

**Axisymmetric constraints on cross-equatorial Hadley cell extent**

SPENCER A. HILL\*

*Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles and Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California*

SIMONA BORDONI

*Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California*

JONATHAN L. MITCHELL

*Department of Earth, Planetary, and Space Sciences, and Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles*

## ABSTRACT

We consider the relevance of known constraints from each of Hide’s theorem, the angular momentum conserving (AMC) model, and the equal-area model on the extent of cross-equatorial Hadley cells. These theories respectively posit that a Hadley circulation must span: all latitudes where the radiative convective equilibrium (RCE) absolute angular momentum ( $M_{\text{rce}}$ ) satisfies  $M_{\text{rce}} > \Omega a^2$  or  $M_{\text{rce}} < 0$  or where the RCE absolute vorticity ( $\eta_{\text{rce}}$ ) satisfies  $f\eta_{\text{rce}} < 0$ ; all latitudes where the RCE zonal wind exceeds the AMC zonal wind; and over a range such that depth-averaged potential temperature is continuous and that energy is conserved. The AMC model requires knowledge of the ascent latitude  $\varphi_a$ , which need not equal the RCE forcing maximum latitude  $\varphi_m$ . Whatever the value of  $\varphi_a$ , we demonstrate that an AMC cell must extend at least as far into the winter hemisphere as the summer hemisphere. The equal-area model predicts  $\varphi_a$ , always placing it poleward of  $\varphi_m$ . As  $\varphi_m$  is moved poleward (at a given thermal Rossby number), the equal-area predicted Hadley circulation becomes implausibly large, while both  $\varphi_m$  and  $\varphi_a$  become increasingly displaced poleward of the minimal cell extent based on Hide’s theorem (i.e. of supercritical forcing). In an idealized dry general circulation model, cross-equatorial Hadley cells are generated, some spanning nearly pole-to-pole. All homogenize angular momentum imperfectly, are roughly symmetric in extent about the equator, and appear in extent controlled by the span of supercritical forcing.

**1. Introduction**

Except during equinox, insolation always has a nonzero meridional derivative spanning the equator, precluding a state of latitude-by-latitude radiative convective equilibrium (RCE). The resulting thermal- or gradient-balanced wind would asymptote to  $+\infty$  on the winter side of the equator and  $-\infty$  on the summer side. An overturning circulation must emerge that removes this physically impossible feature by redistributing heat and angular momentum. The resulting solstitial cross-equatorial Hadley cells in our solar system, however, differ dramatically in scale — ascending at relatively low latitudes in the summer hemisphere on Earth vs. nearly at the summer pole on Venus (Gierasch 1975) and Titan (Mitchell and Lora 2016). Nevertheless, each spans at least as far into the winter hemisphere as the summer hemisphere. This paper

seeks minimal, prognostic, qualitatively accurate theoretical arguments that account for these features.

The inviability of gradient balance at the equator is one manifestation of the well-known Hide’s theorem — a set of conditions determining if the distributions of absolute angular momentum ( $M_{\text{rce}}$ ) and absolute vorticity ( $\eta_{\text{rce}}$ ) in the hypothetical RCE state are physically realizable (Hide 1969; Schneider 1977). Any latitude where the RCE state violates the conditions of Hide’s theorem is said to be supercritically forced, and an overturning circulation must span at minimum all supercritical latitudes. Of particular note is the condition (expanded upon below) that the RCE absolute vorticity cannot take the opposite sign of the local Coriolis parameter:  $f\eta_{\text{rce}} < 0$  is forbidden (Plumb and Hou 1992; Emanuel 1995), making the poleward extent of supercritical forcing in the summer hemisphere bounded by the latitude where  $\eta_{\text{rce}} = 0$  in most cases.

In axisymmetric atmospheres in which viscosity is weak above the boundary layer, the cross-equatorial Hadley cell is usefully described by the angular momen-

\*Corresponding author address: Spencer Hill, UCLA Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Box 951565, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1565  
E-mail: shill@atmos.ucla.edu

tum conserving (AMC) model. In this framework, ascent concentrated at a single latitude ( $\varphi_a$ ) imparts that latitude's planetary angular momentum to the free troposphere, which the Hadley circulation then homogenizes throughout its confines (Held and Hou 1980; Lindzen and Hou 1988);  $\varphi_a$  is also the boundary separating the cross-equatorial cell and the smaller, summer cell. To satisfy Hide's theorem, such an AMC circulation must span all latitudes wherein the angular momentum value of the RCE state exceeds the AMC value.

A well-known theory for  $\varphi_a$  in an AMC Hadley circulation comes from the equal-area model, which assumes Newtonian relaxation of temperatures toward a specified RCE distribution, continuity of column-integrated temperature at each cell edge, and conservation of energy integrated over each cell. Given these, it predicts  $\varphi_a$  as well as the poleward edges of both the cross-equatorial winter cell and the summer cell and the column-integrated temperature at  $\varphi_a$  (Held and Hou 1980; Lindzen and Hou 1988). The equal-area solution for the cross-equatorial Hadley cell grows rapidly as the latitude of the RCE thermal maximum,  $\varphi_m$ , is moved poleward. For the largest value shown by Lindzen and Hou (1988),  $\varphi_m \approx 8^\circ$ , the cross-equatorial cell spans  $\sim 45^\circ\text{S}$ - $28^\circ\text{N}$  or  $\sim 41^\circ\text{S}$ - $23^\circ\text{N}$  depending on the value of the imposed fractional meridional equilibrium temperature drop factor ( $\Delta_h = 1/3$  or  $1/6$ , respectively, c.f. their Fig. 4). In addition, it always predicts  $\varphi_a \geq \varphi_m$  and is agnostic to the extent of supercritical forcing.

Yet, under solstitial forcing, insolation maximizes at the summer pole, and thus the effective RCE  $\varphi_m$  should also. Faulk et al. (2017) and Singh (2019) perform perpetual solstitial forcing simulations in an idealized aquaplanet GCM, finding that the resulting cross-equatorial cell is confined to the Tropics, as on Earth, unless the rotation rate is decreased. These studies also demonstrate that the latitude at which  $\eta_{\text{rce}} = 0$  predicts  $\varphi_a$  with qualitative accuracy both at Earth's rotation rate (Faulk et al. 2017) and as the rotation rate is varied over a wide range (Singh 2019). Moreover, while the traditional AMC model dictates that the circulation and column-integrated equivalent potential temperature ( $\hat{\theta}_e$ ) fields mutually evolve such that  $\varphi_a$  coincides with a local maximum in  $\hat{\theta}_e$  (Lindzen and Hou 1988; Emanuel 1995; Privé and Plumb 2007), in the Faulk et al. and Singh simulations the near-surface moist static energy (a good indicator of  $\hat{\theta}_e$ ) always maximizes at the summer pole — nearly a hemisphere away from  $\varphi_a$  in the highest rotation rate cases.

In what follows, we demonstrate using a dry, axisymmetric GCM that the  $\eta_{\text{rce}} = 0$  latitude predicts  $\varphi_a$  with qualitative accuracy — and the equal-area model does not — both under conventional forcings and in exotically forced cases that generate planetary scale Hadley circulations while remaining at Earth's rotation rate. We also show without appeal to the equal-area model that

a cross-equatorial AMC cell must extend as far or farther into the winter hemisphere as into the summer hemisphere. Hide's theorem is reviewed and its various previous manifestations synthesized in Section 2. Section 3 reviews AMC theory and the equal-area model and compares their predictions for the cross-equatorial cell's edges with those stemming directly from Hide's theorem. Section 4 presents the results of the numerical simulations, after which we conclude with a summary and discussion in Sections 5 and 6, respectively. We heavily utilize the results of Held and Hou (1980), Lindzen and Hou (1988), Plumb and Hou (1992), and Emanuel (1995) and refer to them henceforth as HH80, LH88, PH92, and E95, respectively.

Before proceeding, we note that, even in axisymmetric cases where the complicating factors of eddy stresses can be neglected, simulated Hadley cells never truly approach the AMC limit (Held and Hou 1980; Lindzen and Hou 1988; Adam and Paldor 2009). In eddying atmospheres, the AMC assumption becomes even more problematic, although in observations and simulations the solstitial, cross-equatorial cell is more nearly AMC than are the summer, equinoctial, or annual-mean cells (e.g. Schneider 2006). We expand upon these and other caveats, including the complicating effects of moisture, in concluding subsections within Sections 2 and 3. Additional subtleties discussed in footnotes and the appendices may be skipped by casual readers. We otherwise proceed using the original dry, axisymmetric framework.

## 2. Hide's theorem

After presenting the governing equations, this section reviews fundamental properties of the gradient-balanced RCE state, synthesizes the various forms Hide's theorem has taken in past literature, and notes some important caveats.

We consider dry, axisymmetric, Boussinesq atmospheres under time invariant radiative forcing, with radiative transfer represented as Newtonian cooling of potential temperature  $\theta$  toward an RCE potential temperature field  $\theta_{\text{rce}}$  that is known analytically. The corresponding governing equations are

$$0 = -\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u + fv + \frac{uv \tan \varphi}{a} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( v \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) \quad (1a)$$

$$0 = -\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla v - fu + \frac{u^2 \tan \varphi}{a} - \frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( v \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right) \quad (1b)$$

$$0 = -\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \theta - \frac{\theta - \theta_{\text{rce}}}{\tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( v \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right) \quad (1c)$$

$$0 = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad (1d)$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} - g \frac{\theta}{\theta_0}. \quad (1e)$$

Here,  $\mathbf{v} = (v, w)$  with  $v$  the meridional velocity and  $w$  the vertical velocity,  $u$  is zonal velocity,  $f = 2\Omega\sin\varphi$  is the Coriolis parameter with  $\Omega$  the planetary rotation rate and  $\varphi$  latitude,  $a$  is planetary radius,  $\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity,  $\Phi = gz$  is geopotential height,  $\tau$  is the Newtonian cooling timescale,  $\theta_0$  is the Boussinesq reference potential temperature, and other terms have their standard meaning. Note that the Boussinesq equations are isomorphic to the fully compressible equations in pressure coordinates (e.g. Vallis 2017).

#### a. Gradient wind balance in radiative convective equilibrium

By definition, latitude-by-latitude RCE requires  $v = w = 0$ , in which case combining the meridional momentum and hydrostatic balance equations (1b) and (1e) leads to RCE zonal wind and potential temperatures ( $u_{\text{rce}}$  and  $\theta_{\text{rce}}$ , respectively) in gradient wind balance:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\tan\varphi}{a} u_{\text{rce}}^2 + f u_{\text{rce}} \right) = -\frac{g}{a\theta_0} \frac{\partial\theta_{\text{rce}}}{\partial\varphi}. \quad (2a)$$

Assuming drag in the boundary layer is large enough that the surface zonal wind is negligible<sup>1</sup>, the integral of (2a) from the surface to some height  $z$  yields

$$\frac{\tan\varphi}{a} u_{\text{rce}}^2(z) + f u_{\text{rce}}(z) + \frac{gz}{a\theta_0} \frac{\partial\hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}}}{\partial\varphi} = 0, \quad (2b)$$

where  $\hat{\theta}$  is the average of  $\theta$  between the surface and  $z$ . (2b) is a quadratic equation for  $u_{\text{rce}}$  that can be solved directly. Choosing the positive root that corresponds to  $u_{\text{rce}} = 0$  at the surface as required, this is

$$u_{\text{rce}} = \Omega a \cos\varphi \left[ \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\cos\varphi \sin\varphi} \frac{gz}{\Omega^2 a^2 \theta_0} \frac{\partial\hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}}}{\partial\varphi}} - 1 \right]. \quad (3a)$$

Away from the equator, if  $\partial_\varphi \hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}} = 0$  then  $u_{\text{rce}} = 0$ . At the equator, if  $\partial_\varphi \hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}} = 0$ , then  $\lim_{\varphi \rightarrow 0} u_{\text{rce}}$  is indeterminate. L'Hôpital's rule then gives

$$u_{\text{rce}}(\phi=0, \partial_\varphi \hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}}=0) = \Omega a \left[ \sqrt{1 - \frac{gz}{\Omega^2 a^2 \theta_0} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}}}{\partial\varphi^2}} - 1 \right]. \quad (3b)$$

Accordingly, any equatorial maximum in potential temperature with nonzero second derivative generates equatorial westerlies.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>More formally, assuming lower boundary conditions of  $\nu\partial_z u = Cu$ ,  $\nu\partial_z v = Cv$ , where  $C$  is a constant drag coefficient, c.f. Eq. 3 of HH80.

<sup>2</sup>If the second derivative is also zero, zonal flow at the equator will remain zero (as is the case for the AMC solutions described below), but this is unlikely for the RCE state in the annual mean for Earth-like orbits (as argued more formally by Schneider 2006). Flatter annual-mean

#### b. Hide's theorem: existing forms and synthesis

Provided  $\nu \neq 0$  and that the effect of transients is negligible, in steady state the zonal momentum equation (1a) may be written

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla M = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \nu \frac{\partial M}{\partial z} \right), \quad (4)$$

where  $M = a\cos\varphi(\Omega a\cos\varphi + u)$  is absolute angular momentum per unit mass, and recall that all values are steady-state averages. (4) precludes isolated extrema in  $\overline{M}$ . Mathematically, at any such an extremum,  $\nabla \overline{M} = \mathbf{0}$ , so that the left-hand side of (4) must vanish but not the right-hand side. Physically, viscous diffusion that acts to flatten out the extremum would have to be balanced by momentum flux convergence for a maximum (divergence for a minimum), which would require time-mean mass convergence (divergence for a minimum), violating conservation of mass (see Appendix A of PH92 for a formal proof). Only at the surface, where frictional stress can balance the diffusive term, can an extremum occur. In particular, the extremal values of planetary angular momentum ( $M = \Omega a^2$  at the equator and  $M = 0$  at either pole) must bound  $M$  at all latitudes.

Early expressions of Hide's theorem amount to the application of this result to maxima in the angular momentum field of the hypothetical RCE state,  $M_{\text{rce}}$ ; namely, if  $M_{\text{rce}} > \Omega a^2$  away from the surface at any latitude, a Hadley circulation must emerge (Hide 1969; Schneider 1977). It follows from (3a) and (3b) that any nonzero first or second meridional derivative in  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}}$  at the equator is supercritical. By the same arguments applied to a angular momentum minima,  $M_{\text{rce}} < 0$  is also forbidden, but interestingly negative angular momentum values are also forbidden for another reason: a real-valued solution to (3a) does not exist if the quantity within the square root operator is negative, and the minimum real solution is  $u_{\text{rce}} = -\Omega a \cos\varphi$ , which yields  $M_{\text{rce}} = 0$  at all latitudes (Fang and Tung 1996; Adam and Paldor 2009).

Away from the equator, local absolute angular momentum extrema are readily identified from the absolute vorticity distribution. The meridional derivative of absolute angular momentum is proportional to the vertical component of absolute vorticity  $\eta$ . Specifically,  $\partial_\varphi M = -(a^2 \cos\varphi)\eta$ , where  $\eta = f + \zeta$ , with  $\zeta = -(a\cos\varphi)^{-1} \partial_\varphi(u\cos\varphi)$  the relative vorticity (hereafter,  $\eta$  is referred to without confusion as absolute vorticity). Accordingly,  $\eta_{\text{rce}} = 0$  at any local extremum in  $M_{\text{rce}}$ . Because  $\eta = f$  in the absence of flow, this vorticity-based sufficient

profiles become more relevant for planets with larger orbital obliquities (with the poles receiving more annual mean insolation than the equator for orbits with obliquities  $\geq 55^\circ$ ; e.g. Linsenmeier et al. 2015), although in those cases the annual mean Hadley cells are likely the small residual of very strong, seasonally reversing cells that rarely approach cross-equatorial symmetry.

condition for supercriticality may be compactly expressed as  $f\eta_{rce} < 0$  (PH92, E95).

Figure 1 visualizes this  $f\eta_{rce} < 0$  condition by showing the potential temperature, zonal wind, angular momentum, and absolute vorticity fields corresponding to the depth-averaged forcing of PH92 (their Eq. 9), which comprises uniform  $\hat{\theta}_{rce}$  everywhere except for a local maximum centered at 25°N dropping off as  $\cos^2\varphi$  in a 30° wide region, for successively larger values of the forcing maximum. With no maximum present (dashed black curves),  $u_{rce} = 0$  everywhere, and  $M_{rce}$  and  $\eta_{rce}$  take their planetary values. Introducing a weak forcing maximum (blue curves) generates easterlies on the maximum's equatorward side and westerlies on the poleward side. The easterlies bend down, and the westerlies bend up, the  $M_{rce}$  curve, but not enough to generate any extrema in  $M_{rce}$ :  $\eta_{rce}$  retains its original sign everywhere, and the forcing is subcritical. Increasing the magnitude of the forcing maximum causes the easterlies and westerlies to intensify, eventually enough to generate  $\eta_{rce} = 0$  at a point slightly equatorward of  $\varphi_m$  (gray curves). A forcing maximum that is any stronger is supercritical (red curves).  $M_{rce}$  develops a minimum equatorward and a maximum poleward of  $\varphi_m$ , between which  $\eta_{rce}$  has changed sign.

E95 shows that the  $f\eta_{rce} < 0$  condition also applies at the tropopause in non-axisymmetric and/or purely inviscid atmospheres, the derivation of which is provided in Appendix A along with two additional physical interpretations.

The  $f\eta_{rce} < 0$  condition may be expressed in terms of  $\hat{\theta}_{rce}$  as, c.f. PH92,

$$C_{ph92} = 4\Omega^2 a^2 \cos^3 \varphi \sin \varphi + \frac{gH}{\theta_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \left( \frac{\cos^3 \varphi}{\sin \varphi} \frac{\partial \hat{\theta}_{rce}}{\partial \varphi} \right). \quad (5)$$

$C_{ph92} > 0$  corresponds to supercritical forcing in the Northern Hemisphere and subcritical forcing in the Southern Hemisphere (signs that are the same as for  $\eta_{rce}$  itself). Note that this can be re-expressed in terms of the planetary Burger number  $gH/\Omega^2 a^2$  by dividing both sides by  $(\Omega a)^2$ .

In summary, any latitude in an axisymmetric atmosphere with nonzero free tropospheric viscosity that satisfies any of the following three conditions is supercritical:

1.  $M_{rce} > \Omega a^2$  (global maximum in  $M_{rce}$ )
2.  $M_{rce} < 0$  (global minimum in  $M_{rce}$  and complex-valued  $u_{rce}$ )
3.  $f\eta_{rce} < 0$  (local extrema in  $M_{rce}$  and unrealizable sign change in  $\eta_{rce}$ ).

And Hide's theorem states that the existence of any supercritical latitude makes the RCE state physically impossible, meaning that a Hadley circulation must emerge.




FIG. 1. RCE profiles corresponding to the forcing used by Plumb and Hou (1992, their Eq. 9), which comprises uniform  $\hat{\theta}_{rce}$  at all latitudes other than a “bump” centered on 25°N (indicated by the vertical gray dotted line) and 30° wide, of (a) column-averaged potential temperature (in Kelvin), (b) zonal wind (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ), (c) absolute angular momentum normalized by the planetary angular momentum at the equator, and (d) absolute vorticity normalized by twice the planetary rotation rate, for different magnitudes of the forcing maximum as indicated by the legend in (a). The horizontal dotted gray line in (d) marks the zero line.

### c. Caveats

We are utilizing the thin-shell limit, wherein vertical variations in the moment arm are taken as negligible compared to meridional variations. This is appropriate for terrestrial bodies but not the gas giants. See e.g. O’Neill and Kaspi (2016) for consideration of angular momentum dynamics in deep atmospheres.

Neglected in (4) is the divergence of eddy momentum fluxes,  $\nabla \cdot \overline{M'v'}$ , where primes denote deviations from the time-mean and the overbar a temporal average. In non-axisymmetric atmospheres, zonally asymmetric eddies can generate interior extrema in the angular momentum field, the most notorious example being a westerly, “superrotating” jet in the equatorial troposphere, through a variety of mechanisms (e.g. Schneider and Liu 2009; Caballero and Huber 2010; Mitchell and Vallis 2010; Wang and Mitchell 2014). In simulations of axisymmetric atmospheres (including those we present in Section 4), propagating symmetric instabilities are ubiquitous (e.g. Satoh 1994) and can, in principle, effect nontrivial momentum flux divergences.

Another striking example of the influence of eddy momentum flux divergences is the emergence of Hadley cells in simulations with uniform insolation and other boundary conditions, which by the axisymmetric arguments we presented should have  $u_{\text{rce}} = 0$  everywhere and thus no Hadley circulation; instead, transient eddies (both in axisymmetric and zonally varying simulations) transport momentum meridionally, necessitating compensating Hadley cell angular momentum transports to attain a balanced budget (e.g. Kirtman and Schneider 2000; Shi and Bretherton 2014; Merlis et al. 2016).

We lack at present a satisfying explanation for the coincidence of the  $M_{\text{rce}} < 0$  manifestation of Hide’s theorem (which derives from the zonal momentum equation) with the transition to non-real values of the gradient-balanced wind (which derives from the continuity, hydrostatic, and meridional momentum equations). In contrast, the  $u_{\text{rce}}$  value corresponding to the  $M_{\text{rce}} = \Omega a^2$  condition does not coincide with any mathematically unique property of  $u_{\text{rce}}$ .

### 3. Hadley cell extent and ascent branch location

Supposing that one or more of the conditions at Hide’s theorem is met somewhere, over what latitudes does the resulting overturning circulation extend, and where does it ascend? This section considers a series of arguments yielding progressively farther poleward predictions: those stemming directly from Hide’s theorem, from the AMC model, and from the equal-area model. We consider each for general  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}}$  profiles and as applied to the canonical  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}}$  profiles of LH88,

$$\frac{\hat{\theta}_{\text{rce, lh88}}}{\theta_0} = 1 + \frac{\Delta_h}{3} \left[ 1 - 3(\sin \varphi - \sin \varphi_m)^2 \right], \quad (6)$$

where  $\Delta_h$  is an imposed fractional equator-to-pole temperature contrast, and the forcing maximizes at the latitude  $\varphi_m$ . Using (6) in (3a), the corresponding  $u_{\text{rce}}$  fields are

$$u_{\text{rce, lh88}} = \Omega a \cos \varphi \left[ \sqrt{1 + 2R \left( 1 - \frac{\sin \varphi_m}{\sin \varphi} \right)} - 1 \right], \quad (7)$$

where

$$R = \frac{gH\Delta_h}{\Omega^2 a^2}$$

is the thermal Rossby number (Fang and Tung 1996; Adam and Paldor 2009). For  $\varphi_m = 0$ , (6) and (7) reduce to the original expressions of HH80.

#### a. Constraints from Hide’s theorem

At the very least, the Hadley circulation must span all latitudes satisfying one or more of the conditions of Hide’s theorem. But this lower bound is not always especially useful, for example in the PH92 case. C.f. Figure 1, forcing is supercritical only within  $\sim 18\text{--}26^\circ\text{N}$ , whereas the corresponding PH92 simulation features a Hadley circulation spanning  $\sim 25^\circ\text{S--}30^\circ\text{N}$  (c.f. their Fig. 5a; their forcing is slightly stronger, yielding a slightly larger supercritical region of  $\sim 12\text{--}29^\circ\text{N}$ ).

Under the HH80 forcing, neither the  $M_{\text{rce}} < 0$  nor  $f\eta_{\text{rce}} < 0$  criteria are met at any latitude. This is demonstrated by the solid red curves in Figure 2, which show (a)  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}}$ , (b)  $u_{\text{rce}}$ , (c)  $M_{\text{rce}}$ , and (d)  $\eta_{\text{rce}}$  for (6) with  $\varphi_m = 0^\circ$ , with Earth’s values of  $g$ ,  $\Omega$ , and  $a$ ,  $H = 10$  km, and  $\Delta_h = 1/3$ , yielding  $R \approx 0.15$  [the other plotted elements will be discussed further below].  $u_{\text{rce}}$  and  $M_{\text{rce}}$  are maximal at the equator and decrease monotonically toward zero at either pole; the resulting meridional shear makes  $f\eta_{\text{rce}}$  *more* positive than it would be in a resting atmosphere [shown as the pink dashed curve in panel (d)].<sup>3</sup>

For the LH88 forcing, Figure 3 repeats Figure 2 but with  $\varphi_m = 6^\circ$ . Westerlies are sufficiently strong from the winter subtropics to the equator and in the  $\sim 10^\circ$ -wide span just poleward of  $\varphi_m$  to generate  $M_{\text{rce}} > \Omega a^2$  (unlike the HH80 case, this span is not identical to that from the AMC criteria, as discussed below). Moving across the equator toward the summer pole,  $\partial_\varphi \hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}} > 0$  causes  $u_{\text{rce}}$  to flip to non-real values, though only very near the equator. But the meridional shear is sufficiently large poleward thereof to generate an  $f\eta_{\text{rce}} < 0$  region spanning another  $\sim 10^\circ$ .

<sup>3</sup>This in fact holds for the more general forcing of  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}}/\theta_0 = c_1 + c_2 \cos^n \varphi$ , where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants and  $n \geq 2$  is a positive integer [of which (6) with  $\varphi_m = 0^\circ$  is a special case with  $c_1 = 1 - 2\Delta_h/3$ ,  $c_2 = \Delta_h$ , and  $n = 2$ ]. Applying (5) to this yields

$$C_{\text{ph92, cos}^n \varphi} = -\sin \varphi \cos^3 \varphi \left[ 4\Omega^2 a^2 + n(n+2)c_2 g H \cos^{n-2} \varphi \right].$$

All of the terms within the square brackets are positive, which combined with the leading  $-\sin \varphi$  term corresponds to  $f\eta_{\text{rce}} \geq 0$  at all latitudes.




FIG. 2. Values of (a) column-averaged potential temperature (in Kelvin), (b) zonal wind (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ), (c) absolute angular momentum normalized by  $\Omega a^2$ , and (d) absolute vorticity normalized by  $2\Omega$ , each corresponding to (solid red) the RCE state, (solid blue) the AMC solution, (dotted purple) the equal-area solution, and (c and d only, dashed pink) the planetary value, as a function of latitude (horizontal axis, with  $\sin\varphi$ -spacing), where the RCE forcing is given by (6) with  $\varphi_m = 0$ . Horizontal lines at the top of (a) signify Hadley cell extent markers according to the legend in (a), with the three black dots corresponding to the cell edges of the equal-area solution.

These spans are indicated by the three lower-most horizontal lines at the top of panel (a) as indicated by the legend (likewise for Figure 2 but with the unsatisfied  $M_{\text{rce}} < 0$  and  $f\eta_{\text{rce}} < 0$  conditions omitted). A formal treatment of the  $\eta = 0$  transition under LH88 forcing is provided in Appendix B.

Figure 4 repeats Figure 3(a) but with  $\varphi_m = 23.5^\circ$ . Now, the  $\eta_{\text{rce}} = 0$  transition in the summer hemisphere occurs at  $18.0^\circ$ , equatorward of  $\varphi_m$ . As  $\varphi_m$  is moved farther poleward,  $\eta_{\text{rce}} = 0$  becomes increasingly equatorward of  $\varphi_m$ ; for example, for  $\varphi_m = 30^\circ$ , the  $\eta_{\text{rce}} = 0$  point occurs at  $\sim 20^\circ$  (not shown).

### b. Constraints from the AMC Hadley cell model

#### 1) CONCEPTUAL BASIS FOR THE AMC HADLEY CELL MODEL

The AMC model for the Hadley cells (HH80, LH88) assumes that ascent out of the boundary layer occurs at a single latitude,  $\varphi_a$ , where  $u \approx 0$  and hence  $M(\varphi=\varphi_a) = \Omega a^2 \cos^2 \varphi_a$ . Because viscosity is weak in the free troposphere, this angular momentum value is then homogenized over the circulation's whole expanse, requiring the AMC zonal wind profile, c.f. LH88,

$$u_{\text{amc}} = \Omega a \cos \varphi \left( \frac{\cos^2 \varphi_a}{\cos^2 \varphi} - 1 \right). \quad (8)$$

The blue curves in Figures 2(b) and 3(b) show  $u_{\text{amc}}$  for  $\varphi_a = 0^\circ$  and  $\varphi_a = 21.2^\circ\text{N}$ , respectively (with  $\varphi_a$  determined using the equal-area model as discussed below). For any  $\varphi_a$ ,  $u_{\text{amc}}$  vanishes at  $\varphi_a$ , is mirror symmetric about the equator, and increases monotonically toward either pole from a minimum value at the equator, approaching  $+\infty$  at the poles unless  $\varphi_a$  itself is one of the poles. For  $\varphi_a \neq 0$ ,  $u_{\text{amc}}$  is also zero at  $-\varphi_a$ , easterly between  $-\varphi_a$  and  $\varphi_a$ , and westerly poleward thereof. Blue curves in Figures 2(c,d) and 3(c,d) show that  $M$  is indeed constant and thus  $\eta = 0$  when  $u = u_{\text{amc}}$ .

The troposphere-averaged potential temperature field of the AMC circulation,  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{amc}}$ , is that in gradient balance with  $u_{\text{amc}}$ . The  $\varphi_a = 0$  case was solved by HH80 and was generalized to  $\varphi_a \neq 0$  by LH88. The latter is

$$\frac{\hat{\theta}(\varphi) - \hat{\theta}_a}{\theta_0} = -\frac{\Omega^2 a^2 (\cos^2 \varphi_a - \cos^2 \varphi)^2}{2gH \cos^2 \varphi}, \quad (9)$$

where  $\hat{\theta}_a$  is the value of  $\hat{\theta}$  at  $\varphi_a$ . Blue curves in Figures 2(a) and 3(a) show these for  $\varphi_a = 0^\circ$  and  $\varphi_a = 21.2^\circ$  (with  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{amc}}$  determined from the equal-area model). In both cases,  $\varphi_a$  corresponds to a local thermal maximum, since then  $u = 0$  throughout the column as assumed in deriving  $u_{\text{amc}}$  and, in turn, (9). But importantly, it does not follow that  $\varphi_a = \varphi_m$ .




FIG. 3. Same as Fig. 2, but with the RCE forcing given by (6) with  $\varphi_m = 6^\circ$ , and with two additional cell extent metrics in (a) as noted in the legend (neither was met at any latitude in the  $\varphi_m = 0^\circ$  case). Note that, except for (d), the vertical axis spans differ from the corresponding ones of Fig. 2.

## 2) DIRECT $u_{rce}$ VS. $u_{anc}$ COMPARISON

The AMC model is made globally complete by jumping at the Hadley circulation outer edges from the AMC to the RCE profile. This is shown in the dotted purple curves of




FIG. 4. Same as Fig. 3(a), but with  $\varphi_m = 23.5^\circ$ .

Figures 2 and 3 (again with the cell edge latitudes determined using the equal-area model). The jump from  $u_{anc}$  to  $u_{rce}$  at the cell outer edges must occur where  $u_{rce} \leq u_{anc}$ , as a cell terminating where  $u_{rce} > u_{anc}$  would yield an isolated local maximum in  $M_{rce}$  at the cell edge, thereby still violating Hide's theorem — i.e. if in Fig. 2(c) or Fig. 3(c) the jump from the AMC to RCE curves was upward. It follows that the span between the two  $u_{rce} = u_{anc}$  points farthest from each other constitutes a lower bound on the circulation extent (HH80).

For  $\varphi_a = 0^\circ$ , equating (7) with (8) yields  $\varphi^* = \arccos((1 + 2R)^{-1/4})$ , where  $\varphi^*$  is the cell edge, or equivalently  $\varphi^* = \arctan([(1 + 2R)^{1/2} - 1]^{1/2})$  as expressed by HH80. For  $\varphi_a \neq 0^\circ$ ,  $u_{anc} = 0$  at both  $\varphi_a$  and  $-\varphi_a$ . Provided  $\hat{\theta}_{rce}$  decreases monotonically from the equator to the winter pole (however modestly), then  $u_{rce} > 0$  throughout the winter hemisphere. It follows that  $u_{rce} > u_{anc}$  from the equator to some latitude poleward of  $-\varphi_a$ . Even if the meridional slope of  $\hat{\theta}_{rce}$  vanishes in the winter hemisphere (as it does in the simulations we present in Section 4), then  $u_{rce} = 0$ , which is still more westerly than the AMC easterlies spanning from the equator to  $-\varphi_a$ . In short, an AMC cell must span at least as far into the winter hemisphere as the summer hemisphere.

## c. Equal-area solutions

Using the AMC model prognostically requires a theory for  $\varphi_a$  given the RCE state. As noted above, it is not generally the case that  $\varphi_a \approx \varphi_m$ . Even for  $\varphi_m = 0$ , off-equatorial, double ITCZs can emerge in numerical simulations such that  $\varphi_a \neq 0$  (e.g. Satoh 1994).

The equal-area model (introduced by HH80 for  $\varphi_m = \varphi_a = 0$  and extended to  $\varphi_m \neq 0$ ,  $\varphi_a \neq 0$  by LH88) predicts  $\varphi_a$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_{anc}$ , and the circulation's two poleward edges via two assumptions regarding the thermodynamic structure of the cells. First, column-averaged potential temperature is continuous at each cell edge; second, flow within each cell conserves energy. If  $\hat{\theta}_{rce}$  is symmetric about the equator and  $\varphi_a = 0$ , the two cells are mirror symmetric, yielding

two equations to solve for the two unknowns. See Equations 8-11 of LH88.

In the small angle limit, the HH80 equal-area solution terminates at  $\varphi_H = (5R/3)^{1/2}$ , a factor of  $(5/3)^{1/2}$  poleward of where  $u_{rce} = u_{amc}$ . Without the small angle assumption, an analytical solution is no longer attainable, but the resulting expression (Eq. 17 of HH80) is readily solvable numerically and always yields a cell terminating poleward of the  $u_{rce} = u_{amc}$  line.

Purple dotted curves in Figures 2- 4 show the equal-area solutions for the given forcing. Also overlaid as gray horizontal lines are the spans of the various extent metrics — from the equal-area solution (with dots denoting the three cell edges),  $u_{rce} > u_{amc}$  with the  $\varphi_a$  value taken from the equal-area model, and the conditions of Hide’s theorem. In the HH80 case (Figure 2), because  $\varphi_a = 0$ , the  $M$  value being homogenized by  $u_{amc}$  is the equatorial value,  $M = \Omega a^2$ , such that the  $M_{rce} > \Omega a^2$  and  $u_{rce} > u_{amc}$  criteria are identical. The  $f\eta_{rce} < 0$  and  $M_{rce} < 0$  conditions are omitted, because they do not occur at any latitude as described above.

In the LH88 case with  $\varphi_m = 6^\circ$  (Figure 3), because  $\varphi_m \neq 0$ ,  $u_{rce}$  is no longer symmetric about the equator, and now regions of  $M_{rce} < 0$  and  $f\eta_{rce} < 0$  emerge in the summer hemisphere. In addition,  $\varphi_a \neq \varphi_m$ , such that the  $u_{rce} > u_{amc}$  and  $M > \Omega a^2$  spans are no longer identical, with the former yielding a larger minimum circulation extent. and the value of  $M$  being homogenized depends on the solution for  $\varphi_a$ . Notice that the equal-area  $\varphi_a$  prediction is appreciably poleward of  $\varphi_m$  and of the  $\eta_{rce} = 0$  transition.

For  $\varphi_m = 23.5^\circ$  (Figure 4), the equal-area model predicts a circulation spanning  $61.7^\circ\text{S}-44.8^\circ\text{N}$  — implausibly large for Earth, despite all parameters taking Earth-like values and  $\varphi_m$  sitting far equatorward of where it would for a true solstitial RCE solution, namely at the summer pole. And as  $\varphi_m$  is moved farther poleward, the separation between  $\varphi_m$  and the equal-area  $\varphi_a$  continues to grow. Although the equal-area solution never truly becomes pole-to-pole at Earth’s rotation rate (Guendelman and Kaspi 2019), these properties are clearly inadequate for Earth’s solstitial Hadley circulation.

#### d. Caveats

Hide’s theorem holds for any nonzero viscosity, and in atmospheres with sufficiently large  $\nu$  the appropriate model of the Hadley cells is the viscous, linear one (Schneider and Lindzen 1977; Fang and Tung 1994). But as a model for most terrestrial planets including Earth, the nearly inviscid, angular momentum conserving model is more appropriate; see Fang and Tung (1994) for a formal treatment of this regime separation based on the relative

values of the Ekman and Rossby numbers. And the non-linear, nearly inviscid solution is not equal to the linear, viscous solution in the limit as  $\nu \rightarrow 0^+$  (HH80).

On Earth, baroclinic eddies modulate the Hadley cells’ extent and overturning strength (e.g. Walker and Schneider 2005; Kory and Schneider 2008; Levine and Schneider 2015; Singh and Kuang 2016; Singh et al. 2017) and decelerate the zonal wind of the annual mean Hadley cells well below the AMC limit (Held and Hoskins 1985; Walker and Schneider 2006). Nevertheless, large expanses of cross-equatorial, zonally confined monsoons and zonal mean Hadley cells during solstitial seasons do approximately behave as in the AMC regime, with local Rossby numbers  $Ro \equiv -\zeta/f \sim 0.6$  compared to  $Ro = 1$  for the true AMC solution and  $Ro = 0$  for the purely eddy-dominated case (e.g. Schneider and Bordoni 2008; Bordoni and Schneider 2008, 2010). Accordingly, axisymmetric theory (in its modern “convective quasi-equilibrium” form appropriate for moist, convecting atmospheres, c.f. Emanuel 1995) remains of value in — and is the dominant existing theoretical paradigm for — these contexts (e.g. Nie et al. 2010). The importance of baroclinic eddies diminishes as either planetary rotation rate or radius decrease, leading to a “global Tropics” regime once the Rossby radius of deformation exceeds the planetary scale (Williams and Holloway 1982; Mitchell et al. 2006; Faulk et al. 2017). In addition to the solar system’s slow rotators of Venus and Titan, in all likelihood this characterizes many “habitable zone” exoplanets identified already or likely to be identifiable by existing and planned telescope missions, due to orbital dynamical constraints (e.g. Showman et al. 2014).

Even in axisymmetric atmospheres, multiple processes prevent the Hadley cells from ever actually reaching the AMC limit, as has been noted by many authors (e.g. HH80, LH88). Ascent always occurs over some finite latitudinal width, leading to different streamlines leaving the boundary layer with different  $M$  values. Within the ascending branch, convective momentum mixing may be non-negligible (Schneider and Lindzen 1977; Schneider 1977), although typically weak vertical shear there makes it not obviously a large term (c.f. Held and Hoskins 1985; Zheng 1998). Once beyond the ascending branch, nonzero viscosity, however small, then generates mixing across tightly packed horizontal streamlines in the cell’s upper branch where  $\partial_z u$  is large and in the descending branch. Fang and Tung (1996) derive an analytic “viscous correction” to their otherwise inviscid solution that accounts for this, which acts to smear out the otherwise step changes in temperature and zonal velocity at their cell edges (see their Figure 4).

Separately, motionless air advected into the upper branch retards the upper level flow, and this has been compactly addressed in the “1 1/2” layer shallow water models of Shell and Held (2004) and Adam and Pal-dor (2009). These yield an equinoctial Hadley cell with



nearly-uniform height in the ascending branch connected to an AMC subsiding branch, and arguably they more accurately capture the momentum structure of the HH80 numerical solutions than does the standard AMC solution. Moreover, the circulation in this 1 1/2 layer model spans farther into the winter hemisphere than the summer hemisphere (Adam and Paldor 2010a), as in the unmodified AMC model as described above.

#### 4. Results from sub- and supercritically forced simulations

We have argued that the span of supercritical forcing is a lower bound on the cross-equatorial cell extent in the summer hemisphere, and that cross-equatorial, AMC cells are bound to be relatively symmetric in extent about the equator. This section presents evidence for those claims via simulations in an idealized GCM in which  $\eta_{rce} = 0$  is made to occur either near  $\varphi_m$  or well equatorward thereof.

##### a. Description of the idealized dry GCM

We use the dry idealized GCM of Schneider (2004), which solves the primitive equations on the sphere with no topography using a spectral dynamical core.<sup>4</sup> All parameters take Earth-like values except as otherwise noted. The simulations are axisymmetric by way of exactly axisymmetric initial conditions and boundary conditions.

Convective adjustment relaxes temperatures in statically unstable columns toward the dry adiabatic lapse rate,  $\Gamma_d \equiv g/c_p$ , as would hold in a state of dry RCE, over a globally uniform 4 day timescale. Radiative transfer is approximated by Newtonian cooling, wherein temperatures are relaxed toward a prescribed field (described in the next subsection) over a timescale that is 50 days throughout the free troposphere and decreases linearly in  $\sigma$  from that value at the PBL top to 7 days at the surface. The treatment of dissipative processes is standard, but given the potential nuances relating to the nearly inviscid assumptions, is described in full in Appendix C.

##### b. Imposed RCE temperature profiles

The equilibrium temperature fields at the lowest model level (which we refer to as the surface values) are based on (9), modified as described below, with a dry adiabatic lapse rate from the surface to a specified tropopause temperature. The atmosphere is isothermal from the tropopause upwards. The dry adiabatic stratification, along with the dry adiabatic convective adjustment, ensures little distinction between the imposed equilibrium temperatures and a true RCE solution. This is confirmed via computing RCE solutions for a subset of our cases by

repeating them with all advective terms suppressed (not shown); the temperature structure is always almost exactly dry adiabatic from the surface to the tropopause.

Because our model is not Boussinesq, rather than using (9) we use the analogous expression derived by E95 appropriate for (dry or moist) atmospheres obeying convective quasi-equilibrium (CQE Emanuel et al. 1994):

$$\theta_b = \theta_{ba} \exp \left[ -\frac{\Omega^2 a^2}{2c_p(T_s - T_t)} \frac{(\cos^2 \varphi_a - \cos^2 \varphi)^2}{\cos^2 \varphi} \right], \quad (10)$$

where  $\theta_b$  is boundary layer potential temperature (replaced in moist atmospheres by  $\theta_{eb}$ , the subcloud equivalent potential temperature),  $\theta_{ba}$  is the value of  $\theta_b$  at  $\varphi_a$ ,  $T_s$  is the surface temperature,  $T_t$  is the temperature at the tropopause, and  $T_s - T_t$  has been assumed constant. Although (10) is an expression for the dynamically equilibrated AMC state, here we use it (modified as described below) as the RCE state to which the model is being relaxed toward. Therefore, in the context of these simulations only, it should be interpreted as a forcing, with  $\varphi_a$  replaced by  $\varphi_m$ ; the simulations will generate their own  $\varphi_a$  that in general need not be the same as the imposed  $\varphi_m$ .

To generate cells that extend either to the vicinity of  $\varphi_m$  or well equatorward thereof, we insert a multiplicative factor,  $\alpha$ , into the exponential, set to 0.5 or 2.0, respectively. Temperatures are uniform beginning  $10^\circ$  past the forcing maximum  $\varphi_m$ , since otherwise except for  $\varphi_m = 90^\circ$  the profile would drop toward absolute zero. To break hemispheric symmetry, at  $10^\circ\text{N}$  we switch from the original profile to its local tangent, following this to  $10^\circ\text{S}$ , and then setting temperatures uniformly to their value at  $10^\circ\text{S}$  farther south.

##### c. Simulations performed

We perform one  $\alpha = 0.5$  and one  $\alpha = 2.0$  simulation for each of  $\varphi_m = 23.5^\circ$ ,  $45^\circ$ , and  $90^\circ$  and for each of  $\Omega = 1\times$ ,  $1/2\times$ , and  $1/4\times\Omega_E$ , where  $\Omega_E$  is Earth's rotation rate. Table 1 lists the forcing parameters for each simulation, and Figure 5 shows the imposed equilibrium surface temperature profiles, as well as the spans where the  $f\eta_{rce} < 0$ ,  $M_{rce} < 0$ , and  $M_{rce} > \Omega a^2$  conditions for cell extent are met. The linear increase in temperature spanning the equator in all cases ensures  $M_{rce} > \Omega a^2$  on the winter-side of the equator and  $M_{rce} < 0$  on the summer-side. In the  $\alpha = 2.0$ ,  $\varphi_m = 90^\circ$  cases, the  $M_{rce} < 0$  region extends all the way to the pole. In all other cases, poleward of the  $M_{rce} < 0$  region there exists a finite region where  $f\eta_{rce} < 0$  in the summer hemisphere. The combined range of these extent conditions spans  $\sim 10^\circ\text{S}$ - $10^\circ\text{N}$  in the  $\alpha = 0.5$  cases (i.e. those latitudes with the linear temperature profile), compared to  $\varphi_m$  or somewhat poleward thereof in the  $\alpha = 2.0$  cases.

Parameter values were chosen on an ad hoc basis for each  $(\Omega, \varphi_m)$  pair in order to generate supercritical forcing

<sup>4</sup>As noted by Adam and Paldor (2009), spectral solvers are not ideal for cases such as the axisymmetric Hadley cells in which the solutions are expected to have discontinuities.

from the equator to  $\varphi_m$  in the  $\alpha = 2.0$  case with the minimal meridional temperature variation possible, and with surface temperatures near the equator  $\sim 300$  K in all cases (so that the tropospheric depth is similar across simulations, at least away from  $\varphi_m$ ). For forcing maxima well removed from the Tropics at Earth’s rotation rate, it is difficult to generate  $f\eta_{\text{rce}} < 0$  near  $\varphi_m$  while keeping a realistic tropopause depth. For this reason, the tropopause is set to 100 K in our forcing profiles in some of the  $1 \times \Omega_E$  cases, in which the forcing surface temperature at the maximum can exceed 500 K. Under dry adiabatic stratification, this yields an effective tropopause height of  $\sim 40$  km. Stated another way, if we require that the tropospheric depth remains roughly Earth-like, we are unable to violate Hide’s theorem near  $\varphi_m$  at Earth’s rotation rate or faster for high-latitude  $\varphi_m$ .

Table 1 also lists diagnosed values of  $\Delta_h$ , computed as the global maximum minus the global minimum of the surface forcing temperature divided by its global mean, and the corresponding diagnosed value of  $R$ . These were diagnosed after the simulations were complete, i.e. they were not tuned for, so it is interesting that the  $R$  values are quite similar across  $\Omega$  values, particularly for  $\alpha = 2.0$ . For example,  $R \approx .1$ – $1.2$  in all three  $\varphi_m = 90^\circ$ ,  $\alpha = 2.0$  cases. Also note that these  $R$  values are much less than the critical values required for the  $f\eta_{\text{rce}} < 0$  condition to be satisfied in the case of LH88 forcing shown in Figure B9. This is because the  $\eta$  distribution depends not just on the total magnitude of meridional temperature variations but on the *shape* of those variations — as discussed previously, the  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}}$  profiles in Figure 5 “ramp up” with positive meridional curvature from the equator nearly to  $\varphi_m$ , rather than the LH88 cases [Figure 3(a)] in which the meridional curvature is negative throughout the domain.

All simulations are run for 1200 days starting from an isothermal, resting state, with results presented as averages over the last 1000. Though a statistically steady state is achieved throughout the domain generally within 100 days, regular transient symmetric instabilities persist throughout the integration over much of the extent of the Hadley cells (not shown). As one example, in the simulation at Earth’s rotation rate with  $\varphi_m = 45^\circ$  and  $\alpha = 2.0$ , equatorward propagating features are prominent from roughly  $35^\circ\text{S}$  to  $10^\circ\text{S}$ .

#### d. Results

Figure 6 shows the meridional overturning streamfunctions in each  $\alpha = 2.0$  case, and Figure 7 shows the same for the  $\alpha = 0.5$  cases, with angular momentum contours, the computed Hadley cell edge latitudes, and  $\varphi_m$  overlaid. The cell edges are computed using the standard metric of where the streamfunction at the sigma level of its maximum decreases to 10% of that maximum (Walker and

TABLE 1. Forcing parameters of each simulation performed. From left to right: ratio of planetary rotation rate to Earth’s rotation rate, where  $\Omega_E$  is Earth’s rotation rate ( $\text{s}^{-1}$ ); latitude of forcing maximum ( $^\circ$ ); value of  $\alpha$  (dimensionless); tropopause temperature (K); difference between surface and tropopause temperatures used in the expression to generate the forcing (K); temperature at the forcing maximum (K); largest fractional temperature variation (dimensionless); and diagnosed thermal Rossby number (dimensionless). Parameter values were chosen so that  $\theta_b \sim 300$  K at the equator in all cases.

$\Omega/\Omega_E$	$\varphi_m$	$\alpha$	$T_t$	$T_s - T_t$	$T_{\text{max}}$	$\Delta_h$	$R$
1	23.5	0.5			303.1	0.01	0.01
		2.0			310.9	0.07	0.05
	45	0.5	100	250	317.5	0.07	0.08
		2.0			371.6	0.27	0.32
	90	0.5			344.1	0.15	0.67
		2.0			512.2	0.28	1.25
0.5	23.5	0.5			301.1	$6 \times 10^{-3}$	0.01
		2.0			304.0	0.03	0.05
	45	0.5			310.5	0.04	0.08
		2.0			342.7	0.17	0.32
	90	0.5		200	321.2	0.07	0.28
		2.0			392.1	0.31	1.16
0.25	23.5	0.5			300.3	$2 \times 10^{-3}$	0.01
		2.0			301.0	$6 \times 10^{-3}$	0.05
	45	0.5			302.6	0.01	0.08
		2.0			310.2	0.04	0.32
	90	0.5			310.3	0.04	0.28
		2.0			342.9	0.15	1.13

Schneider 2006), but weighted as in Singh (2019) to account for the weakening influence on the streamfunction of meridians converging toward the pole. Symbolically,

$$\frac{\Psi(\varphi_h, \sigma_{\text{max}})}{\cos \varphi_h} = 0.1 \frac{\Psi_{\text{max}}}{\cos \varphi_{\text{max}}}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\Psi$  is the Eulerian-mean streamfunction,  $\varphi_h$  is the cell edge, and  $\Psi_{\text{max}}$  is the streamfunction global maximum magnitude, which occurs at latitude  $\varphi_{\text{max}}$  and sigma level  $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ .

A few features are consistent across all simulations. First, the nonzero forcing gradient spanning the equator necessitates an overturning cell in all cases. Second, the streamlines of those cells are nearly coincident in the free troposphere with angular momentum contours. Third, the Hadley circulations all comprise a single cross-equatorial cell, with no discernible summer cell. And, fourth, the cross-equatorial cells extend to nearly the same latitudes in the winter and summer hemispheres, typically somewhat farther into the winter hemisphere.

Notable in the  $\alpha = 2.0$  cases are very strong equatorial jumps as described by Pauluis (2004), with some streamlines bending up out of the boundary layer near  $\pm 10^\circ$  and rising by as much as  $\sim 0.4$  in  $\sigma$ . This jumping does




FIG. 5. Equilibrium surface temperature distribution (in Kelvin) that is relaxed toward in each simulation: (left to right) forcing maximum latitude  $\varphi_m$ , (top to bottom) planetary rotation rate, and (thick curves) value of  $\alpha$  (blue for  $\alpha = 0.5$ , red for  $\alpha = 2.0$ ). Horizontal lines at the top of each panel correspond to the extent metrics as indicated in the legend in panel, with blue shades for the  $\alpha = 0.5$  case and red shades for  $\alpha = 2.0$ . Note different vertical axis spans in each panel.

not appear to affect the features of our interest; simulations in which the jump is suppressed via a stronger cross-equatorial temperature gradient do not differ qualitatively outside the deep tropics (not shown).

Also overlaid are the ranges where any of the  $M_{rce} > \Omega a^2$ ,  $M_{rce} < 0$ , and  $f\eta_{rce} < 0$  conditions for cell extent are met, and separately where the  $u_{rce} > u_{amc}$  condition is met. The value of  $\varphi_a$  used to compute  $u_{amc}$  is diagnosed from the minimum value of angular momentum at the equator in the simulations,  $M_{eq,min}$ . Given that value, then  $\varphi_a \approx \arccos(\sqrt{M_{eq,min}/\Omega a^2})$ . Roughly speaking, this amounts to finding the angular momentum contour coincident with the circulation's topmost streamline at the equator and tracing it back to the surface in the summer hemisphere (Singh 2019). This value (shown as a vertical purple line) is generally close to the edge diagnosed from the streamfunction and for the  $\alpha = 2.0$  cases is near  $\varphi_m$  also.

This rough coincidence of  $\varphi_a$  and  $\varphi_m$  for  $\alpha = 2.0$  leads to a single, nearly pole-to-pole cell in the polar-maximal forcing cases. The biggest offsets of  $\varphi_a$  and the cell edge from  $\varphi_m$  occur in the  $\Omega = 1 \times \Omega_E$  and  $\varphi_m = 90^\circ$ , for which the computed cell edge metric sits at  $\sim 69^\circ$ . However,

the streamfunction retains its sign all the way to the pole, and the cell is nevertheless global in scale (zonal winds near the equator approach  $400 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  in the stratosphere in this case; not shown). This is a remarkable contrast to Earth's present-day cross-equatorial Hadley cell, whose summer hemisphere edge never extends beyond  $\sim 15^\circ$  in either hemisphere (based on the zero crossings of the overturning streamfunction shown in Figure 4 of Adam et al. 2016).

For the  $\alpha = 0.5$  cases, the cells typically terminate well equatorward of the forcing maximum — in the most extreme case, with  $\Omega = 1/2 \times \Omega_E$  and  $\varphi_m = 90^\circ$ ,  $\varphi_a$  and the cell edge are near  $20^\circ$ . The poleward extent of supercritical forcing is typically equatorward of the cell edge and  $\varphi_a$  by  $\gtrsim 10^\circ$ , but nevertheless across all  $\alpha = 0.5$  simulations is a more accurate predictor of cell extent than is  $\varphi_m$ .

Figure 8 shows  $\theta_b$ , which we diagnose as the value of  $\theta$  at  $\sigma \approx 0.85$  in all cases. The  $\alpha = 0.5$  cell terminates near where  $\partial_\varphi \theta_b \approx 0$ , but sits just poleward of an inflection point rather than just equatorward of a maximum as suggested by Privé and Plumb (2007). The flattening of  $\theta_b$  equatorward of the ascent branch can be interpreted c.f. Schneider and Bordoni (2008) as caused by the southerly




FIG. 6. (Filled contours) meridional overturning streamfunction and (grey contours) absolute angular momentum fields in the simulations with  $\alpha = 2.0$  (see text for explanation of the experimental setup) with (left to right) the forcing maximum at 23.5, 45, and 90°N, and (top to bottom) planetary rotation rate 1, 1/2, and 1/4×Earth’s rotation rate. In each panel, the contour interval for the streamfunction is 10% of the value at the cell center, labeled by the red star and adjacent text in  $10^9 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$ , with red shades denoting positive values and blue shades negative values, and the contour interval for the angular momentum is 10% of the planetary angular momentum at the equator. The red vertical lines denote the cross-equatorial Hadley cell’s edges in the (solid) winter and (dashed) summer hemisphere, based on where the streamfunction reduces to 10% of its maximum at the same level. The purple solid line denotes the effective  $\varphi_a$ , computed as described in the text. The blue dotted lines correspond to the location of the forcing maximum  $\varphi_m$ . The dotted horizontal line marks the planetary boundary layer top of  $\sigma = 0.7$ .


flow in the cell’s lower branch advecting  $\theta_b$  up-gradient. This flattens  $\theta_b$  up to where the meridional flow diminishes, at which point  $\theta_b$  begins increasing sharply with latitude moving farther poleward. Conversely, with  $\alpha = 2.0$  temperatures are minimum near the equator and increase moving into either hemisphere as needed to generate the strong easterlies necessary for the AMC cell.

Because we are not using the HH80 or LH88 forcing profiles in these simulations, we cannot explicitly compare to the equal-area model predictions. But Figure 8 shows that equal-area-like behavior is occurring (compare the thick dark lines to the corresponding thin pale lines, the latter being  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}}$ ). The dynamically equilibrated  $\hat{\theta}$  fields intersect the  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{rce}}$  fields at low latitudes where the former are flat and the latter are quite steep, in the winter hemisphere from below, and in the summer hemisphere from above, yielding areas between the two curves that, at least by eye, roughly cancel. But importantly, in all simulations  $\varphi_a \leq \varphi_m$ , in contradiction to the equal-area predic-

tion. Comparison to the equal-area model is further hindered by the fact that, especially for the global-scale cells, the ascending branch is sufficiently wide that the AMC assumption of a single  $M$  value throughout the cell becomes problematic.

## 5. Summary

We have presented theoretical arguments and numerical modeling results pertaining to the extent of the cross-equatorial Hadley cell under solstitial forcing, utilizing steady, dry, axisymmetric, nearly inviscid theory. By Hide’s theorem, a state of latitude-by-latitude radiative-convective equilibrium (RCE) is impossible if its distribution of absolute angular momentum ( $M_{\text{rce}}$ ) exhibits any local extrema away from the surface, which occurs if  $M_{\text{rce}} < 0$  or  $M_{\text{rce}} > \Omega a^2$  at any latitude or if the corresponding absolute vorticity ( $\eta_{\text{rce}}$ ) exhibits  $f\eta_{\text{rce}} < 0$  at any latitude. A more general form of the  $f\eta_{\text{rce}} < 0$  condition


 FIG. 7. As in Figure 7, but for the  $\alpha = 0.5$  simulations.

holds even in zonally varying and/or purely inviscid atmospheres (E95; see our Appendix A), and a Hadley circulation must span all latitudes that meet one of these conditions. But in the winter hemisphere, typically only the  $M_{rce} > \Omega a^2$  condition is met, and only very near the equator, making it Hide's theorem by itself a poor predictor of how far a cross-equatorial cell will extend into the winter hemisphere.

The angular momentum conserving (AMC) Hadley cell models, yield cells that must span all latitudes where the RCE zonal wind ( $u_{rce}$ ) exceeds the AMC zonal wind ( $u_{amc}$ ). This  $u_{rce} > u_{amc}$  lower bound on the circulation extent provides a simple heuristic argument for why cross-equatorial cells typically extend at least as far into the winter hemisphere as into the summer hemisphere in axisymmetric atmospheres. However, using the AMC model prognostically requires a prediction for the latitude at which ascent is concentrated ( $\varphi_a$ ) and thus where the planetary angular momentum value gets imparted to the free troposphere.

The equal-area model combines the AMC assumptions with assumptions of energy conservation by the cells and continuity of potential temperature at their edges and generate predictions given the RCE state for the edges of each overturning cell, unlike the  $u_{rce} > u_{amc}$  condition that sets

a lower bound for the extent across all cells. However, they can be solved analytically only in the on-equatorial forcing, small-angle case. Much more problematic, as the latitude where the forcing maximizes ( $\varphi_m$ ) is moved poleward, the predicted Hadley circulation extent becomes implausibly large for Earth, even when all parameters are Earth-like.

Simulations in an idealized, dry GCM in which temperatures are relaxed at each timestep toward a specified RCE field that is either subcritical or supercritical in the summer hemisphere up to a specified  $\varphi_m$  show the utility of Hide's theorem. When the forcing is subcritical outside the deep tropics, Hadley cells terminate typically within  $\sim 25^\circ$  of the Equator and often well equatorward of  $\varphi_m$ , in which cases the cell edge sits slightly poleward of an inflection point between flat temperatures equatorward and sharply increasing temperatures poleward. Conversely, when the forcing is supercritical from the equator to  $\varphi_m$ , the cells extend always into the direct vicinity of  $\varphi_m$ , yielding under polar maximal forcing a single, global-scale (though not quite pole-to-pole) cell even at Earth's rotation rate. In all cases, the cells span roughly as far into either hemisphere, in reasonable agreement with the  $u_{rce} > u_{amc}$  condition given values of  $\varphi_a$  and  $u_{amc}$  fields diagnosed from the simulation output.




FIG. 8. (Thick solid curves) potential temperature (in K) at the  $\sigma \approx 0.85$  level in the (blue)  $\alpha = 0.5$  and (red)  $\alpha = 2.0$  cases and (thin solid curves) the corresponding forcing values, with panels oriented as in Figure 5. As indicated in the legend in panel (a), vertical dotted lines are the effective ascent latitude for (blue)  $\alpha = 0.5$  and (red)  $\alpha = 2.0$  and (gray) the forcing maximum latitude. Note different vertical axis spans in each panel.

## 6. Discussion

How relevant are these results based on dry models to moist atmospheres? Insofar as convective quasi-equilibrium holds, (10) is valid in moist atmospheres. But, as noted by E95, the irreversible fallout of precipitation in convecting towers creates an asymmetry between the ascending and descending branches of the circulation; the dry adiabatic lapse rate in the dry, descending branch due to radiative cooling-generated descent generates a stable cap over the underlying boundary layer, such that the free troposphere and boundary layer quantities decouple (Zheng 1998). This cannot be addressed simply via a change of variables, and (10) can only hold for those columns wherein such de-coupling does not occur.

Recently, Singh (2019) has presented a diagnostic relating the cross-equatorial cell edge to the condition of neutrality to slantwise convection (c.f. Emanuel 1986) that is quantitatively accurate across simulations with differing planetary rotation rate. And Colyer and Vallis (2019) have analyzed the scalings that emerge when the opposite assumptions as usual in the AMC model are made regarding continuity at the cell edge, i.e. that wind is continu-

ous while temperature is discontinuous; they find this new variant to be preferable in some ways for large  $R$  cases with planetary-scale cells.

Under purely adiabatic stratification as in the RCE state, the cells would port no heat as they overturn, implying that the cells always generate their own positive static stability via some mechanism. Caballero et al. (2008) cites two mechanisms: first, penetration of the cells into the positively stratified stratosphere, and, second, horizontal homogenization of the upper branch temperature, which is equal to the surface temperature value within the ascending branch. Another theory that seems worth pursuing is that presented by Emanuel and Rotunno (2011) for tropical cyclones: as tightly packed streamlines in the eyewall tilt from vertical to horizontal, small-scale turbulence sets in until enough static stability has been generated to relax the Richardson number to some critical value. This same mechanism could apply to, and the corresponding formalism of Emanuel and Rotunno (2011) adapted for, axisymmetric Hadley cells. In addition to its influence on the static stability, this could potentially form a minimal theoretical model for the angular momentum mixing

across upper branch streamlines noted by many authors (e.g. HH80, LH88, Adam and Paldor 2009).

In our simulations, the Hadley cells roughly conserve angular momentum in the sense that individual streamlines are nearly parallel with individual angular momentum contours in the free troposphere. But the true theoretical AMC circulation has a *single* value of  $M$ , namely the planetary value at  $\varphi_a$ , whereas the simulated cells feature steadily decreasing  $M$  values moving poleward. Undoubtedly this non-uniformity relates to the finite width of the simulated ascending branch and resulting turbulent momentum mixing, as well as to momentum advection within the ascent branch. It could be useful to use an alternative  $\hat{\theta}$  profile that gives rise to such behavior, such as the solution from the “1 1/2” layer model of Adam and Paldor (2010a), and use it in the place of the true  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{amc}}$  field in the equal-area model.

*Acknowledgments.* We thank Sean Faulk and Alex Gonzalez for discussions that informed this work, Martin Singh for useful comments on an earlier draft, and Ori Adam and Kerry Emanuel for insightful reviews. In particular, Dr. Emanuel suggested adapting the critical Richardson number idea from tropical cyclones to Hadley cells. S.A.H. was supported by a National Science Foundation (NSF) Atmospheric and Geospace Sciences (AGS) Postdoctoral Research Fellowship, award #1624740. S.B. was supported by NSF award AGS-1462544.

## APPENDIX A

### Derivation of Hide’s theorem in zonally varying atmospheres, c.f. Emanuel (1995)

Consider the vorticity equation

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{h}}(f + \zeta) - w \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial z} - (f + \zeta) \nabla_{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial z} \times \nabla_{\mathbf{h}} w \right) - \mathcal{D}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where  $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$ ,  $\nabla_{\mathbf{h}}$  is the horizontal divergence operator,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a damping term whose functional form is irrelevant insofar as it vanishes when  $\zeta$  vanishes (as it should), and in zonally varying atmospheres a  $+ \partial_x v$  term is added to  $\zeta$ . Suppose there exists some level at which vertical velocity vanishes at all latitudes and longitudes (the tropopause being a plausible candidate, insofar as it occurs at a fixed level in RCE as argued by Caballero et al. 2008). At that level, all terms of (A1) featuring  $w$  are zero, and the remaining terms on the right hand side also vanish if  $\eta = f + \zeta = 0$ . In that case,  $\partial_t \eta = \partial_t \zeta = 0$ , and  $\eta = 0$  is a stationary point, making it impossible for absolute vorticity to evolve in time from one sign to another. Thus, given an initial resting state (or one with sufficiently weak

horizontal shears that  $\eta$  everywhere takes the sign of  $f$ ), a subsequent state with  $f\eta < 0$  is impossible.

The  $f\eta < 0$  condition has two additional physical implications. First, it marks the onset of symmetric instability (Stevens 1983). Second, in a purely inviscid atmosphere, it is impossible to change the sign of the Ertel potential vorticity  $\alpha \eta \cdot \nabla \theta$ , where  $\alpha$  is specific volume,  $\eta$  is the absolute vorticity vector, and the  $\nabla$  operator is three dimensional. Accordingly, absolute vorticity cannot change signs (unless the flow was to generate unstable stratification). Finally, note that the  $f\eta_{\text{rce}} < 0$  condition, since it refers to the RCE state, is distinct from the argument by Tomas and Webster (1997) that inertial instability controls the location of the ITCZ, since the latter refers to the dynamically equilibrated state.

## APPENDIX B

### Derivation of $\eta = 0$ location under Lindzen and Hou (1988) forcing

(7) implies  $M_{\text{rce}} < 0$  where

$$\frac{2R}{1+2R} > \frac{\sin \varphi}{\sin \varphi_m}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

The left-hand side of (B1) is at most unity, while the right-hand side is  $< 1$  for  $0 < \varphi < \varphi_m$ , guaranteeing some finite latitude range in the summer hemisphere for which no RCE solution exists. For very large  $R$ , the left-hand side approaches unity, such that the  $M_{\text{rce}} < 0$  constraint is violated essentially all the way to  $\varphi_m$ , and the circulation is certain to extend at least to the vicinity of  $\varphi_m$ .

For the  $f\eta_{\text{rce}} < 0$  condition, the absolute vorticity field corresponding to (7) is

$$\eta_{\text{rce, lh88}} = \Omega \sqrt{1 + 2R \left( 1 - \frac{\sin \varphi_m}{\sin \varphi} \right)} \times \left( 2 \sin \varphi - \frac{\cos^2 \varphi}{\sin^2 \varphi} \frac{R \sin \varphi_m}{1 + 2R \left( 1 - \frac{\sin \varphi_m}{\sin \varphi} \right)} \right). \quad (\text{B2})$$

Evaluated at  $\varphi_m$ , this becomes

$$\eta_{\text{rce, lh88}}(\varphi = \varphi_m) = 2\Omega \sin \varphi_m \left( 1 - \frac{R \cos^2 \varphi_m}{2 \sin^2 \varphi_m} \right), \quad (\text{B3})$$

which shows that  $f\eta_{\text{rce}}(\varphi = \varphi_m) < 0$  if  $\tan^2 \varphi_m < R/2$ , or  $\varphi_m < \sqrt{R/2}$  in the small-angle limit. For the original LH88 case with  $\varphi_m = 6^\circ \approx 0.1$  radians and  $R \approx 0.1$ , it follows that  $\sqrt{R/2} \approx 0.2$ , and thus the  $f\eta_{\text{rce}} < 0$  condition is met at  $\varphi_m$ , as shown in Figure 3. Figure B1 shows (B3) as a function of  $\varphi_m$ , both with and without the small angle approximation. As the forcing maximum moves poleward, a larger  $R$  is required to ensure the circulation extends at least to  $\varphi_m$  (Guendelman and Kaspi 2019).




FIG. B9. Values of (horizontal axis)  $\varphi_m$  and (vertical axis) thermal Rossby number for which RCE absolute vorticity at  $\varphi_m$  corresponding to the LH88 forcing profile is zero. The solid black curve is the full solution, and the dotted black curve is the small-angle limit. Values above/to the left of the solid curve correspond to  $f\eta_{rce} < 0$  at  $\varphi_m$ , thereby ensuring a circulation that extends to at least  $\varphi_m$ .

## APPENDIX C

### Dissipative processes in the idealized dry GCM

The three dissipative processes are  $\nabla^8$  hyperdiffusion to represent subgrid-scale dissipation, quadratic damping of winds within the planetary boundary layer to represent surface drag, and vertical diffusion in the free atmosphere to suppress symmetric instabilities that otherwise cause the model to crash. The quadratic drag formulation is  $\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \dots - k(\sigma) |\mathbf{u}| \mathbf{u}$ , where  $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$ , is the horizontal wind vector,  $|\mathbf{u}| = (u^2 + v^2)^{1/2}$  is the horizontal wind speed, and  $k(\sigma)$  is the drag coefficient, which takes its maximal value at the surface and decreases linearly in the model's vertical sigma coordinate ( $\sigma \equiv p/p_s$ , where  $p_s$  is the spatiotemporally varying surface pressure) to a value of zero at  $\sigma_{b,top}$ , the prescribed boundary layer top. The planetary boundary layer top is at 0.85 to 0.7 and its drag coefficient is  $5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^{-1}$ . Free atmospheric viscosity is formulated as standard as vertical diffusion, such that  $\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \dots + \partial_z (\nu \partial_z \mathbf{u})$  for zonal and meridional momentum and analogously for temperature with Prandtl number unity. This is turned on only at model levels above a fixed height of 2500 m, a slightly different boundary layer top criterion than the fixed sigma level used by the boundary layer drag scheme.

Rather than the commonly-used uniform  $\nu$  (e.g. HH80, LH88, PH92, Bordoni and Schneider 2010), the model uses a mixing length formulation:

$$\nu = l_{\text{mix}}^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\text{Ri}}{\text{Ri}_{\text{crit}}} \right)^2 \frac{|\Delta \mathbf{u}|}{\Delta z},$$

where  $l_{\text{mix}}$  is the mixing length (a global constant), Ri is the bulk Richardson number,  $\text{Ri}_{\text{crit}} \equiv 0.25$  is a critical

Richardson number above which free atmospheric diffusion does not occur,  $\Delta$  denotes differences between adjacent model levels, and  $|\Delta \mathbf{u}| = ((\Delta u)^2 + (\Delta v)^2)^{1/2}$ . The bulk Richardson number is defined conventionally,  $\text{Ri} = g \Delta \theta \Delta z / (\theta |\Delta \mathbf{u}|^2)$ . Under this formulation, the diffusivity increases with the vertical shear of the horizontal wind speed and decreases with the static stability  $\partial_z \theta$ . We have experimented with a range of mixing length values in a subset of the simulations in order to find the lowest value in which the model integration runs successfully; that value is 15 m in all simulations except the most strongly forced simulation at Earth's rotation rate, which required a value of 30 m.

## References

- Adam, O., T. Bischoff, and T. Schneider, 2016: Seasonal and Interannual Variations of the Energy Flux Equator and ITCZ. Part I: Zonally Averaged ITCZ Position. *J. Climate*, **29** (9), 3219–3230, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0512.1.
- Adam, O., and N. Paldor, 2009: Global Circulation in an Axially Symmetric Shallow Water Model Forced by Equinoctial Differential Heating. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **66** (5), 1418–1433, doi:10.1175/2008JAS2685.1.
- Adam, O., and N. Paldor, 2010a: Global Circulation in an Axially Symmetric Shallow-Water Model, Forced by Off-Equatorial Differential Heating. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **67** (4), 1275–1286, doi:10.1175/2009JAS3324.1.
- Adam, O., and N. Paldor, 2010b: On the role of viscosity in ideal Hadley circulation models. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **37** (16), L16801, doi:10.1029/2010GL043745.
- Bordoni, S., and T. Schneider, 2008: Monsoons as eddy-mediated regime transitions of the tropical overturning circulation. *Nature Geosci.*, **1** (8), 515–519, doi:10.1038/ngeo248.
- Bordoni, S., and T. Schneider, 2010: Regime Transitions of Steady and Time-Dependent Hadley Circulations: Comparison of Axisymmetric and Eddy-Permitting Simulations. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **67** (5), 1643–1654, doi:10.1175/2009JAS3294.1.
- Caballero, R., and M. Huber, 2010: Spontaneous transition to superrotation in warm climates simulated by CAM3: WARM CLIMATE SUPERROTATION. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **37** (11), n/a–n/a, doi:10.1029/2010GL043468.
- Caballero, R., R. T. Pierrehumbert, and J. L. Mitchell, 2008: Axisymmetric, nearly inviscid circulations in non-condensing radiative-convective atmospheres. *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **134** (634), 1269–1285, doi:10.1002/qj.271.
- Colyer, G. J., and G. K. Vallis, 2019: Zonal-mean atmospheric dynamics of slowly-rotating terrestrial planets. 32.
- Emanuel, K., and R. Rotunno, 2011: Self-Stratification of Tropical Cyclone Outflow. Part I: Implications for Storm Structure. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **68** (10), 2236–2249, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-10-05024.1.
- Emanuel, K. A., 1986: An Air-Sea Interaction Theory for Tropical Cyclones. Part I: Steady-State Maintenance. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **43** (6), 585–605, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1986)043<0585:AASITF>2.0.CO;2.



- Emanuel, K. A., 1995: On Thermally Direct Circulations in Moist Atmospheres. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **52** (9), 1529–1534, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1995)052<1529:OTDCIM>2.0.CO;2.
- Emanuel, K. A., J. David Neelin, and C. S. Bretherton, 1994: On large-scale circulations in convecting atmospheres. *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **120** (519), 1111–1143, doi:10.1002/qj.49712051902.
- Fang, M., and K. K. Tung, 1994: Solution to the Charney Problem of Viscous Symmetric Circulation. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **51** (10), 1261–1272, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051<1261:STTCPO>2.0.CO;2.
- Fang, M., and K. K. Tung, 1996: A Simple Model of Nonlinear Hadley Circulation with an ITCZ: Analytic and Numerical Solutions. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **53** (9), 1241–1261, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1996)053<1241:ASMONH>2.0.CO;2.
- Faulk, S., J. Mitchell, and S. Bordoni, 2017: Effects of Rotation Rate and Seasonal Forcing on the ITCZ Extent in Planetary Atmospheres. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **74** (3), 665–678, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-16-0014.1.
- Gierasch, P. J., 1975: Meridional Circulation and the Maintenance of the Venus Atmospheric Rotation. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **32** (6), 1038–1044, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1975)032<1038:MCATMO>2.0.CO;2.
- Guendelman, I., and Y. Kaspi, 2019: An Axisymmetric Limit for the Width of the Hadley Cell on Planets With Large Obliquity and Long Seasonality. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **0** (0), doi:10.1029/2018GL080752.
- Held, I. M., 2000: The General Circulation of the Atmosphere. *The General Circulation of the Atmosphere: 2000 Program in Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*, No. WHOI-2001-03, Woods Hole Oceanog. Inst. Tech. Rept., Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, 1–54.
- Held, I. M., and B. J. Hoskins, 1985: Large-scale eddies and the general circulation of the troposphere. *Advances in Geophysics*, Vol. 28A, Academic Press, 3–31.
- Held, I. M., and A. Y. Hou, 1980: Nonlinear Axially Symmetric Circulations in a Nearly Inviscid Atmosphere. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **37** (3), 515–533, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<0515:NASCIA>2.0.CO;2.
- Hide, R., 1969: Dynamics of the Atmospheres of the Major Planets with an Appendix on the Viscous Boundary Layer at the Rigid Bounding Surface of an Electrically-Conducting Rotating Fluid in the Presence of a Magnetic Field. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **26** (5), 841–853, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1969)026<0841:DOTAOT>2.0.CO;2.
- Kirtman, B. P., and E. K. Schneider, 2000: A Spontaneously Generated Tropical Atmospheric General Circulation. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **57** (13), 2080–2093, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(2000)057<2080:ASGTAG>2.0.CO;2.
- Korty, R. L., and T. Schneider, 2008: Extent of Hadley circulations in dry atmospheres. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **35** (23), L23 803, doi:10.1029/2008GL035847.
- Levine, X. J., and T. Schneider, 2011: Response of the Hadley Circulation to Climate Change in an Aquaplanet GCM Coupled to a Simple Representation of Ocean Heat Transport. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **68** (4), 769–783, doi:10.1175/2010JAS3553.1.
- Levine, X. J., and T. Schneider, 2015: Baroclinic Eddies and the Extent of the Hadley Circulation: An Idealized GCM Study. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **72** (7), 2744–2761, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-14-0152.1.
- Lindzen, R. S., and A. V. Hou, 1988: Hadley Circulations for Zonally Averaged Heating Centered off the Equator. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **45** (17), 2416–2427, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1988)045<2416:HCFZAH>2.0.CO;2.
- Linsenmeier, M., S. Pascale, and V. Lucarini, 2015: Climate of Earth-like planets with high obliquity and eccentric orbits: Implications for habitability conditions. *Planetary and Space Science*, **105**, 43–59, doi:10.1016/j.pss.2014.11.003.
- Merlis, T. M., W. Zhou, I. M. Held, and M. Zhao, 2016: Surface temperature dependence of tropical cyclone-permitting simulations in a spherical model with uniform thermal forcing. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **43** (6), 2016GL067 730, doi:10.1002/2016GL067730.
- Mitchell, J. L., and J. M. Lora, 2016: The Climate of Titan. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, **44** (1), 353–380, doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-060115-012428.
- Mitchell, J. L., R. T. Pierrehumbert, D. M. W. Frierson, and R. Caballero, 2006: The dynamics behind Titan’s methane clouds. *PNAS*, **103** (49), 18 421–18 426, doi:10.1073/pnas.0605074103.
- Mitchell, J. L., and G. K. Vallis, 2010: The transition to superrotation in terrestrial atmospheres. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115** (E12), E12 008, doi:10.1029/2010JE003587.
- Nie, J., W. R. Boos, and Z. Kuang, 2010: Observational Evaluation of a Convective Quasi-Equilibrium View of Monsoons. *Journal of Climate*, **23** (16), 4416–4428, doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3505.1.
- O’Neill, M. E., and Y. Kaspi, 2016: Slantwise convection on fluid planets. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **43** (20), 2016GL071 188, doi:10.1002/2016GL071188.
- Pauluis, O., 2004: Boundary Layer Dynamics and Cross-Equatorial Hadley Circulation. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **61** (10), 1161–1173, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(2004)061<1161:BLDACH>2.0.CO;2.
- Plumb, R. A., and A. Y. Hou, 1992: The Response of a Zonally Symmetric Atmosphere to Subtropical Thermal Forcing: Threshold Behavior. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **49** (19), 1790–1799, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049<1790:TROAZS>2.0.CO;2.
- Privé, N. C., and R. A. Plumb, 2007: Monsoon Dynamics with Interactive Forcing. Part I: Axisymmetric Studies. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **64** (5), 1417–1430, doi:10.1175/JAS3916.1.
- Satoh, M., 1994: Hadley Circulations in Radiative–Convective Equilibrium in an Axially Symmetric Atmosphere. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **51** (13), 1947–1968, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051<1947:HCIREI>2.0.CO;2.
- Schneider, E. K., 1977: Axially Symmetric Steady-State Models of the Basic State for Instability and Climate Studies. Part II. Nonlinear Calculations. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **34** (2), 280–296, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1977)034<0280:ASSSMO>2.0.CO;2.
- Schneider, E. K., and R. S. Lindzen, 1977: Axially Symmetric Steady-State Models of the Basic State for Instability and Climate Studies. Part I. Linearized Calculations. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **34** (2), 263–279, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1977)034<0263:ASSSMO>2.0.CO;2.
- Schneider, T., 2004: The Tropopause and the Thermal Stratification in the Extratropics of a Dry Atmosphere. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **61** (12), 1317–1340, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(2004)061<1317:TTATTS>2.0.CO;2.
- Schneider, T., 2006: The general circulation of the atmosphere. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, **34**, 655–688.

- Schneider, T., and S. Bordoni, 2008: Eddy-Mediated Regime Transitions in the Seasonal Cycle of a Hadley Circulation and Implications for Monsoon Dynamics. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **65** (3), 915–934, doi:10.1175/2007JAS2415.1.
- Schneider, T., and J. Liu, 2009: Formation of Jets and Equatorial Superrotation on Jupiter. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **66** (3), 579–601, doi:10.1175/2008JAS2798.1.
- Shell, K. M., and I. M. Held, 2004: Abrupt Transition to Strong Superrotation in an Axisymmetric Model of the Upper Troposphere. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **61** (23), 2928–2935, doi:10.1175/JAS-3312.1.
- Shi, X., and C. S. Bretherton, 2014: Large-scale character of an atmosphere in rotating radiative-convective equilibrium. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, **6** (3), 616–629, doi:10.1002/2014MS000342.
- Showman, A. P., R. D. Wordsworth, T. M. Merlis, and Y. Kaspi, 2014: Atmospheric circulation of terrestrial exoplanets. *Comparative Climatology of Terrestrial Planets*, Space Science, 2nd ed., University of Arizona Press.
- Singh, M. S., 2019: Limits on the extent of the solstitial Hadley cell: The role of planetary rotation. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, (Submitted), 14.
- Singh, M. S., and Z. Kuang, 2016: Exploring the Role of Eddy Momentum Fluxes in Determining the Characteristics of the Equinoctial Hadley Circulation: Fixed-SST Simulations. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **73** (6), 2427–2444, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-15-0212.1.
- Singh, M. S., Z. Kuang, and Y. Tian, 2017: Eddy Influences on the Strength of the Hadley Circulation: Dynamic and Thermodynamic Perspectives. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **74** (2), 467–486, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-16-0238.1.
- Stevens, D. E., 1983: On Symmetric Stability and Instability of Zonal Mean Flows Near the Equator. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **40** (4), 882–893, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1983)040<0882:OSSAIO>2.0.CO;2.
- Tomas, R. A., and P. J. Webster, 1997: The role of inertial instability in determining the location and strength of near-equatorial convection. *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **123** (542), 1445–1482, doi:10.1002/qj.49712354202.
- Vallis, G. K., 2017: *Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics: Fundamentals and Large-Scale Circulation*. 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press.
- Walker, C. C., and T. Schneider, 2005: Response of idealized Hadley circulations to seasonally varying heating. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **32** (6), L06 813, doi:10.1029/2004GL022304.
- Walker, C. C., and T. Schneider, 2006: Eddy Influences on Hadley Circulations: Simulations with an Idealized GCM. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **63** (12), 3333–3350, doi:10.1175/JAS3821.1.
- Wang, P., and J. L. Mitchell, 2014: Planetary ageostrophic instability leads to superrotation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **41** (12), 4118–4126, doi:10.1002/2014GL060345.
- Williams, G. P., and J. L. Holloway, 1982: The range and unity of planetary circulations. *Nature*, **297** (5864), 295–299, doi:10.1038/297295a0.
- Zheng, X., 1998: The response of a moist zonally symmetric atmosphere to subtropical surface temperature perturbation. *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **124** (548), 1209–1226, doi:10.1002/qj.49712454809.