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Selective ablation of cancer cells with low intensity pulsed ultrasound

ABSTRACT
Ultrasound can be focused into deep tissues with millimeter precision to perform noninvasive ablative therapy for diseases such as cancer. In most cases, this ablation uses high intensity ultrasound to deposit nonselective thermal or mechanical energy at the ultrasound focus, damaging both healthy bystander tissue and cancer cells. Here, we describe an alternative low intensity (ISPTA) approach that leverages the distinct mechanical properties of neoplastic cells to achieve inherent cancer selectivity. We show that ultrasound applied at a frequency of 0.5–0.67 MHz and a pulse duration of >20 ms causes selective disruption of a panel of breast, colon, and leukemia cancer cell models in suspension without significantly damaging healthy immune or red blood cells. Mechanistic experiments reveal that the formation of acoustic standing waves and the emergence of cell-seeded cavitation lead to cytoskeletal disruption, expression of apoptotic markers, and cell death. The inherent selectivity of this low intensity pulsed ultrasound approach offers a potentially safer and thus more broadly applicable alternative to nonselective high intensity ultrasound ablation.

High intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) is a noninvasive therapeutic modality used clinically for tumor ablation. By producing local hyperthermia and destructive cavitation, HIFU induces cell lysis, increases chemotherapeutic uptake, and stimulates systemic antitumor immune responses. However, high intensity (I_{\text{PTA}} > 100 W/cm^2) and high pressure (>10 MPa) focused ultrasound (FUS) indiscriminately destroys healthy tissue as well as tumors. Consequently, safely implementing HIFU often requires costly MRI targeting and is challenging in cancers near or invading into critical tissue.

Several approaches aim to increase ultrasound's specificity. Molecularly targeted contrast agents, such as microbubbles, locally amplify ultrasound's disruptive effects but are challenging to deploy in tumors due to the agents' poor extravasation. An alternative approach involves low intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS). Low intensity (I_{\text{PTA}} < 5 W/cm^2) and low frequency (<1 MHz) pulsed ultrasound, as defined in Ref. 12, produces mechanical effects without hyperthermia, resulting in neurostimulation. Chemotherapy uptake and bone repair. However, its ability to selectively ablate cancer cells has not been studied, and its mechanisms of action are not fully understood.

Here, we test the hypothesis that biomechanical differences between cancerous and healthy cell types cause these cells to have different responses to LIPUS, allowing selective ablation of cancer cells with targeted ultrasound waveforms. This hypothesis is predicated on cancer cells' altered cellular/nuclear morphology, DNA content, nuclear-nucleolar volume ratios, cytoskeletal stiffness, and viscoelastic properties. In computational studies, these differences were predicted to result in the differential response of malignant cells to specific ultrasound parameters when compared to healthy cells. We use a cell suspension model to test this experimentally and examine the underlying acoustic and biophysical mechanisms.

To test the hypothesis that LIPUS can selectively ablate cancer cells, we applied LIPUS to suspensions of human K562 and U937 cancer lines and primary T cells isolated from human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), chosen as representative malignant and healthy cell types. Cell suspensions were placed in acoustically transparent-bottomed 24-well plates and insonated with a focused ultrasound transducer positioned in a water bath below [Fig. 1(a)]. We used pulsed ultrasound (10% duty cycle) with a peak negative pressure (PNP) of <1.2 MPa and I_{\text{PTA}} < 5 W/cm^2. We confirmed that 60 s of
LIPUS at 0.67 MHz and a 20 ms pulse duration (PD) induced significant and irreversible cytodisruption of K562 cells at PNP > 0.6 MPa, as measured with ethidium homodimer-1 (EthD-1) uptake [Fig. 1(b)]. Heating was always <1°C (Fig. Sup 1). For further experiments, we selected 0.7 MPa PNP, which induced moderate cytodisruption. This pressure corresponds to a 1.63 W/cm² ISPTA and 16.3 W/cm² ISPTP at the 10% duty cycle. At the lowest frequency tested in this study, 0.3 MHz, this corresponds to a mechanical index of 1.3, which is beneath the FDA safety limit of 1.9. The lack of heating and the low mechanical index suggest that the ultrasound applied remains within the realm of LIPUS.

To test different pulsing patterns, PD and pulse repetition frequencies were varied simultaneously to maintain a constant duty cycle [Fig. 1(c)]. We swept PD from 2 to 40 ms with each of the 0.3, 0.5, and 0.67 MHz transducers [Fig. 1(d)]. We observed that cytodisruption was highly dependent on frequency, PD, and cell type. 20 ms PD and 0.5 MHz maximized the selectivity with longer PD despite the same total energy applied. Next, we assessed a broader panel of cell types at 0.5 MHz, using cancer cells in coculture with PBMC (Table I). The cancer cell models showed significantly more cytodisruption than subpopulations within PBMC at >10 ms PD [Fig. 1(e)]. Red blood cells (RBCs) exhibited virtually no disruption, as measured by hemoglobin leakage, under any condition.

To characterize LIPUS cytodisruption’s biomolecular mechanisms, we evaluated CT-26 cells 2 days after 2-min treatment with 0.5 MHz, 0.7 MPa LIPUS with flow cytometry. At >10 ms PD, increased cell death and apoptosis were observed [Fig. 2(a)]. Also, cells expressing calreticulin, a prophagocytic signal, increased, while proliferative marker Bcl-2 decreased [Fig. 2(b)]. The activation of apoptotic and phagocytic pathways may enhance LIPUS’ effectiveness as an anticancer therapy by promoting the antitumor immune response.

To evaluate LIPUS’ effect on the cytoskeleton, we performed confocal microscopy on CT-26 immediately after LIPUS. The actin cytoskeleton, stained with phalloidin, is qualitatively and quantitatively disrupted after insonation with 30 ms PD LIPUS. This agrees with the literature that states that LIPUS disrupts the cellular cytoskeleton. Among other effects, acoustic pressure spatial gradients in the 24-well pattern near the water-air interface. To examine whether this plays a role in cytodisruption, we constructed an acoustically transparent cuvette, where standing waves could be optionally introduced [Fig. 3(a)]. We found that cells insonated in the presence of a reflector reproduced the cytodisruption observed in the 24-well plate [Fig. 3(b)]. Doubling the PNP in the reflector-free configuration to match the maximal pressure at standing wave antinodes did not induce significant cytodisruption. This suggests that standing waves are mechanistically required for LIPUS cytodisruption.

Among other effects, acoustic pressure spatial gradients in standing waves give rise to acoustic radiation force that pushes cells toward pressure nodes. We tracked the motion of fluorescently

### Table I. Cell models tested at targeted parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Line</th>
<th>Cell Type</th>
<th>Tumor Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4T1</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Mammary gland, epithelial (human breast cancer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT26</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>Fibroblast (carcinoma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCF7</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Mammary gland, epithelial (carcinoma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK-BR-3</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Mammary gland, epithelial (adenocarcinoma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA-MB-231</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Breast (metastatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>CD3+, CD4+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8</td>
<td>Peripheral</td>
<td>CD3+, CD8+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Cell</td>
<td>CD3+, CD19+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK</td>
<td>Cell</td>
<td>NK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>Cell</td>
<td>RBC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pressure profile in acoustic 24-well plates revealed a standing wave pattern near the water-air interface. To examine whether this plays a role in cytodisruption, we constructed an acoustically transparent cuvette, where standing waves could be optionally introduced using a reflector (RF) [Fig. 3(a)]. We found that cells insonated in the absence of standing waves (0.67 MHz, 100 ms PD) did not show significant cytodisruption, while cells treated in the presence of a reflector reproduced the cytodisruption observed in the 24-well plate [Fig. 3(b)]. Doubling the PNP in the reflector-free configuration to match the maximal pressure at standing wave antinodes did not induce significant cytodisruption. This suggests that standing waves are mechanistically required for LIPUS cytodisruption.

Among other effects, acoustic pressure spatial gradients in standing waves give rise to acoustic radiation force that pushes cells toward pressure nodes.
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**Fig. 1.** Screening reveals ultrasound parameters that induce cancer-cell selective cytodisruption. (a) Schematic of the Mylar-bottom 24-well plate over the water bath containing the focused ultrasound transducer. (b) K562 cell death (N = 3, error bars SEM) in response to 0.67 MHz, 20 ms PD, 10% duty cycle, 60 J LIPUS at various peak negative pressures (PNP). 0.7 MPa PNP selected for future experiments. (c) Diagram depicting constant energy while sweeping PD. (d) 0.3 MHz LIPUS induces significantly less cell death (N = 9, p < 0.001) on T cells compared to either K562 or U937. (e) 0.5 MHz LIPUS induced cancer-selective cell death (N = 9) in the mixed sample of healthy PBMC and cancer models (Table I), measured through cytometry. RBC death assessed using hemoglobin release. Significance indicated as the largest p-value from a 2-tailed t-test between each cancer and each healthy cell model (p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001).

**Table I.** Cell models tested at targeted parameters.
labeled K562 cells in response to continuous LIPUS in an imaging chamber and observed that 0.5 MHz ultrasound in a standing wave configuration propelled cells toward the nodes [Fig. 3(c)]. A 100 ms PD was not sufficient for cells to aggregate at nodes, which happened after ~1 s under continuous ultrasound.

Cavitation is a known mechanism for local amplification of acoustic pressure and cell killing. To examine its role in LIPUS cytodisruption, we measured the acoustic emissions of cells treated with LIPUS in the acoustic cuvette using a single-element passive cavitation detector orthogonally cofocused with the LIPUS transducer [Fig. 4(a)]. We measured the signature of inertial cavitation (emissions with a broad spectral content) and stable cavitation (harmonics of the transmitted frequency). As controls, we confirmed that no cavitation was measurable in degassed PBS cell buffer, while stable and inertial cavitation were detected from commercial Definity microbubbles, which were suspended in the degassed Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) for consistency [Fig. 4(b)].

K562 cells generated both stable and inertial cavitation when exposed to 0.5 MHz, 100 ms PD LIPUS in the presence of a reflector. The cavitation magnitude increased during the LIPUS pulse with an inflection point around 20 ms [Fig. 4(b)], a time scale similar to the PD needed for cytodisruption. No cavitation was seen without a reflector. Laser illumination of the cuvette revealed bubble formation in a standing wave pattern in response to long-PD ultrasound in the presence of the reflector [Fig. 4(d)].

The conditions resulting in LIPUS-induced cavitation in K562 cell suspensions correlate with those causing cytodisruption, with both requiring standing-waves and PD >20 ms [Fig. 4(c)]. However, cavitation is not sufficient for cytodisruption, since PBMCs, which are not strongly disrupted by tested LIPUS conditions, nevertheless, produced similar amounts of cavitation [Fig. 4(c)].

To determine which components of cell suspensions could be responsible for cavitation, we measured the acoustic signal from LIPUS-exposed solutions of cell-sized 10 μm polystyrene beads and...
we suppressed cavitation using overpressure in an acoustically transparent medium inhibits LIPUS cell killing.

Our results demonstrate that specific parameters of low intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS) can induce cancer cell-selective cytodisruption. In an in vitro model, LIPUS applied at 0.5 MHz with a 20 ms PD had the largest therapeutic margin in disrupting a diverse panel of cancer cells while leaving healthy blood and immune cells largely intact. While the acoustic intensity of the ultrasound is similar to that of diagnostic ultrasound, we found that cytodisruption only occurred with a pulse duration (PD) of greater than 10 ms, while diagnostic ultrasound uses PD of about 10 μs. Moreover, our experiments revealed that the formation of standing waves and the emergence of cavitation were necessary to disrupt cancer cells. However, the presence of cavitation, which was seeded by cells and enhanced by standing wave acoustic radiation force, was not sufficient to guarantee the disruption of any particular cell type. This is consistent with our finding that the most significant cytodisruption took place at 0.5–0.67 MHz and not at 0.3 MHz despite an expected increase in cavitation at lower frequency. This suggests that while cavitation may locally amplify the pressure supplied LIPUS, a given cell type’s response to the resulting mechanical stress depends on its biophysical properties. This is consistent with the "oncotripsy" theory developed by Ortiz et al., which suggests that cells respond to ultrasound at different resonant frequencies and with different fatigue behaviors based on their distinct mechanical properties. Additional studies are needed to probe the biophysical underpinnings of this response.

Cancer-selective cytodisruption by LIPUS could fulfill the clinical need for safe noninvasive tumor ablation, complementing positional or molecular targeting approaches. Based on our results in suspension cell models, LIPUS applied within blood vessels could target blood

Finally, to confirm that cavitation is necessary for cytodisruption, we suppressed cavitation using overpressure in an acoustically transparent pressure-chamber comprising a plastic pipette bulb. With the bulb pressurized to 400 kPa above ambient pressure, there was an almost complete reduction of cytodisruption of K562 cells in the chamber by 100 ms PD LIPUS. This confirms that cavitation is mechanistically necessary.

To visualize LIPUS’ effect on target cells, we imaged K562 cells in suspension under transmitted 2 W laser illumination using an ultra-high speed camera. Laser light was only applied during image acquisition to prevent heating of the cells. Cells were floating between two acoustically transparent films near an acoustic reflector generating standing waves. We recorded a video at 5 Mfps starting 100 ms after the beginning of insonation. We observed cells translating several microns along the axis of insonation at the ultrasound frequency, but not undergoing large-scale deformation [Figs. Sup 3 and Sup 4 (Multimedia view)]. This suggests that either LIPUS’ effect on the cell shape are on the nanoscale and thus not detectable in this imaging paradigm or involve deformation or displacement of subcellular organelles relative to the cytoplasm.

To investigate whether LIPUS cytodisruption occurs in cells embedded in solid media, we suspended K562 cells in agarose and acrylamide gels. We placed these gels in our acoustic cuvette with the reflector to generate standing waves [Fig. Sup 5(a)] and exposed them to ultrasound. Cell death in the LIPUS treated gels was assessed using Ethid-1 fluorescence and compared to untreated control gels [Fig. Sup 5(b)]. While statistically significant cytodisruption in agarose gels was observed, it was greatly attenuated compared to liquid suspensions [Fig. Sup 5(c)]. This suggests that either the mechanical rigidity or the translational motion restriction imposed by a solid extracellular medium inhibits LIPUS cell killing.

Our results demonstrate that specific parameters of low intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS) can induce cancer cell-selective cytodisruption.
cancer or circulating tumor cells.28 The needed standing waves could be generated by engineering the acoustic field of one or more array sources or leveraging endogenous reflective surfaces such as bones. In addition, the acoustic field may need to be sufficiently large to enable appropriate continuous exposure of cells during flow. In addition, our data indicating that LIPUS-disrupted cells show markers of apoptotic and immunogenic cell death (ICD) suggest that disruption of cancer cells in the solid tumor context. While in our experiments cells in hydrogel phantoms responded weakly to LIPUS, this biochemical and mechanical context may not accurately represent the solid tumor milieu. In addition, even partial killing of solid tumor cells could be effective if it can precipitate an abscopal immune effect. Future cell monolayer, 3D culture, and finally in vivo studies are needed to test these hypotheses.

See the supplementary material for the materials and methods used in this manuscript as well as supplementary figures.

The authors thank Sangjin Yoo, Di Wu, Avinooa Bar-Zion, Dan Piraner, and Mohamad Abedi for helpful discussion. The authors also thank Sangjin Yoo for guidance with ultrasound LIPUS bioeffects in neumodulation, Dan Piraner for cell culture and fluorescent protein transfection, Hunter Davis for input on HFR imaging optics, and Di Wu for fluorescent cell tracking experiments. The authors also thank Michael R. Bailey for his assistance in designing the pipet bulb pressure chambers and Maayan Harel (www.maayanillustration.com) for the illustrations in this paper. Confocal microscopy experiments were performed at Caltech’s Beckman Imaging Facility. This project was supported by Amgen CBEA 2017, 2018, and the Caltech/City of Hope collaborative grant 2019. A.R. and L.T.M. were supported by Caltech Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowships.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

REFERENCES


Applied Physics Letters is a journal covering all areas of physics research, including condensed matter physics, atomic and molecular physics, and applied physics.

scitation.org/journal/apl

Published under license by AIP Publishing

116, 013701-5