Letter

Identification of the Selective Sites for Electrochemical Reduction of CO to C Products on Copper Nanoparticles by Combining Reactive Force Fields, Density Functional Theory, and Machine Learning

Yufeng Huang, Yalu Chen, Tao Cheng, Lin-Wang Wang, and William A. Goddard

ACS Energy Lett., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acsenerylett.8b01933 • Publication Date (Web): 08 Nov 2018

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on November 13, 2018

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

ACS Publications

is published by the American Chemical Society, 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 Identification of the Selective Sites for
8
9
10
11 Electrochemical Reduction of CO to C₂+ Products
12
13
14
15 on Copper Nanoparticles by Combining Reactive
16
17
18
19 Force Fields, Density Functional Theory, and
20
21
22
23 Machine Learning
24
25
26
27

28 *Yufeng Huang[†], Yalu Chen[†], Tao Cheng[†], Lin-Wang Wang[‡], William*
29
30
31 *A. Goddard III^{†*}*
32
33

34 [†] Materials Simulation Center and Joint Center for Artificial
35
36 Photosynthesis, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena,
37
38
39 California 91125, U.S.A.
40
41

42 [‡] Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National
43
44 Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, U.S.A.
45
46

47 AUTHOR INFORMATION
48
49

50
51 **Corresponding Author**
52

53 * William A. Goddard III, wag@caltech.edu
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT Recent experiments have shown that CO reduction on oxide derived Cu nanoparticles (NP) are highly selective towards C₂₊ products. However, understanding of the active sites on such NPs is limited, because the NPs have ~200000 atoms with more than 10,000 surface sites, far too many for direct quantum mechanical calculations and experimental identifications. We show here how to overcome the computational limitation by combining multiple levels of theoretical computations with machine learning. This approach allows us to map the machine learned CO adsorption energies on the surface of the copper nanoparticle to construct the active site visualization (ASV). Furthermore, we identify the structural criteria for optimizing selective reduction by predicting the reaction energies of the potential determining step, ΔE_{OCCOH} , for C₂₊ product. Based on this structural criterion, we design a new periodic copper structure for CO reduction with a theoretical faradaic efficiency of 97%.

TOC GRAPHICS

Rapid progress is being made in developing new catalysts that are highly active and selective to electrochemically reduce CO or CO₂ to specific chemical fuels and feedstocks¹⁻². Improved selectivity and activity in reducing CO₂ and CO to valuable hydrocarbons and alcohols will enable the conversion of intermittent or remote renewable energies into complex chemical forms for storage and delivery³. At the same time, using sequestered CO₂ as the feedstock would reduce the amount of excess atmospheric CO₂ by completing the carbon cycle with carbon fixation via artificial photosynthesis or other forms of renewable energy sources⁴⁻⁵.

However, CO₂ is quite stable, making it very challenging to optimize catalytic efficiency due to the difficulty in activating CO₂⁶. After decades of development, copper remains the only catalyst that can reduce CO or CO₂ by more than two electrons to generate valuable products in nontrivial amounts. Recently, oxide derived copper nanoparticles (NP) have been shown to greatly improve both the activity and selectivity of CO and CO₂ reduction towards C₂+ products⁷. Based on early temperature programmed desorption (TPD) experiments, the improved performance of the oxide derived metal NP was hypothesized to arise from strong CO adsorption sites⁸. However, later experiments have found that selectivity correlated linearly with the grain boundary (GB) density⁹⁻¹⁰. In this work, we

1
2
3 focus on elucidating which local Cu structures lead to the optimum
4
5 properties for CO reduction to C₂₊ products.
6

7
8 We previously used Density functional theory (DFT) with full
9
10 solvent and Grand Canonical techniques to determine the reaction
11
12 mechanisms for CO reduction to C1 and C2 products on Cu (100) and
13
14 Cu (111) surfaces, leading to an excellent agreement with
15
16 experiments (overpotentials within 0.05 V)¹¹⁻¹². However, the
17
18 experimental 10nm NP involves ~200,000 atoms with ~10,000 possible
19
20 surface sites, well beyond the capabilities of DFT. To circumvent
21
22 the limitation of the direct application of DFT, we subsequently
23
24 utilized the reactive force field (ReaxFF)¹³⁻¹⁴ to computationally
25
26 grow the 10nm nanoparticles and then used DFT to sample only 84
27
28 surface sites for ΔE_{CO} and 4 surface sites for ΔE_{OCCOH} ¹⁵⁻¹⁶. In order
29
30 to extract a quantitative understanding of the variations of the
31
32 chemistry over the whole nanoparticle, we propose here a
33
34 methodology to combine limited numbers of DFT calculations with
35
36 machine learning to train a machine learning model that accurately
37
38 predicts the binding energies for all sites.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 1. Schematics of the machine learning model. For each surface site (red), we extract a copper cluster including all the atoms within $8\text{ \AA}$ from a copper NP. The adsorption energy is calculated using DFT and is used as the target property for training. We use Behler-Parrinello¹⁷ type neural network model. In this study, we describe the copper cluster by a set of 2-body and 3-body molecular descriptors about the surface atom. We then used these descriptors as input to a multilayer neural network for fitting.

First, we used ReaxFF to computationally synthesize a 10 nm copper nanoparticle (NP) that closely resembles the experimental NP [S1.1 in SI]. The predicted structure leads to XRD spectra and TEM images that match those of the experimental NP structures. Next, we selected 400 random surface sites and calculated their CO adsorption energies using DFT [S1.2 in SI]. We previously found that including atoms up to $8\text{ \AA}$ from the surface site is sufficient

to represent the local environment¹⁵. We integrated this local environment into a neural network in which the surrounding atoms are transformed into 12 two-body and 18 three-body molecular descriptors as inputs to a 2-layer neural network with 50 nodes in each layer, as shown in **Figure 1**. Further details of the descriptor definition are in section **S1.3** of the supporting information. We partitioned the 400 surface sites into training set, validation set, and test set with an 8:1:1 ratio. Here the validation set is used to terminate the training sufficiently early to avoid overfitting. Section **S2** of the supporting information shows that the root mean squared error (RMSE) of the CO binding energy (ΔE_{CO}) on the training set is 0.111eV while for the validation set RMSE= 0.117eV and for the test set RMSE= 0.123eV. We refer to this as the ReaxQM-Machine Learning strategy, or RxQM-ML. This is much lower than the RMSE=0.2eV for a similar study of the crystalline surface of the NiGa binary alloy¹⁸.

After training this accurate neural network model, we use RxQM-ML to predict the CO adsorption energies for all 10,000 surface sites. The statistical distribution of the CO adsorption energies is shown in **Figure 2(a)**. Overall, the CO adsorptions range from -0.55 to -1.43 eV, showing the wide variety of surface sites on the copper NP. As expected, most energies are clustered around the values for such low index surfaces, as (111), (100), and (211)¹⁵. However, we find a significant number of surface sites with much

stronger CO adsorption energies. This is shown by the distribution to the left of the (211) line. These results are consistent with the TPD experiments, which show a broad peak centered at 275K only for the copper NP, indicating that ~7-15% of the surface leads to stronger CO adsorptions than low index copper surfaces⁸.

(a)

(b)

Figure 2. (a) Distribution of CO binding energies (ΔE_{CO}) on the 10nm copper nanoparticle. The three vertical dashed lines correspond to the CO adsorption energies of single crystal surfaces of (211), (100) and (111)¹⁵. (b) Active site visualization (ASV) of the predicted CO adsorption energies on the nanoparticle. As indicated by the colored bar, the red sites correspond to strong CO adsorption, the white sites correspond to moderate CO adsorption, and the blue sites correspond to weak CO adsorption. The common surfaces of (100), (111) and (110) are indicated in the figure.

Furthermore, the low-cost of RxQM-ML model makes it possible to establish the quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) such that the machine-learned CO adsorption energies can be remapped back to the copper nanoparticle, as shown in **Figure 2(b)**. Here red indicates low ΔE_{CO} , white indicates moderate ΔE_{CO} , and blue indicates unfavorable ΔE_{CO} . The (100), (111) and (110) surfaces are all colored light blue, indicating that they are near the mean values of the adsorption energy distributions as in **Figure 2(a)**. The sites in solid blue are not fully exposed, making them difficult for CO to bind. The sites in red are of most interest because they correspond to more favorable adsorptions of CO than the low-index surfaces. As shown in light red in the figure, the moderately strong CO adsorption sites are typically along the step edges, and as shown in solid red, the strong CO adsorption sites are mostly isolated surface sites or kink sites.

The ASV in **Figure 2** shows clearly that favorable CO adsorption sites are scattered across the whole nanoparticle surface. This is consistent with experimental observations that the surface areas corresponding to GBs are not sufficiently large to account for the number of strong CO adsorption sites⁸. Using RxQM-ML, we now directly show that the strong CO adsorption energies are not just at GBs.

Although we have demonstrated that the CO binding energy is not necessary to correlate with GBs, there is a great deal of

experimental evidence suggesting that increasing the GB density can significantly improve the C₂₊ selectivity. Another descriptor is needed to describe selectivity of these nanoparticles. As shown experimentally¹⁹ and theoretically²⁰⁻²², the selective step towards C₂₊ products involves C-C coupling in which *OCCOH is formed. Thus, the most plausible descriptor is the reaction energy for forming *OCCOH,

$$\Delta E_{\text{OCCOH}} = E[\text{*OCCOH}] - E[\text{*CO}, \text{*CO}] - 0.5 \times E[\text{H}_2],$$

which we have shown previously to be the potential determining step for ethanol production.

Then, we started with ~180 randomly sampled surface site and calculated the formation energy for *OCCOH, ΔE_{OCCOH} . The distribution is shown in the blue histograms in **Figure 3(a)**. As shown in the figure, the range of ΔE_{OCCOH} spans by more than 1eV, implying that some sites are much more selective than others. We could sample additional sites to develop a similar machine learning model for ΔE_{OCCOH} . However, we examine the sites with the lowest ΔE_{OCCOH} , and found that all of them are involving square sites, similar to those of the (100) surface. To test this hypothesis, we further sampled 100 **square** sites, leading to the distribution for ΔE_{OCCOH} shown in orange in **Figure 3(a)**. Comparing to the random sites on the surface of the copper nanoparticle, we find that the

square sites are indeed more favorable, as shown by the shift in the distribution in ΔE_{OCCOH} in **Figure 3(a)**.

Figure 3. (a) Distributions of ΔE_{OCCOH} on the surface of the copper nanoparticle. Blue: 180 **random** surface sites; orange: 100 random **square** sites. (b) The four square structures with the lowest ΔE_{OCCOH} sampled randomly from the copper nanoparticle. The dashed ellipses indicate the locations of the twin boundaries.

With the new distribution of just the **square** sites, we extracted the common features of the most selective sites by further examining the square sites with the lowest ΔE_{OCCOH} . We found that a step (111) surface is always next to these favorable square sites, as shown in the Figure 3(b). These sites are similar to the $\text{Cu(S)}[n(100) \times (111)]$ edge step sites where the (111) surface and the (100) surface intersect. In fact, experiments²³⁻²⁴ showed that these step sites have higher selectivity than either the (100) and

(111) surface. To confirm this theoretically, we calculated E_{OCCOH} on (100), (111), (311) and (511) surfaces to be 0.44eV, 0.64eV, 0.52eV and 0.41eV. The calculated trend agrees very well the experimental selectivity trend in which (511) > (100) > (311) > (111) [Section **S3** in SI]. This comparison with experimental findings on the Cu(S)[n(100) × (111)] surfaces confirms the validity of using E_{OCCOH} as the descriptor for the selectivity towards C_{2+} products. It is also consistent with our finding from sampling the NP that favorable sites for E_{OCCOH} or C_{2+} selectivity must involve a (111) step surface next to a (100) site where *OCCOH is formed.

In addition, twin boundaries are associated with the square surface sites having the lowest ΔE_{OCCOH} . **Figure 3(b)** shows that these twin boundaries are all next to the site where *OCCOH is formed. This implies that the selectivity towards C_{2+} products are directly related to twin boundaries which are a special type of GBs.

Building on the idea that the above common features lead to the best *OCCOH sites, we constructed the smallest periodic structure possessing these features. This is shown in **Figure 4**. We expect that this periodic surface will behave chemically in the same way as these selective sites. Because it is a smallest periodic structure containing these sites, the density of active sites will

be much higher than the randomly and sparsely distributed active sites on a nanoparticle.

(a) :

(b) :

	Concave	Convex	Structure of the best site
In-plane binding	<p>(a) $\Delta E_{\text{OCCOH}} = 0.55\text{eV}$</p>	<p>(b) $\Delta E_{\text{OCCOH}} = 0.57\text{eV}$</p>	Side View:
Out-of-plane binding	<p>(c) $\Delta E_{\text{OCCOH}} = 0.35\text{eV}$</p>	<p>(d) $\Delta E_{\text{OCCOH}} = 0.41\text{eV}$</p>	Top View:

Figure 4. (a) The shaded area is the minimal periodic structure of FCC copper containing the (100) planes, (111) planes and twin boundaries. Terminating this structure to expose the (100) and (111) surfaces leads to sites that are concave or convex with respect to the (100) planes. (b) The four types of sites for adsorbed *OCCOH on the surface of the minimal periodic structure. The structure that is concave with respect to the (100) planes has the most favorable ΔE_{OCCOH} for C_{2+} selectivity. The top and side views of this structure are shown on the right column of (b). More details on these sites are shown in section **S4** of the supporting information. Note, for structures (c) and (d), the other carbon and oxygen atoms are not shown since they overlap with the foreground atoms in the side view. The full *OCCOH structure for (c) is revealed in the top view, as shown on the right column.

This minimal periodic structure is shown in **Figure 4(a)**. From the ABC stacking of the FCC copper, the smallest grain size must be of at least 6-layer wide, corresponding to ABCACB stacking, where the A layers are twin boundaries. Since the step surfaces involving the (100) and (111) are of interest, they are shown by double lines and dash lines in the figure.

Based on the configurations of the adsorbed *OCCOH on the copper clusters, there are 4 ways of placing the intermediate on this surface, as shown in **Figure 4(b)**. The first two structures, (a)

1
2
3 and (b), with the *OCCOH adsorbed in the cross sectional plane
4
5 show unfavorable energies. Thus, the in-plane *OCCOH adsorption is
6
7 not responsible for the increased in C_{2+} selectivity. On the other
8
9 hand, *OCCOH adsorbed perpendicular to the page (or out-of-plane)
10
11 are much more favorable, with only 0.41 eV for the convex site and
12
13 0.35 eV for the concave site, which is better than all the single
14
15 crystal surface sites considered here. In fact, the same
16
17 configuration is also found for the copper nanoparticle. As shown
18
19 again in **Figure 3(b)**, *OCCOH are all adsorbed perpendicular to the
20
21 page. Thus, we predict that the (100)-like square sites next to a
22
23 (111)-like step surface and on-top of a twin boundary that binds
24
25 *OCCOH parallel to the twin boundary will have the most favorable
26
27 E_{OCCOH} , which corresponds to the most selective sites.

28
29
30
31
32
33 For the most favorable structure, the faradaic efficiency towards
34
35 C_{2+} product is predicted to be 97% using experimental data in which
36
37 the current density for C_{2+} production increases linearly as the
38
39 density of GBs, and the current for hydrogen production remains
40
41 the same¹⁰. The prediction is shown in **Figure 5**, which also includes
42
43 a prediction for an experimental copper structure in which a high
44
45 density of twin boundaries is synthesized²⁵, assuming that the
46
47 structure is exposing the twin boundaries in the preferable
48
49 configuration. Details of this prediction is summarized in section
50
51 **S4** of the supporting information.

Figure 5. Predicted faradaic efficiency (FE) of the concave site on the minimal periodic structure compared to experimental data. An experimental copper structure with abundant twin boundaries²⁵ are also extrapolated based on the densities of the boundaries.

In conclusion, we used machine learning to fit the structure-activity relationship between the local structures of the copper nanoparticle and the theoretical CO adsorption energies. By extrapolating the energies back to the nanoparticle, we found that strong CO adsorption energies are not just on GBs, implying that CO adsorption energies are not an appropriate descriptor for C_{2+} selectivity. Rather, we show that ΔE_{OCCOH} , the transition state for forming ethanol of C_{2+} products in C-C coupling, is the appropriate

descriptor. This explains the selectivity on Cu(S)[n(100) × (111)] surfaces and the twin-related step square sites on the nanoparticle. To illustrate how to use this information, we designed the minimal periodic structure. This minimal periodic structure has a super high density of selective sites that we expect will lead to near unity selectivity based on extrapolations of theoretical and experimental data.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. The supporting information includes the descriptions of ReaxFF, DFT and machine learning model used in this study, the fitting of the machine learning model, the correlation of ΔE_{OCCOH} and experimental selectivity, the 4 types of adsorption sites for *OCCOH for the minimal periodic structure, the prediction of faradaic efficiency, and the coordinates of the structures used in this study.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

*William A. Goddard III, wag@caltech.edu. ORCID:0000-0003-0097-5716

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Joint Center for Artificial Photosynthesis, a DOE Energy Innovation Hub, supported through the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Award Number DE-SC0004993. This material is also based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Workforce Development for Teachers and Scientists, Office of Science Graduate Student Research (SCGSR) program. The SCGSR program is administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education for the DOE under contract number DE-SC0014664. This work uses the resource of National Energy Research Scientific Computing center (NERSC).

REFERENCES

References:

- (1) Zhang, W.; Hu, Y.; Ma, L.; Zhu, G.; Wang, Y.; Xue, X.; Chen, R.; Yang, S.; Jin, Z. Progress and Perspective of Electrocatalytic CO₂ Reduction for Renewable Carbonaceous Fuels and Chemicals. *Adv. Sci.* **2018**, 5(1), 1700275.
- (2) Zhao, G.; Huang, X.; Wang, X.; Wang, X. Progress in Catalyst Exploration for Heterogeneous CO₂ Reduction and Utilization: A Critical Review. *J. Mater. Chem. A.* **2017**, 5(41), 21625-21649.

- (3) Detz, R. J.; Reek, J. N. H.; Van Der Zwaan, B. C. C. The Future of Solar Fuels: When Could They Become Competitive? *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2018**, *11*(7), 1653–1669.
- (4) Van Der Giesen, C.; Kleijn, R.; Kramer, G. J. Energy and Climate Impacts of Producing Synthetic Hydrocarbon Fuels from CO₂. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*(12), 7111–7121.
- (5) Artz, J.; Müller, T. E.; Thenert, K.; Kleinekorte, J.; Meys, R.; Sternberg, A.; Bardow, A.; Leitner, W. Sustainable Conversion of Carbon Dioxide: An Integrated Review of Catalysis and Life Cycle Assessment. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*(2), 434–504.
- (6) Kumar, B.; Llorente, M.; Froehlich, J.; Dang, T.; Sathrum, A.; Kubiak, C. P. Photochemical and Photoelectrochemical Reduction of CO₂. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2012**, *63*, 541–569.
- (7) Li, C. W.; Kanan, M. W. CO₂ Reduction at low Overpotential on Cu Electrodes Resulting from the Reduction of Thick Cu₂O Films. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *134*(17), 7231–7234.
- (8) Verdaguer-Casadevall, A.; Li, C. W.; Johansson, T. P.; Scott, S. B.; McKeown, J. T.; Kumar, M.; Stephens, I. E. L.; Kanan, M. W.; Chorkendorff, I. Probing the Active Surface

Sites for CO Reduction on Oxide-Derived Copper Electrocatalysts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*(31), 9808–9811.

(9) Feng, X.; Jiang, K.; Fan, S.; Kanan, M. W. Grain-Boundary-Dependent CO₂ Electroreduction Activity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*(14), 4606–4609.

(10) Feng, X.; Jiang, K.; Fan, S.; Kanan, M. W. A Direct Grain-Boundary-Activity Correlation for CO Electroreduction on Cu Nanoparticles. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2016**, *2*(3), 169–174.

(11) Nørskov, J. K.; Bligaard, T.; Rossmeisl, J.; Christensen, C. H. (2009). Towards the Computational Design of Solid Catalysts. *Nature Chemistry*. **2009**, *1*(1), 37–46.

(12) Becke, A. D. Perspective: Fifty Years of Density-Functional Theory in Chemical Physics. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *140*(18), 18A301.

(13) van Duin, A. C. T.; Dasgupta, S.; Lorant, F.; Goddard, W. A. (2001). ReaxFF: A Reactive Force Field for Hydrocarbons. *J. Phys. Chem. A*. **2001**, *105*(41),

(14) Chenoweth, K.; van Duin, A. C. T.; Goddard, W. A. ReaxFF Reactive Force Field for Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Hydrocarbon Oxidation. *J. Phys. Chem. A*. **2008**, *112*(5), 1040–1053.

- (15) Cheng, T.; Xiao, H.; Goddard, W. A. Nature of the Active Sites for CO Reduction on Copper Nanoparticles; Suggestions for Optimizing Performance. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*(34), 11642–11645.
- (16) Cheng, T.; Huang, Y.; Xiao, H.; Goddard, W. A. Predicted Structures of the Active Sites Responsible for the Improved Reduction of Carbon Dioxide by Gold Nanoparticles. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *8*(14), 3317–3320.
- (17) Behler, J.; Parrinello, M. Generalized Neural-Network Representation of High-Dimensional Potential-Energy Surfaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2007**, *98*(14), 1–4.
- (18) Ulissi, Z. W.; Tang, M. T.; Xiao, J.; Liu, X., Torelli, D. A.; Karamad, M.; Cummins, K.; Hahn, C.; Lewis, N. S.; Jaramillo, T. F.; Chan, K.; Nørskov, J. K. Machine-Learning Methods Enable Exhaustive Searches for Active Bimetallic Facets and Reveal Active Site Motifs for CO₂ Reduction. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*(10), 6600–6608.
- (19) Pérez-Gallent, E.; Figueiredo, M. C.; Calle-Vallejo, F.; Koper, M. T. M. Spectroscopic Observation of a Hydrogenated CO Dimer Intermediate During CO Reduction on Cu(100) Electrodes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*(13), 3621–3624.

- (20) Calle-Vallejo, F.; Koper, M. T. M. Theoretical Considerations on the Electroreduction of CO to C₂ Species on Cu(100) Electrodes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *125*(28), 7423–7426.
- (21) Cheng, T.; Xiao, H.; Goddard, W. A. Full Atomistic Reaction Mechanism with Kinetics for CO Reduction on Cu(100) from ab initio Molecular Dynamics Free-Energy Calculations at 298 K. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2017**, *114*(8), 1795–1800.
- (22) Xiao, H.; Cheng, T.; Goddard, W. A. Atomistic Mechanisms Underlying Selectivities in C₁ and C₂ Products from Electrochemical Reduction of CO on Cu(111). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*(1), 130–136.
- (23) Hori, Y.; Takahashi, I.; Koga, O.; Hoshi, N. Selective Formation of C₂ Compounds from Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ at A Series of Copper Single Crystal Electrodes. *J. Phys. Chem. B.* **2002**, *106*(1), 15–17.
- (24) Kim, Y. G.; Javier, A.; Baricuatro, J. H.; Torelli, D.; Cummins, K. D.; Tsang C. F.; Hemminger, J. C.; Soriaga, M. P. Surface Reconstruction of Pure-Cu Single-Crystal Electrodes under CO-Reduction Potentials in Alkaline Solutions: A Study by Seriatim ECSTM-DEMS. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry.* **2017**, *793*, 113–118.

- 1
2
3 (25) Morris Wang, Y.; Sansoz, F.; Lagrange, T.; Ott, R. T.;
4
5 Marian, J.; Barbee, T. W.; Hamza, A. V. Defective Twin
6
7 Boundaries in Nanotwinned Metals. *Nature Materials*. **2013**,
8
9 *12*(8), 697–702.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Copper
nanocluster

2-body term
2-body term
⋮
3-body term
3-body term
⋮
⋮

Molecular
Descriptors

Multilayer
Neural Network

(a)

(b)

ACS Energy Letters
Convex
w.r.t. (100)

Concave
w.r.t. (100)

- Cu atom
- Cu(100) plane
- Cu(111) plane
- Twin boundary
- Concave or convex sites
- Periodic cell

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

(111)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41