The Expanded Universe of Prokaryotic Argonaute Proteins
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ABSTRACT Members of the ancient family of Argonaute (Ago) proteins are present in all domains of life. The common feature of Ago proteins is the ability to bind small nucleic acid guides and use them for sequence-specific recognition—and sometimes cleavage—of complementary targets. While eukaryotic Ago (eAgo) proteins are key players in RNA interference and related pathways, the properties and functions of these proteins in archaeal and bacterial species have just started to emerge. We undertook comprehensive exploration of prokaryotic Ago (pAgo) proteins in sequenced genomes and revealed their striking diversity in comparison with eAgos. Many pAgos contain divergent variants of the conserved domains involved in interactions with nucleic acids, while having extra domains that are absent in eAgos, suggesting that they might have unusual specificities in the nucleic acid recognition and cleavage. Many pAgos are associated with putative nucleases, helicases, and DNA binding proteins in the same gene or operon, suggesting that they are involved in target processing. The great variability of pAgos revealed by our analysis opens new ways for exploration of their functions in host cells and for their use as potential tools in genome editing.

IMPORTANCE The eukaryotic Ago proteins and the RNA interference pathways they are involved in are widely used as a powerful tool in research and as potential therapeutics. In contrast, the properties and functions of prokaryotic Ago (pAgo) proteins have remained poorly understood. Understanding the diversity and functions of pAgos holds a huge potential for discovery of new cellular pathways and novel tools for genome manipulations. Only few pAgos have been characterized by structural or biochemical approaches, while previous genomic studies discovered about 300 proteins in archaeal and eubacterial genomes. Since that time the number of bacterial strains with sequenced genomes has greatly expanded, and many previously sequenced genomes have been revised. We undertook comprehensive analysis of pAgo proteins in sequenced genomes and almost tripled the number of known genes of this family. Our research thus forms a foundation for further experimental characterization of pAgo functions that will be important for understanding of the basic biology of these proteins and their adoption as a potential tool for genome engineering in the future.
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Argonaute (Ago) proteins play the key role in the RNA interference (RNAi) pathways in eukaryotes. All known eukaryotic Agos (eAgos) bind small RNA molecules and use them as guides for sequence-specific recognition of long RNA targets. Upon recognition of the target, it can be cleaved by the intrinsic endonuclease activity of the Ago protein (1–4). Alternatively, Ago proteins, especially the members of the family that lack nuclease activity, can recruit partner proteins to the target RNA, leading to its degradation and/or repression of its translation (5–7). Recognition of nascent RNA by
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some eAgos can also lead to modification of the chromatin structure—DNA and histone methylation—of the target locus (8–10).

The proteins that belong to the Ago family are also present in the genomes of many bacterial and archaeal species (11, 12). Structural and biochemical studies of prokaryotic Agos (pAgos) have provided key insights into the mechanisms of RNAi in eukaryotes and revealed that Ago proteins directly bind short nucleic acid guides and can cleave complementary targets (13–21). The same studies showed that pAgos can associate with short DNA guides and preferentially recognize DNA targets, in contrast to all known eAgos (17, 20, 22–28). Despite these differences, solved structures of several pAgos and eAgos combined with their sequence alignments revealed a conserved domain organization of these proteins (reviewed in references 12 and 59). All eAgos and all pAgos except one that were experimentally characterized to date possess four domains that are organized in a bilobal structure, with N- and PAZ (PIWI-Argonaute-Zwille) domains forming one lobe and MID (Middle) and PIWI (P-element Induced Wimpy Testis) domains forming another lobe (16, 18, 20, 29–34). The nucleic acids are bound between the lobes; the MID and PAZ domains interact with the 5’ and 3’ ends of the small nucleic acid guide, respectively, and the PIWI domain contains an RNase H-like fold with a catalytic tetrad of conserved amino acid residues involved in the target cleavage (18, 19, 23–25, 27, 28). The N-domain is the least conserved in Ago proteins; it was proposed to facilitate RNA duplex unwinding during guide loading by eAgos (35) and prevent extended duplex formation during target recognition by some pAgos (23).

Until now only a few pAgos were characterized by structural or biochemical approaches (see Fig. 1B). At the same time, earlier genomic studies revealed that up to 32% of the Archaea and 9% of the Eubacteria with sequenced genomes contain genes encoding proteins from the Ago superfamily and showed that the diversity of pAgos is far greater than that of eAgos (11, 12). Indeed, many pAgos contain substitutions of key catalytic residues in the PIWI domain (and are probably inactive), and a large class of pAgos lack the N- and PAZ domains (“short pAgos”), while many pAgos contain additional domains absent in eAgos, either in the same protein or as a part of putative operons. Furthermore, previous studies of the genomic context of pAgo genes revealed that catalytically inactive pAgos are usually associated with several types of nuclease families from the SIR2, TIR, PLD, Mrr (which include proteins with novel RecB-like and RecB2 domains), or Cas4 family (11, 12). Mrr and Cas4 are related to a highly divergent family of PD-(D/E)KK nucleases (36, 37). Furthermore, a subclass of pAgos was found in association with Cas1 and Cas2 nucleases within the CRISPR loci (24). The diversity of pAgos revealed by genomic studies together with few examples of biochemically characterized proteins suggested new cellular functions for these proteins (38–41).

Beyond understanding functions of pAgos in their host cells, analysis of pAgos may yield new proteins that can be potentially harnessed for biotechnology, in particular as an alternative to the CRISPR/Cas genome editing tools (42). Previous genomic studies identified a nonredundant set of 261 pAgos (11, 12). However, since their discovery the number of bacterial strains with sequenced genomes has more than tripled, and many previously sequenced genomes have been revised, resulting in the removal of some pAgo genes from the databases. Therefore, we have undertaken comprehensive exploration of the diversity of pAgos using the latest version of the RefSeq protein database for bioinformatic search. The results of the search almost tripled the number of identified pAgo genes. Our analysis supports the previously reported separation of pAgos into short pAgos and long pAgos (which by themselves can be separated into two distinct clades) and reveals several subclasses with significant differences in the domain organization. These include variations in the MID and PAZ domains involved in guide binding and substitutions of catalytic residues in the PIWI domain. A large fraction of pAgos, including those that lack intrinsic endonuclease activity, are associated with putative nucleases and other DNA binding proteins. Overall, the great diversity of pAgos revealed by our analysis opens a way for
exploration of their biochemical properties and cellular functions, as well as their use as potential tools in genome engineering.

RESULTS

The expanded set of prokaryotic Argonaute proteins. To reveal the diversity of pAgos and to get new insights into their evolution, we performed comprehensive analysis of proteins that belong to the Ago family in sequenced genomes of prokaryotic species. For this, we searched 116 million proteins fetched from the RefSeq NCBI protein database using known pAgos as queries by PSI-BLAST. We found 1,010 pAgos encoded in 1,385 completely and partially sequenced genomes of 1,248 eubacterial and archaeal strains (Fig. 1; see also Table S1 in the supplemental material). In total, pAgos were found in 17% of eubacterial and 25% of archaeal genera (Fig. 1A). The majority (1,186, or 95%) of archaeal and eubacterial strains carry only one pAgo gene (876 pAgos, or 86.7% of all proteins). However, the genomes of 57 strains carry two pAgo genes, four strains carry three pAgo genes, and one strain encodes four pAgos. pAgos are in general randomly distributed in different prokaryotic clades as the number
of genera in each class that encode pAgos in their genomes correlates with the total number of genera in the class (Fig. 1A; Fig. S1). The nonredundant set of pAgos with the level of similarity of less than 90% comprises 721 proteins, which is almost triple the number of previously identified pAgo proteins (11, 12).

As described below, the vast majority of pAgos have the MID and PIWI domains, but many proteins lack the N- and PAZ domains conserved in eAgos. Therefore, we used multiple alignments of the MID-PIWI domains to construct a phylogenetic tree of pAgos (Fig. 1B; Fig. S2). The tree revealed three large clades of pAgos, while two proteins from thermophilic archaea *Thermoproteus uzoniensis* and *Vulcanisaeta mouchnovskia* could not be unambiguously classified as belonging to any of these clades due to their high divergence. Following Makarova and coauthors (11), we call one of these clades “short pAgos” (Fig. 1B), as all proteins in this clade lack the N- and PAZ domains present in eAgos. The majority of pAgos that belong to the other two clades include the PAZ domain, and we therefore designate them as long-A and long-B. The diversity of pAgos vastly exceeds the diversity of eAgos that were previously shown to form a single branch on the phylogenetic tree of long pAgos (as illustrated in Fig. 1B) (11, 12).

The three clades of pAgos can be found in both archaeal and eubacterial species. Furthermore, the majority of prokaryotic classes that include large numbers of genera encode both short and long pAgos. However, some classes have a clear bias toward short or long pAgo types; thus, genomes of *Alphaproteobacteria* predominantly encode short pAgos, while *Cyanobacteria* predominantly encode long pAgos (Fig. 1A). pAgos that belong to different clades can be found in the same genome (for the strains that encode several proteins). For example, *Enhydrobacter aerosaccus* ATCC 27094 encodes three short and one long-A pAgo, *Parvularcula bermudensis* HTCC2503 and *Halomicronema hongdechloris* C2206 encode two short and one long-B pAgos, and *Methylo microbium agile* ATCC 35068 contains one short and one long-B pAgo. Generally, the phylogenetic tree of pAgos has little similarity with the phylogenetic tree of host species built using classic molecular markers such as rRNA genes, thus confirming previously published observations (11, 12) and suggesting that pAgos have mostly spread through horizontal gene transfer (HGT). Notably, a few pAgos that have been biochemically studied almost all belong to the long-A clade, while only two pAgos from the long-B clade and no proteins from the short pAgo clade have been characterized to date (indicated in Fig. 1B).

**Domain architecture of pAgos.** To gain further insight into the structural diversity of pAgo, we analyzed their domain architecture. The exploration of multiple alignments of PIWI, MID, and PAZ domains was used to identify the main structural and functional features of pAgos. We did not include the N-domain in our analysis because it has the lowest level of conservation, which impedes its identification in diverse pAgos. Using InterProScan and CDD-batch programs and the Pfam and Superfamily databases, we also searched for other domains in pAgo proteins. This analysis allowed us to propose a comprehensive classification of the pAgo domain organization (Fig. 2).

As justified by their name, we found that all members of the short pAgo clade lack the PAZ domain implicated in binding of the 3’ end of a guide molecule. The majority of short pAgos (470, or 81%) have only two domains, MID and PIWI. Six short pAgos (~1%) are further truncated and lack the MID domain, suggesting that they may be unable to interact with a guide. In addition to the MID and PIWI domains, 106 short pAgos (18%) that all belong to a single monophyletic branch encompass the APAZ (“analog of PAZ”) domain (Fig. 1B and 2A). The APAZ domain has no sequence similarity to PAZ and was previously described as a domain always associated with short pAgos either as a part of the same protein or present in the same operon with short pAgos (11). Almost all (102 out of 106) APAZ-containing short pAgos also carry domains related to the SIR2 family (SIR2_1 subfamily) (Fig. 1B and 2A), which are considered to be bona fide nucleases (11, 12).

In contrast to short pAgos, the majority (378, or 90%) of pAgos that belong to the long pAgo clades have the domain architecture similar to eAgos, including PAZ, MID,
and PIWI domains (the N-domain was not included in the analysis). However, 31 pAgos that undoubtedly belong to long pAgos based on alignments of their MID-PIWI domains lack the PAZ domain, including previously characterized AfAgo from Archaeoglobus fulgidus (13–15). These truncated long pAgos are scattered at different positions on the phylogenetic tree, suggesting multiple independent cases of the loss of this domain during evolution of long pAgos. One of these truncated proteins also lacks the MID domain. On the other hand, 15 long pAgos that have the PAZ domain also carry additional putative nucleic acid binding domains at their N termini: 7 pAgos contain DNA-binding domains (Schlafen, PF04326, or lambda-repressor-like, SSF47413) and 8 pAgos contain a nucleic acid binding domain with the OB-fold (SSF50249) (Fig. 1B and 2B).

Analysis of the diversity of MID, PIWI, and PAZ domains revealed significant variations in their structures characteristic for different groups of pAgos (Fig. 1B and 2), as described below. In particular, subsets of proteins from both clades of long pAgos contain MID* domains with substitutions of key residues involved in interactions with the guide 5’-end; many long-A pAgos and all long-B and short pAgos contain PIWI* variants with substitutions of essential catalytic residues; and most long-B pAgos contain incomplete variants of the PAZ* domain involved in the 3’-guide interactions (Fig. 2).

**Binding of nucleic acid guides in the MID domain.** The vast majority (1,001, or 99% of all proteins) of pAgos contain the MID domain that has been implicated in binding of the 5’-end of guide molecules. The resolved 3D structures of pAgos, including AfAgo (pAgo of A. fulgidus) (13–15, 22), RsAgo (Rhodobacter sphaeroides) (25, 28), TtAgo (Thermus thermophilus) (17, 19, 23), and MjAgo (Methanocaldococcus jannaschii) (27), have shown that the MID domain anchors the phosphorylated 5’-guide nucleotide by a set of conserved amino acid residues (Fig. 3). These interactions are complemented by the PIWI domain that provides additional residues for 5’-guide and divergent cation binding (13, 15, 19, 23, 25, 27, 28).
Four conserved residues of the MID domain (Y/R, K, Q, and K in crystallized pAgos, e.g., Y463, K467, Q478, and K506 in RsAgo in Fig. 3C) and a bound divalent cation (Mg²⁺ or Mn²⁺) form a network of hydrogen bonds anchoring the 5'-phosphate, and also the third phosphate of the guide molecule, into this basic pocket (13, 15, 18, 25, 27). Multiple sequence alignment of the MID domains shows that these residues are highly conserved in most pAgos (Fig. 3A and B). Accordingly, substitutions of these residues were shown to disrupt pAgo-guide interactions (13, 14, 18, 25, 27). Two additional semiconserved residues (e.g., T and N in RsAgo) (Fig. 3) contribute to binding of the phosphate group and the base of the nucleotide in the second position of the guide, respectively (Fig. 3C). Together, these residues constitute a conserved six-amino-acid motif that is found in most pAgos (YKQTNK consensus for long pAgos, with the most conserved residues shown in bold; indicated with red asterisks in Fig. 3A and B).

Interestingly, we found amino acid variations at the first two positions of this motif, which might be important for the function of different groups of pAgos. The first two
residues in the motif are usually YK in long pAgos; subsets of long-A pAgos contain KR, RK, HK, or YY combinations, while long-B pAgos are almost exclusively YK variants. The aromatic ring of the first Y stacks on the first base at the 5’ end of a guide, thereby contributing to the binding of the first nucleotide within the MID pocket (13, 15, 25, 27, 28). In contrast to tyrosine, R is positively charged and not aromatic and so unable to stack the first base of the guide, as exemplified in the structure of TtAgo (Fig. 3C) (18, 23); the structure of any pAgo with histidine at the first position of the motif remains unknown.

The conserved residues implicated in binding of the 5’-phosphorylated guide end are present in the majority (80%) of long pAgos (Fig. 1B). However, 84 (20%) of long pAgos have at least one amino acid substitution at the most conserved positions in the 5’ binding motif in their MID* domain; namely, they do not contain Y/H/R at the first position of the YKQTNK motif and/or K/R/Y at the second position, and/or Q at the third position, and/or K at the last position. A separate subgroup of these proteins (MID-OH), which form a tight clade on the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1B), includes pAgo from Marinitalaga piezophila (MpAgo) that was recently shown to bind guide molecules with 5’-OH instead of 5’-P ends (24). The multiple sequence alignment showed that this group includes 9 pAgos (compared to 3 proteins identified in the previous study [24]) and that positions of amino acid residues involved in 5’-guide interactions in these proteins overlap the positions of the 5’-P-end binding motif in other pAgos (Fig. 3A and B). However, in comparison with most pAgos, these residues form a more hydrophobic pocket for 5’-OH binding (Fig. 3C). In addition, the MID pocket of MpAgo does not bind Mg2+ ions (Fig. 3C) (24). The absence of guide contacts with the Mg2+ ion is in part compensated by interactions between the phosphates of second and third nucleotides of guide RNA with lysine residues specific for this group of pAgos (K403 and K418 in MpAgo; Fig. 3B and C). While the first tyrosine of the YKQTNK motif that stacks with the first nucleotide base in the guide molecule in other pAgos is replaced with a hydrophobic residue in the MID-OH domain, its role is taken by a preceding aromatic residue (Y or F) that stacks from the other side of the same base (Y379 in MpAgo; Fig. 3B and C).

Another 75 pAgos with noncanonical MID* variants, none of which is characterized to date, belong to several distinct branches on the phylogenetic tree of pAgos, and some of them are located close to the MID-OH pAgos (Fig. 1B). The alignment of these sequences showed that in general they share the same YKQTNK motif, although the conservation of individual positions is much lower than for canonical pAgos (see logo in Fig. 3B; alignment in Data Set S1). It remains to be established whether these proteins include any additional subgroups with noncanonical MID* domains of different specificities, as already revealed for MpAgo, or whether they just represent divergent variants of the same canonical motif.

In contrast to long pAgos, all short pAgos have the canonical 5’-P-end binding motif (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, almost all of them contain HK and RK residues at the first two positions of this motif, instead of YK in long pAgos, further supporting their separation into a distinct clade from long pAgos (Fig. 1, 2B, and 3A and B). Though none of short pAgos was biochemically characterized to date, the conservation of key residues in the MID domain strongly suggests that these proteins bind 5’-phosphorylated guide nucleic acids similarly to most long pAgos and eAgos.

**Endonuclease activity of the PIWI domain.** Structural and biochemical studies of several pAgos and eAgos demonstrated that the conserved tetrad of amino acid residues in the PIWI domain (DEDX, where X is D, H, or K) is responsible for endonucleolytic cleavage of the target upon its recognition by the Ago-guide complex (18–20, 24, 40). Similarly to previous reports (11, 12), we found that all pAgos that belong to the short clade lack a canonical DEDX catalytic tetrad in the PIWI domain (PIWI* variants) (Fig. 2A). Similarly to short pAgos, all proteins in the long-B clade also lack the catalytic tetrad. In contrast, the majority of long-A pAgos (79%) have the canonical DEDX catalytic tetrad in the PIWI domain, suggesting that they possess endonucleolytic
activity. However, this branch also harbors pAgos with substitutions in the catalytic tetrad, suggesting several independent events of the loss of endonuclease activity in this branch.

**Binding of the guide 3’ end in the PAZ domain.** It was previously shown that the amino acid sequences of the PAZ domains of the pAgo proteins are divergent, but their structural folds are similar. The full-length PAZ domain has two subdomains, each consisting of two nucleic acid binding regions, which are oriented to form a hydrophobic pocket that anchors the 3’ end of the guide strand in the binary pAgo-guide complex (16, 18, 20, 28); a typical structure of PAZ is illustrated for TtAgo in Fig. 4A. The first subdomain consists of an oligosaccharide binding fold (OB-fold)-like structure with one or two helices on one side and includes nucleic acid binding regions R1 and R4. The second subdomain consists of an α-helix and a β-hairpin or loop structure, sometimes followed by another α-helix, and includes nucleic acid binding regions R2 and R3 (Fig. 4A) (16, 18, 20). In TtAgo, all four regions contribute to anchoring of the guide 3’ end in the PAZ pocket (Fig. 4A) (18). However, some pAgos, such as RsAgo and MpAgo, contain incomplete variants of the PAZ domain that lack the second subdomain, including regions R2 and R3, and therefore do not possess the PAZ pocket (24, 25, 28). Nevertheless, structural analysis of the binary guide-MpAgo complex revealed that the guide 3’ end can still be bound in the incomplete PAZ domain, although with a different orientation relative to TtAgo (24). These differences may possibly affect the kinetics of guide binding, the stability of binary guide pAgo complexes, or their ability to recognize complementary nucleic acid targets (see Discussion).

We determined how often the PAZ domain without the second subdomain (referred to here as PAZ*) is present among long pAgos. As noted above, the structural elements of PAZ involved in nucleic acid binding are organized by the four poorly conserved regions, R1 to R4 (Fig. S3, as described in reference 28), with the DNA-binding α-helix in the second subdomain formed by stretches of 7 to 15 amino acids from region R3 (illustrated for TtAgo and *Pyrococcus furiosus* PfAgo in Fig. 4). Thus, we analyzed the presence of this region as a signature of the second subdomain of PAZ in pAgo. Using multiple sequence alignments of the identified PAZ domains, we found that R3 is found
in more than half of the PAZ domains in long pAgos, including most long-A pAgos (Fig. 1B and 4A; alignment in Data Set S2), indicating their canonical two-lobe structure. The rest of PAZ* domains are smaller and do not have R3, suggesting that they have the one-subdomain organization without the nucleic acid binding pocket, as previously observed for RsAgo and MpAgo. Interestingly, the PAZ* domain is found in almost all long-B pAgos (Fig. 1B), suggesting that it was present in the common ancestor of this group.

Phylogenetic analysis of APAZ domain-containing proteins. Previous analyses identified the so-called APAZ domain that is present in some short pAgos as well as in genes contained in putative operons containing short pAgos (11). The APAZ domain was proposed to be the functional analog (but not homolog) of the PAZ domain (11) or the N-domain (43) that is absent in short pAgos. To gain insight into the diversity and phylogeny of APAZ-containing proteins, we iteratively searched the RefSeq protein database by DELTA-BLAST using the sequences of known APAZ domains as queries. In total, we found 5,385 protein hits. However, the vast majority (4,753, or 88%) of the found proteins belonged to the HisG (PRK01686) and EIIB families (TIGR01996). We therefore performed the reverse DELTA-BLAST search using them as queries to test if these protein families were indeed related to the other APAZ proteins; however, we did not find any APAZ-containing proteins within obtained hits. Moreover, the hisG proteins were found only among BLAST hits of the SIR2-APAZ protein from Sphingomonas wittichii RW1, while EIIB proteins were found only among BLAST hits of the TIR-APAZ protein from Chlorobium phaeobacteroides BS1. Thus, we concluded that HisG and EIIB families were revealed artificially and are not related to the APAZ proteins, and we excluded them all from the further phylogenetic analysis. The remaining set of APAZ domains included 632 proteins.

The multiple alignments of APAZ domains were used to build their phylogenetic tree, which revealed that the APAZ proteins can be separated into four large groups designated Ia, Ib, Ila, and Ib and a fifth group III of 18 proteins from several archaeal species that have remote similarity to groups I and II (Fig. 5; alignment in Data Set S3). All five groups are present in putative operons with pAgos. We further analyzed the domain architectures of APAZ-containing proteins using InterProScan and the Pfam and Superfamily databases. The first group of APAZ proteins designated Ia includes 117 proteins that in addition to APAZ contain the SIR2 domain (the “SIR2-APAZ” type). The majority of proteins in this group are short pAgos that also contain the MID-PIWI domains; however, 31 proteins from this group lack the MID-PIWI domains. Since these variants are scattered along different branches in this group, they might have independently lost their MID-PIWI parts. In contrast, while a significant part of APAZ proteins from the four other groups are associated with pAgos, only one of them is pAgo itself. Most proteins that belong to group Ib (150) are related to group la and also contain SIR2 besides APAZ, but do not have MID and PIWI. There are also several proteins in both groups Ia and Ib that lack SIR2 or any other domains (the “APAZ” type; 4 and 6 proteins for Ia and Ib, correspondingly). Most proteins of group Ila (122) are characterized by the presence of the TIR domain on their N termini (“the TIR-APAZ” type); one of them is short pAgo (also Fig. 2); 16 proteins in this group lack TIR domains. Group Ib, which encompasses 225 proteins, is diverse: 112 proteins contain uncharacterized domain DUF4365 (“DUF4365-APAZ”), and 13 have the SIR2 domain, while 100 do not have any extra domains (“APAZ”). Our analysis of the DUF4365 domain has shown that it corresponds to the recently identified RecB-like domain of the Mrx subfamily of PD-(D/E)XXK nucleases (see below) (11). Finally, the proteins from group III, similarly to a major part of proteins from group Ib, do not have any other domains except APAZ (the “APAZ” type).

APAZ-containing proteins are found almost exclusively in eubacterial species. The analysis revealed tight reciprocal association between APAZ-containing proteins and short pAgos. Indeed, although only one branch of short pAgos contains the APAZ domain, the majority of other short pAgos that do not have APAZ themselves contain
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APAZ-containing genes in their operons (Fig. 1B and 2). Reciprocally, 397, or 63%, of all genes with the APAZ domain are positioned close (within 10 genes on the same genomic strand) to short pAgos, likely in the same operons (Fig. 5) (see below). Thus, APAZ proteins are likely an integral component of functional pathways mediated by short pAgos.

**Fig 5** Phylogenetic analysis of APAZ domains. The circular phylogenetic tree of the five groups of APAZ domains. The phylogenetic tree was annotated as follows, from the inner to the outer circles: in the “protein type” circle the APAZ-containing proteins are colored according to their phylogenetic groups and domain compositions, where each type of protein structure is exemplified by the callouts with corresponding domain schemes; isolated APAZ domains in all groups are shown in light blue; the “operon” circle indicates whether the APAZ-containing protein is found in a pAgo coding operon; the “pAgo fusion” circle shows APAZ domains which are fused with short pAgo proteins; and the “kingdom” circle indicates the superkingdom to which the corresponding APAZ-containing protein belongs.
Functional classification of proteins enriched in the genomic context of pAgos.

Analysis of the functions of proteins encoded in the same operons with pAgos might shed light on the molecular pathways involving pAgos. We therefore analyzed the genomic context of pAgos encoded in the 1,385 genomes of 1,248 strains and explored the proteins encoded in their proximity. We defined a window centered on pAgo encompassing 20 genes (i.e., 10 genes upstream and 10 genes downstream of pAgo) in each genome. The 16,274 proteins encoded in these windows were clustered into orthogroups based on the sequence similarities that resulted in 1,892 orthogroups that had at least 2 proteins (see Materials and Methods). The orthogroups contained 12,668 proteins, and the largest one comprised 298 related proteins (Table S2).

We further defined pAgo operons that included only genes that are continuously located on the same genomic strand as pAgo, which resulted in a set of operons with a mean size of 6.4 genes. We separately considered operons of five different groups of pAgos (Fig. 6):

1. w/o APAZ
2. with SIR2-APAZ
3. long-A
4. long-B
5. long-A with MID-OH

FIG 6 Functional annotations of proteins encoded in pAgo operons. The heat map on the left shows the percentage of operons for each pAgo group (columns) that have at least one protein from the particular orthogroup (rows). The orthogroups are ordered according to the number of proteins in each group, from the largest to the smallest. Since many operons do not contain any proteins included in the orthogroups analyzed here, the total number of operons with orthogroup-specific proteins may be less than 100%. The PD-(D/E)XK column shows the fraction of proteins from each orthogroup that are predicted to be nucleases from the PD-(D/E)XK superfamily. The annotation of proteins was performed by BLAST analysis for identification of APAZ, RecB-like, and RecB2 domains and by InterProScan using the Pfam and Superfamily databases. Only the Pfam annotation of domains is shown (with the exception of og_209, for which the Superfamily annotation is shown). The percentage in the brackets after the domain name shows the fraction of proteins in the corresponding orthogroup having this type of domain. Since proteins may contain several domains, the sum of percentages in each row may be greater than 100%. Only Pfam or Superfamily domains found in at least 30% of orthogroup proteins are shown. The descriptions of domain functions are shown on the right of the panel. Proteins from several orthogroups do not have any known domains and are marked by "?." After the manual curation of domain functions, several of the most common functional features were selected, which are shown as colored symbols and described below the table.

Functional classification of proteins enriched in the genomic context of pAgos.

The diverse world of prokaryotic argonautes
(i) short pAgos that are not fused to the APAZ domain, (ii) short pAgos that contain the SIR2-APAZ domains, (iii) all long-A pAgos except the MID-OH variants, (iv) long-B pAgos, and (v) long-A pAgos with the MID-OH domain. Proteins from 47 orthogroups that were present in at least 5% of operons in at least one of the five pAgo groups were further analyzed in detail. In Fig. 6, the orthogroups are sorted in descending order of the number of proteins in each orthogroup.

We defined the domain structure of proteins in each orthogroup using InterProScan with Pfam, Superfamily, and CDD databases containing known domain annotations. For identification of the APAZ, RecB-like, and RecB2 domains, previously described by Makarova et al. (11) and absent from these databases, we performed PSI-BLAST search using previously identified proteins as queries. In addition, we proposed that there may be other pAgo-associated proteins belonging to the PD-(D/E)XXK superfamily of nucleases, besides previously identified Mrr (RecB-like and RecB2) and Cas4 proteins (11, 12). To check this, we have searched for the characteristic PD-(D/E)XXK sequence motif in the orthogroup proteins. The identified domains found in each orthogroup of proteins and the putative functional types of pAgo-associated proteins are shown in Fig. 6.

The proteins with APAZ domains are present in the orthogroups og_3, og_4, og_9, and og_40. The proteins from these orthogroups also contain other types of domains, including DUF4365 (og_3), SIR2_1 subtype of SIR2 (og_4), TIR (og_9), and SIR2_1 fused with Schlafen domain (og_40). Most of the revealed APAZ-containing proteins are located in group 1 operons of short pAgos that do not have their own APAZ domain. In total, 91% of group 1 operons contain proteins with APAZ. In contrast, only 6% of group 2 operons of short pAgo fused with APAZ and SIR2 encode APAZ-containing proteins. Taken together, 93% of short pAgos are associated with the APAZ domain as a part of the same protein or present in the same operon with short pAgos. In contrast, long pAgos are only rarely coencoded with APAZ proteins (2%, 3%, and 0% of operons from groups 3, 4, and 5, respectively).

We found several different types of nucleases and DNA-binding proteins abundant in the pAgo operons (Fig. 6). In total, 17 out of 47 orthogroups (36%) encode proteins with putative nuclease activity. These proteins are found in 68% of pAgo operons. Ninety-two percent of operons of short pAgos that themselves do not have the APAZ and SIR2 domains (group 1 of pAgos) encode different types of nucleases. In contrast, only 32% of operons of short pAgos fused with the SIR2 and APAZ domains (group 2) contain nucleases. For group 3 of long-A pAgos, 45% of pAgos carrying the catalytic tetrad have nucleases in their operons, while this number is increased to 53% for operons of long-A lacking the DEDX tetrad. This number is further increased to 67% for group 4 of long-B pAgos, which are all catalytically inactive. The nucleases found in the pAgo operons are quite diverse and include proteins with SIR2 (og_4, og_40, and og_44), TIR (og_9), and RecB2 (og_15) domains; the DUF4365 domain that belongs to RecB-like nucleases (og_3); Cas4 proteins (og_56 and og_60), and phospholipase D proteins with putative nuclease activity (og_136). A set of pAgo operons also contain proteins with putative nucleases of the HNH type with DUF252 and DUF1254 domains (og_28).

Two pAgos from Marinitoga piezophila and Thermotoga profunda, which are representatives of the small group of long-A pAgos containing the MID-OH domain (Fig. 1B, 2B, and 3A), were previously reported to be encoded in the CRISPR loci between the Cas1 and Cas2 genes (24). We found that all pAgos containing the MID-OH domain from 9 prokaryotic strains (group 5 of pAgos) are encoded within CRISPR loci with Cas1 (og_154) and Cas2 (og_209) genes nearby (Fig. 6). Other proteins specific for the subtype III-B CRISPR locus are also observed in association with MpAgo-like pAgos: Cas6 (og_190), primase (og_209), and Cmr (Cas module RAMP) proteins (og_455).

In addition, proteins in several other orthogroups have motifs characteristic for the PD-(D/E)XXK nucleases [Fig. 6, the PD-(D/E)XXK column]. These proteins include the UvrD helicase and P-loop NTPase (AAA + subfamily) domains (og_6), SAM-dependent methyltransferase domain (og_13), restriction endonuclease of type III (og_21, og_34), DEAD
box helicase and P-loop NTPase (AAA+ subfamily) (og_34 and og_37), YhgA-type putative transposases (og_72), and proteins without known domains (og_257 and og_603). Notably, proteins from three of these orthogroups have predicted helicase activity (domains related to the UvrD helicase, og_6, and DEAD box helicase, og_34 and og_37).

Proteins with DNA-binding domains are abundant in operons of short pAgos fused to the APAZ and SIR2 domains (33%) and of long-B pAgos (33%). In addition, proteins with DNA-binding domains are present in 19% and 13% of operons of long-A pAgos and short pAgos without APAZ-SIR2 domains, respectively. The DNA-binding domains in p Ago-associated proteins include the lambda repressor-like DNA-binding domain (og_2), helix-turn-helix DNA-binding domains (og_18, og_49, og_73, and og_79), the Schlafen domain with an AlbA_2 subdomain (og_37), and DNA-binding components of the type I restriction-modification system (HsdS) (og_11). Interestingly, the lambda repressor-like DNA-binding domain (PF01381) from highly represented orthogroup og_2 and the Schlafen DNA-binding domain AlbA_2 (PF04326) from og_37 were found to be fused with several long-B pAgos (Fig. 2B).

Overall, analysis of proteins in pAgo operons strongly indicates the involvement of nuclease, helicase, and DNA-binding activities in cellular pathways dependent on pAgos (see Discussion). Beyond nucleases and DNA-binding proteins, 10% of all long pAgo operons encode hydrolases and phosphatases (og_47, og_62, and og_55). Finally, 14% of long-B pAgo operons encode multidrug efflux transporters of the resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND) superfamily (og_14 and og_31), but their possible roles in the functional pathways involving pAgos remain unknown.

In the present analysis, we could not reveal any defined operon compositions for specific pAgo groups. While the median number of all genes associated with pAgo on the same strand is 6.4, these numbers are much lower if we consider only proteins included in the orthogroups analyzed here: 2.0 for all short pAgos, 3.0 for each long-A and long-B pAgo, and 5.0 for the MID-OH long-A pAgos. The small sizes of operons on the one hand and the large number of orthogroups on the other result in numerous possible operon configurations, making the construction of the consensus operon structure impossible. The only exception is the MID-OH long-A pAgos, for which the structure can be presented as Cas1-Cas2-Cas6-pAgo-Cmr. The same operon scheme was reported for MpAgo previously (24). The list of all observed configurations of orthogroup proteins in pAgo operons is presented in Table S3.

The genomes encoding pAgos do not show decreased transposon copy number. Several eukaryotic Ago proteins were shown to prevent propagation of transposons and viruses in the genomes (reviewed in references 44 and 45). Similarly, a few studied pAgos were proposed to protect prokaryotic cells from foreign DNA, including plasmids, transposons, and phages (38, 40, 41). In particular, pAgos may be involved in the protection of the prokaryotic genome from the invasion of mobile genetic elements or suppress the activity of genomic copies of such elements. If pAgos are able to reduce the expansion of transposons in their host genomes, then the number of transposon insertions should be lower in strains that encode pAgo. To test this hypothesis, we counted the number of transposon insertions in the genomes of strains encoding or not encoding pAgos from different groups (short pAgos, short pAgos fused to the SIR2-APAZ domains, long-A with PIWI and PIWI* domains, long-B pAgos, and long-A pAgos with the MID-OH domain). We found that the genomes of strains encoding pAgos do not have lower copy numbers of transposons than strains without pAgo genes (Fig. 7A). Moreover, we observed a moderate but significant increase in the mean number of transposon copies per genome in pAgo-containing strains for both short and long classes of pAgos. For the MID-OH pAgos, the number of transposon copies in corresponding genomes was smaller than in pAgo-minus strains, but this difference was statistically insignificant due to the small number of pAgos in this group. Since different phylogenetic groups may contain different transposon numbers, we compared the transposon content within several genera (Fig. 7B). For this, we selected 32 prokaryotic genera having at least 10 strains with sequenced genomes encoding and
not encoding pAgos. For the strains of each genus, the transposon copy numbers were subjected to log2 transformation and z-scaling. If a strain has a z-score of $\leq -2$, then there is only a 2.3% chance that its genome has the transposon content typical for this genus, and so it can be considered the one that has a significantly lower transposon number than average. We have found that only one strain among 108 pAgo-encoding strains from 32 genera (703 strains in total) has a z-score of $\leq -2$ (Fig. 7B). The genomes of all other strains with pAgo have the transposon copy numbers that are typical of the corresponding genera. Overall, our results indicate that pAgos present in the genomes may not be able to stop transposon insertions; however, this does not exclude a possibility that pAgos can repress transposon expression.

**DISCUSSION**

Eukaryotic systems of RNA interference have evolved from several diverse prokaryotic components, including RNase III-like enzymes, RNA-dependent RNA polymerases, helicases, and pAgos, which gave rise to various types of eAgos and RNAi pathways (46). While being highly variable and involved in different interference pathways, all eAgos share the same *modus operandi*: they always bind small RNA guides to locate and inactivate cRNA targets (or attract other components to modify corresponding coding DNA loci). Perhaps not surprisingly, pAgos are much more diverse, with significant sequence and domain variations (11, 12). Moreover, a few published functional studies of pAgos demonstrated that, while retaining the same basic principle of guided nucleic acid recognition, they may significantly differ in their modes of action in comparison with eukaryotic counterparts (38–41, 47). We have now revealed even a larger diversity of pAgos that allows us to suggest their updated classification and propose possible evolutionary pathways and cellular functions for this conserved family of nucleic acid-targeting proteins.
The diversity of pAgos. Our results revealed that pAgos are widespread in archaeal and eubacterial species. The availability of new sequenced genomes allowed identification of an almost 3-fold-larger set of pAgos than previous analysis. Analysis of this expanded set demonstrated that pAgos are highly diverse and can be separated into three large clades, short, long-A and long-B, which diverged early in evolution (Fig. 1B; see also Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). While this division is based solely on sequence alignments of the MID-PIWI domains, these groups also reveal characteristic variations in specific structural elements involved in nucleic acid binding and processing. Thus, the members of the long-A clade mostly contain both catalytically active PIWI domains and PAZ domains of normal size. In contrast, long-B pAgos contain inactive PIWI* domains and also usually shortened PAZ* domains, although other combinations were also observed for both clades (Fig. 1 and 2). Furthermore, proteins from the long-A clade contain several variants of the 5’-P-guide binding motif while long-B pAgos almost always contain the canonical YK motif. Short pAgos always contain substitutions in the active site (PIWI*) and a subset of canonical MID motifs (HK/RK).

The exact relationship between the three clades is ambiguous, with two possible alternative scenarios (12). In one scenario, short and long pAgos diversified first, followed by a further split of long pAgos into two clades. Alternatively, catalytically inactive long-B pAgos and short pAgos may have originated from a common ancestor containing substitutions in the active site. The phylogenetic analysis of the MID-PIWI domains has shown that the evolutionary distance between combined short pAgo and long-B pAgo clades on the one side and the long-A clade on the other is slightly larger than between short and combined long-A and long-B clades (Fig. S1). This argue in favor of the second scenario of the evolutionary origin of the three clades of pAgos.

Binding of nucleic acids by pAgos. Tight association with small nucleic acid guides seems to be a defining feature of pAgos and eAgos alike (reviewed in references 12 and 59). Analysis of the nucleic acid binding pockets of the MID domain revealed that the majority of pAgos that belong to all three clades (short, long-A, and long-B) likely bind small nucleic acid guides with monophosphorylated 5’ ends. At the same time, we discovered significant variations in the conserved 5’-guide binding motifs in the long-A pAgos, including substitutions of key residues involved in the 5’-phosphate interactions (i.e., YK, YY, KR, YR, MID*, and MID-OH variants). While most these variants likely interact with 5’-P-guides, some proteins might bind guides with an alternative 5’-end structure, as already shown for the MID-OH pAgos, or not use nucleic acid guides at all. Interestingly, almost all members of the short pAgo clade have MID variants that are close to the consensus (HK/RK), suggesting that the canonical MID pocket structure is essential for their function in the absence of the PAZ domain. Future biochemical comparisons of the long and short pAgos with various noncanonical MID motifs should find out if the observed differences in the 5’-end pockets may influence the specificity, affinity, and kinetics of their interactions with guide and target molecules.

While all known eAgos use small RNA guides to recognize RNA targets, the majority of proteins in a relatively small sample of pAgos characterized so far prefer to bind small DNA as a guide, except for RsAgo (38) and MpAgo (24), which associate with small RNAs. Furthermore, all studied pAgos preferably interact with DNA targets. Few amino acid residues involved in the binding of ribose and deoxyribose backbone of the guide and target molecules were identified in the structures of experimentally characterized pAgos (17, 23–25, 28); however, these residues are not well conserved. Therefore, sequence analysis is not able to predict preference for DNA versus RNA binding, and this information has to be obtained experimentally.

The PAZ domain of most studied eAgo and pAgo proteins is composed of two subdomains that together form the 3’-end guide binding pocket (16, 18, 20, 28). However, our results revealed different organizations of the PAZ domain among long pAgos, indicating that a single conserved structure of PAZ may not be required for guide binding. The structure is not conserved even among the few experimentally characterized pAgos. While AaAgo and TtAgo contain both subdomains (18, 20), the
PAZ* domains of MpAgo and RsAgo lack the second subdomain and therefore do not possess the PAZ pocket (24, 25, 28). While no three-dimensional structure of the binary complex is available for RsAgo, the guide 3’ end still interacts with PAZ in the binary complex of MpAgo but is differently oriented (Fig. 4) (24). Formation of the extended guide-target base-pairing during target recognition is accompanied by guide release from PAZ (19, 23, 24, 26, 48). Thus, structural characteristics of PAZ may have an important role in the recognition and binding of nucleic acid targets by pAgo proteins, for example, by influencing the kinetics of target recognition and cleavage (19, 23, 24, 26, 48, 49). Interestingly, the incomplete PAZ* domain is characteristic for the long-B clade of catalytically inactive pAgos, suggesting that the stepwise guide-target annealing controlled by full-length PAZ may be more important in the active long-A pAgos, e.g., for prevention of premature nonspecific target cleavage.

All short pAgos and a small but significant fraction of long pAgos lack the PAZ domain entirely, raising the question of how nucleic acid guides can be bound by these proteins. Structural and functional analysis of the truncated long-A AfAgo lacking the PAZ domain demonstrated that it can nevertheless bind and stabilize short guide-target duplexes (14, 15, 22). Furthermore, since the guide 3’ end is released from the PAZ domain upon target recognition, PAZ may be important for protection of the guide from cellular nucleases until the target is found—and the protection may be less important for short pAgos or truncated long pAgos that use DNA guides. However, it remains to be established whether any accessory proteins may facilitate guide and target binding by such pAgos. The finding of association between short pAgos and the APAZ domain led to the suggestion that APAZ might play the role of PAZ in short pAgos (11). Recently, it was proposed that APAZ may be instead homologous to the N-domain of full-length Agos (43). However, both proposals await experimental validation.

Possible functional activities of pAgo-associated proteins. In contrast to their ability to bind nucleic acids, the endonuclease activity toward the target is not a universal feature of pAgos: the proteins that are predicted to have the catalytic tetrad in the PIWI domain are found in only the long-A clade of pAgos (~18% of all pAgos). However, the lack of catalytic activity in PIWI* does not rule out the possibility that such pAgos are involved in processing of target nucleic acids. Indeed, our analysis confirmed and expanded previous observations on the association of catalytically inactive pAgo with proteins that might have nuclease activity (11, 12). Markedly, the number of putative nuclease genes in operons containing catalytically inactive pAgo variants is significantly higher than for the active pAgos.

The proteins in one branch of short pAgos have the SIR2 domain with potential nuclease function, while many more pAgos that belong to the short and long-B clades of catalytically inactive pAgos harbor in their operons separate proteins with predicted nuclease activities. The absence of the catalytic tetrad in the PIWI* domain in such pAgos and their tight association with proteins containing SIR2, TIR2, DUF4365 (novel RecB-like nuclease of the Mrr subfamily), RecB2, Cas4, PLD, and HNH nuclease domains suggest that these domains might replace the intrinsic endonuclease activity of the Ago protein. Although the APAZ domain is not related to any nuclease, its strong association with short pAgos and the proteins with nuclease domains suggests that APAZ might also be involved in the nuclease activity. Thus, the functions of target recognition and its further processing seem to be separated, with the first step performed by pAgos and the second by nucleases encoded in the pAgo operons. Notably, these operons often include predicted helicases and DNA-binding proteins, suggesting their involvement in DNA processing. Future analysis of the functional activities of these proteins will be required to uncover the molecular details of pAgo action.

Possible functions of pAgos in prokaryotic cells. eAgos and their small RNA partners play diverse functions, from the repression of selfish genomic elements such as transposons to the regulation of host gene expression. Furthermore, eAgos can
direct changes of chromatin marks and even DNA elimination (44, 45). Compared to eAgos, experimental data about pAgo functions are very scarce, as activities of only a few proteins were characterized in vitro and even smaller numbers of proteins (TtAgo and RsAgo) were studied in their host cells in vivo. Available data suggest that pAgos might participate in protection of prokaryotic genomes against foreign elements such as plasmids, transposons, and phages (38, 39). However, the only direct results obtained so far were the ability of TtAgo and RsAgo (and also PfAgo and MjAgo in the heterologous Escherichia coli system) to decrease the amount of plasmid DNA in the cell (38–41). RsAgo was also demonstrated to decrease plasmid transcription and preferentially associate with genomic DNA sequences corresponding to transposons and IS elements (38). While the molecular mechanisms of this specific targeting remain to be established, we infer that other types of pAgos discovered in our analysis may also be involved in host defense against certain types of genetic elements.

Intriguingly, we observed a positive, not negative, correlation between the presence of various types of pAgos and the number of transposon copies in sequenced genomes. This observation suggests several possibilities. First, transposons may not be the main targets of all pAgos, most of which may instead target phages and plasmids. Second, the presence of pAgos in genomes with increased transpon numbers might be beneficial for these strains because pAgos suppress the transposon expression or their further detrimental transpositions. Third, it can be speculated that pAgos might perform a plethora of other functions in prokaryotic cells, including genetic regulation and DNA repair. Indeed, association of pAgos with multiple DNA-binding and DNA-processing proteins suggests their active involvement in DNA metabolism in prokaryotic cells.

As reported previously (11, 12) and in this work, the pattern of distribution of pAgos among eubacterial and archaeal species does not correspond to the phylogeny of host species, suggesting their spread by horizontal gene transfer. While we could not build a conserved operon structure for any group of pAgo proteins, their strong association with genes encoding proteins involved in DNA processing suggests their common function and joint horizontal transfer. Statistical analysis of the genomic neighborhoods of pAgos also revealed a significant link to phage resistance systems (11). The functional roles of such associations should be investigated experimentally. Other genes spread by HGT include various adaptation and resistance systems such as CRISPR, restriction/modification, drug resistance, and toxin/antitoxin modules that have common features of cell protection in the highly diverse and developing environments. While it is attractive to suggest that most pAgos use associated nucleic acid guides for sequence-specific recognition of foreign DNA targets in order to repress them, other scenarios of their action are possible as well. For example, pAgos together with their protein partners might constitute a suicidal system that is activated under stress conditions or upon viral infections and triggers processing of host DNA unless its activity is suppressed. Our study opens new possibilities for detailed analysis of various groups of pAgos and associated factors, which can reveal their new cellular functions and activities and possibly adopt pAgos as an efficient tool for genomic manipulations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Prokaryotic protein and genome databases. The set of proteins was downloaded from the NCBI FTP site in January 2018. Altogether, the database included more than 116 million RefSeq proteins from 4,364 taxons with completely sequenced and assembled genomes (“Complete Genome” and “Chromosome” statuses) and 15,505 taxons with unassembled genomic sequences (“Scaffold” and “Contig” statuses) of Archaea and Eubacteria. The genomic sequences and genome annotations of prokaryotes were fetched from the NCBI FTP site in January 2018.

Identification of pAgo- and APAZ-containing proteins and analysis of their domain structure. The identification of homologs of already-known pAgo- and APAZ domain-containing proteins was carried out using the PSI-BLAST and DELTA-BLAST program from the NCBI-BLAST+ package, v.2.6.0 (-num_descriptions 1000 -num_alignments 1000 -evalue 1E-5 -num_iterations 5) (50). The search was performed with five iterations, sufficient for the full convergence of the results. For the search, sequences of only the PIWI-MID domains of already-known pAgos (12) or APAZ domains (11) were used as queries. The domain architecture of the found proteins was analyzed by the CDD-batch program (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/bwrpsb/bwrpsb.cgi) for the Pfam and CDD databases as well as InterProScan.
(v.5.28-67) for the Pfam and Superfamily databases. The proteins were aligned by the MAFFT program, v.7.3 (-ep 0 –genafpair –maxiterate 1000) (51); multiple alignments were manually curated and used for the extraction of domain borders and features.

**Phylogenetic analysis.** To construct a nonredundant, representative sequence set for the phylogenetic analysis, sequences of the PIWI-MID and APAZ domains were clustered using the UCLUST 4.2 program (52) with the sequence identity threshold of 90%. The longest sequence was selected to represent each cluster. The multiple alignment of PIWI-MID domains was carried out by the MAFFT program, v. 7.3 (-ep 0 –genafpair –maxiterate 1000) (51). Positions including greater than ≈0.5 gaps were removed from the alignment by trimAl, v.1.4 (53). Phylogenetic analysis was performed using the FastTree program (54) with default parameters, with the WAG evolutionary model and the discrete gamma model with 20 rate categories. The tree structure was validated with bootstrap analysis (n = 100).

**Analysis of the composition of pAgo operons.** The protein-coding sequences of 16,264 genes neighboring all pAgo genes (10 upstream and 10 downstream genes for each pAgo) were clustered based on sequence homology. The pairwise similarity was calculated by using the profile-profile metric with HHblits and HHsearch from the HH-suite (55, 56), as suggested in reference 57. In contrast to the more common sequence-sequence comparison method with using all-against-all BLAST analysis, the comparison of HMM profiles represents a more sensitive strategy for detecting distant evolutionary relationships among diverged proteins. For this, a profile for each sequence was constructed with HHblits (-n 1 -E 1E-3) and the uniclast30_2017_10 HMM database ([http://wwwuser.gwdg.de/~compbiol/uniclust/2017_10/](http://wwwuser.gwdg.de/~compbiol/uniclust/2017_10/)). Then, all-against-all profile–profile search was conducted using HHsearch (-b 1 -b 1000 -z 1 -Z 1000 -E 1E-5), which provided E values similar to what BLAST does. Clustering of genes into orthogroups was done with the MCL algorithm implemented in the clusterMaker2 plug-in of Cytoscape 3.6.1 (inflation factor = 2).

The annotation of obtained 1,892 protein orthogroups was performed with InterProScan (v.5.28-67) for the Pfam and Superfamily databases. Also, we have performed the PSI-BLAST search of APAZ, RecB-like, and RecB2 domains in the orthogroup proteins. The prediction of nucleases belonging to the PD-(D/E)XK superfamily was carried out with an SVM-based approach using the standalone version of the “PDEXX recognition” program kindly provided by Mindaugas Margelevičius (58). For each pAgo operon, we then determined the orthogroups to which operon genes belonged to. If a pAgo was encoded in several genomes, only the genome with the largest operon was selected for final counting. Only orthogroups that were present in at least 5% of operons for each pAgo type (minimum three operons of any type of pAgo operon) were kept.

**Counting the transposon copy number in genomes.** The transposon insertions in genomes were counted using genomic annotations of prokaryotic strains fetched from NCBI. Only genomes with statuses “Complete Genome” and “Chromosome” were taken into account. For each genome, we counted the number of occurrences of keyword “insertion sequence” or “transposase” in the genomic annotation file. If there were several completed genomes for a given strain, then the median number of log2-transformed transposon insertions was calculated. If a pAgo gene was found in different strains of the same species (having the same taxon_id in the NCBI annotation), then the median number of transposon insertions among all strains was taken. For the comparison of transposon contents of strains encoding or not encoding pAgos within a genus, the additional z-scaling was performed.
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