1. INTRODUCTION

The development of the rapid-frame-capture detector array sensors based on charge-coupled device and complementary metal oxide semiconductor technology has revolutionized imaging (see, for example, Ref. [1]). Recently, there has also been interest in new methods that leverage the massive bandwidth of optical signals to perform imaging using a single-pixel detector. One such approach uses the broadband spectrum of ultrashort optical pulses [2] and works by mapping different optical frequencies to distinct spatial locations using spatial dispersers such as demonstrated in the technique of femtosecond pulse shaping [3]. To create a two-dimensional (2D) map, a conventional grating disperses the spectrum in one spatial dimension, while a virtually imaged phase array (VIPA) disperses light into the other spatial dimension. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the grating and the VIPA create a “2D spectral shower” in which distinct optical frequencies have a one-to-one (spectral-spatial) correspondence with spatial coordinates in two dimensions [2,4–6]. To recover the image, the spectrum can be measured by the time-stretch method, which converts the spectrally encoded spatial information into a temporal waveform measured on the single-pixel photodetector [2]. This approach measures the image on a shot-by-shot basis and 6 MHz frame rates have been demonstrated [2].

An alternative image recovery technique based on two frequency combs has also been recently demonstrated [6]. This approach, termed dual-comb imaging, parallels the technique of dual-comb spectroscopy [7,8] by converting an optical spectrum into a radio frequency (RF) electrical signal. In effect the method maps the optical signal comb with target information into these radio frequency components [see Fig. 1(b)]. If the signal and reference comb are phase locked then both amplitude and phase information about the target can be retrieved, enabling acquisition of three-dimensional information [6]. Line-scan spectral-spatial imaging using dual frequency combs has also been recently reported [9–11]. To generate broadband optical pulses for imaging, tabletop mode-locked lasers have so far been used. A recent advance in optical pulse and frequency comb generation is based on dissipative Kerr soliton mode locking in optical microcavities [12–17]. The devices provide high repetition rate soliton streams and their associated optical frequency combs feature smooth spectral envelopes. These miniature frequency combs, or microcombs [18], are considered a possible way to dramatically reduce the form factor of conventional frequency comb systems. Accordingly, they are being studied for several applications including dual-comb spectroscopy [19,20], ranging [21,22], optical communications [23], optical frequency synthesis [24], and exoplanet detection in astronomy [25,26].

The application of microcombs to the dual-comb imaging method is considered here. These devices offer a system-on-a-chip architecture that eliminates fiber optics (i.e., that required for the time-stretch image recovery method and to generate mode-locked pulses). A fully integrated platform that avoids the free space grating and VIPA elements is also possible [see Fig. 1(c)]. This work explores soliton microcomb dual-comb imaging by measuring a USAF1951 test target and by monitoring microparticles in a flow-cell. An important feature of microcombs is their very high repetition rate as compared to conventional combs (typically microwave to terahertz rates as compared to radio frequency rates). The impact of such high repetition rates on future dual-comb imaging system performance is also considered.
2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

High-Q silica-on-silicon wedge microresonators [27] are used to generate the dual soliton streams. Two soliton microcombs (signal and reference) having slightly different repetition rates are generated using two on-chip microresonators. A 2D disperser (VIPA+grating) maps frequencies from the signal microcomb into a 2D grid of spatial locations (spectral shower) that are reflected by a target. The reflected signal spectrum is measured by multi-heterodyne detection with the reference microcomb. The chip is shown with small (high rate) and larger (low rate) comb pairs in both the signal and reference arms. These can enable different operational modes for the imaging system.

(b) Dual-comb imaging proceeds by illuminating the target (right panel) with the 2D spectral shower formed as shown in panel (a). As shown in the left panel, the target reflection amplitude is encoded onto the signal comb (Optical comb II). The signal comb is then heterodyned with the reference comb (Optical comb I) to generate the RF comb. \( f_{\text{rep1}}, f_{\text{rep2}}, \) and \( \Delta f_{\text{rep}} \) are the frequency line spacing of the reference comb, the signal comb, and the signal RF comb. (c) Dual-comb imaging concept based on integrated waveguide grating antennas. Microcomb outputs are divided into multiple waveguides that drive the grating antennas. Comb light is dispersed by a corresponding waveguide grating antenna (eliminates VIPA and grating) to create one imaging dimension in the spectral shower (right). The second imaging dimension is provided by the spatial location of each grating antenna. This approach combines spectral parallelism of photonics with spatial parallelism of detector arrays to greatly magnify performance. A single (shared) pump is shown, but the microcombs could also be individually pumped so as to create frequency combs that are spectrally displaced. Receiver combs and antennas are not shown.

Fig. 1. Dual-comb imaging using microresonator solitons. (a) A conceptual diagram showing the operational principle for spectral-spatial-mapping and dual-microcomb imaging. Two soliton microcombs (signal and reference) having slightly different repetition rates are generated using two on-chip microresonators. A 2D disperser (VIPA+grating) maps frequencies from the signal microcomb into a 2D grid of spatial locations (spectral shower) that are reflected by a target. The reflected signal spectrum is measured by multi-heterodyne detection with the reference microcomb. The chip is shown with small (high rate) and larger (low rate) comb pairs in both the signal and reference arms. These can enable different operational modes for the imaging system. (b) Dual-comb imaging proceeds by illuminating the target (right panel) with the 2D spectral shower formed as shown in panel (a). As shown in the left panel, the target reflection amplitude is encoded onto the signal comb (Optical comb II). The signal comb is then heterodyned with the reference comb (Optical comb I) to generate the RF comb. \( f_{\text{rep1}}, f_{\text{rep2}}, \) and \( \Delta f_{\text{rep}} \) are the frequency line spacing of the reference comb, the signal comb, and the signal RF comb. (c) Dual-comb imaging concept based on integrated waveguide grating antennas. Microcomb outputs are divided into multiple waveguides that drive the grating antennas. Comb light is dispersed by a corresponding waveguide grating antenna (eliminates VIPA and grating) to create one imaging dimension in the spectral shower (right). The second imaging dimension is provided by the spatial location of each grating antenna. This approach combines spectral parallelism of photonics with spatial parallelism of detector arrays to greatly magnify performance. A single (shared) pump is shown, but the microcombs could also be individually pumped so as to create frequency combs that are spectrally displaced. Receiver combs and antennas are not shown.

Fig. 1(a). The tapered-fiber couplers can be replaced by integrated waveguides [31]. Moreover, fully integrated soliton microcombs with an on-chip pump have also been reported [32].

The VIPA and grating act together to create the 2D spectral shower with the VIPA dispersing the spectrum along the vertical direction and the grating dispersing the spectrum along the horizontal direction. More specifically, the VIPA disperses light only within its free spectral range (FSR), which means that optical
frequencies $\nu$ and $\nu + mf_{\text{VIPA}}$ (where $m$ is an integer and $f_{\text{VIPA}}$ is the VIPA FSR) will overlap in space. By adding a grating, frequencies $\nu$ and $\nu + mf_{\text{VIPA}}$ can be further dispersed to create the 2D spectral shower, as illustrated in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). The VIPA used in our experiment has an FSR of 60 GHz (LightMachinery) and this limits pixel count along the vertical direction to 6 pixels (9.39 GHz microcomb) and 32 pixels (1.86 GHz microcomb). Analysis of more optimal designs is provided in the Discussion. The spectral shower is reflected by the object, coupled back into the fiber for return to a photodetector where it is heterodyned with the reference microcomb. Figure 1(b) illustrates how the image reflection amplitude is transferred from the spectral shower to the signal RF spectrum produced by dual-comb heterodyne.

Also shown in Fig. 1(a) are a collimator and a cylindrical lens (focal length of 150 mm) that focuses the collimated comb onto the VIPA. Additionally, the 2D spectral shower is focused onto the target by a spherical lens (focal length of 30 mm). The targets are placed at the focal plane of the spherical lens and aligned to provide maximum reflection coupled into the fiber. Because the dual-comb measurement can resolve single comb lines, the spatial resolution is set by the imaging system only. This is in contrast to the time-stretch method where spatial resolution can also be limited by the ability to resolve the frequency components [2]. The spot diameter of a dispersed comb line is $\sim 15 \mu m$ in the current setup and a finer spot size can be achieved by expanding the beam size before the focusing spherical lens.

### 3. Imaging a Static Target

To demonstrate this approach, a USAF 1951 test target (negative) is imaged. In a first measurement, two independent free-running silica microcombs with repetition rates close to 9.39 GHz are used. Dual combs can also be generated from a single microresonator [33–35], which can result in strong common noise.
suppression but it also limits the freedom of choosing the repetition rate difference. Therefore, two independent microresonators were used. The spectrum of one of the microcombs is shown in Fig. 2(a) and features a sech$^2$-shaped spectral envelope and 3 dB bandwidth of 1.2 THz. The spectral spur result from filtering of comb lines around the pump. (d) Center position of the microparticle plotted versus time. A linear fit gives a flow velocity of $0.21 \text{ m/s}$ in reasonable agreement with the set water flow velocity of $0.25 \text{ m/s}$.

The repetition rate difference was chosen to maintain the interferogram bandwidth within the 1 GHz bandwidth of the digitizer used in the experiment. The close matching in the selected repetition rates is possible by good microfabrication control of the resonator diameters using a common mask size and calibration of etch rates [27]. In Fig. 2(b), typical examples of the heterodyned dual-comb interferograms measured over a 5 µs interval from the reference arm (upper panel) and signal arm (lower panel) are shown. While the reference interferogram contains a readily identifiable periodic signal resulting from the difference in repetition rates of the signal and reference microcombs, the signal interferogram contains a complex structure associated with the image.

To construct an image, an RF spectrum is first calculated by taking the fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the signal interferogram produced by illuminating a patterned region of the target [Fig. 2(c)]. Even though free-running microcombs were used, the signal-to-noise ratio is at least 10 dB over most of the spectrum and exceeds 30 dB over a substantial fraction of the spectrum. Furthermore, the RF spectrum is compressed into a bandwidth less than 400 MHz. The signal spectrum is then normalized using the FFT of the reference interferogram. Following this calculation, the same procedure is applied except using a non-patterned (uniform) region of the target. Finally, this non-patterned RF spectrum is used to normalize the RF spectrum of the patterned region, and the resulting normalized spectrum is sorted into the 2D image matrix with each column containing one VIPA FSR. An example of the constructed image is shown in Fig. 2(d).

The low pixel number ($\sim 6 \times 34$) limits the resolution of the image, especially along the vertical direction, which is set by the combination of the 9.39 GHz microcombs with the fixed VIPA FSR. To illustrate possible improvement in the vertical direction, two 1.86 GHz soliton microcombs ($\Delta f_{\text{rep}} = 0.7 \text{ MHz}$) were also tested in the imaging setup [see Fig. 2(e) for the optical and electrical spectra of one of the microcombs]. The pixel number using the 1.86 GHz microcombs is $\sim 15 \times 32$. The field of view is smaller than the 9.39 GHz microcombs due to the narrower comb bandwidth. Reference and signal dual-comb interferograms can be obtained as before [Fig. 2(f)] with a corresponding signal FFT [Fig. 2(g)]. Figures 2(h) and 2(i) show the resulting images of three horizontal bars and the number “4” (test target), respectively. Figures 2(h) and 2(i) are recorded within a time interval of 10 µs.

As an aside and as noted earlier, locking of the signal and reference combs can allow for phase retrieval enabling three-dimensional imaging similar to [6]. Such locking could also leverage the mutual locking of counter-propagating solitons [33]; however, this will lower the frame rate due to the relatively small repetition rate difference in counter-propagating solitons. Also, even though the 9.39 GHz microcombs and 1.86 GHz microcombs were generated from different chips in the current experiments, multiple comb pairs can be integrated on a single chip to enable agile switching between different operating modes [see Fig. 1(a) for the concept].

### 4. MONITORING A FLOWING MICROPARTICLE

To demonstrate measurement of a rapidly changing scene, two 9.39 GHz soliton microcombs are used to monitor a microparticle moving in a high-speed flow-cell [Fig. 3(a)]. For this purpose a $\sim 0.25 \text{ m/s}$ laminar flow-cell (cross section 0.2 mm x 8 mm) was set up and microparticles with a diameter of $\sim 100 \mu\text{m}$

---

**Fig. 3.** Monitoring flowing particles. (a) An illustration of the microparticle monitoring experiment. Microparticles are suspended in water and flow inside the cell. When a particle passes through the 2D spectral shower, the particle can be imaged using the dual-comb interferogram. (b) Measured interferogram shows varying amplitude when the microparticle flows through the 2D spectral shower. (c) A snapshot of the measured microparticle, which is constructed from a 5 µs duration interferogram [shaded bar in panel (b)]. The dashed circle suggests the microparticle size ($\sim 100 \mu\text{m}$). The dark vertical band results from filtering of comb lines around the pump. (d) Center position of the microparticle plotted versus time. A linear fit gives a flow velocity of $0.21 \text{ m/s}$ in reasonable agreement with the set water flow velocity of $0.25 \text{ m/s}$.
were suspended in water to flow through the cell. To improve signal-to-noise a mirror was placed behind the cell. When a microparticle passes through the spectral shower, it modulates lines in the spectral shower, which results in the amplitude varying in the interferogram shown in Fig. 3(b). An image of a recorded flowing microparticle is constructed from the 5 μs portion of the interferogram (shaded bar) in Fig. 3(b) and is shown in Fig. 3(c). The size of the reconstructed microparticle (dashed circle in figure) is consistent with the particle’s actual size. The particles are not well resolved on account of the limited pixel number. To measure the particle's size, a comparison of frame rate, pixel count, and fill rate for this result versus work using fiber lasers [6] is provided in Table 1.

5. DISCUSSION AND OUTLOOK

A. Repetition Rate and Imaging Performance

Comb repetition rate affects pixel count, fill rate, and frame rate in the dual-comb imaging system. Pixel count determines target resolution and is equal to the number of comb lines:

$$M_1 \times M_2 = B / f_{rep},$$

where $M_1$ and $M_2$ are the pixel count along the two axes of the spectral shower, $B$ is the comb bandwidth, and $f_{rep}$ is the comb repetition rate.

Beyond pixel count, the image processing rate is also important. To better understand the constraints inherent in the dual-comb approach, note that the $M_1 \times M_2$ comb line pixels, once mapped into the radio frequency domain, must fit within a radio frequency bandwidth that is less than $f_{rep}/2$. If this condition is not satisfied, then the optical to radio frequency mapping would result in spectral folding of comb components [7]. This constraint gives $M_1 \times M_2 \times f_{rep} < f_{rep}/2$. The rate $f_{rep}$ also sets the maximum frame rate of the imaging system. This can be understood by considering the interference of the signal and reference combs in the time domain where their different repetition rates cause the combs to strobe one another on the photodetector. Each strobbed signal in the detected current contains the complete image information so that the strobing rate (i.e., $f_{rep}$) is the maximum image frame rate. In practice, several strobe periods ($C$ periods) must be averaged to improve the signal-to-noise so that the practical frame rate is $f_{frame} = f_{rep}/C$. As a result of this relationship, the number of pixels that can be detected per second by the dual-comb imaging system is given by

$$F_1 \equiv M_1 \times M_2 \times f_{frame} = f_{rep}/(2C),$$

where $F_1$ is the fill rate (pixels per second) of a VIPA + grating imager in Fig. 1(a) or a single waveguide antenna in Fig. 1(c). The fill rate is widely used to characterize video cards, but here it is used to assess the combined space and time resolution of the dual-comb imaging system. Finally, it is also possible to eliminate $M_1 \times M_2$ in the above expression to arrive at

$$f_{frame} < f_{rep}^2/(2BC).$$

In summary, Eqs. (1)–(3) show that even while the high repetition rates of microcombs degrade space resolution by reduction of pixel count, they simultaneously improve the frame rates and fill rate of the dual-comb imaging system. Indeed, the fill rates of the current demonstrations are higher than fiber laser-based systems (see Table 1). Moreover, as discussed in the next section, it is possible to restore pixel count by combining the spatial parallelism of conventional detector arrays with the spectral parallelism of single-pixel photonic imaging as illustrated in Fig. 1(c).

B. Design Comparisons

For the current VIPA + grating-based system, the assignment of spectral shower pixels to horizontal and vertical axes is controlled through the VIPA FSR ($f_{VIPA}$) such that $M_1 = f_{VIPA}/f_{rep}$ and $M_2 = B / f_{VIPA}$. As examples, a 25 THz comb bandwidth would enable a horizontal pixel number of 50 using a 500 GHz VIPA (custom design available at LightMachinery). For the vertical direction, the pixel number could be increased to over 50 using 10 GHz repetition rate microcombs. This configuration would provide 2500 pixels at a fill rate of 1 gigapixels/s (see Table 1).

It is also possible to eliminate the discrete VIPA and grating components shown in Fig. 1(a) using the architecture in Fig. 1(c) so that the imaging system (with the exception lenses) can be monolithically integrated. In this design, a waveguide splitter allows fan-out of a single comb into multiple waveguide grating emitters and thereby multiplies pixel count and fill rate by the fan-out number $N$ (i.e., $F_N = NF_1$). The chip-based nature of microcombs can be further leveraged here to implement a monolithic microcomb array [as shown in Fig. 1(c)] to provide additional multiplexing of the pixel count in cases where fan-out might be limited on account of comb power. For example, by using an array of 100 waveguide elements driven by ten, 100 GHz repetition-rate microcombs (fan-out of 10:1 for each microcomb) a fill rate of 1 terapixels/s is possible with 10,000 pixels (see Table 1). 100 GHz microcombs can be generated from

| Table 1. Toward Optimal Design of Dual-Microcomb Imaging Systems |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| VIPA FSR         | Comb Bandwidth*  | $f_{rep}$        | Frame Rate       | Pixels           | Fill Rate        |
| 100 MHz fiber lasers [6] | 15 GHz | 1.2 THz | 1.2 kHz (12 Hz)$^b$ | 12,382          | 15 (0.15) megapixels/s |
| 9.4 GHz microcombs | 60 GHz | 1.8 THz | 1.5 MHz | 200 kHz | 204 | 40 megapixels/s |
| 1.9 GHz microcombs | 60 GHz | 0.9 THz | 0.7 MHz | 100 kHz | 480 | 48 megapixels/s |
| Possible 10 GHz microcombs | 500 GHz | 25 THz | 2 MHz | 400 kHz$^a$ | 2500 | 1 gigapixels/s |
| 100 GHz microcomb array$^d$ | N/A | 10 THz | 500 MHz | 100 MHz$^a$ | 10,000 | 1 terapixels/s |

*This is the comb bandwidth that is available for the image retrieval and can be greater than the 3 dB bandwidth.

$^a$The 1.2 kHz frame rate for [6] uses a single period of the interferogram which could limit the image quality. Another frame rate (12 Hz) averages 100 periods and was also reported in [6].

$^b$In the current work, averaging over five periods of the interferogram is assumed.

$^c$The array consists of 10 signal microcombs with a 10:1 waveguide grating antenna fan-out.
silica microresonators with a diameter of ~600 μm or silicon nitride microresonators with a diameter of ~400 μm. Thus, 10 microcombs may be accommodated using less than 1 cm along one linear dimension. On-chip grating antenna arrays have been used for beam steering and Lidar [37]. Different from beam steering, the antennas in the present application can be well separated. The spectral shower can be focused on the imaging target by two orthogonal cylindrical lenses, similar to the control of the dispersed beams in [4]. Then, the encoded spectral shower can be either transmitted or reflected to another receiver photonic chip with a receiver antenna array, a reference microcomb array, and a photodetector array for dual-microcomb heterodyne and image retrieval. In principle, the reference microcomb array and the detector array can be integrated on the same chip with the signal microcomb array. Overall, such a design of monolithic microcombs and grating antennas could be used to resolve transient scenes with excellent space and time resolution.

As an aside, achieving wide comb bandwidth at higher repetition rates using microcombs is straightforward (e.g., 100 GHz microcombs in the fifth row design in Table 1). However, wider bandwidth operation at reduced rates (e.g., 10 GHz microcombs in the fourth row design in Table 1) is more challenging on account of the way continuous-wave pumping efficiency scales with repetition rate [13,38]. However, pulsed pumping can be used to improve pumping efficiency and bandwidth [39]. For example, a 28 GHz microcomb spanning 60 THz has been demonstrated using pulse pumping [40]. External (chip-based) broadeners have also been used to achieve octave-span spectral coverage for 15 GHz microcombs [41].

6. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, soliton microcombs have been applied to image static and moving targets, thereby validating the feasibility of using microcombs for dual-comb imaging. Further integration of microcombs with fan-out waveguide grating arrays and integrated detector arrays would improve fill rate performance and image resolution. This direction of work would leverage both the spatial parallelism of conventional imaging arrays and the spectral parallelism of single-pixel optical imaging. Such systems can also eliminate discrete VIPA and grating components by bringing these functions onto the compact imaging chip. The current demonstration was in the 1.55 μm band, but can be readily shifted to the 1 μm band, which could be more suitable for biological applications [42,43]. The method can potentially find widespread applications in fundamental science as well as in industrial production.
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