger = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \alpha_{\mathbf{R}} z_{\mathbf{R}}^\dagger,$$

where the coefficients $\alpha_{\mathbf{R}}$ determine the type of polaron in
Fig. 14. The matrix elements for direct and exchange Coulomb
scattering of an $x^2−y^2$ band electron with a polaron electron are

$$\langle k'\sigma',z_{\mathbf{R}}'|H_U|k\sigma,z_{\mathbf{R}} \rangle = \left( \frac{U}{N} \right)^{} M(k'−k) \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta_{\sigma'\sigma},$$

where

FIG. 14. Effective polaron orbitals with $p_\sigma$ absorbed into $A_{1g}$ on the Cu sites.

VI. SUPERCONDUCTING PAIRING

The factor $U$

is given by

$$\langle k'\sigma',z_{\mathbf{R}}'|H_K|k\sigma,z_{\mathbf{R}} \rangle = \left( \frac{-K}{N} \right)^{} M(k'−k) \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta_{\sigma'\sigma},$$

and

$$M(q) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \alpha_{\mathbf{R}}^* \alpha_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{R}}.$$

The Cooper pairing matrix element for scattering arising from
Fig. 15(a) with an initial polaron orbital state $I$ is

$$\langle \langle k'\uparrow,−k'\downarrow,I'|H'|k\uparrow,−k\downarrow,I \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \frac{U(U−K)}{N^2} M(q)^2 \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \left( \frac{1}{E_f−E_n} + \frac{1}{E_f−E_i} \right),$$

where $\mathbf{q}=k'−k$, $E_i$ is the total energy of the initial state, $E_f$ is
the final state energy, and $E_n$ is the intermediate state energy.
The factor $U−K$ comes from the left vertex where both direct
and exchange Coulomb matrix elements appear while the factor $U$ arises from the right vertex where there is no exchange. $E_i$, $E_n$, and $E_f$ are

$$E_i = 2\epsilon_k + E_{i\uparrow},$$

$$E_n = \epsilon_k + \epsilon_k + E_{i\uparrow},$$

$$E_f = 2\epsilon_k + E_{f\uparrow}.$$

Substituting Eqs. (69)–(71) into Eq. (68) leads to

$$\langle \langle k'\uparrow,−k'\downarrow,I'|H'|k\uparrow,−k\downarrow,I \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \frac{U(U−K)}{N^2} M(q)^2 \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \left( \frac{E_{f\uparrow}−E_i}{(\epsilon_k−\epsilon_k)^2−(E_{f\uparrow}−E_i)^2} \right).$$

FIG. 15. Second-order Cooper pairing processes with polarons. The Coulomb coupling is represented by a four-point vertex for simplicity. The solid lines are the band electrons and the dashed lines are the polaron states. $I$ is the initial and final polaron orbital state. $I'$ is the intermediate state. (a) and (b) are the Coulomb couplings with no spin exchange. (c) and (d) are spin exchange couplings. In (c) and (d), the final electrons are interchanged compared to (a) and (b), yielding an extra minus sign in the pairing matrix element.

$\langle \langle k'\sigma',z_{\mathbf{R}}'|H_K|k\sigma,z_{\mathbf{R}} \rangle \rangle$
The pairing matrix element is the probability weighted average of Eq. (72) over all initial polaron states $I$. States with $E_{I'\sigma}−E_{I\sigma}>0$ dominate the average because the polaron is primarily in its lowest energy state. Since $U>0$ and $U−K>0$, there is an attractive pairing with a momentum anisotropy determined by $|M(q)|^2$ for pairs close to the Fermi level. The coupling is attractive for Cooper pairs near the Fermi level within the polaron energy separation, $|\varepsilon_I|$ and $|\varepsilon_{I'}|<\langle E_{I'\sigma}−E_{I\sigma}\rangle$. There are similar terms for $I_{\perp}$ and Fig. 15(b).

For the spin exchange processes shown in Figs. 15(c) and 15(d), the matrix element picks up an extra minus sign compared to Figs. 15(a) and 15(b) due to the exchange of $k'\uparrow$ and $-k'\downarrow$ in the final state,

$$
\langle k'\uparrow, -k'\downarrow, I\sigma | H' | k'\uparrow, -k'\downarrow, I\sigma \rangle
$$

$$
= (-)^{\frac{K}{N}} |M(k'+k)|^2 \sum_{I'} \frac{\Delta}{(\varepsilon_{I}−\varepsilon_{I'})^2−\Delta^2},
$$

(73)

where $\Delta=E_{I'}−E_{I\sigma}$, This leads to an overall repulsive interaction for pairs near the Fermi level. The direct on-site isotropic Coulomb repulsion between Cooper pairs must be included in the net pairing interaction in addition to the second-order pairings in Fig. 15.

For hole-doped cuprates, one chiral polaron state is singly occupied and the remaining polaron states shown in Fig. 3 are doubly occupied. The intermediate polaron state $I'$ must be a chiral state, $P_{x}\pm iP_{y}$. For electron-doped cuprates, the initial state $I$ is a chiral polaron. The pairing is identical because the matrix element between $I$ and $I'$ is mod squared. We focus on the hole-doped derivation here.

The momentum dependence of the pairing is determined by $|M(q)|^2$, where $q=k'-k$ for a nonspin exchange attraction and $q=k'+k$ for a spin exchange repulsion. $|M(q)|^2$ is the same for $I=S$ and $D_{xy}$. From Fig. 14 and Eq. (67),

$$
|M(q)|^2_{S,D}\sim \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(q_{x}+q_{y}) + \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(-q_{x}+q_{y}),
$$

(74)

where we have dropped all constants and wave function normalizations in the expression because they do not affect the momentum dependence. For $I=P_{x}\pm iP_{y}$ and $I'=P_{x}\pm iP_{y}$,

$$
|M(q)|^2_{--}\sim \left[\cos \frac{1}{2}(q_{x}+q_{y}) - \cos \frac{1}{2}(-q_{x}+q_{y})\right]^2.
$$

(75)

For a spin exchange repulsion, there is an additional possibility where $I'=I=P_{x}\pm iP_{y}$. $|M(q)|^2_{+-}$ is

$$
|M(q)|^2_{+-}\sim \left[\cos \frac{1}{2}(q_{x}+q_{y}) + \cos \frac{1}{2}(-q_{x}+q_{y})\right]^2.
$$

(76)

Table IV shows the value of $|M(q)|^2$ for various vectors $q$. There are several pairing possibilities.

First, consider nonspin exchange attractive pairing through Figs. 15(a) and 15(b) added to a direct isotropic repulsion. In this case, $q=k'-k$. $|M(q)|^2_{S,D}$ leads to a net attraction for $q=(\pi,0)$. An $s$-wave gap cannot occur because the isotropic repulsion dominates. A gap of any other symmetry has a net repulsion for $q=(0,0)$ and cannot lead to superconductivity. $|M(q)|^2_{--}$ also repels at $q=(0,0)$ and does not superconduct. $M_{++}$ does not occur for a nonspin exchange. Thus, there is no superconductivity through a non-spin exchange polaron coupling.

For the spin exchange repulsion in Figs. 15(c) and 15(d) added to a direct isotropic repulsion, $M_{SD}$ has the largest repulsion for $q=k'+k=(\pi,0)$, $M_{++}$ for $q=(\pi,\pi)$, and $M_{+-}$ for $q=(0,0)$. $M_{++}$ cannot be correct for the cuprates because $q=0$ when $k'=-k$. This leads to a gap that changes sign for $k\rightarrow-k$ and triplet spin pairing. For $M_{SD}$, there is no favorable Fermi surface nesting near $k'+k=(\pi,0)$.

$M_{+-}$ has the time-reversed chiral polaron as the intermediate state and has a large repulsion for $k'+k=(\pi,\pi)$. For $k=(\pi,0)$ and $k'=(0,\pi)$, there is a large repulsion between pairs close to the Fermi surface. Pairing through spin exchange coupling with time-reversed polarons leads to $d$-wave superconductivity.

As discussed in Sec. V B on the neutron spin incommensurability, the energy splitting between time-reversed chiral polarons is on the scale of $J_{dd}\sim 0.1$ eV. It is this scale that is analogous to the phonon Debye energy in conventional superconductors.

The energy separation between two time-reversed chiral polarons, $|P_{x}+iP_{y},\sigma\rangle$ and $|P_{x}−iP_{y},−\sigma\rangle$, is largest for low dopings where there are more undoped $d^{0}$ spins. The energy splitting decreases with increasing doping, leading to a reduction in the energy range surrounding the Fermi surface involved in Cooper pairing. Concurrently, the number of polarons that can induce Cooper pairing increases. The number of band $\alpha\beta$ electrons available to form the superconducting ground state also increases with increasing doping. Finally, as the number of polarons increases, they crowd into each other, eventually creating polarons localized on single Cu sites, as found in UB3LYP calculations. The single Cu state is not chiral and leads to an isotropic Cooper pair repulsion. The competition between all of these factors leads to the superconducting phase diagram in cuprates.

### VII. Normal State Transport

#### A. Resistivity

The temperature dependence of the resistivity arising from $x^{2}−y^{2}$ band electron Coulomb scattering from polarons is shown to depend on the density of polaron energy separa-
The thermal occupations of a doped site with two polaron states at any doped center. This does not alter the temperature dependence but smears out the anisotropy in the state \( \text{Is} \). The delta function enforces total energy conservation,

\[
e_{k\sigma} + E_{Is} = e_{k'\sigma'} + E_{I's'},
\]

(78)

The matrix element in Eq. (77) is proportional to \(|M(k' - k)|^2\) defined in Eqs. (74)–(76). To obtain the qualitative temperature dependence, we simplify Eq. (77) by assuming that the matrix element is constant for all momenta and there are only two polaron states at any doped center. This does not alter the temperature dependence but smears out the anisotropy of the scattering rate. The \( |M(k' - k)|^2 \) have different momentum dependencies for each polaron symmetry. Since the scattering rate is a sum over all scattering channels, the approximation of isotropic scattering is likely to be good.

There is a polaron Coulomb scattering process we have neglected. This is a second-order hopping of a \( k \) \( x^2 - y^2 \) electron into the unoccupied polaron state that then hops off into a \( k' \) \( x^2 - y^2 \) band state. Since the polaron is comprised of orbitals with \( A_1 \) symmetry, the hopping is zero for momenta along the zone diagonal and largest at \( (\pm \pi, 0) \) and \( (0, \pm \pi) \). This term is approximately temperature independent and of “d-wave” symmetry. The temperature dependence of this term arises from the displacement of the apical O due to the addition of an electron into the polaron. Since the initial and final states in this second-order process have one hole on the polaron, the temperature dependence is expected to be weak. We neglect this additive temperature independent anisotropic constant term in the remainder of this section.

The neglected term justifies the addition of a d-wave lifetime broadening of the band electrons for the computation of the change in the band energy from the neutron spin incommensurability in Sec. V C.

Consider an \( x^2 - y^2 \) band electron with energy \( \varepsilon_1 \) and a polaron with energy \( E_f \) scattering to an electron with energy \( \varepsilon_2 \) and a polaron with energy \( E_f \). \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_2 \) are measured relative to the Fermi level, \( \varepsilon_f = 0 \). The conservation of energy is

\[
\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 + \Delta, \quad \Delta = E_f - E_f.
\]

(79)

The total lifetime of a band state with energy \( \varepsilon_1 \) is given by

\[
\frac{1}{\tau(\varepsilon_1)} = \int d\varepsilon_2 \int d\varepsilon \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |H'|^2 \delta(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1 + \Delta) \times f(-\Delta)[1 - f(\varepsilon_2)],
\]

(80)

where \( \rho(\Delta) \) is the density of polaron sites with an energy splitting \( \Delta \) and \( |H'|^2 \) is taken to be a constant. The \( \rho(\Delta) \) used here is different from the spin and chirality flipped density in Sec. V A. \( \rho(\Delta) \) in Eq. (80) includes the energy splittings of the \( S, D_{xy} \), and the bonding combinations of the \( P_{x'}, P_{y'}, \) polaron states.

Integrating over the final electron energy \( \varepsilon_2 \),

\[
\frac{1}{\tau(\varepsilon_1)} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |H'|^2 N(0) \int d\varepsilon f(-\varepsilon) \frac{2}{1 - e^{-\beta \varepsilon}} \ln \left[ \frac{e^{\beta \Delta_{\text{max}}} + e^{-\beta \varepsilon}}{e^{\beta \Delta_{\text{max}} - \varepsilon} + 1} \right].
\]

(81)

The energy scale for \( \Delta_{\text{max}} \) is determined by the scale for the hopping matrix elements and is several tenths of an eV. This is much larger than the temperature, \( \beta \Delta_{\text{max}} \gg 1 \). For \( |\varepsilon_1| \ll \Delta_{\text{max}} \), we may take the limit \( \Delta_{\text{max}} \rightarrow +\infty \) leading to

\[
\frac{1}{\tau(\varepsilon_1)} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |H'|^2 N(0) \rho_0 \left( \frac{\varepsilon_1}{1 - e^{-\beta \varepsilon_1}} \right).
\]

(83)

The \( \tau_\beta \) that appears in the Boltzmann transport equation is related to the linewidth and satisfies

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_\beta(\varepsilon_1)} = \frac{2}{\hbar} \text{Im} \Sigma(\varepsilon_1) = \frac{1}{\tau(\varepsilon_1)} \frac{1}{1 - f(\varepsilon_1)},
\]

(84)

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_\beta(\varepsilon_1)} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |H'|^2 N(0) \rho_0 \varepsilon \coth \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \beta}.
\]

(85)

At the Fermi level, \( \varepsilon = 0 \), the scattering rate is

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_\beta(\varepsilon_1)} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |H'|^2 N(0) \rho_0 (2T),
\]

(86)

leading to a linear resistivity.

If \( \rho(\Delta) \sim \Delta \) for \( |\varepsilon| \ll \Delta_{\text{min}} \) and is constant for \( \Delta_{\text{min}} \ll \Delta \ll \Delta_{\text{max}} \), then the resistivity is \( \sim T^2 \) at low temperature and crosses over to \( \sim T \) at high temperature. Different forms for \( \rho(\Delta) \) lead to different temperature dependencies for the resistivity as seen in \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) from 0.05 < \( x < 0.35 \).
The optical spectrum is composed of a Drude scattering term for finite $\epsilon$ in Eqs. (82) and (85) plus polaron to polaron scattering due to photon absorption. The Drude scattering rate becomes proportional to $\epsilon$ for $\beta\epsilon \gg 1$, with the crossover from $T$ to $\epsilon$ occurring when $\beta\epsilon=2$. When the energy is larger than the largest polaron splitting, $\epsilon \gg \Delta_{\text{max}}$, the scattering rate saturates and becomes proportional to $\Delta_{\text{max}}$.

Direct optical absorption from $S$ and $D_{\alpha}$ polarons to $P_{\alpha} \pm IP_{\alpha}$ can occur for light polarized in the CuO$_2$ planes. This leads to the excess mid-IR absorption.$^{81}$

Chiral polarons can lead to the logarithmic resistivity upturn at low temperatures due to a Kondo effect.$^{69}$ As La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ is doped, polaron islands are formed composed of exactly one polaron with no adjacent polarons. Island polarons are surrounded by $d^\theta$ spins. They have two possible chiral ground states. An antiferromagnetic spin-flip scattering with the $x^2-y^2$ band occurs in the second order where the $x^2-y^2$ electron hops onto the polaron and either an up or down spin polaron hops back to the $x^2-y^2$ band. This leads to a Kondo effect.

The momentum dependence of the antiferromagnetic Kondo spin exchange is largest for momenta near $(\pm\pi,0)$ and $(0,\pm\pi)$ since the polaron is comprised of $A_1$ orbitals. The coupling is zero for momenta along the diagonal. The resistivity in the plane is dominated by electrons with momenta near the diagonals and transport out of the plane by momenta near $(\pm\pi,0)$ and $(0,\pm\pi)$. The resistivity upturn due to Kondo scattering appears at a higher temperature for out-of-plane transport. There is no Kondo effect from Coulomb scattering with polarons from $H_{\text{el}}+H_{\text{ex}}$ defined in Eqs. (61) and (62) because the spin coupling is ferromagnetic.

Figure 16 shows the number of islands per unit cell as a function of doping for a 2D Cu lattice. An island is defined as a four-site polaron having only undoped $d^\theta$ spins as neighbors.

Second, the $g$ factor for these islands needs to be evaluated to determine the energy splitting between the up and down states. The Kondo effect is suppressed for temperatures less than the splitting energy. 60 T pulsed magnetic fields were used to suppress the superconductivity in order to access the low-temperature normal state.$^{69}$

The $g$ factor of an electron spin is 2, $g_e=2$. A 60 T field splits the up and down electron spin energies by 80 K. For $g=0.1$, the splitting is 4 K. The Kondo effect is active for $T>4$ K.

An estimate of the polaron $g$ factor, $g_p$, is made in Sec. VII B by fitting to the temperature dependent Hall effect for La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ at $x=0.10$. It is argued that $g_p \sim 0.1$. Also, for island polarons, Fig. 10 shows the energy difference between a polaron, and a time-reversed polaron is zero for large $J_{\text{ch}}$. This order of magnitude reduction in $g_p$ allows the Kondo effect to remain active at low temperatures.

Third, the coupling to the band electrons needs to be evaluated to determine if the logarithmic resistivity is of the right magnitude.

B. Hall effect

Skew scattering has been proposed$^{82}$ to explain the temperature dependence of the Hall effect although the physical nature of the excitations causing the skew scattering was unclear. In this section, we derive and estimate the magnitude and temperature dependence of the skew scattering of $x^2-y^2$ band electrons from chiral plaquette polarons. The skew-scattering contribution to the Hall effect is an extra term that is added to the ordinary Hall effect.

Skew scattering$^{25-28,83,84}$ is a left-right scattering asymmetry occurring when the scattering rate from $k\to k'$ is not equal to the scattering rate from $k'\to k$, $w(k\to k') \neq w(k'\to k)$. A combination of time-reversal and inversion symmetries leads to no skew scattering because $w(k\to k')=w(-k\to-k')$ due to inversion and $w(-k\to-k')=w(k'\to-k)$ from time-reversal invariance. An applied magnetic field breaks time-reversal invariance by making the number of polarons of up and down chiralities unequal.

Skew scattering first appears in third order for the scattering Hamiltonian, as can be seen in the simple example below where we ignore the polarons and consider a band scattering matrix element that is complex.

Let $H'$ be the electron scattering Hamiltonian

$$H' = \sum_{k,k',\sigma} V_{k'k \sigma} d_{k',\sigma}^\dagger A_{k,\sigma},$$

where we ignore any spin dependence in the matrix element $V_{kk'}$. Since $H'$ is Hermitian, $V_{k'k}=V_{kk'}^\ast$. The scattering $T$ matrix is given by

$$T(E) = V + VG(E)V + \cdots ,$$

and the scattering rate is

$$w(k\to k') = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle k'|T(E)\rangle_k|^2 \delta(\epsilon_{k'}-\epsilon_k).$$

Expanding the $T$ matrix to second order,
As a check, Eq. (91) is invariant under any redefinition of the \( k \) states, \( V_{k\rightarrow k'} = e^{i(k' - k)\mathbf{h}}V_{k\rightarrow k'} \).

If \( V \) is real, then there is no skew scattering since \( w(k\rightarrow k') = w(k'\rightarrow k) \). If \( V \) is complex, then interchanging \( k \) and \( k' \) changes the sign of the third term. This is the lowest order skew-scattering term. By interchanging \( k' \rightarrow k \) in the third term of the equation, the sum over \( k' \) of the skew term satisfies \( S_{k\rightarrow k'} w_{skew}(k\rightarrow k') = 0 \).

The applied magnetic field causes skew scattering by creating left-right asymmetries. The relevant question is not if there is any skew scattering, but whether the scattering is large enough to account for the experimental Hall effect and its temperature dependence.

We derive the skew scattering from the Coulomb Hamiltonian \( H_U \) in Eq. (61). Including the exchange term \( H_K \) in Eq. (62) does not change the results below because it leads to an average over \( U \) and \( U-K \). Thus, we focus on \( H_U \) and ignore the electron spin.

Consider a single polaron. In the hole-doped cuprates, one of the chiral polaron states, \( P^\pm = P_x \pm iP_y \), is initially unoccupied and all the remaining polaron states shown in Fig. 14 are occupied. The matrix elements for scattering an \( x^2-y^2 \) \( k \) electron and a polaron electron to a chiral polaron state with a change in the \( x^2-y^2 \) band electron momentum to \( k' \) are

\[
\langle k'|T(\epsilon_j)|k \rangle = V_{k'k} + \sum_{k''} \frac{V_{k'k''}V_{k''k}}{\epsilon_{k''} - \epsilon_{k'} + i\delta} + O(V^3). \tag{90}
\]

Substituting into Eq. (89) and neglecting terms of \( O(V^3) \),

\[
w_{k\rightarrow k'}(k'\rightarrow k) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left[ |V_{k'k}|^2 + \sum_{k''} \frac{2 \text{Re}(V_{k'k''}V_{k''k})}{\epsilon_{k''} - \epsilon_{k'}} \right] \delta(\epsilon_{k''} - \epsilon_{k'}) - 2\pi \sum_{k''} \text{Im}(V_{k'k''}V_{k''k}) \delta(\epsilon_{k''} - \epsilon_{k'}). \tag{91}
\]

The chiral polaron to chiral polaron scattering terms in Eqs. (95) and (97) are real and lead to no skew scattering, as shown below. Second-order mixing with \( S \) and \( D_{xy} \) polaron symmetries leads to skew scattering.

The Coulomb repulsion \( U \) in the above matrix elements is positive, \( U > 0 \). The matrix elements corresponding to Eqs. (92)–(97) for hole polarons are obtained by the substitution \( U\rightarrow-U \). We use the expressions in Eqs. (92)–(97) and remember to take \( U < 0 \) for the hole-doped materials and \( U > 0 \) for the electron-doped materials.

The \( T \) matrix element is defined as

\[
T_{k'k}^{\pm} = \langle k' | P^\pm | T | k P^\pm \rangle, \tag{100}
\]

where the hole resides in \( P^\pm \) (the electron is in \( P^\mp \)). Expanding \( T_{k'k}^{\pm} \) to order \( U^2 \)

\[
T_{k'k}^{\pm} = V_{k'k}^{(1)} + \sum_{I} V_{k'k}^{(2)}(I) + O(U^3), \tag{101}
\]

where the sum is over all intermediate polaron states \( I \). \( V_{k'k}^{(1)} \) is defined as

\[
V_{k'k}^{(1)} = \langle k' | P^\pm | H_U | k P^\pm \rangle = \sum_{p} \frac{(k' P^\pm | H_U | p)}{\epsilon_{k'} - \epsilon_{p} - (E - E^\pm) + i\delta}, \tag{102}
\]

and is independent of the polaron chirality and real from Eq. (95). Thus, \( V_{k'k}^{(1)} = V_{k'k}^{(1)} \). \( V_{k'k}^{(2)} \) is

\[
V_{k'k}^{(2)}(I) = \langle k' P^\pm | H_U | k P^\pm \rangle \sum_{I} 2 \text{Re} \int_{k'k}^{(2)}(I) + O(U^3), \tag{103}
\]

where the sum is over all intermediate \( x^2-y^2 \) band momenta \( p \). \( E^\pm \) and \( E_I \) are the chiral polaron and the intermediate polaron energies, respectively. \( \epsilon_{p} \) is the \( x^2-y^2 \) band energy for momentum \( p \).

Since \( V^{(1)} \) is real, \( |T_{k'k}^{\pm}|^2 \) is

\[
|T_{k'k}^{\pm}|^2 = \langle V_{k'k}^{(1)} \rangle^2 + V_{k'k}^{(1)} \sum_{I} 2 \text{Re} \langle V_{k'k}^{(2)}(I) \rangle + O(U^3). \tag{104}
\]

The skew-scattering contribution is antisymmetric under interchanging, \( k'\rightarrow-k \). The first term in Eq. (104) is symmetric, and so is \( V_{k'k}^{(2)}(I) \) for \( I=P^\pm \). The lowest order skew-scattering term is

\[
|T_{k'k}^{\pm}|^2 = V_{k'k}^{(1)} \cdot 2 \text{Re}[V_{k'k}^{(2)}(S) + V_{k'k}^{(2)}(D_{xy})]. \tag{105}
\]

In Appendix B, it is shown that the antisymmetric terms in \( V_{k'k}^{(2)}(S,D_{xy}) \) are

\[
\text{Re} \langle V_{k'k}^{(2)}(S) \rangle = \frac{-U^2}{4N} \pi F[A_{k'k}], \tag{106}
\]

where \( q = k' - k \) and

\[
q_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (q_x + q_y), \tag{98}
\]

\[
q_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (-q_x + q_y). \tag{99}
\]
Re $V^{(z)}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}}(D) = \pm \left( \frac{U^2}{4N} \right) \pi F_1[\epsilon_k - (E_D - E^s)] A_{k',k}$,

where the antisymmetric function, $A_{k,k'} = -A_{k',k}$, is

$$A_{k',k} = \sin \theta \sin k' - \sin \theta \sin k' - \sin \theta', \sin k' - \sin \theta', \sin k' - \sin k' - \sin \theta', \sin \theta' + \sin \theta$$

Equations (98) and (99) define the $x'$ and $y'$ components of $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{k}'$. The function $F_1$ is the sum over the Brillouin zone

$$F_1(\omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum \frac{1}{2} \cos \{p_1\cos \rho_1 + \cos \rho_1\} \sigma(\omega - \epsilon_\rho).$$

The $D_{xy}$ contribution is negative of the $S$ contribution, with $E_D$ substituted for $E_S$.

The skew-scattering term is

$$\left| T^s_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}} \right|^2 = - \left( \frac{1}{N^2} \right) \pi \frac{1}{2} \cos \{q_1 \cos \rho_1 \cos \epsilon_\rho \} F_1[\epsilon_k - (E_S - E^s)]$$

$$- F_1[\epsilon_k - (E_D - E^s)] A_{k',k},$$

where $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}$.

Equation (100) is the skew scattering for a single polaron with polaron orbital energies $E^s, E_S$, and $E_D$. These energies have probability distributions $\rho^s(E^s), \rho(S_{E_S})$, and $\rho_D(E_D)$. The mean value of Eq. (100) is

$$\langle T^s_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum \frac{1}{2} \cos \{p_1 \cos \rho_1 + \cos \rho_1\} \sigma(\omega - \epsilon_\rho).$$

If polaron with $S$ and $D_{xy}$ symmetries have identical energy distributions, then the $\pm$ components of the skew scattering are always zero.

The range of energies that $E^s$ and $E_S$ span is $\sim J_{dd}$ and is much smaller than the hopping matrix element scale of $E_D$ and $E_D - t_{hop}$. Therefore, we set $E^s = 0$ in $F_1$ and evaluate the mean over $E_S$ and $E_D$.

$$\langle F_1[\epsilon_k - E_S] \rangle = \int_0^{\Delta_{\text{max}}} \frac{d\omega}{\Delta_{\text{max}}} \sigma(\omega - \epsilon_\rho) = \frac{\lambda^S}{\Delta_{\text{max}}}$$

where

$$\lambda^S = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\epsilon_{\rho} - \Delta_{\text{max}} < \epsilon_{\rho} < \epsilon_\rho} \frac{1}{2} \cos \{p_1 \cos \rho_1 + \cos \rho_1\}$$

and $\rho^s = 1/\Delta_{\text{max}}$ in the interval $0 \leq \Delta \leq \Delta_{\text{max}}$ and zero outside. There is a similar expression for the mean over $E_D$.

If $\epsilon_{\rho} - \Delta_{\text{max}}$ is less than the bottom of the $x^2 - y^2$ band, then $\lambda^S = \lambda^D = \lambda$, where $\lambda$ is the sum over occupied states

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\text{occ}} \frac{1}{2} \cos \{p_1 \cos \rho_1 + \cos \rho_1\}.$$

In general, $-1 < \lambda < 1$. Cuprate band structures are occupied at $(0,0)$ and unoccupied at $(\pi, \pi)$, leading to $0 < \lambda < 1$. For

La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ at $x = 0.10, \lambda = 0.19$. Substituting the mean of $F_1$ into Eq. (110),

$$\langle T^s_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum \frac{1}{2} \cos \{q_1 \cos \rho_1 \} \sigma(\omega - \epsilon_\rho)$$

$$\times \cos \frac{1}{2} q_1 \cos \frac{1}{2} q_1 \lambda \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta_{\text{max}}} \right] A_{k',k},$$

$$w^s_{\text{skew}}(k \rightarrow k') = \frac{2 \pi}{\hbar} \langle T^s_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}} \rangle \sigma(\epsilon_{k'} - \epsilon_k).$$

The total skew scattering is

$$w^s_{\text{skew}}(k \rightarrow k') = N_p \langle n^- \rightarrow n^+ \rangle w^s_{\text{skew}}(k \rightarrow k'),$$

where $N_p$ is the total number of polaron and $\langle n^- \rightarrow n^+ \rangle$ is the mean number of $P^s$ polaron minus the number of $P^t$ polaron. This difference is nonzero in a magnetic field and is proportional to the field $B$.

To evaluate $\langle n^- \rightarrow n^+ \rangle$, consider a polaron with an energy difference between $P^s$ and $P^t$ of $\Delta^t - \Delta^s$. The field changes the energies to $E^s = E^s + g_p \mu_B B$, where $g_p$ is the polaron $g$ factor and $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton. The occupations become

$$n^- = f(-\Delta) + 2 g_p \mu_B B \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \epsilon} \right)_{\epsilon = -\Delta} B + O(B^2),$$

$$n^+ = f(\Delta) - 2 g_p \mu_B B \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \epsilon} \right)_{\epsilon = -\Delta} B + O(B^2),$$

where $f(\epsilon)$ is the Fermi-Dirac function defined in Eq. (24).

Taking the probability density of $\Delta$ to be uniform over the range $\Delta_{\text{min}} \leq |\Delta| \leq \Delta_{\text{max}}$ makes the density $\rho_0 = 1/2(\Delta_{\text{max}} - \Delta_{\text{min}})$. The mean polaron difference is

$$\langle n^- \rightarrow n^+ \rangle = 4 g_p \mu_B B \int_0^{\Delta_{\text{max}}} d\Delta \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \epsilon} \right)_{\epsilon = -\Delta},$$

$$\langle n^- \rightarrow n^+ \rangle = 4 g_p \mu_B \left[ \frac{f(\Delta_{\text{min}}) - f(\Delta_{\text{max}})}{\Delta_{\text{max}} - \Delta_{\text{min}}} \right] B.$$
and $\Delta_{\text{min}}=16.7\, \text{K}$ from the fit in Fig. 17. Finally, we choose $\Delta_{\text{max}}^S=1.0\, \text{eV}$ and $\Delta_{\text{max}}^D=1.5\, \text{eV}$ for the energy distribution widths of the $S$ and $D_{xy}$ polaron energies.

The reason we choose $\Delta_{\text{max}}^D>\Delta_{\text{max}}^S$ is because the energy separation of the $D_{xy}$ states from the $P^0$ chiral polarons is larger than $S$ in Table II. This does not prove that $\Delta_{\text{max}}^S>\Delta_{\text{max}}^D$ is always the correct choice, but it is suggestive of the expected energy splitting. Also, our values for the average skew-scattering $T$ matrix in Eq. (115) assume a uniform energy density $\rho^S$ and $\rho^D$, and this may not be correct. The idea here is to get a reasonable order of magnitude estimate for the size of the skew scattering.

The final parameter to choose is the Coulomb repulsion matrix element energy $U$. $U\sim1.0\, \text{eV}$ is the correct energy scale because $U=(1/\Omega_{\text{cell}})4\pi e^2/q_D^2$, where $q_D=4\pi e^2N(0)$ is the Debye screening length arising from the many-body response of the band electrons to a perturbing potential. $N(0)$ is the band density of states. A typical metallic density of states is one state per eV per unit cell leading to $U\sim1.0\, \text{eV}$. Since the density of charge carriers is reduced to $4x=0.40$ per unit cell, the band electrons are less effective at screening the Coulomb repulsion. This increases $U$ by a factor of $1/0.4=2.5$. We take $U=2.5\, \text{eV}$.

$U$ is negative for the hole-doped cuprates because the band structure arises from fully occupied polaron states, and a hole in a chiral polaron state amounts to subtracting a Coulomb matrix element. This was discussed previously following Eqs. (92)–(97). For the electron-doped systems, $U$ is positive. Since $U^3$ appears in Eq. (115), the sign difference in the temperature dependence of the Hall effect between hole-doped and electron-doped cuprates is obtained by assuming that all the other parameters discussed above do not change. We take $U=-2.5\, \text{eV}$ for La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$.

Calculating $\rho_{\text{skew}}$ in Eq. (123), we obtain $R_{\text{pC}}=5.39 \times 10^{-3}\, \text{cm}^2/\text{C}$ at $100\, \text{K}$ or a charge density of 0.116 carriers per unit cell with the chosen parameters. The experimental value$^{29}$ for $x=0.10$ at $100\, \text{K}$ is approximately $4 \times 10^{-3}\, \text{cm}^2/\text{C}$, as can be seen in Fig. 17. Our result is a factor of 1.35 too large.

The largest errors in our calculation come from the estimate of the Coulomb repulsion $U$, the effective $(n/m)_{\text{eff}}$, and the value of the polaron $g$ factor, $g_p=1$. We used one electron mass for $m$ and chose $n$ to be $4x=0.4$ carriers per cell to obtain $(n/m)_{\text{eff}}$. This is likely an overestimate of $(n/m)_{\text{eff}}$ since the carriers must traverse a percolating swath through the crystal, and the scattering rate $1/\tau$ for the ordinary conductivity is not expected to be large. Since $(n/m)_{\text{eff}}$ and $U^3$ appear in $\rho_{\text{skew}}$, small changes lead to a large enhancement of the computed $\rho_{\text{skew}}$. We conclude that the polaron $g$ factor is overestimated and may be an order of magnitude smaller, leading to $g_p\sim0.1$. A reduced $g_p$ allows the Kondo resistivity discussed in Sec. VII A to remain active in the pulsed 60 T magnetic field$^{69}$ used to suppress superconductivity and measure the low-temperature resistivity.

The analysis of this section can be done for the real polaron states $P_x$ and $P_y$ instead of the chiral states. In this case, the magnetic field creates the Van Vleck paramagnetic states $P_x+iBP_y$ and $P_y+iBP_x$. The $B$ in the equations is a constant times the applied field. The skew-scattering contri-
bution from a polaron where the energy of $P_y$ is greater than $P_x$ by $\Delta$ exactly cancels the contribution from a polaron where $P_y$ is greater than $P_x$ by $\Delta$. Thus, real polaron states cannot lead to scarring scattering.

For a planar magnetic field, the $g$ factor is substantially smaller because the angular momentum of the chiral polarons is normal to the plane. Therefore, for planar magnetic fields, the Hall effect should be temperature independent, as is observed.

VIII. ANGLE-RESOLVED PHOTOEMISSION SPECTROSCOPY

A. Pseudogap

The undoped $d^9$ spins have antiferromagnetic fluctuations with wave vector $q = (\pi, \pi)$ that couple an $x^2-y^2$ band state with momentum $k$ to $k + q$. In this section, we show that this coupling leads to a pseudogap at the Fermi energy with a magnitude proportional to the square of the coupling.

At a fixed temperature, the coupling is larger for low dopings because there are more undoped $d^9$ spins. The coupling decreases as the doping increases. This leads to a larger pseudogap for low dopings, as observed.

At a fixed doping, the strength of the antiferromagnetic $d^9$ fluctuations decreases with increasing temperature. Thus, the coupling to the $x^2-y^2$ band should decrease with increasing temperature. This leads to the closing of the pseudogap with temperature.

The momentum dependence of the pseudogap is determined by the shape of the Fermi surface. If the state with momentum $k + q$ is close to the Fermi surface, then mixing with the $k$ state leads to a reduction in the spectral function and a pseudogap. Such nesting occurs for states near $(\pm \pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pm \pi)$. Along the Brillouin zone diagonals, there is no nesting. This leads to a pseudogap that is zero along the diagonal and increases as one moves toward $(\pi, 0)$. The model does not guarantee a zero pseudogap along the diagonal although the magnitude of the gap is expected to be small.

ARPES measures the spectral function $A(k, \omega) = (-1/\pi) \text{Im} G(k, \omega)$. Evaluating $A(k, \omega)$ for $k$ vectors on the Fermi surface, $\epsilon_k = \epsilon_f$, and at the Fermi energy, $\omega = \epsilon_f$, determines the density of states suppression at the Fermi level and its temperature dependence. The relative change in the spectral function is

$$r(k, \omega) = \frac{A(k, \omega) - A_0(k, \omega)}{A_0(k, \omega)},$$

where $A_0(k, \omega)$ is the $V=0$ spectral function and $A(k, \omega)$ is the spectral function including the $d^9$ interaction $V$. The pseudogap is zero when $V=0$ at high temperature. Using Eq. (47),

$$r = V^2 \text{Im} G_0^2(k, \omega) G_0(k + q, \omega) + G_0(k - q, \omega)],$$

(125)

From Eq. (51),

$$G_{l}(k, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{i \Gamma_{l}}.$$

(126)

The relative spectral function change at the Fermi level, $\omega = \epsilon_f$, is

$$r = -V^2 \left. \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma_{l}} \right) \right| \frac{\Gamma_{k+q}}{(\epsilon_f - \epsilon_{k+q})^2 + \Gamma_{k+q}^2} + \frac{\Gamma_{k-q}}{(\epsilon_f - \epsilon_{k-q})^2 + \Gamma_{k-q}^2}. $$

(127)

$r$ is always negative, $r<0$, and $r \to 0$ as $V \to 0$ at high temperatures. The density of states decreases at the Fermi energy leading to a temperature dependent pseudogap that closes as the temperature increases. The linewidths in $\Gamma_{k+q}$ and $\Gamma_{k-q}$ are of the order $\sim 0.01$ eV due to the broadening of a state arising from the nonuniform percolating path in underdoped systems. The coupling of the $d^9$ spins to the $x^2-y^2$ band must be less than or of the order of $\sim 0.1$ eV. The relative density of states change is on the order of $r \sim -(V/\Gamma_{k})^2$. Thus, the change in the ARPES spectra arising from coupling between the undoped $d^9$ spins and $x^2-y^2$ is large enough to qualitatively account for the observations.

B. 2212 bilayer splitting

There are an $\sim 0.1$ eV splitting between the bonding and antibonding $x^2-y^2$ bands in bilayer Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_y$ for $k$ vectors near $(\pi, 0)$ arising from coupling through out-of-plane orbitals. The splitting along the diagonal is very small and not experimentally resolvable. The $(\pi, 0)$ splitting has been observed by ARPES for overdoped systems. No splitting has been observed for underdoped systems. In addition, the bilayer splitting disappears as the temperature is increased, with the temperature separating the coherent (splitting) and incoherent (no splitting) regimes increasing with further overdoping.

XAFS$^{64,65}$ and first principles calculations$^9$ find that the distance between an apical O and a planar Cu decreases $0.2-0.3$ Å due to Sr doping in La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$. Coherent hopping from one CuO$_2$ layer to another requires coupling of $x^2-y^2$ with an out-of-plane orbital. The $x^2-y^2$ band state is delocalized over the percolating polaron swath. Hopping onto an out-of-plane polaron orbital induces a local structural deformation. The matrix element is reduced due to the large structural change as in the small polaron problem. The $x^2-y^2$ band electronic response to the changed potential of the doubly occupied polaron suppresses the matrix element due to the orthogonality catastrophe.

The second-order coherent bilayer hopping through an out-of-plane polaron orbital is not completely suppressed by the orthogonality catastrophe because the initial and final polaron states have the same occupation. Bilayer splitting of the $x^2-y^2$ band is induced by this coupling. The splitting is strongly temperature dependent, and its magnitude decreases with increasing temperature.

In Sec. VII A, a similar second-order hopping leading to a $d$-like anisotropic scattering was argued to be weakly temperature dependent. The difference between the previous intralayer and the current interlayer process is that the matrix
element for the latter is very sensitive to any out-of-plane atomic displacement, leading to a strong temperature dependence.

Qualitatively, for underdoped 2212, the effective interlayer hopping matrix element is small due to the reduced number of Cu pairs between layers that are both part of the percolating band swath. In this scenario, the bilayer splitting is small and may not have been resolved by ARPES at low temperatures.

As the doping is increased, adjacent Cu pairs between layers become available for coherent hopping, and bilayer splitting becomes resolvable. This splitting decreases with increasing temperature in complete analogy to the small polaron problem. At high temperatures, the splitting disappears.

For highly overdoped materials, the splitting is large at low temperatures. As the polaron quasiparticles become more crowded, any atomic distortion from hopping to or from the out-of-plane orbitals is reduced. This leads to a higher temperature before bilayer splitting becomes too small to be resolvable by ARPES.

IX. NMR

A potential problem with our chiral plaquette polaron theory of cuprate superconductivity involves the NMR experiments by Takigawa et al. that shows the same temperature dependent Knight shift for both the planar Cu and O. The most reasonable conclusion from this experiment is that only one electronic component is involved in the cuprate NMR. Similar conclusions were reached for La2−xSrxCuO4. This would appear to contradict our assertion of an out-of-plane polaron because one would expect the polaron to have different hyperfine couplings to the planar Cu and O atoms compared to the x2−y2 band, leading to a total Knight shift temperature dependence (sum of the x2−y2 band plus polaron) that is different for Cu and O.

On the other hand, small-tip angle spin-echo double resonance measurements find that the electron mediated nuclear-nuclear couplings between neighboring Cu-O and Cu-Cu are incompatible with one-component cuprate theories. In addition, the high-temperature Y spin relaxation and Knight shifts are incompatible with one-component cuprate theories. Indeed, recent phenomenological fits imply that there are two contributions to the Redfield correlation time.

Clearly, the effect of the out-of-plane orbitals in our model cannot be ignored in interpreting the NMR data. In our model, the polarons lead to a weakly temperature dependent Knight shift of the form in Eq. (121) for the magnetic susceptibility due to polarons and shown in Fig. 17 for the temperature dependence of the Hall effect. The polaron hyperfine coupling to the planar Cu and O sites is weaker than the x2−y2 band because the hole character is primarily in the out-of-plane O p\text{z} orbital. This weak temperature dependence is seen in fully doped YBa2Cu3O7∗ because the x2−y2 band electron Knight shift contribution is constant with temperature. For underdoped samples, the temperature dependence of the polaron shift is masked by the larger temperature dependence of the Knight shift from the x2−y2 band electrons. The latter shift arises from the decrease in the density of states of the x2−y2 band due to the coupling with the undoped Cu d\text{z}2 band as shown in Eq. (127) for the ARPES pseudogap.

X. CONCLUSIONS

Ab initio quantum mechanical calculations find localized holes in out-of-plane orbitals in contrast to the t-J model. Based on the results of calculations with explicitly doped Sr in La2−xSrxCuO4, we postulated that chiral plaquette polarons are created by doping and that a delocalized x2−y2/p\text{z} band is formed when the plaquette polarons percolate through the crystal.

The d-wave superconductivity, temperature dependent Hall effect, neutron \omega/T scaling, neutron incommensurability, resistivity, doping value for the insulator-metal phase transition, optical absorption, low-temperature log(T) resistance, ARPES pseudogap, and evolution of bilayer splitting in Bi-2212 are explained by chiral plaquette polarons.

We have not shown ab initio that chiral plaquette polarons are formed with doping. For La2−xSrxCuO4, ab initio evidence for holes in out-of-plane orbitals has been demonstrated. Out-of-plane hole orbitals are plausible for cuprates where the doping arises from interstitial O atoms. YBa2Cu3O7, where the Cu-O chain is completely full, is most intriguing. We believe that there are local structural deformations of the apical O atoms favoring localized out-of-plane orbitals.

Detailed calculations of the spectral function for the x2−y2 band measured in ARPES are necessary in order to study the evolution of the background and linewidth as a function of doping and temperature. For underdoped systems, the percolating path is not uniform through the crystal and leads to a broadening of the k state spectral function. Mixing with the A1 orbits of the polarons increases the broadening near (\pi,0).

A calculation using the coherent potential approximation (CPA) may be the way to obtain quantitative estimates of the ARPES. CPA calculations would lead to an accurate determination of the magnitude of the resistivity and would allow us to determine the correct value of \langle n \rangle_{\text{eff}} to use in the results for the magnitude of the skew-scattering contribution to the Hall effect.

Since neutron spin scattering couples to the electron spin and transport couples to its charge, we regard our results to be strong evidence for the existence of chiral plaquette polarons.
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APPENDIX A: PERTURBED BAND ENERGY

In this Appendix, we derive the expressions used in Sec. V C to compute the contribution of the \( h^2 - \gamma^2 \) band energy for different incommensurate neutron \( \mathbf{q} \) vectors. Using Eqs. (49), (51), and (56),

\[
n(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{e}) = n_0(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{e}) + V^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega P(\mathbf{k}, \omega),
\]

where we have defined,

\[
P(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = R(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}, \omega) + R(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}, \omega).
\]

The total number of electrons is \( n_{\text{tot}} = \sum_k n_0(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{e}_f + \delta \mathbf{e}_f) \). From Eq. (A1),

\[
n_{\text{tot}} = \sum_k n_0(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{e}_f + \delta \mathbf{e}_f) + V^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega \sum_k P(\mathbf{k}, \omega).
\]

The first term on the right-hand side can be expanded in a power series in \( \delta \mathbf{e}_f \)

\[
\sum_k n_0(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{e}_f) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{e}_f} \left[ \sum_k n_0(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{e}_f) \right] + O(\delta \mathbf{e}_f^2)
\]

\[
\approx n_{\text{tot}} + \delta \mathbf{e}_f N_0(\mathbf{e}_f) + O(\delta \mathbf{e}_f^2),
\]

where we have used Eqs. (50) and (53). \( N_0(\mathbf{e}_f) \) is the unperturbed density of states per spin. The second term in Eq. (A3) becomes

\[
V^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega \sum_k P(\mathbf{k}, \omega) + O(V^2 \delta \mathbf{e}_f).
\]

Since \( \delta \mathbf{e}_f \sim O(V^2) \), the second term is of the order of \( \delta \mathbf{e}_f ^2 \sim O(V^4) \) and can be neglected to the lowest order. Substituting into Eq. (A3) leads to

\[
\delta \mathbf{e}_f N_0(\mathbf{e}_f) + V^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega \sum_k P(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = 0.
\]

From Eq. (A2), Eq. (A6) is identical to Eq. (55).

Substituting Eq. (A1) into Eq. (54) for the total energy, using Eq. (50), and keeping terms up to \( O(V^2) \),

\[
E_{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{q}, V) = E_G + \mathbf{e}_f \delta \mathbf{e}_f N_0(\mathbf{e}_f) + V^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega \sum_k P(\mathbf{k}, \omega).
\]

The second term proportional to \( \delta \mathbf{e}_f \) can be eliminated by using Eq. (A6), leading to

\[
E_{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{q}, V) = E_G + V^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega (\mathbf{e}_f - \mathbf{e}_f) \sum_k P(\mathbf{k}, \omega).
\]

To compute the change in energy due to incommensurability, we need to evaluate integrals of the form

\[
I(E, E', \epsilon_f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} \frac{d\omega}{(\omega - E)^2(\omega - E')},
\]

\[
J(E, E', \epsilon_f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega \frac{(\omega - \epsilon_f)}{(\omega - E)^2(\omega - E')},
\]

where

\[
E = \epsilon - i\Gamma, \quad E' = \epsilon' - i\Gamma', \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma, \Gamma' > 0.
\]

From Eq. (57),

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} R(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \omega) d\omega = \left( \frac{-1}{\pi} \right) \text{Im} I(\epsilon - i\Gamma, \epsilon_p - i\Gamma', \epsilon_f).
\]

The integrand of Eq. (A9) appears in Eq. (A8).

The integrand of Eq. (A9) can be expanded in partial fractions,

\[
\frac{1}{(\omega - E)^2(\omega - E')} = \delta E^2 \left( \frac{1}{\omega - E'} - \frac{1}{\omega - E} \right) - \delta E^{-1} \frac{1}{(\omega - E)^2},
\]

where \( \delta E = E' - E \). The second term on the right-hand side can be integrated,

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega \frac{(-)}{(\omega - E)^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_f - E}.
\]

Each individual integral in the first term is infinite. The integral is performed by substituting \( -\Lambda \) for \( -\infty \) and taking the limit \( \Lambda \to +\infty \).

\[
\text{Re} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega \left( \frac{1}{\omega - E'} - \frac{1}{\omega - E} \right) = \int_{-\Lambda}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega \left[ \frac{(\omega - \epsilon')}{(\omega - E')^2 + \Gamma'^2} - \frac{(\omega - \epsilon)}{(\omega - E)^2 + \Gamma^2} \right],
\]

\[
\text{Im} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega \left( \frac{1}{\omega - E'} - \frac{1}{\omega - E} \right) = \int_{-\Lambda}^{\epsilon_f} d\rho \left[ \frac{\Gamma}{(\omega - E)^2 + \Gamma^2} - \frac{\Gamma'}{(\omega - E')^2 + \Gamma'^2} \right].
\]

The real part can be solved,

\[
\int_{(\epsilon_f - \epsilon')/\Gamma'} ^{(\epsilon_f - \epsilon)/\Gamma} \frac{dx}{x^2 + 1} = \int_{(\epsilon_f - \epsilon')/\Gamma'} ^{(\epsilon_f - \epsilon)/\Gamma} \frac{dx}{x^2 + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{(\epsilon_f - \epsilon')^2/\Gamma'^2 + 1}{(\epsilon_f - \epsilon)^2/\Gamma^2 + 1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{((\epsilon - \epsilon')\Gamma^2 + 1)}{((\epsilon - \epsilon')\Gamma'^2 + 1)} \right).
\]

Takings the limit \( \Lambda \to +\infty \), the real part becomes

\[
\frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{(\epsilon_f - \epsilon')^2/\Gamma'^2 + 1}{(\epsilon_f - \epsilon)^2/\Gamma^2 + 1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{((\epsilon - \epsilon')\Gamma^2 + 1)}{((\epsilon - \epsilon')\Gamma'^2 + 1)} \right).
\]
\[ \text{Re} \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega \left( \frac{1}{\omega - E'} - \frac{1}{\omega - E} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{\Gamma^2(x^2 + 1)}{\Gamma^2(x^2 + 1)} \right]. \]  
(A18)

The imaginary part integrates to
\[ \text{Im} \int_{-\infty}^{\epsilon_f} d\omega \left( \frac{1}{\omega - E'} - \frac{1}{\omega - E} \right) = \tan^{-1} x - \tan^{-1} x', \]  
(A19)

where \( x = (\epsilon_f - \epsilon)/\Gamma \) and \( x' = (\epsilon_f - \epsilon')/\Gamma'. \) Substituting into Eq. (A9),
\[ I(E', \epsilon_f) = \delta E - \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{\Gamma_{1/2}(x^2 + 1)}{\Gamma(x^2 + 1)} \right] + i(\tan^{-1} x - \tan^{-1} x') \right\} + \delta E - \epsilon_f, \]  
(A20)

where \( E \) and \( E' \) are defined in Eq. (A11) and \( \delta E = E' - E. \)

\[ V^{(2)(a)}_{k'k}(S) = \left( \frac{U}{2N} \right)^2 \sum_{p} \frac{\sin(k' - p)x + i \sin(k' - p)y}{\epsilon_{k'p} - (E_k - E_p) + i\delta}. \]  
(B2)

Expanding the numerator inside the summation into products \( \sin k'x, \cos px, \) etc.,
\[ \text{Num} = \left[ (\sin k'x \cos px - \cos k'x \sin px) + i(\sin k'x \cos px - \cos k'x \sin px) \right] \left[ (\sin k'x \cos px) - \cos k'x \sin px \right] + i(\sin k'x \cos px - \cos k'x \sin px), \]  
(B3)

This can be further expanded into terms with products of the form \( \cos^2 px, \cos px \cos px, \cos px \sin px, \) etc.
The denominator in Eq. (B2) only depends on \( p \) through the energy \( \epsilon_{k'p} \) and has a \( D_{4h} \) crystal symmetry. Thus, for each \( p \), the sum includes terms with \( p' \rightarrow -p' \) and \( p' \rightarrow -p'' \).
The only nonzero terms in the numerator have products \( \cos^2 px, \cos^2 px, \cos px \cos px, \) etc. 

The real part of the numerator is
\[ \text{Re}(\text{Num}) = \left[ (\sin k'x \cos px \cos px + \cos k'x \cos k'x \sin px) + (\sin k'x \cos px \cos px + \cos k'x \cos k'x \sin px) \right] \]  
(B4)

Using \( \cos^2 px = 1/2(1 + \cos 2px) \) and \( \sin^2 px = 1/2(1 - \cos 2px) \) with similar equations for \( p' \),
\[ \text{Re}(\text{Num}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\cos(k' - k)x + \cos(k' - k)y) - \frac{1}{2} (\cos(k' + k)x + \cos(k' + k)y) \right] \]  
(B5)

where we have used \( \cos 2px = \cos 2px \) due to symmetry in the summation. The definitions of the primed coordinates in Eqs. (98) and (99) lead to
\[ \text{Re}(\text{Num}) = \cos \frac{1}{2}(k'_x - k_x)c_0 \cos \frac{1}{2}(k'_y - k_y) \]  
(B6)

A similar expansion for the imaginary part leads to
\[ \text{Im}(\text{Num}) = (\mp)(\sin k_x \sin k'_y - \sin k_x \sin k'_y) \cos p_{x'} \cos p_{y'}. \]  
(B7)

with \( A_{k'k} \) defined in Eq. (108). Collecting the real and imaginary terms,
The second term in Eq. \( V_{k'k}^{(2)(e)}(S) \) is the same as Eq. \( V_{k'k}^{(2)(a)}(D) \) with \( E_{S} \rightarrow E_{D} \) and \( \mp (i) \rightarrow \pm (i) \).

From Eq. \( \text{(105)} \), the real part of \( V_{k'k}^{(2)(a)}(S) \) is necessary for the lowest order skew scattering. In addition, only terms antisymmetric under the interchange of \( k' \) and \( k \) contribute to \( \rho_{xy}^{skew} \). The last term in Eq. \( \text{(B8)} \) is antisymmetric, and only the imaginary part of \( \bar{F}_{1} \) contributes to the skew scattering.

Defining \( F_{1}(\omega) = (-1/i) \text{Im} \bar{F}_{1}(\omega) \),

\[
 F_{1}(\omega) = \left( \frac{1}{N} \right) \sum_{p} \frac{1}{2} (\cos p_{x} + \cos p_{y}) \delta (\omega - \epsilon_{p}) ,
\]

(161)

leads to Eqs. \( \text{(106)} \) and \( \text{(107)} \).

Equation \( \text{(123)} \) for the skew scattering is obtained by solving the linearized Boltzmann transport equation for skew scattering,

\[
 \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{field}} + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{scatt}} = 0 ,
\]

(B17)

where \( \epsilon_{p} = \epsilon_{k} + \frac{1}{2} f_{skew}(k - k') g_{k} + w_{skew}(k - k') g_{k} \), the real part of \( \Phi_{k} \).

Substituting \( g_{k} = \left( - \frac{\partial \Phi_{k}}{\partial \epsilon_{k}} \right) \Phi_{k} \),

(B20)

the transport equation becomes

\[
 - e(v_{k} \cdot \mathbf{E}) + \left( \frac{e}{\hbar c} \right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{k}}{\partial \epsilon_{k}} (v_{k} \times \mathbf{B}) - \frac{\Phi_{k}}{\tau_{k}} - \sum_{k'} w_{skew}(k \rightarrow k') \Phi_{k'} = 0 .
\]

(B21)

\( \Phi_{k} \) can be expanded in the series

\[
 \Phi_{k} = \Phi_{0}^{(0)} + \Phi_{k}^{(1)(ord)} + \Phi_{k}^{(1)(skew)} + \cdots ,
\]

(B22)

where \( \Phi_{0}^{(0)} \sim O(\epsilon) \) and \( \Phi_{k}^{(1)(ord)} \sim O(\epsilon \mathbf{B}) \). \( \Phi_{k}^{(1)(skew)} \) is the additional contribution arising from the skew-scattering term \( w_{skew} \). Substituting Eq. \( \text{(B22)} \) and \( \text{(B21)} \) leads to

\[
 \Phi_{0}^{(0)} = - e(\tau_{k} v_{k} \cdot \mathbf{E}) ,
\]

(B23)

\[
 \Phi_{k}^{(1)(skew)} = \sum_{k'} \tau_{k} \tau_{k'} e(v_{k} \cdot \mathbf{E}) w_{skew}(k \rightarrow k') .
\]

(B24)

The current density per spin is

\[
 J = \left( \frac{1}{\Omega} \right) \sum_{k} (-e) g_{k} v_{k} ,
\]

(B25)

where \( \Omega \) is the total volume. The \( O(\epsilon \mathbf{B}) \) contribution to the conductivity per spin \( \sigma_{xy}^{skew} \) from skew scattering satisfies

\[
 \sigma_{xy}^{skew} = \sigma_{xy}^{skew} E_{y} ,
\]

(184)

\[
 \sigma_{xy}^{skew} = (-e)^{2} \left( \frac{1}{\Omega} \right) \sum_{kk'} \tau_{k} \tau_{k'} v_{k} v_{k'} w_{skew}(k \rightarrow k') .
\]

(B26)

Using \( \sigma_{yx} = -\sigma_{xy} \) from the crystal symmetry and \( \rho_{xy} = \sigma_{xy}/\sigma^{2} \) for the Hall resistivity,
with the additional factor of 2 on the right-hand side to include spin. The conductivity $\sigma$ is

$$\rho_{xy}^2 \sigma^2 = \left(2e^2 \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{\Omega} \right) \sum_{kk'} \left( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \varepsilon_k} \right) \tau_k \rho_{kk'} \nu_{kk'} \nu_{kk'} \rho_{skew}(k \rightarrow k'),$$  

(B27)

where $(n/m)_{eff}$ is the effective $n/m$, and we have taken $\tau_k$ to be independent of $k$ and equal to $\tau$. Since $\rho_{xy} = -\rho_{yx}$ by symmetry, we symmetrize the expression for $\rho_{xy}$ by setting $\rho_{xy} = (1/2)(\rho_{xy} - \rho_{yx})$, leading to Eq. (123).
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